# Fascioliasis In Man And Animals At Sharkia Province, Egypt Mohamed NM Ali1, Magda A Amin1, Mohamed SM Nada2 and Rasha MM Abou-Elez 1

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoonoses, Faculty of Vet. Medicine, Zagazig University <sup>2</sup>Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Vet. Medicine, Zagazig University

### ABSTRACT

A total of 600 faecal samples from different animal species (200 sheep, 200 cattle, and 200 buffaloes) were screened for the presence of Fasciola gigantica eggs at different localities, Sharkia Province, Egypt. In addition, stool samples were collected from 200 individuals at different hospital laboratories, Sharkia Province. The results revealed that F. gigantica eggs were detected in 97 (16.7%) out of 600 examined animals. The respective overall prevelance of F. gigantica eggs were 21, 15 and 12.5% in sheep, cattle and buffaloes. The prevalence of F. gigantica in females versus males were (26.09% Vs 14.11%), (20.91% Vs 7.78%) and (18.18% Vs 5.56%) in the examined sheep, cattle and buffaloes, respectively which indicate that F. gigantica was higher in female than male. The infection was higher in the age groups (0-2 years old) in examined sheep, cattle and buffaloes with a percentage of 36, 24 and 21%, respectively. The predominance of F. gigantica infection in relation to season was during winter to be 42% in sheep, 36% in cattle and 30% in buffaloes. The overall prevelance of F. gigantica infection in human was 9 (4.5%) out of 200 individuals and it was detected in 7 (5.83%) out of 120 females and 2 (2.5%)out of 80 males. In relation to age groups in human, F. gigantica eggs was 9.72, 1.69 and 1.45% in age individual group of (1-15), (16-30) and (>30) years old, respectively. The highest prevalence of F. gigantica was detected in human during winter season (14%) while in spring and autumn, it was 2% each. On the other hand, it was not detected in human' stool during

### INTRODUCTION

Fascioliasis is a cosmopolitan parasitic infection caused by the digenetic trematodes (Fasciola hepatica and Fasciola gigantica). It affects mainly ruminants but also other animal species, such as horses and pigs are affected. The disease causes important economic losses in the animal husbandry, estimated at US \$ 3 billion per year (1). Human are commonly infected through ingestion of raw aquatic vegetables containing encysted metacercariae of Fasciola spp. (2), drinking of untreated water carrying free floating metacercarial cysts (3). The Nile Delta is considered one of the most endemic areas in the world for human fascioliasis (4). Traditionally, diagnosis based on observation of Fasciola eggs in faeces (5), while the pathological findings of immature fascioliasis occurs as early as 3 weeks post-

infection, whereas, parasitological diagnosis can be performed only at approximately 12 weeks post-infection in human (6), 2 months post-infection in cattle (7) or 3 months postinfection in sheep (8).

This research was conducted to study the prevalence of fascioliasis in human and animals (sheep, cattle and buffaloes) at Sharkia Province, Egypt with respect to age, sex and seasonal variation.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

A total of 600 animals' faecal samples (200 sheep, 200 cattle, and 200 buffaloes) were screened for the presence of F. gigantica eggs. at different localities, Sharkia Province,

Egypt. Also, faecal samples from other animals were collected from cases admitted to the Veterinary Clinic, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University. In addition, stool samples were collected from 200 individuals at different hospital laboratories, Sharkia Province (Zagazig, Menia- El Kamh, Hehia, Abu-Hamad and Fakous cities). All

stool and faecal samples were examined microscopically for detection of *Fasciola gigantica* eggs by using Direct unstained method as previously recommended (9). Also faecal sedimentation technique and formalinether sedimentation technique were applied as previously recorded by others (10, 11).

RESULTS

Table 1. Prevelance of Fasciola gigantica eggs in human stool and animal faecal samples

Host	Examined number	No. of +ve	%	No. of -ve	%
Human	200	9	4.5	191	95.5
Sheep	200	42	21	158	79
Cattle	200	30	15	170	85
Buffaloes	200	25	12.5	175	87.5
Total examined animals	600	97	16.17	503	83.83

Table 2. Prevelance of Fasciola gigantica eggs in human stool and animal faecal samples in relation to sex

Host	Sex	Examined number	No. of +ve	%	No. of -ve	%	Chi squar test	P value
Human Female	120	7	5.83	113	94.17			
Male	80	2	2.5	78	97.5	0.444	>0.05(NS	
Sheep Female Male	115	30	26.09	85	73.91			
	85	12	14.11	73	85.88	0.039	< 0.05(S)	
Cattle Female Male	110	23	20.91	87	79.09			
	90	7	7.78	83	92.22	0.009	$\leq 0.01(S)$	
Buffaloes Female Male	Female	110	20	18.18	90	81.82		
	90	5	5.56	85	94.44	0.007	< 0.01(S)	

(P) probability value, (S) significant, (S\*) highly significant, (NS) non significant.

Table 3. Prevelance of Fasciola gigantica eggs in human stool and animal faecal samples in relation to age

Host	Age groups	Examined number	+ve	%	-ve	%	Chi square test	P value
Human	1-15	72	7	9.72	65	90.28		
	16-30	59	1	1.69	58	98.31	0.028	
	>3()	69	1	1.45	68	98.55		$\leq 0.05(S)$
Sheep	0-2years	100	36	36	64	64		
	3-8years	100	6	6	94	94	0.000	<0.001(S*)
Cattle	0-2years	100	24	24	76	76		(0.001(3)
	3-8years	100	6	6	94	94	0.000	<0.001(S*)
Buffaloes	0-2years	100	21	21	79	79		
	3-8years	100	4	4	96	96	0.000	<0.001(S*)

(P) probability value, (S) significant, (S\*) highly significant.

Table 4. Prevelance of Fasciola gigantica eggs in human stool and animal faecal samples in relation to season

Season	Winter (No=50)			Spring (No=50)				Summer (No=50)			Autumn (No=50)					
Host	+ve	%	-ve	%	+ve	%	-ve	%	+ve	%	-ve	%	+ve	%	-ve	%
Human	7	14	43	86	1	2	49	98	0	0	50	100	1	2	49	98
Sheep	21	42	29	58	8	16	42	84	4	8	48	96	9	18	41	82
Cattle	18	36	32	64	4	8	46	92	3	6	47	94	5	10	45	90
Buffaloe	15	30	35	70	3	6	47	94	2	4	48	96	5	10	45	90

Winter has statistically highly significance different than other season in human and animals (P-value < 0.001).

#### DISCUSSION

In the current study, F. gigantica in animals was detected in 97 (16.17%) out of 600 examined animals. Table (1) substantiate the fact that cattle were the 2<sup>nd</sup> mostly affected animal species with a prevalence rate of 15%. Concerning the distribution of F. gigantica eggs in relation to animal species (table, 1), the prevalence rates were 21, 15, 12.5% in sheep, cattle and buffaloes, respectively. The infection rate of F. gigantica in the examined sheep was nearly similar to previously recorded by others (12, 13). However, others (14) recorded that the percentage of F. gigantica eggs in examined cattle in Nigeria was 54.9%. Meanwhile, the obtained results in our study in buffaloes were inagreement with those reported by others (15) in Alexandria. The highest prevalence of fascioliasis in sheep may be attributed to the fact that these animals usually graze in low level than cattle and in continuous movement from place to another which in turn increase the possibility of spreading the infection (16). Higher prevalence in cattle than buffaloes might be due to difference in feeding and hygienic habitats.

Concerning the occurrence of fascioliasis in animals in relation to sex, result illustrated in table (2) showed that, the prevalence of F. gigantica in females versus males were (26.09% Vs 14.11%), (20.91% Vs 7.78%) and (18.18% Vs 5.56%) in the examined sheep, cattle and buffaloes, respectively. It was found that the prevelance of F. gigantica was higher

in female than male. Statistical analysis of the prevalence rate of F. gigantica in the examined females and males in each animal species showed the presence of statistically significant difference. This result was agreed with others (17).

In the present study, fascioliasis was higher in young aged than old aged animals (table 3), where the prevalence was 36, 24 and 21%, respectively in the examined sheep, cattle and buffaloes (0-2 years old). The comparable respective prevalence in 3-8 years old animals were 6, 6, and 4%. The infection rate of F. gigantica in animals in this study was a significantly higher prevalence in young ages (P < 0.001). This result was inagreement with those recorded by others (18).

Regarding the seasonal distribution of F. gigantica infection among the examined animal species, table (4) revealed that, the predominance of F. gigantica infection in all animal species during winter was 42, 36 and 30% in sheep, cattle and buffaloes, repectively followed by spring (16% in sheep, 8% in cattle and 6% in buffaloes). In summer, the prevalence was sharply decreased to be 8, 6 and 4% in sheep, cattle and buffaloes, repectively. On the other aspect, percentage of F. gigantica eggs in examined animal was reincreased again in autumn to be 18, 10 and 10% in sheep, cattle and buffaloes, respectively and this may be attributed to improve of environmental condition in autumn. There were statistically significant

higher prevalence in winter than other season (P<0.001).

The probable reasons for high prevalence of *F. gigantica* in winter might be due to the availability of optimal environmental condition for the growth and development of the parasites (19).

Table (1) showing the prevalence of F. gigantica in humans' stool. The results clarified that the overall prevelance of F. gigantica was 9 (4.5%) out of 200 individuals. Nearly similar result was previously recorded by others (20), who recorded that the prevalence of F. gigantica eggs was 5.1%.

Concerning the occurrence of *F. gigantica* infection in human in relation to sex, table (2) showed that, *F. gigantica* was detected in 7 (5.83%) out of 120 females and in 2 (2.5%) out of 80 males. It was noticed, slightly higher prevalence rates of fascioliasis in females rather than males which in agreement with that previously reported by others (21). No statistically significant difference was found between the infection rates of *F. gigantica* in the examined females and males (P>0.05) and coinciding with others (4).

In our study, the examined human were divided into three age groups in order to study the distribution of F. gigantica infection with respect to age. F. gigantica infection was found to be higher in the age group of 1-15 years old (9.72%), followed by the age group of 16-30 years old (1.69%) and finally the age group > 30 years old (1.45%) (table  $\tilde{3}$ ). Pervious studies (22, 23) showed variation in the age distribution of F. gigantica infection in human. The prevalence rate of fascioliasis in human was statistically higher (P>0.05) in age group of 1-15 followed by 16-20 and >30, respectively. The variation of the age distribution of F. gigantica infection in human may be attributed to differences in cultural diversity, behavioral factors and hygienic measures with in each area of study (24, 25).

Table (4) showing the prevalence of F. gigantica in human in relation to season which reached to be 14, 2 and 2% in winter, spring and autumn, respectively. Also, it was found

that, *F. gigantica* eggs were not detected in humans' stool samples collected in the summer. Higher prevalence of *F. gigantica* infection during winter than other seasons was previously recorded by (26). There was statistically highly significant difference among the infection rate of *F. gigantica* (P< 0.001).

From this study, it could be concluded that fascioliasis are spread among different animal species. Thus, the control measurements for fascioliasis in animals are one of the most important point for control of human fascioliasis.

### REFERENCES

- 1.FAO (1994): Diseases in domestic animals caused by flukes: epidemiology, diagnosis and control of Fasciola, Paramphistomum, Dicrocoelium, Eurytrema and Schistosoma infections of ruminants in developing countries, Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome. Animal Production and Health Div., 53 p.
- 2. Antoniou M, Economou I, Wang X, Psaroulaki A, Spyridaki I, Papadopoulos B, Christidou A, Tsafantakis E and Tselentis Y (2002): Fourteen- year seroepidemiological study of zoonoses in a Greek village. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 66(1): 80-85.
- 3.El-Shazly A M, Handousa A E, Gabr A, Morsy A T, Ramadan N I and Morsy, T A (2002): Evaluation of two serological tests in diagnosis of human cases of biliary and ectopic fascioliasis. J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol., 32 (1): 79-90.
- 4.Curtale F, Hassanein YAW, Barduagni P, Yousef MM, El Wakeel A Hallaj Z. and Mas-Coma S (2007): Human fascioliasis infection: gender differences within school-age children from endemic areas of the Nile Delta, Egypt. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg., 101: 155-160.

- 5.Boray JC (1985): Flukes of domestic animals. In: Gaafar, S.M.; Howard, W. E. and Marsh, R. E. (eds), parasites and predators in Elsevier, New York, pp. 179-218.
- 6.0'Neill SM, Parkinson SM, Strauss W, Angles R and Dalton, JP (1998): Immunodiagnosis of Fasciola hepatica (Fascioliasis) in a human population in the Bolivian Altiplano using purified cathepsin-L-cysteine proteinase. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 58: 417–423.
- 7.Hillyer GV, Sanchez Z and de Leon D (1985): Immunodiagnosis of bovine fascioliasis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and immunoprecipitation methods. J. Parasitol., 71: 449-454.
- 8.Zimmerman GL, Jen LW, Cerro JE, Farnsworth KL and Wescott RB (1982): Diagnosis of Fasciola hepatica infections in sheep by an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay. Am. J. Vet. Res., 43: 2097-2100.
- 9.Hendrix CM and Robinson ED (2006):
  Diagnostic parasitology for Veterinary
  Technicians. 3rd Edition. Patrician
  Tannian China.
- 10.Bowman DD (2009): Georgis parasitology for veterinarians, Saunders Elsevier, Beijing, China, 9th Edition. pp:222-223.
- 11.Ichhpujani LR and Bhatia R (2002): Medical Parasitology, 3rd ed., Practical Parasitology, 270-274.
- 12.Eslami A, Hosseini SH and Meshgi B (2009): Animal fascioliasis in North of Iran. Iranian J. Publ. Health, 38(4):132-135.
- 13. Hussein ANA and Khalifa RMA (2010): Fascioliasis prevalences among animals and human in Upper Egypt. J. King Saud Univ. Sci., 22: 15-19.
- 14.Nguyen TGT, Le TH, Dao THT, Tran, TLH, Praet N, Speybroeck N, Vercruysse J and Dorny P (2011): Bovine fasciolosis in the human fasciolosis hyperendemic

- Binh Dinh province in Central Vietnam. Acta. Tropica., 117: 19–22.
- 15.Samaha HA and Karima M El-Bakry (2007): Abstract studies on fascioliasis in herbivorous animal at Alexandria Province. 5th Int.Sci. Conf., Mansoura, No.24,10-11 April.
- 16.El-Bahy MM (1997): Fascioliasis among animal, snail and human hosts in Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate with special reference to species infecting human. Vet. Med. J. Giza, 45 (2): 187-209.
- 17.Kuchai JA, Chishti MZ, Zaki MM, Rasool SADM, Ahmed J and Tak H (2011): Some epidemiological aspect of fascioliasis among cattle of Ladakh. Global Veterinaria, 7 (4): 342-346.
- 18.Khan MK, Sajid MS, Khan MN, Iqbal Z and Iqbal MU(2009): Bovine fascioliasis: Prevalence and cost benefit analysis in five districts of Punjab, Pakistan. Research in Veterinary science, 87: 70-75.
- 19.Rowcliffe SA and Ollerenshaw CB (1960): Observations on the bionomics of the egg of Fasciola hepatica. Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol., 54, 172-181.
- 20.Espino AM, Diaz, A, Perez A and Finelay CM (1998): Dynamic of antigenemia and coproantigens during human F. hepatica outbreak. J. Clin. Microbiol., 36 (9): 2723-2726.
- 21.Phiri AM, Phiri IK, Sizya S, Sikasunge CS, Chembensofu M and Monrad J (2005): Seasonal pattern of bovine fascioliosis in the Kafue and Zambezi catchment areas of Zambia. Vet. Parasitol.,134: 87-92.
- 22. Esteban JG, Flores A, Angles R and Mas-Coma S (1999): High endemicity of human fascioliasis between Lake Titicaca and La Paz valley, Bolivia. Trans. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 93, 151–156.
- 23.Marcos LA, Yi P, Machicado A, Andrade R, Samalvides S and Sánchez J (2007): Hepatic fibrosis and Fasciola hepatica

- infection in cattle. J. Helminthol., 81: 381–386.
- 24.Esteban JG, Bargues MD and Mas-Coma S (1998): Geographical distribution, diagnosis and treatment of human fascioliasis: a review Res. Rev. Parasitol., 58, 13–42.
- 25.Esteban JG, Gonzalez C, Curtale F, Munoz-Antoli C, Valero MA, Bargues MD, El-Sayed M, El Wakeel A, Andel-
- Wahab Y, Montresor A, Engels D, Savioli L and Mas-Coma S (2003): Hyperendemic fascioliasis associated with schistosomiasis in villages in the Nile Delta of Egypt. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 69: 429-437.
- 26.Haggag YN (2008): Fascioliasis as a zoonotic disease among human and animals in Alexandria and Behera Provinces. Minufiya Vet. J. Vol.5, No. 1.

## الملخص العربي

الدودة الكبدية في الانسان والحيوان بمحافظه الشرقية, مصر

محمد ناصر محمد على '، ماجدة عبدالمنعم أمين '، محمد سعيد مهدى ندا '، رشا مصطفى محمد ابوالعز ' قسم الامراض المشتركه- كليه الطب البيطرى- جامعه الزقازيق ' قسم الطفيليات- كليه الطب البيطرى- جامعه الزقازيق

تم تجميع عدد ٢٠٠٠ عينه من روث الحيوانات (الاغنام الابقار والجاموس) بواقع ٢٠٠٠ عينه لكل حيوان من مناطق مختلفه بمحافظه الشرقيه وتم أيضا تجميع عدد ٢٠٠ عينه براز من المترددين على معامل المستشفيات الخاصه ببعض مدن محافظه الشرقيه (منياالقمح ابوحماد الزقازيق فاقوس هيهيا وبلبيس) تم فحص جميع هذة العينات لتواجد بيوضات الدودة الكبديه حيث أوضحت النتائج عن تواجد الدودة الكبديه العملاقه في عدد ٩٧ عينه من اجمالي ٢٠٠ عينه تم فحصها (١٩٠١/١٥) وكانت معدلات تواجد البويضات في روث الاغنام الابقار و الجاموس ١١٠١٥ و١١٥ ولا النتائج ارتفاع معدل الاصابه في اناث الحيوانات المفحوصه عنها في الذكور حيث كان معدلات الاصابه في اناث الاغنام والابقار والجاموس ٢٠٠٩%, ٢١٠٥، ١٥، ١٥ على التوالي أما في الذكور فكانت ٢١١١، ١٥ الرم ١٨٠٨ و ٢٥٠٥ و ١٥٠٥ من احيث أوضحت النتائج وجود فروق احصائيه بين معدلات العدوى في الاناث عنها في الذكور من ناحيه أخرى تلاحظ وجود فروق احصائيه بين معدلات العدوى في الفئات العمريه للحيوانات المفحوصه وكان أعلى معدل للاصابه في الاغنام والابقار والجاموس (صفر عام) هي ٢٦، ٢٤ و ٢١٠٥، على التوالي وفي فصل الربيع ١١٥، ١٨ و ١٨٠٨ و ١٨٠٥ و ١٨٠٥ على التوالي ولم فصل الخريف فصل الشتاء حيث كانت ٢٤٠٥، ١٥ و ١٥٠ على التوالي وفي فصل الربيع ١١٠٥، ٨٠ و ٢٠٪ على التوالي مع ملاحظه وجود فروق احصائيه لانتشار المرض في فصل الشتاء عن الفصول الاخرى.

بالنسبه لمعدل تفشى الدودة الكبديه في الانسان كانت ٥,٥% وبنسبه ٥,٨, ٥,٥% في الاناث والذكور على التوالى. أيضا أوضحت الدراسه ارتفاع معدل الاصابه في الفئه العمريه

(۱- 01 عام) وبنسبه 01,87% عنها في الفئات العمريه المختلفه والتي كانت 01,1 و 01,8% في الفئه العمريه ( 01 عام) و (02,1% على التوالى أما ما يتعلق بانتشار الفاشيولا في الانسان خلال فصول السنه كان معدل انتشار المرض أعلى في فصل الشتاء بمعدل 01% عنه في الفصول الاخرى حيث كانت أيضا 02% لكل من فصل الربيع والخريف أما في فصل الصيف لم يتم اكتشاف البويضات في براز الانسان. وقد أوضحت الدراسه باتخاذ اجراءات مكافحه المرض في الحيوانات المختلفه كأحد الوسائل الهامه والضروريه لمكافحه المرض في الانسان.