Bacteriological and Physicochemical Assessment of Drinking Water Collected from the Private Selling Sites of Urban Areas of Khamis Mushait City-KSA

Ibrahim E. Abdelrahman¹, Alaa M. Khozimy^{2*}, Ali M. Alshehri³ and Naif A. Alshehri⁴

^{1,3,4}Khamis Mushait Municipality, Aseer Region, KSA

²Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University, Egypt

Received: 10/9/2019

Abstract: This study was conducted in the urban area of Khamis Mushait City, Aseer Region, and Saudi Arabia. A total of 50 samples of drinking water were collected from private selling sites of unbolted water in the urban area of Khamis Mushait city. Physicochemical parameters such as pH, total dissolved solids (TDS), and electrical conductivity (EC) of water samples were measured using standard methods to assess the quality of drinking water. The pH values of water samples ranged from 6.56 ± 0.1 to 8.5 ± 0.49 , TSD changed from 104.7 ± 0.28 to 202.4 ± 1.7 mg/liter and EC from 163.6 ± 0.45 to 316.3 ± 2.61 µS/cm. The previous results indicated that all physicochemical parameters are within the permissible limits of WHO (2011) for drinking water quality. The bacteriological examination of water samples included the most probable number (MPN/100 ml) of coliforms, *E.coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The results showed that *E. coli* bacterium was not detected in all samples, while total coliform group was found in 7 samples out of 50 samples analyzed (14%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was found in 24 samples out of a total of 50 samples (48%). Furthermore, this study has revealed that about 54% of the water samples collected from private selling sites is incompatible to the World Health Organization (WHO) standards for drinking water quality.

Keywords: Khamis Mushait City, Aseer Region, coliforms, E. coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, (TDS), pH

INTRODUCTION

Clean-safe drinking water is required for the sustenance of life and it is a fundamental human need. Drinking water must be safe to drink or to use for food preparation. Water is essential to life; each person on earth requires at least 20 to 50 liters of clean safe water a day for drinking, cooking, and cleaning. But, normal healthy person needs to drink about 8 glasses (2 liters) of water per day, depending upon several factors, including gender, age, level of activity and environment. World Health Organization (WHO, 1996), has developed guidelines for drinking water quality, provides the basis for the development of national standards that, if properly implemented, will ensure the safety and quality of drinking water, as well as preparation of international standards on water quality and human health in a form of guidelines of regulations and standards that serve as the basis for organization and standard setting around the world.

Saudi Arabia is the world's largest producer of desalinated water which covers 70% of the total water demand (Ahmad and Bajahlan, 2009). The private selling sites in the urban areas of Khamis Mushait city provide the drinking water by tankers from the main source of government water desalination and sold it in a small twenty-liter reusable container to the consumers. Contaminate water, poor hygiene, and bad sanitation cause over 80% of diseases in developing countries (WHO, 1998). Many researchers such as Zacheus et al. (2001), Leguori (2010) and Rifaat et al. (2007) reported bacterial contamination of drinking water in developing and some developed countries. Detection of E. coli or enterococci is recommended for monitoring fresh water, whereas enterococci are the preferred indicator bacteria for marine waters because of their salt tolerance (USEPA, 2004).

In a previous study about the bacteriological assessment of urban water sources in Khamis Mushait

City, Fecal coliform was detected in desalinated, surface water and well water with percentages of 3.23, 60.0, and 87.88, respectively (AlOtaibi E. L. Sh., 2009).

In general, organisms which are potential diseaseproducers are five types, bacteria, protozoa, worms, viruses, and fungi. The presence of certain organisms of these various types can lead to such infectious diseases as typhoid fever, dysentery, cholera, jaundice, hepatitis, guardians, undulant fever, and tularemia, as well as other diseases which spread through unfit drinking water. In addition to the presence of contaminants, other factors assessed when determining portability include taste, odor, and turbidity, or cloudiness. Some of these issues can be resolved as the water goes through processes such as settling, filtering, and disinfecting. Drinking water should be clear, not saline and free from compounds that can change color, taste, and odor. Presence of Coliform bacteria in drinking water indicates that disease-causing organisms (pathogens) could be in the water system. Most pathogens that can contaminate water supply come from the feces of humans or animals.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is а common bacterium, Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen capable of infecting humans with compromised natural defenses and causing severe pulmonary diseases, occurs widely in the environment such water, soil, sewage, animal feces, and on vegetation, also occurs to many foodstuffs and may often be present in the digestive tract of humans without causing any signs of illness. Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a waterborne opportunistic pathogen which may have impacts on human health, especially in immune-compromised populations (Wang et al., 2012).

pH value is an important factor in maintaining carbonate and bicarbonate levels in water whereas, total dissolved solids (TDS) is used to describe the inorganic salts and small amounts of organic material present in water (WHO, 1996).

The Main purposes of the present study are to investigate the bacteriological and physicochemical quality of drinking water sold in the urban area of Khamis Mushait City, which provide us good information about the safety of this water. Furthermore, the study expected to provide important and valuable information to Khamis Mushait Municipality about the extent of pollution that facing the private selling sites of drinking water. In addition, can also help devise long-term strategies to improve water quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location of Study area:

Khamis Mushait is one of an important city found in Aseer Region, Saudi Arabia. It is located 18.30 latitude and 42.73 longitudes and it is situated at elevation 1998 meters above sea level. Khamis Mushait has a population of 387,553 making it the biggest city in Aseer region.

Water samples were collected from an urban area of Khamis Mushait city. The urban area was divided into five geographic regions (Central, Eastern, Western, Southern, and Northern regions) in terms of the distribution of private water selling points (Figure-1).

Collection of water samples:

The study was conducted from September to October 2018. The Samples of the drinking water were collected from the urban area of Khamis Mushait city covering, Northern region (9 samples), Western region (7 samples), Southern region (11 samples), Eastern region (13 samples) and Central Region (10 samples) Table (1)

 Table (1): The number of drinking water samples taken from sites in each region

Geographic Region	Number of drinking water sites
Northern Region	9
Southern Region	11
Western Region	7
Northern Region	9
Eastern Region	13
Total samples	50

A total of 50 drinking water samples were collected and analyzed for bacteriological (Total coliform, *E. coli*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) and physicochemical parameters (pH, TDS, EC), following standard procedures to avoid any contamination. The

samples were collected in clean sterile glass bottles with screw caps under aseptic conditions (Bottles for bacteriological analysis contain sodium thiosulfate to neutralize any residual disinfectant). After collection, the water samples immediately kept on the ice-box, and transported to the Food Safety and Environment laboratory of Khamis Mushait Municipality, Saudi Arabia, and preserved at 4°C until analysis within 3 to 6 hours.

Bacteriological Analysis:

Enumeration of Total Coliforms and *E. coli*:

The most probable number (MPN) technique was followed as described in the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, 2000) by using the Colilert 18 medium (IDEXX Laboratories, ME, USA-2015) with appropriate Westbrook. dilutions. 100 ml of water sample was mixed with one snap of colilert 18, the mixture poured into the Colilert tray - Quanti-Tray/2000, and sealed within an IDEXX Quanti-Tray Sealer. The sealed Quanti-tray was incubated at 35°C±0.5 for 18-24 hours. A yellow color after incubation was considered as a positive total coliform while the wells with yellow color gave fluorescence under UV illumination (366 nm) was considered as E. coli positive. The number of positive wells in each Quanti-Tray/2000 was counted and the corresponding most probable (MPN) was obtained from the MPN table provided with the Quanti Tray/2000. Results of each sample were calculated and reported as MPN/100ml (IDEXX Laboratories, 2013).

Enumeration of Pseudomonas aeruginosa:

One hundred milliliters of water sample was added to a sterile 120 mL vessel containing an antifoam reagent (ISO 16266-2:2018). One snaps pack of Pseudalert® reagent was added, the vessel capped and the sample was shaken to dissolve the reagent before being left to stand for any foam to settle. The sample was then poured into a Quanti-Tray/2000 (IDEXX Laboratories, USA-2013), sealed and incubated at 38±0.5°C for 24-28 hours. After incubation, the Quanti-Trays® were examined under UV irradiance (365 nm), and all wells demonstrating blue fluorescence compared to a negative blank sample were counted as positive for Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Results were reported as MPN/100ml using the table provided with Quanti-Tray/2000, (IDEXX Laboratories, USA-2013).

Physicochemical Analysis:

Physicochemical parameters such as pH, total dissolved (TDS), and electrical conductivity (EC) of the drinking water samples were measured using standard methods as described in the APHA (1998).

Determination of pH:

The pH values of the water samples was determined by using a digital pH meter AB15j Fisher Scientific, 100 ml of each sample was poured into a sterile beaker and the electrode of the pH meter was dipped into it and readings were obtained when it was stable.

Determination of TDS and EC:

The Electrical Conductivity (EC) was determined in the laboratory by a conductivity meter (SesIon 7, Hach Company, USA). The electrode was dipped into 100 ml of the water sample, and the reading was recorded when it was stable. The TDS can be calculated by multiplying the EC by a predetermined factor. The factor determined gravimetrically ranges between 0.55 and 0.9, in this study the factor value used was 0.64.

Statistical Analysis:

Each sample was analyzed in triplicate and the figures were then averaged. The statistical analysis was performed with SAS program (SAS.1990) using of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated by Duncan's multiple range tests with a probability $P \le 0.05$ (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physicochemical parameters:

The results of the physicochemical parameters of drinking water (50 samples) collected from all regions were summarized in Table (2) (a, b, c, d, and e).

The results of 10 samples collected from the central region showed that the pH values ranged from 7.09 to 7.82 with an average of 7.42, TDS from 133.6 to 154.9 mg/liter with an average of 148.8, and EC values varied from 208.7 to 242.0 μ S/cm with an average 232.5 (Table 2a). The results of 11 samples from the southern region; revealed that pH, TDS, and EC were ranged from 7.22 to 8.20 with an average value 155 mg/l, from 233.1 to 252.9 with an average value 242.2 μ S/cm respectively, (Table 2b).

 Table (2a): Bacteriological and physicochemical parameters of drinking water samples collected from Central Region of City

	Values	Allowed limits		
Physicochemical parameters	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	by WHO
рН	7.09	7.82	7.42	6.5-8.5
TDS mg/l	133.6	154.9	148.8	1000
E.C µS/cm	208.7	242.0	232.5	1500
Enumeration of				
Enumeration of	Values	s determine of central r	egion	Allowed limits
Enumeration of bacteriological analysis	Values Minimum	s determine of central r Maximum	egion Mean	Allowed limits by WHO
Enumeration of bacteriological analysis Coliform MPN/100ml	Values Minimum 0.0	s determine of central r Maximum 3.1	region Mean 0.31	Allowed limits by WHO
Enumeration of bacteriological analysis Coliform MPN/100ml <i>E.coli</i> MPN/100ml	Values Minimum 0.0 0.0	s determine of central r Maximum 3.1 0.0	region Mean 0.31 0.0	Allowed limits by WHO 0 0

• Total number of samples = 10 Drinking water

• Each sample was done in duplicate

 Table (2b): Bacteriological and physicochemical parameters of drinking water samples collected from Southern Region of City

Physicochemical parameters	Values d	Allowed limits		
Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	by WHO
рН	7.22	8.20	7.59	6.5-8.5
TDS mg/l	149.2	161.9	155.0	1000
E.C µS/cm	233.1	252.9	242.2	1500
Enumeration of	Values d	Allowed limits		
hastorials rised analysis				Anowed minus
bacteriological analysis – Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	by WHO
bacteriological analysis – Mean Coliform MPN/100 ml	Minimum 0.0	Maximum 0.0	Mean 0.0	Anowed mints by WHO 0
bacteriological analysis – Mean Coliform MPN/100 ml <i>E.coli</i> MPN/100 ml	Minimum 0.0 0.0	Maximum 0.0 0.0	Mean 0.0 0.0	Anowed mints by WHO 0 0

• Total number of samples = 11 Drinking water site

• Each sample was done in duplicate

Dhusiaaahamiaal nanamatang —	Values d	Allowed limits			
r nysicochemical parameters —	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	by WHO	
рН	6.56	7.65	7.36	6.5-8.5	
TDS mg/l	148.4	202.4	162.2	1000	
E.C μS/cm	231.9	316.3	253.5	1500	
	Values determine of Western region			Allowed limits	
Enumeration of	Values d	etermine of Western reg	gion	Allowed limits	
Enumeration of bacteriological analysis	Values d Minimum	etermine of Western reg Maximum	gion Mean	Allowed limits by WHO	
Enumeration of bacteriological analysis Coliform MPN/100ml	Values d Minimum 0.0	etermine of Western reg Maximum 17.2	gion Mean 3.5	Allowed limits by WHO	
Enumeration bacteriological analysisof Coliform MPN/100ml E.coli MPN/100ml	Values d Minimum 0.0 0.0	etermine of Western reg Maximum 17.2 0.0	gion Mean 3.5 0.0	Allowed limits by WHO 0 0	

Table (2c): Physicochemical and bacteriological parameters of drinking water samples collected from Western Region of City

• Total number of samples = 7 Drinking water site

• Each sample was done in duplicate

Values of 7 samples from Western region varied from 6.56 to 7.65 with a mean of 7.36, from 148.4 to 202.4 with a mean of 162.2 and from 231.9 to 316.3 with a mean253.5 for pH, TDS, and EC, respectively (Table 2c).for pH, TDS, and EC, respectively (Table 2c). On the other hand Table (2d) Showed that the values of nine samples of the northern region were found to be ranged from 6.56 to 8.50 for pH with an average 7.41, from 124.9 to 192.3 with an average 154.9for TDS and from 195.2 to 300.5 with an average 242.0 for EC. Data of samples from Eastern Region recorded in (Table 2e) indicated that pH, TDS, EC values ranged from 6.85 to 8.33, with an average 7.68, from 104.7 to 188.2 with an average 141.8, and from163.6 to 294.1with an average 221.5, respectively.

The pH of water is controlled by the carbon dioxide-bicarbonate. The increase of carbon dioxide will lower the pH concentration, whereas the decrease in it will raise it, as well as the lower than 7 are considered acidic and, that with the pH more than 7 considered basic. Control of pH is important in drinking water to minimize the corrosion of water

mains and pipes and maintain the taste, odor and appearance (WHO, 1996). Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulation doesn't include pH in drinking water quality, because it's considered an aesthetic quality of water. However, the agency recommends that municipal drinking water suppliers keep their water supply at a pH of 6.5 to 8.5. Total dissolved solids (TDS) are used to describe the inorganic salts and small amounts of organic material present in water. TDS in water is directly related to conductivity and effect on the taste of water.

The present study concluded that the selected physicochemical parameters of the drinking water fifty samples collected from the private selling sites of the urban area of Khamis Mushait City are varied from 6.56 to 8.50, 104.70 to 202.40, and 163.60 to 316.30 for pH, TDS, and EC, respectively, these values are falling within the range of the WHO (2011) standards guidelines of drinking water. Therefore, all drinking water samples were found to be fit for human consumption.

Dhysiaashamiaal navamataya —	Values de	Allowed limits				
rnysicochemical parameters –	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	by WHO		
рН	6.56	8.50	7.41	6.5-8.5		
TDS mg/l	124.9	192.3	154.9	1000		
E.C µS/cm	195.2	300.5	242.0	1500		
Enumeration of	Values determine of Northern region			Allowed limits		
Enumeration of	Values de	etermine of Northern re	egion	Allowed limits		
Enumeration of	Values de Minimum	etermine of Northern ro Maximum	egion Mean	Allowed limits by WHO		
Enumeration of	Values de Minimum 0.0	etermine of Northern ro Maximum 8.6	egion Mean 1.0	Allowed limits by WHO		
Enumeration of bacteriological analysis Coliform MPN/100 ml <i>E.coli</i> MPN/100 ml	Values de Minimum 0.0 0.0	etermine of Northern ro Maximum 8.6 0.0	egion Mean 1.0 0.0	Allowed limits by WHO 0 0		

Table (2d): Bacteriological and physicochemical parameters of drinking water samples collected from Northern Region of City

• Total number of samples = 9 Drinking water site.

Each sample was done in duplicate.

Dhysicochemical parameter	Values de	etermine of Easte	Allowed limits by	
r nysicochemical parameter	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	WHO
рН	6.85	8.33	7.68	6.5-8.5
TDS mg/l	104.7	188.2	141.8	1000
E.C μS/cm	163.6	294.1	221.5	1500
			Allowed limits by	
Enumeration of heatoniclogical analysis	Values d	letermine of Easter	rn region	Allowed limits by
Enumeration of bacteriological analysis	Values d Minimum	letermine of Easter Maximum	rn region Mean	Allowed limits by WHO
Enumeration of bacteriological analysis Coliform MPN/100ml	Values d Minimum 0.0	letermine of Easter Maximum 20.5	m region Mean 2.2	Allowed limits by WHO
Enumeration of bacteriological analysis Coliform MPN/100ml <i>E.coli</i> MPN/100ml	Values d Minimum 0.0 0.0	etermine of Easter Maximum 20.5 0.0	m region Mean 2.2 0.0	Allowed limits by WHO 0 0

Table (2e): Physicochemical and	bacteriological parameters	of drinking water samples collected	from Eastern Region of City
	6 1		0 5

Total number of samples = 13 Drinking water site

Each sample was done in duplicate

Bacteriological analysis:

The bacteriological results are summarized in tables (2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 2e) and compare them to WHO (2011), guidelines of drinking water quality.

The results showed that total coliforms were found in drinking water collected from, Central, Western, Northern and Eastern with a value varied from 0.0 to 3.1 with an average 0.31, from 0.0 to 17.2 with an average 3.5, from 0.0 to 8.6 with an average 1.0 and from 0.0 to 20.5 with an average 2.2 MPN/100ml, respectively. However, no coliforms found in the southern region. The drinking water should be free of coliform according to WHO (2011) guidelines for drinking water; their presence in treated drinking water may be due to the ineffectiveness of treatment or post contamination after treatment or poor hygiene. Also, its presence in drinking water indicates that disease-causing organisms (pathogens) could be in the water system. The E. coli count is not detected in all drinking water samples taken from different geographic regions, therefore no fecal contaminant. The *E. coli* bacteria are one the coliform group that indicate fecal pollution and it is also strictly of fecal origin.

The total count of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* of Central region was varied from 0.0 to 8.6 with mean

2.3, Southern region from 0.0 to 9.8 with mean 4.9, the Western region from 0.0 to 2149.6 with mean 392.7, Northern region, from 0.0 to 343.6 with mean 76.8.7, and Eastern region from 0.0 to 178.6 with mean 4.3 MPN/100ml. Majority of *Pseudomonas* species are not harmful to humans but *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* can cause infections in immune-suppressed patients.

The contamination percentage of total coliforms was found (14%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (48%), and *E. coli* (0%). This study also indicated that about 54% of the water samples are incompatible with WHO, (2011) standards (Fig. 3), so the study suggests that these private water selling sites need urgent action to control the source of contamination. The cause of high bacteriological contamination may be due to ineffective disinfectant in the system, contamination during transportation by trucks, water storage tanks in the plants, pipelines, poor hygiene in the plants and post contamination after treatment. Moreover, plastic containers of water may be a source of contamination when reused many times in order to save money.

Most of the drinking water has been collected from private water sites (54%) are not fit for drinking. Therefore, we recommend the need to periodically intensify quality control programs with the addition of an appropriate method of disinfection in the system.



Fig. (3): Contamination percentage of total coliforms, *E. coli*, and *pseudomonas aeruginosa* and total incompatible percentage samples to WHO (2011) standards

CONCLUSIONS

The present study was concluded that the results of physicochemical parameters (pH, TDS, and EC) of the drinking water samples collected from the private selling sites of the urban area of Khamis Mushait city were within the permissible limits to WHO (2011) guidelines of drinking water. Bacteriological results indicated that 14% of the water samples were positive for coliforms contamination, but, *Escherichia coli* bacterium was not found in all samples. About 48% of the samples were contaminated with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Furthermore, our results indicated that 27 samples out of 50 water samples collected from private selling sites (54%) were incompatible to WHO (2011) standards for drinking water.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, M. T., S. Greish, S. M. Ismail, Y. Mosleh, N. M. Loutfy and A. El Doussouki (2014). Dietary Intake of Pesticides Based on Vegetable Consumption in Ism Ahmad, M. and A. S. Bajahlan (2009). Quality comparison of tap water vs. bottled water in the industrial city of Yambol (Saudi Arabia). Environ. Monitor. Assess.,159: 1-14.
- AlOtaibi, EL Sh. (2009). Bacteriological assessment of urban water sources in Khamis Mashait Governorate, southwestern Saudi Arabia, International Journal of Health Geographic's, 8(16): 1-9.
- APHA (2000). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20th ed. American Public Health Association, Washington DC.
- APHA (1998). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20th ed. American Public Health Association, Washington DC.
- IDEXX Laboratories (2013). Quanti-Tray/2000 Insert and Most Probable Number (MPN) Table. Retrieved from. https://www.idexx.com/ resource - library/water/quanti-tray-2000procedure-en.pdf.
- IDEXX Laboratories. (2015). Colilert. Retrieved from: https://www.idexx.com/resource-libaray/ water/colilert-procedure-en.pdf.
- ISO 16266-2 (2018). Water quality- Detection and enumeration of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* - Part 2: Most probable number method.
- Liguori G, I. Cavallotti, A. Arnese, C. amiranda D. Anastasi and IF. Angelillo (2010). Microbiological quality of drinking water from dispensers in Italy. BMC Microbial, 10 (1): 19.
- Rifaat, H. (2007). Bacterial Quality of River Nile water at Cairo Region in Egypt Suoseura, 59(1-2): 1-8.
- SAS (1990). User's guide: statistics, version 6. SAS Inst. Inc. Cary, NC. ISBN 0-917382-66-8.
- Steel, R. G. D and J. H. Torrie (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics: A Biometrical Approach, 2nd end. New York: McGaw-Hill. ISBN 0-07066581-8.

- USEPA. (2004). Water Quality Standards for Coastal and Great Lakes Recreation Waters. 40 CFR Part 131 [OW-2004-0010; FRL-7837-5] RIN 2040-AE63.
- Wang, H., M. Edwards J. O. Falkinham and A. Pruden (2012). Molecular survey of the occurrence of Legionella spp., Mycobacterium spp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Amoeba hosts in two chlorinated drinking water distribution systems. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 78: 6285-6294.
- WHO (1996). Guidelines for drinking-water quality Second ed., Geneva. World Health Organization,
- WHO (1998). Guidelines for drinking water quality second Ed, Health Criteria and other supporting information, Geneva, World Health Organization, 2: 9400–9491.
- WHO (2011). Guidelines for drinking-water quality 4th ed., Geneva. World Health Organization.
- Zacheus, O. M., M. J. Lehtola, L. K. Korhonen and P. J. Martikainen (2001). Soft deposits, the key site for microbial growth in drinking water distribution networks. Water Res., 35:1757-1765 Ismailia, Egypt: A Case Study. Hum Ecol Risk Assess., 20: 779–788. doi: 10.1080/10807039.2013.775893
- Anastassiades, M., S. J. Lehotay and F. J. Schenck (2003a). Fast and Easy Multiresidue Method Employing Acetonitrile Extraction/ Partitioning and Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction for the Determination of Pesticide Residues in Produce. J AOAC Int, 86: 412– 431.
- Anastassiades, M., S. J. Lehotay and F. J. Schenck (2003b). Fast and Easy Multiresidue Method Employing Acetonitrile Extraction/ Partitioning and quot; Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction & quot; for the Determination of Pesticide Residues in Produce.
- Anastassiades, M., S. J. Lehotay, D. Štajnbaher and F. J. Schenck (2003c). Fast and Easy Multiresidue Method Employing Acetonitrile Extraction/Partitioning and " Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction & quot; for the Determination of Pesticide Residues in Produce. J AOAC Int., 86: 412–431.
- AOAC (2007). AOAC Official Method 2007. 01 Pesticide Residues in Foods by Acetonitrile Extraction and Partitioning with Magnesium Sulfate, 1–9. doi: 10.1.04
- Belitz, H-D, W. Grosch and P. Schieberle (2009). Food Chemistry, 4th revise. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Bolanos, P. P., J. L. F. Moreno, D. D. Shtereva, A. G. Frenich and J. L. M. Vidal (2007). Development and validation of a multiresidue method for the analysis of 151 pesticide residues in strawberry by gas chromatography coupled to a triple quadrupole mass analyzer. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom, 21: 2282–2294. doi: 10.1002/rcm

- Bowma, B. T. and W. W. Sans (1980). Stability of parathion and DDT in dilute iron solution. Environ Sci Heal B, 233: 233–246.
- Chen, M., J. Huang and H. Chien (2007). Residue analysis of fungicide boscalid in cucumbers following applications of boscalid 50% water dispersible granule. Food Drug Anal, 151: 174–177.
- Ermer, J. (2005). Method Validation in Pharmaceutical Analysis Edited by Related Titles from Wiley-VCH: LC/MS Applications in Drug Development Reference Materials for Chemical Analysis, 83.
- FAO (2018). Strawbery Production Statistics. http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC.
- Fernandes, V. C., S. J. Lehotay, L. Geis-asteggiante, H. Kwon, H. G. J. Mol, H. van der Kampf, N. Mateusb, V. F. Dominguesa and C. Delerue-Matos (2014). Analysis of pesticide residues in strawberries and soils by GC-MS/MS, LC-MS/MS and two- dimensional GC-time-of-flight MS comparing organic and integrated pest management farming. Food Addit Contam, 31: 262–270. doi: 10.1080/19440049.2013.865842
- Frenich, A. G., J. M. Vidal, E. Pastor-Montoro and R. Romero-González (2008). High-throughput determination of pesticide residues in food commodities by use of ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Bioanal Chem., 390: 947– 959. doi: 10.1007/s00216-007-1746-5.
- Hiemstra, M. and A. De-Kok (2007). Comprehensive multi-residue method for the target analysis of pesticides in crops using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Chromatogr A, 1154: 3–25.
- Jardim, A. N. O. and E. D. Caldas (2012). Brazilian monitoring programs for pesticide residues in food - Results from 2001 to 2010. Food Control 25: 607–616. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.11.001
- Laymann, W. J., W. F. Reehl and D. H. Rosenblatt (1990). Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation Methods. Amer. Chem. Soc. USA. Washington D. C
- Lehotay, S. J. (2011). QuEChERS Sample Preparation Approach for Mass Spectrometric Analysis of Pesticide Residues in Foods. In: Zweigenbaum J (ed) Mass Spectrometry in Food Safety: Methods and Protocols, Methods in Molecular Biology. Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2011, pp 65– 91.
- Looser, N., D. Kostelac, E. Scherbaum, M. Anastassiades and H. Zipper (2006). Pesticide residues in strawberries sampled from the market of the federal state of Baden-Württemberg in the period between 2002 and 2005. J fur Verbraucherschutz und Leb., 1:

135–141. doi: 10.1007/s00003-006-0022-5.

- Lorenz, J. G., L. L. F. Costa, E. A. Suchara and E. S. Sant'Anna (2014). Multivariate Optimization of the QuEChERS-GC-ECD Method and Pesticide Investigation Residues in Apples, Strawberries, and Tomatoes Produced in Brazilian South. J Braz Chem Soc., 25: 1583– 1591. doi: 10.5935/0103-5053.20140143.
- Malhat, F. M., N. M. Loutfy and W. Thabet (2014). Dissipation Profile and Human Risk Assessment of Pyrimethanil Residues in Cucumbers and Strawberries. J Heal Pollut., 4: 36-41. doi: 10.5696/2156-9614-4-7.36
- Matsumura, M. M., S. P. Margulius and A. M. Saligman (1972). Environmental Toxicology of pesticides.
- Saber, A. N., F. M. Malhat, H. M. A. Badawy and D. A. Barakat (2016). Dissipation dynamic, residue distribution and processing factor of hexythiazox in strawberry fruits under open field condition. Food Chem., 196: 1108-1116. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.10.052
- Safi, J. M., N. S. Abou-Foul, Y. Z. El-Nahhal, A. H. El-Sebae (2002). Monitoring of pesticide residues on cucumber, tomatoes and strawberries in Gaza Governorates, Palestine. Nahrung/Food, 46: 34-39.
- Schreiber, A., O. Cabrices and W. E. Brewer (2013). Automated Sample Preparation and Analysis Workflows for Pesticide Residue Screening in Food Samples using DPX-QuEChERS with LC-MSMS.
- Sójka, M., A. Miszczak, P. Sikorski, K. Zagibajlło, E. Karlińska and M. Kosmala (2015). Pesticide residue levels in strawberry processing by-products that are rich in ellagitannins and an assessment of their dietary risk to consumers. NFS J 1: 31–37. doi: 10.1016/j.nfs.2015.09.001
- Ueno, E., H. O. I. Saito and H. Matsumoto (2003). Determination of Nitrogen- and Phosphorus-Containing Pesticide Residues in Vegetables by Gas Chromatography with Nitrogen– Phosphorus and Flame Photometric Detection after Gel Permeation Chromatography and a Two-Step Minicolumn Cleanup. J AOAC Int., 86: 1241-1251.
- Wilkowska, A. and M. Biziuk (2011). Determination of pesticide residues in food matrices using the QuEChERS methodology. Food Chem., 125: 803–812. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.09.094
- World Health Organization (2014). Harmonization Project Document 11 Guidance document on evaluating and expressing uncertainty in hazard characterization. World Heal Organ, xx+171. doi: ISBN 978 92 4 150761 5
- Zalom, F. G., D. V. Shaw and K. D. Larson (2006). Strawberry Insects and Mites in California: Ecology and Control. Encycl. Pest Manag., 1– 3.

التقييم البكتيريولوجي والفيزيوكيميائي لمياه الشرب المجمعة من مواقع البيع الخاصة في المناطق الحضرية بمدينة خميس مشيط - المملكة العربية السعودية إبراهيم الزين عبدالرحمن'، علاء مسعود خزيمي'، علي محمد الشهري'، نايف بن عبدالله الشهري' نائنبلدية محافظة خميس مشيط - منطقة عسير - المملكة العربية السعودية تقسم وقاية النبات - كلية الزراعة - جامعة دمنهور - جمهورية مصر العربية

أجريت هذه الدراسة في المنطقة الحضرية في مدينة خميس مشيط، منطقة عسير، المملكة العربية السعودية. حيث تم جمع عدد ٥٠ عينة مياه الشرب من مواقع البيع الخاصة للمياه غير المعبأة في المنطقة الحضرية في مدينة خميس مشيط. تم قياس بعض الصفات الفيزيوكيميائية مثل الرقم الهيدروجيني (pH)، المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية (TDS) والتوصيل الكهربائي (EC) باستخدام الطرق القياسية لتقدير جودة مياه الشرب. تراوح الرقم الهيدروجيني لعينات المياه من TOS±١. إلى ٢٠٤±١. إلى ٢٠٤±٩. المواد الصلبة الكالية الكلية (TDS) باستخدام الطرق القياسية لتقدير جودة مياه الشرب. تراوح الرقم الهيدروجيني لعينات المياه من TOS±١. إلى ٢٠٤±٩. إلى ٢٠٤±٩. المواد الصلبة الكلية من ٢٠٤±٢. إلى ٢٠٤±٩. إلى ٢٢٤ معرابائي (EC) باستخدام الطرق القياسية لتقدير جودة مياه الشرب. تراوح الرقم الهيدروجيني لعينات المياه من ٢٠٦±١. إلى ٢٠٤±٩. إلى ٢٠٤±٢. المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية من ٢٠٤١ معراب الشرب. تراوح الرقم الهيدروجيني لعينات المياه من ٢٠٦±٢. إلى ٢٠٤±٥. إلى ٢٠٢٤ معراب تراوح الرقم الهيدروجيني لعينات المياه من ٢٠٦٤±٢. إلى ٢٠٤ معرف، المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية من ٢٠٤ معرف المرب. تراوح المعمرات وحساب قيمة التوصيل الكهربي من ٢٦٦ للعرب. إلى ٢٠٤ معرب تراوح معماب قيمة التوصيل الكهربي من ٢٦٦ للعرب. إلى ٢٦٢ للمامة الصحة العالمية (٢٠١١) فيما يتعلق بجودة مياه أن جميع المعابير الفيزيوكيميائية التي تم قياسها هي ضمن الحدود المسموح بها لمنظمة الصحة العالمية (٢٠٢٨) فيما يتعلق بجودة مياه الشرب شمل الفحص البكتيريولوجي لعينات المياه العدد الأكثر إحتمالا (MPN/100ml) لمجموعة القولون الكلية، بكتيريا الإنشريشيا كولاي (*د. coll)* الشرب شمل الفحرت النتائج أن بكتيريا الإيشريشيا كولاي (لل العينات، بينما تم الولوزي الكاية في ٢٠٤ عينات المياه في ٧ عينات المياه في ٧ عينات، بينما تم العربية مع مجموعة القولون الكلية في ٧ عينات من أصل ٥٠ عينة تم تحليلها بنسبة تعادل (٢٤٪) وتم العثور على كال العينات، بينما تم العثور على من أمل بعنو مع معايني منابية المولي إلى ٤٠ كينات من عينات من أصل ٩٠ عيناة، كشفت هذ الدر الذي العنور على ١٤٥٪ من عينات من أصل ٩٠ عينة تم تحليلها بنسبة (٢٤٪) وتم العنور على علي تم تحليلها بنسبة من مواقع الي عالي ما عمان مالمون ميان الرف الي حوالي ٤٥٪ من عينات من أمل معميا الم ميان مياه الشرو حالي ٤٥ كي م