

ADDITION OF PANCURONIUM AND NITROGLYCERIN TO LIDOCAINE FOR INTRAVENOUS REGIONAL ANESTHESIA IN UPPER EXTREMITY SURGERY: BLOCK CHARACTERISTICS AND POSTOPERATIVE ANALGESIA

By

**Mostafa M. Mahdy, Abdulla M. Ahmed, Mostafa M. Sabra
and Ahmed S. Hamada**

Anesthesia and Intensive Care Department, Faculty of Medicine,
Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Background: Intravenous regional anesthesia (IVRA) provides reliable and rapid analgesia with good muscle relaxation of the extremity distal to the tourniquet, but tourniquet pain and absence of post-operative analgesia are major drawbacks. Nitroglycerine and muscle relaxants are known to potentiate peripheral nerve blocks. **Objective:** Comparing nitroglycerine and pancuronium as adjuvants to IVRA with respect, block characteristics, and post-operative analgesia. **Patient and Methods:** This study was carried out in Al- Azhar University Hospitals for 6 months (from 1/4/2016 to 1/10/2016) on 60 patients of both sexes aged 20-50 years, and belonging to ASA I & II undergoing forearm and hand surgeries. Patients were randomly divided into three equal groups (20 patients at each group). *Group I received 40 cc of 3 mg/kg of lidocaine diluted in normal saline (0.9 % NaCl), Group II received 40 cc of 1.5 mg/kg of lidocaine diluted in normal saline (0.9% NaCl) with 200 µg nitroglycerine, and Group III received 40 cc of 1.5 mg/kg of lidocaine diluted in normal saline (0.9 % NaCl with 200 µg nitroglycerin and 0.5 mg pancuronium.* **Results:** Group I has the slowest sensory and motor onset time, but the shortest sensory and motor recovery time. There was no significant difference between Group II and III as regarding sensory onset and recovery times, but Group III has shorter motor onset time and more prolonged motor recovery time than Group II. As regard postoperative analgesic requirements, Group II and III needed less analgesic doses than Group I, but there was no significant difference between Group II and III. **Conclusion:** Addition of 0.5 mg pancuronium and 200 µg nitroglycerin as adjuvant to lidocaine for intravenous regional anesthesia reduced the dose of lidocaine used for IVRA, shortened the sensory and motor block onset times, prolonged the sensory and motor block recovery times, and reduced the postoperative analgesic requirement and improved the quality of intravenous regional anesthesia with no sideeffects.

Keywords: Lidocaine, nitroglycerine, pancuronium, intravenous regional anesthesia.

INTRODUCTION

Intravenous regional anesthesia (IVRA) or Bier's block is an ideal technique for outpatient undergoing extremity surgery lasting less than one hour. (*Sardesai et al.,*

2015) It is easy to be administered, lower cost compared with general anesthesia, no need for deep sedation and can be used for emergency operations for patients with full stomach. IVRA shortens hospital

length of stay when compared with general anesthesia (*Celik et al., 2016*).

IVRA has also disadvantages such as tourniquet pain, insufficient postoperative analgesia, poor muscle relaxation, local anesthesia toxicity (*Honarmand et al., 2015*).

Lidocaine is amino amide local anaesthetic. The main action of lidocaine is via sodium channel inactivation. Also it inactivate potassium, calcium channels and it has the ability to block NMDA receptors. (*Van der Wal et al., 2015*) Because of safety and effectiveness, it has become the most common local anesthesia to be used. It has intermediate duration of action and its cardiotoxicity is one ninth bupivacaine. (*Maruthingal et al., 2015*) The ideal solution for IVRA should have rapid onset to reduce the dose of LA, prolong post deflation analgesia, and decrease tourniquet pain. (*Batra et al., 2008*) To achieve this, other drugs including narcotics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), clonidine, nitroglycerin (TNG), dexmedetomidine, magnesium, and neostigmine were used in combination with lidocaine in different studies (*Abbasivash et al., 2009*).

Nitroglycerin has been used as an adjuvant with many anesthetic drugs to induce fast effect in controlling acute and chronic pains. (*Hassani et al., 2015*) It was proved that nitroglycerine (TNG), nitric oxide generator, helps in distribution of local anesthetic agents to neuron trunks by vasodilatation and also it has been demonstrated that, when nitroglycerine is used with other drugs, analgesic effect is

increased (*Cakmak et al., 2014*).

Various neuromuscular blocking agents have been used with IVRA to improve the operating conditions and reduce the local anesthetic dose and possible systemic toxicity. Administration of neuromuscular blocking drugs including pancuronium (0.5 mg) with local anesthetics in upper limb IVRA improves surgical conditions in Adults and no reported complications from using adjuvant neuromuscular blocking drugs in IVRA (*Dominic and Barry, 2007*).

The present work aimed to compare nitroglycerine and pancuronium as adjuvant to IVRA.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study was designed as a prospective, double-blinded clinical trial. This study was carried out in Al-Azhar University Hospitals for 6 months (from 1/4/2016 to 1/10/2016) on 60 patients of both sexes aged 20 -50 years belonging to ASA I & II undergoing forearm and hand surgeries. After obtaining approval from ethical committee, a written consents were obtained from the patients after they were informed about the procedure.

Exclusion criteria included patient refusal, liver disease, Reynauld's disease, sickle cell disease, crush injuries and hand infection. The 60 Patients were be randomly divided into three equal groups (computer generated with sealed envelope technique) : *Group I* recieved 40 cc of 3mg/kg of lidocaine diluted in normal saline (0.9 % NaCl), *Group II* recieved 40

cc of 1.5mg/kg of lidocaine diluted in normal saline (0.9% NaCl) with 200 µg Nitroglycerine, and *Group III* received 40 cc of 1.5mg/kg of lidocaine diluted in normal saline (0.9 % NaCl) with 200 µg Nitroglycerin and 0.5 mg pancuronium.

Close monitoring of the patient should be done. A 22 Gauge intravenous cannula was inserted in the dorsum of operative hand (as distal as possible) for injection of the study drugs, another 20 Gauge intravenous cannula was inserted in the controlateral hand for crystalloid infusion. A double pneumatic tourniquet was placed on the upper arm on operative side and then it was exanguated by 2 min. elevation and wrapping with an Esmarch bandage. The proximal tourniquet was then inflated to 100 mmHg above the systolic blood pressure or to a minimum of 250 mmHg, then selected local anesthetic solution was injected. After adequate block, distal tourniquet was inflated and the proximal tourniquet was deflated.

Sensory block was assessed every 1 min. by ice cube, while motor block by modified Bromage scale. Sensory and motor block recovery times were assessed. Postoperative pain evaluation using NRS was assessed every 1 hr or need for analgesics. If NRS > 3, patient received 10 mg/kg of paracetamol by IV infusion as a first dose . After 60 minutes, if he still in pain and NRS was >3, the patient received a second dose of 5 mg/kg. Patient's and surgeon's satisfaction score

were recorded. Any local or systemic complications were recorded.

Statistical analysis: Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0 (*Aujla et al., 2009 and Elmetwaly et al., 2010*) . Comparison between groups regarding categorical variables was tested using Chi-square test. When more than 20% of the cells have expected count less than 5, correction for chi-square was conducted using Fisher's Exact test or Monte Carlo correction. The distributions of quantitative variables were tested for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, Shapiro-Wilk test and D'Agstino test. For normally distributed data, comparison between more than two population were analyzed F-test (ANOVA) to be used and Post Hoc test (Tukey). For abnormally distributed data, Kruskal Wallis test was used to compare between different groups and pair wise comparison was assessed using Mann-Whitney test. p value > 0.05 was considered significant .

RESULTS

- There was no statistically significant difference between the three groups as regards age, weight, sex, ASA physical status, duration of surgery and percentage of types of surgeries (Table 1).

Table (1): Demographic data in the studied groups.

Parameters		Groups		Group I (n=20)	Group II (n=20)	Group III (n=20)	Test of sig.	P value
		Range						
Age (ys)	Range			19-49	19-49	19-49	F = 0.359	0.700
	Mean \pm SD			29.80 \pm 8.62	29.65 \pm 9.20	27.70 \pm 8.39		
Sex	Male	No.		15	16	15	$\chi^2 =$ 0.275	1.000
		%		75	80	75		
	Female	No.		5	4	5		
		%		25	20	25		
Weight (kg)	Range			60 -95	65- 98	69 -95	F = 0.547	0.581
	Mean \pm SD			78.10 \pm 9.95	79.35 \pm 8.35	81.0 \pm 7.95		
ASA	I	No.		18	18	18	$\chi^2 =$ 0.223	1.000
		%		90	90	90		
	II	No.		2	2	2		
		%		10	10	10		
Duration of surgery (min)	Range			30 -55	38- 57	34 -55	F = 0.613	0.545
	Mean \pm SD			45.25 \pm 7.08	46.50 \pm 5.57	44.40 \pm 5.31		

χ^2 : Chi square test Sig. bet. groups was done using Chi square test or Fisher Exact
F, p: F and p values for ANOVA test, Sig. bet. grps was done using Post Hoc Test (LSD)

- There was a statistically significant decrease in sensory block onset time of Gr II (3.65 \pm 0.99 min.) and Gr III (4.0 \pm 0.97 min.) compared with Gr I (6.3 \pm 1.53 min.), but there was no significant difference between Gr II and Gr III (Table 2).

Table (2): Comparison between the three studied groups according to sensory block onset times.

Sensory Block onset Times (min)	Sensory Block Onset Times (min.)		
	Group I	Group II	Group III
Min.(min)	4.0	2.0	2.0
Max.(min)	9.0	6.0	6.0
Mean	6.30	3.65	4.0
\pm SD.	1.53	0.99	0.97
Median	6.0	4.0	4.0
F	29.259*		
P	<0.001*		
p ₁	<0.001*		
p ₂	<0.001*		
p ₃	0.356		

F, P: F and P values for ANOVA test, Sig. bet. grps was done using Post Hoc Test (LSD).

p₁: p value for comparing between Group I and Group II.

p₂: p value for comparing between Group I and Group III.

p₃: p value for comparing between Group II and Group III.

*: Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

- There was a statistically significant differences in motor block onset time between the three groups. The shortest time was in Gr III (7.80 ± 1.36 min.) followed by Gr II (10.65 ± 2.01 min.), while the longest time was Gr I (13.35 ± 1.04 min. - Table 3).

Table (3): Comparison between the three studied groups according to motor block onset times.

Motor Block Onset Times (min.)	Groups		
	Group I	Group II	Group III
Min.(min)	12.0	7.0	5.0
Max.(min)	15.0	14.0	10.0
Mean	13.35	10.65	7.80
±SD.	1.04	2.01	1.36
F	66.371*		
P	<0.001*		
p1	<0.001*		
p2	<0.001*		
p3	<0.001*		

F, P: F and P values for ANOVA test, Sig. bet. grps was done using Post Hoc Test (LSD).

p1: p value for comparing between Group I and Group II.

p2: p value for comparing between Group I and Group III.

p3: p value for comparing between Group II and Group III.

*: Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$

- There was a statistically significant increase in motor block intensity i.e. lesser Modified Bromage Scale in Gr II (1.85 ± 0.37 min.) and Gr III (2.0 ± 0.0 min.) compared with Gr I (1.50 ± 0.51 min.), but there was no significant difference between Gr II and Gr III (Table 4).

Table (4): Intensity of motor block according to ModifiedBromage Scale in studied groups.

Modified Bromage Scale	Groups		
	Group I	Group II	Group III
Min.	1.0	1.0	2.0
Max.	2.0	2.0	2.0
Mean	1.50	1.85	2.0
±SD.	0.51	0.37	0.0
Median	1.50	2.0	2.0
KWχ^2	15.257*		
P	<0.001*		
p1	0.020*		
p2	<0.001*		
p3	0.075		

kw χ^2 : Chi square for Kruskal Wallis test Sig. bet. grps was done using Mann Whitney test

p1: p value for comparing between Group I and Group II.

p2: p value for comparing between Group I and Group III.

p3: p value for comparing between Group II and Group III.

*: Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$

- There was a statistically significant increase in sensory block recovery time of Gr II (10.45 ± 1.85 min.) and III (11.0 ± 1.89 min.) compared with

Gr I (6.85 ± 1.46 min.) but there was no significant difference between Gr II and Gr III (p_3 0.323 - Table 5).

Table (5): Comparison between the three studied groups according to sensory block recovery times.

Sensory Block Recovery Times (min.)	Sensory Block Recovery Times (min.)		
	Group I	Group II	Group III
Min.(min)	5.0	4.0	8.0
Max.(min)	9.0	12.0	15.0
Mean	6.85	10.45	11.0
\pm SD.	1.46	1.85	1.89
F	33.384*		
P	<0.001*		
p ₁	<0.001*		
p ₂	<0.001*		
p ₃	0.323		

F, P: F and P values for ANOVA test, Sig. bet. grps was done using Post Hoc Test (LSD).

p₁: p value for comparing between Group I and Group II.

p₂: p value for comparing between Group I and Group III.

p₃: p value for comparing between Group II and Group III.

*: Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$

- There was a statistically significant difference between the three groups in motor block recovery time. The longest recovery time was in Gr III ($21.65 \pm$

2.03 min.) followed by Gr II (13.30 ± 1.81 min.), while the shortest was in Gr I (11.60 ± 1.73 min. - Table 6).

Table (6): Comparison between the three studied groups according to motor block recovery times

Motor Block Recovery Times (min.)	Motor Block Recovery Times (min.)		
	Group I	Group II	Group III
Min.(min)	9.0	10.0	18.0
Max.(min)	14.0	16.0	25.0
Mean	11.60	13.30	21.65
\pm SD.	1.73	1.81	2.03
F	166.980*		
P	<0.001*		
p ₁	0.005*		
p ₂	<0.001*		
p ₃	<0.001*		

F, p: F and p values for ANOVA test, Sig. bet. grps was done using Post Hoc Test (LSD).

p₁: p value for comparing between Group I and Group II.

p₂: p value for comparing between Group I and Group III.

p₃: p value for comparing between Group II and Group III.

*: Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$

- There was a significant increase of NRS for postoperative pain at 1 hr and 2 hour in Gp I than Gr II or Gr III, and increase of NRS for postoperative pain after 3 hours in Gr II and Gr III, no significant difference between study groups after 4 hours (Table 7).

Table (7): Changes in postoperative NRS in studied groups.

Postoperative NRS	Groups	Postoperative NRS			
		1 hr	2 hrs	3 hrs	4 hrs
Group I					
Min.		1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0
Max.		4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Mean		2.80	3.10	2.10	2.15
±SD.		1.06	1.02	0.45	0.67
Median		3.0	4.0	2.0	2.0
Group II					
Min.		0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
Max.		2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Mean		1.30	1.90	3.10	2.55
±SD.		0.80	0.45	1.07	1.47
Median		1.50	2.0	4.0	2.0
Group III					
Min.		0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
Max.		2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Mean		1.0	2.10	3.20	2.65
±SD.		0.92	0.31	1.20	1.27
Median		1.0	2.0	4.0	2.0
KWχ^2		23.533*	20.131*	14.681*	1.833
P		<0.001*	<0.001*	0.001*	0.400
p₁		<0.001*	<0.001*	0.002*	0.369
p₂		<0.001*	0.001*	<0.001*	0.139
p₃		0.296	0.107	0.682	0.840

kw χ^2 : Chi square for Kruskal Wallis test Sig. bet. grps was done using Mann Whitney test.

p₁: p value for comparing between Group I and Group II.

p₂: p value for comparing between Group I and Group III.

p₃: p value for comparing between Group II and Group III.

*: Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$

- There was a significant decrease of postoperative analgesic requirements in Gr II (10.50 ± 1.54 mg/kg) and Gr III (10.75 ± 1.83 mg/kg) compared with Gr I (13.25 ± 2.45 mg/kg) but there was no significant difference between Gr II and Gr III (p₃ 0.691 - Table 8).

Table (8): Comparison between the three studied groups according to P.O analgesic requirements (paracetamol iv infusion 10-15mg/kg).

Paracetamol IV infusion (mg/kg)	Groups	P.O Analgesic Requirements paracetamol (mg/kg)		
		Group I	Group II	Group III
Min.(mg/kg)		10.0	10.0	10.0
Max.(mg/kg)		15.0	15.0	15.0
Mean		13.25	10.50	10.75
±SD.		2.45	1.54	1.83
F		11.848*		
P		<0.001*		
p ₁		<0.001*		
p ₂		<0.001*		
p ₃		0.691		

F, p: F and p values for ANOVA test, Sig. bet. grps was done using Post Hoc Test (LSD).

p₁: p value for comparing between Group I and Group II.

p₂: p value for comparing between Group I and Group III.

p₃: p value for comparing between Group II and Group III.

*: Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$

DISCUSSION

Intravenous regional anesthesia (IVRA) is a technically simple, reliable and cost-effective method of regional anesthesia for short operative procedures of the extremities (*Sethi and Wason, 2010*). IVRA is a suitable technique for short elective surgical procedures performed on the distal arm, but it can also be used in the case of an emergency procedures (*Ali et al., 2016*). Disadvantages of this block include tourniquet pain, poor muscle relaxation, short duration of block and absence of post-operative analgesia (*Esha et al., 2016*).

The ideal IVRA solution should have the following features: rapid onset, reduced dose of LA, reduced tourniquet pain, and prolonged post deflation analgesia (*Nasr and Waly, 2011*). Different additives such as opioids, non-

steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), dexmedetomidine, and muscle relaxants have been combined with LAs to improve block quality, prolong post-operative analgesia and decrease tourniquet pain (*Sethi and Wason, 2010*). Intravenous regional anesthesia acts by diffusion of local anesthetic into the small veins surrounding the nerves, leading to a centrifugal conduction block in the nerves involved (*Eapen et al., 2015*).

Nitroglycerine helps in distribution of local anesthetic agents to neuron trunks by vasodilatation (*Biricik et al., 2014*). NTG exerts its analgesic effect as it is metabolized to nitric oxide (NO) in the cell. NO causes an increase in the intracellular concentration of cyclic guanosine monophosphate, which produces pain modulation in the central and peripheral nervous systems. NO generators also induce anti-inflammatory

and analgesic effect (*Asadi and Mehri, 2013*).

Various neuromuscular blocking agents have been used with IVRA to improve the operating conditions and reduce the local anesthetic dose and possible systemic toxicity. Administration of neuromuscular blocking drugs including pancuronium (0.5 mg) with local anesthetics in upper limb IVRA improves surgical conditions in Adults and no reported complications from using adjuvant neuromuscular blocking drugs in IVRA (*Aujla et al., 2009*). Addition of muscle relaxants to lidocaine for IVRA has shown to shorten the motor block onset time, prolong the motor block recovery time and improve the muscle relaxation and operative conditions (*Esmaoglu et al., 2006*). Muscle relaxants act at the level of the muscle spindle and reduce the central input from these structures resulting in loss of muscle tone and spasm (*Aujla et al., 2009*).

The aim of the present study is to reduce the dose of lidocaine to decrease toxicity potentials and to improve postoperative analgesia by the use of pancuronium and nitroglycerin with lidocaine for intravenous regional anesthesia for upper extremity surgery.

As regard onset time of sensory block, there was significant decrease in sensory block onset time in Gr II (3.65 ± 0.99) and Gr III (4.0 ± 0.97) when compared with Gr I (6.30 ± 1.53). Also, the present study revealed that sensory block recovery time was significantly prolonged in Gr II (10.45 ± 1.85) and Gr III (11.0 ± 1.89) when compared with Gr I (6.85 ± 1.46).

Asadi and Mehri (2013) studied the addition of 200 µg nitroglycerin to

lidocaine for IVRA in patients scheduled for hand and forearm surgery and they found that sensory block onset time was shorter in nitroglycerin group when compared to lidocaine group.

Moreover, *Abbasivash et al. (2009)* studied Forty-six patients scheduled for closed reduction of forearm fractures. The study group received 200 µg NTG mixed with 0.5% lidocaine. They found that adding nitroglycerin to lidocaine in IVRA leads to shorter sensory block onset time. The recovery time of sensory block was prolonged.

Also, *Sen et al. (2006)*, *Asadi and Mehri (2013)* and *Cakmak et al. (2014)* studied the effect of adding 200 µg nitroglycerin to 3 mg/kg lidocaine for IVRA on patients undergoing hand surgery in two groups and they found that the addition of nitroglycerin to lidocaine in IVRA shortened the onset time of sensory block with prolonged sensory block recovery time.

In addition, *Elmetwaly et al. (2010)* studied the analgesic effect of 200 µg nitroglycerin when added to 3 mg/kg 0.5% lidocaine (maximum 200 mg) for IVRA on patients scheduled for elective forearm and hand surgery and agreed the present study results by reporting shorter sensory block onset time and delay in sensory block recovery time after tourniquet release in the nitroglycerin group.

Sen et al. (2006), *Elmetwaly et al. (2010)*, *Asadi and Mehri (2013)* and *Cakmak et al. (2014)* studied the effect of adding 200 µg nitroglycerin to 0.5% lidocaine for IVRA on patients undergoing hand surgery and they found shortened the onset time of motor block

with prolonged recovery time which was in agreement with the present study.

Moreover, *Abbasivash et al. (2009)* found that adding 200 µg nitroglycerin to 0.5% lidocaine in IVRA leads to shorter motor block onset time. The recovery time of motor block was prolonged which was in agreement with the present study results.

In the present study we used 1.5 mg/kg lidocaine and it gave the same results of all previous studies which used 3 mg/kg lidocaine, the present study show that the use of nitroglycerine shortens the sensory and motor block onset times and delays the sensory and motor block recovery times.

Regarding pancuronium, *Flamer and Peng (2011)* evaluated thirty one studies with data collected on 1523 subjects and use of muscle relaxants (pancuronium, atracurium, mivacurium and cisatracurium) that revealed that the use of muscle relaxants as adjuvant in IVRA enhances motor block and shortens its onset time with delay of its recovery time. In addition to making the surgery easier, addition of muscle relaxant as an adjuvant in IVRA reduces the dose of LA to a nontoxic range.

Aujla et al. (2009) evaluated the effect of lignocaine alone versus mixture of lignocaine, pancuronium for intravenous regional anesthesia on 100 patients divided into two equal groups of 50 each scheduled for elective upper limb surgery, they revealed that the addition of muscle relaxant (0.5 mg pancuronium) to IVRA anesthetic solution improved the muscle relaxation and operative conditions.

The present study show that the use of pancuronium shortens the motor block

onset times and delays motor block recovery time and it gave the same effect of all previous studies although we use 1.5 mg/kg of lidocaine.

Sen et al. (2006) and Honarmand et al. (2011) studied the effects of adding 200 µg nitroglycerin to 3 mg/kg lidocaine 0.5 % for IVRA and they found that postoperative analgesic requirements were significantly smaller in NTG groups ($P < 0.0001$).

In addition, *Abbasivash et al. (2009)*, *Elmetwaly et al. (2010)* and *Cakmak et al. (2014)* studied the effects of adding 200 µg nitroglycerin to 3 mg/kg lidocaine 0.5 % for IVRA on patients undergoing hand surgery and they found that postoperative analgesic requirement was lower for the first 4 hours in the nitroglycerine group. There was significant difference in paracetamol requirement between the groups L (lidocaine) and LL-N (lidocaine and nitroglycerine): $P = 0.001$.

In the present study, postoperative analgesic requirement (paracetamol iv infusion) showed a significant decrease in Gr II (10.50 ± 1.54) and Gr III (10.75 ± 1.83) compared with Gr I (13.25 ± 2.45).

In the present study, neither patients' satisfaction about the operation nor surgeons' opinion of the operative conditions showed significant difference between the three groups.

No adverse effects or complications were reported in this study. No evidence of central nervous system or cardiac complications were seen after local anesthetic administration, before and during surgery and after release of the tourniquet. This could be due to, the small

dose of lidocaine (1.5 mg/kg), pancuronium (0.5 mg) and nitroglycerin (200 µg/kg), deflation of tourniquet 60 minutes after inflation and by the cyclic deflation technique.

Abbasivash et al. (2009) found that there were no side effects from using lidocaine 0.5% with 200 µg nitroglycerin for IVRA.

CONCLUSIONS

Addition of pancuronium and nitroglycerin improve the quality of intravenous regional anesthesia with no side effects, shortens the sensory and motor block onset times, prolongs the sensory and motor block recovery times, and reduce the postoperative analgesic requirement with satisfaction for patient and surgeon.

REFERENCES

1. **Abbasivash R, Hassani E, Aghdashi MM and Shirvani M. (2009):** The effect of nitroglycerin as an adjuvant to lidocaine in intravenous regional anesthesia. *Middle East J Anesthesiol.*, 20(2):265-269.
2. **Ali AJ, Farnad I, Reza S, Farid NM and Fatemeh M. (2016):** Simple Arm Tourniquet as an Adjunct to Double-Cuff Tourniquet in Intravenous Regional Anesthesia. *Anesth Pain Med.*, 6(3): e29316.
3. **Asadi H.K. and Mehri D. (2013):** The analgesic effect of nitroglycerin added to lidocaine on quality of intravenous regional anesthesia in patients undergoing elective forearm and hand surgery. *Acta Cir Bra.*, 28(1): 19-25.
4. **Aujla K.S, Gupta R and Singh J. (2009):** Plain lignocaine versus mixture of lignocaine and fentanyl and pancuronium for intravenous regional anesthesia. *J Anesth Clin Pharmacol.*, 25(3):301-304.
5. **Batra YK, Mahajan R, Kumar S, Rajeev S and Singh Dhillon M. (2008):** A dose-ranging study of intra articular midazolam for pain relief after knee arthroscopy. *Anesth Analg.*, 107(2):669-672.
6. **Biricik MC , Gokhan C, Elif A, Gulnaz A, and Mehmet S. (2014):** Peri- and Postanalgesic Properties of Lidokain, Lornoxicam, and Nitroglycerine Combination at Intravenous Regional Anesthesia. *Biomed Res Int.*, 2014: 737109.
7. **Cakmak BM, Cakmak G, Akpek E, Arslan G and Sahin MS. (2014):** Peri- and postanalgesic properties of lidokain, lornoxicam, and nitroglycerine combination at intravenous regional anesthesia. *Biomed Res Int.*, 2014: 737109.
8. **Celik H, Abdullayev R, Akcaboy EY, Baydar M and Gogus N. (2016):** Comparison of tramadol and lornoxicam in intravenous regional anesthesia: a randomized controlled trial. *Braz J Anesthesiol.*, 66(1): 44-49.
9. **Dominic A and Barry A. (2007):** Complications of Intravenous Regional Anesthesia. In: Brendan T. Finucane. *Complications of Regional Anesthesia.* 2nd ed. pbl, New York: Springer, 12: 211-223.
10. **Eapen S, Ahluwalia CS, Chopra V and Kiran S. (2015):** Intravenous regional anesthesia as an anesthetic technique for a patient with ventricular bigeminy. *Ann Card Anesth.*, 18(2): 267-268.
11. **Elmetwaly KF, Hegazy NA, Aboelseoud AA and Alshaer AA. (2010):** Does the use of ketamine or nitroglycerin as an adjuvant to lidocaine improve the quality of intravenous regional anesthesia? *Saudi J Anesth.*, 4(2): 55-62.
12. **Esha N, Yvonne M and Shirley AD. (2016):** A Study on the Efficacy of the Addition of Low Dose Dexmedetomidine as an Adjuvant to Lignocaine in Intravenous Regional Anaesthesia. *J Clin Diagn Res.*, 10(10): 01-05.
13. **Esmoaglu A, Akin A, Mizrak A, Turk Y and Boyaci A. (2006):** Addition of cisatracurium to lidocaine for intravenous regional anesthesia. *J Clinical Anesth.*, 18(3): 194-197.
14. **Flamer D. and Peng P.W. (2011):** Intravenous regional anesthesia: a review of common local anesthetic options and the use of

- opioids and muscle relaxants as adjuncts. *Local Reg Anesth.*, 4: 57-76.
- 15. Hassani E, Mahoori A, Aghdashi MM and Pirnejad H. (2015):** Evaluating the quality of intravenous regional anesthesia following adding dexamethasone to lidocaine. *Saudi J Anesth.*, 9(4): 418-421.
- 16. Honarmand A, Safavi M , and Fatemy A. (2011):** The analgesic effect of three different doses of nitroglycerine when added to lidocaine for intravenous regional anesthesia in trauma patients. *Turk J Trauma Emerg Surg.* 17(6):497–503.
- 17. Honarmand A, Safavi M, Nemati K and Oghab P. (2015):** The efficacy of different doses of Midazolam added to Lidocaine for upper extremity Bier block on the sensory and motor block characteristics and postoperative pain. *J Res Pharm Pract.*, 4(3): 160-166.
- 18. Maruthingal S, Mohan D , Maroli RK , Alahmari A, Algahtani A and Alsadoon M. (2015):** A comparative evaluation of 4% articaine and 2% lidocaine in mandibular buccal infiltration anesthesia: A clinical study. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent.*, 5(6): 463–469.
- 19. Nasr YM and Waly SH. (2011):** Lidocaine-tramadol versus lidocaine- dexmedetomidine for intravenous regional anesthesia., *Egypt J Anesth.*, 28:37–42.
- 20. Sardesai SP, Patil KN and Sarkar A. (2015):** Comparison of clonidine and dexmedetomidine as adjuncts to intravenous regional anesthesia. *Indian J Anesth.*, 59(11): 733-738.
- 21. Sen S, Ugur B, Aydin ON, Ogurlu M and Savk O. (2006):** The analgesic effect of nitroglycerin added to lidocaine on intravenous regional anesthesia. *Anesth Analg.*, 102(3): 916-920.
- 22. Sethi D. and Wason R. (2010):** Intravenous regional anesthesia using lidocaine and neostigmine for upper limb surgery. *J Clin Anesth.*, 22:324–328.
- 23. Van der Wal SE, Van den Heuvel SA, Radema SA, Van Berkum BF, Vaneker M Steegers MA, Scheffer GJ and Vissers KC. (2015):** The in vitro mechanisms and in vivo efficacy of intravenous lidocaine on the neuroinflammatory response in acute and chronic pain. *Eur J Pain*, 10(1002):794-798.

إستخدام البانكيوريوم والنيتروجليسرين مع الليدوكيين فى التخدير الموضعي الوريدي عند البالغين الخاضعين لجراحات الطرف العلوي للجسم

مصطفى محمد مهدي - عبد الله محمد احمد - مصطفى محمد صبره - احمد سعد حمادة

قسم التخدير و العناية المركزة - كلية الطب - جامعة الأزهر

خلفية البحث: التخدير الناحي الوريدي تقنية بسيطة و فعالة من حيث التكلفة و الذى يستخدم لإجراء العمليات القصيرة في الأطراف. و هناك بعض القيود المرتبطة بالتخدير الناحي الوريدي و التي تشمل سمية المخدر الموضعي، و تأخر العمل، و سوء استرخاء العضلات، و آلام العاصبة، و محدودية التسكين للألم بعد العملية الجراحية . و كي يكون محلول التخدير الناحي الوريدي مثاليا، فيجب أن يشمل المميزات الآتية: سرعة التخدير، و أقل كمية ممكنة من المخدر الموضعي، و أقل ألم للعاصبة و طول مدة تسكين الألم بعد العملية الجراحية. و قد إستخدمت الإضافات المختلفة مع المخدر الموضعي لتحسين جودة التخدير، و الحد من آلام العاصبة، و إطالة مدة تسكين الألم بعد تفريغ العاصبة من الهواء كالمخدرات، و العقاقير غير الستيرويدية المضادة للالتهاب، و مرخيات العضلات، و النيوستيجمين.

الهدف من البحث: مقارنة وقت بدء ووقت إنتهاء التخدير، و مقدار الألم ، و المسكنات بعد الجراحة عند إضافة النيتروجليسرين أو عقار البانكرونيم بالوريد إلى عقار الليدوكيين في التخدير الناحي الوريدي لجراحة الطرف العلوي.

المرضى و طرق البحث: هذا البحث تم تنفيذه فى مستشفيات جامعة الأزهر لمدة ٦ أشهر فى الفترة من ١-١٠-٢٠١٦ على ٦٠ مريض من عمر ٢٠ حتى ٥٠ سنة من الجنسين خضعوا لعمليات إختيارية في اليد أو الساعد ، و ذلك بعد الحصول على موافقة لجنة الجودة وأخلاقيات البحث العلمي و موافقة خطية من المرضى .

وقد تم تقسيم المرضى إلى ثلاث مجموعات عشوائية (بنظام الأظرف المغلقة) متساوية العدد:

● **المجموعة الأولى :** تم إستخدام ٤٠ سم ٣ من الليدوكيين بجرعة ٣ مجم/كجم (المخفف في محلول ملحي طبيعي) لإعطاء التخدير الناحي الوريدي .

● **المجموعة الثانية :** تم إستخدام ٤٠ سم ٣ من الليدوكيين بجرعة ١,٥ مجم/كجم مضافا إليه ٢٠٠ ميكروجرام نيتروجليسرين لإعطاء التخدير الناحي الوريدي .

● **المجموعة الثالثة** : تم إستخدام ٤٠سم^٣ من الليدوكايين بجرعة ١،٥ مجم/كجم مضافا إليه ٥،٥ مجم بانكرونيوم و ٢٠٠ ميكروجرام نيتروجليسرين لإعطاء التخدير الناحي الوريدي .

وقد شملت هذه الدراسة تقييموقت بدء وإنتهاء التخدير الحسي و الحركي ، و جرعة المسكنات بعد العملية الجراحية ، و مدى إرتياح المريض و الجراح للعملية الجراحية والأعراض الجانبية أو المضاعفات أثناء أو بعد العملية الجراحية.

النتائج: كان وقت بدء التخدير الحسي والحركي في مجموعة الليدوكايين بطيئا ، ووقت نهايتهما سريعا، بالإضافة إلى إستهلاك كمية أكبر من المسكنات بعد العملية الجراحية. أما فى مجموعة النيتروجليسرين ، فقدبدأ التخدير الحسي في وقت أقصر ، و إنتهى في وقت أطول ، مع إستهلاك وقت أطول لبدء التخدير الحركي و زواله في وقت قصير، بالإضافة إلى إستهلاك كمية قليلة من المسكنات وعدد أقل من المرضى الذين إشتكوا من الألم بعد العملية الجراحية. أما المجموعة الأخيرة و هي مجموعة البانكرونيوم و النيتروجليسرين فقد كانت مثل مجموعة النيتروجليسرين ، و باستثناء التخدير الحركي فقد كان وقت البداية أسرع و الزوال في مدة أطول ، و لم تحدث أي مضاعفات في أي مجموعة مما سبق.

الاستنتاج : إضافة البانكرونيوم والنيتروجليسرين إلى الليدوكايين في التخدير الناحي الوريدي قلل الجرعة المطلوبة من الليدوكايين للتخدير، وقصر مدة بدء التخدير الحسي والحركي، وجعل نهايتهما في مدة أطول، وأقل شكوى من الألم و أقل إحتياجاً للمسكنات بعد العملية الجراحية، وأفضل جودة للتخدير دون حدوث أي مضاعفات للمرضى .