Isolation of *Salmonella* Typhimuriumin from Some Psittaciformes Species and Detection of Antibiotic Resistant Genes In Egypt

Dalia M. Elsayad¹; Kamel, A. M. ²; Mohamed A. Gamal- Eldein², Algammal A. M. 3; Azza S. A. Gouda4.

¹Wildlife Dep. ,Animal Quarantine, Cairo international airport; ²Wildlife Management and Zoo Medicine Dep., and ³ Bacteriology, Immunology and Mycology Dep. of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Suez Canal University, 4Animal Health Dept., Desert Reserch Center, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

This study was conducted to isolate and identify Salmonella as one of important zoonotic microorganisms from different spp. of captive bred psittaciformes. A total of 300 psittaciformes (were collected from private wildlife farms, pet shops and households) belonging to 15 different species were clinically examined and samples, ((219) fecal samples, (72) cloacal samples and internal organs (intestine, liver, lung, spleen and kidney) from 9 freshly dead birds) were taken for detection of salmonella infections using traditional methods of isolation and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based on inv A gene as a confirmatory accurate technique on isolated strains, estimation of the antibiotic susceptibility and detection of resistance genes. The result revealed that, the incidence of the infection constituted 3.33% (10 isolates) of total number of investigated psittacine birds and the most common affected psittacines were (5) rosy-faced lovebirds, (4) budgerigars and (1) green rosella which were bought from illegal wildlife trafficking. All 10 isolates were Salmonella Typhimurium confirmed by PCR based on invA gene. The antibiotic sensitivity tests revealed that, all 10 isolates were highly susceptible (100%) in vitro to amoxicillin / clavulanic acid, ciprofloxacin and gentamycin, while 100% of the isolates exhibited complete resistance to doxycycline and sul./ trimethprim. Detection of resistance genes was tested by PCR targeting (tet1, tet 2, sul 1and sul2) antimicrobial genes. Resistant genes were detected of Salmonella Typhimuruim isolates. (6) Against tetracycline A tet A gene, (7) tetracycline gene B tet B and (7) sulphonamide gene 1 sul 1, meanwhile all strains were negative for sul 2 resistant gene.

Introduction

Previous studies on microbiota of birds indicated psittacine that. normal microbiota of them are composed only of gram positive bacteria and yeasts (Lopes et al.,2014). The presence of enterobacteria is not considered normal components of unstressed parrots microflora (Ritchie et al., *1994*).

Salmonella infections occur in wild birds where they can cause disease and death, or even spread from their avian hosts to domestic mammals and man. In spite of being recognized as an avian disease for over a hundred years, Salmonellosis is an emerging disease as a result of increased artificial feeding bv human. Salmonella may be present in feces for a short time, as a result environmental of contamination (Tizard, 2004). Multiple serovars of Salmonella enterica originating from mammalian, reptilian and avian hosts have been reported to cause infections in human, wildlife harboring and exotic pets Salmonella are potential sources for human infections (Hoelzer et al., 2011). Transmission of Salmonella from wildlife and exotic animals to humans occurs through multiple pathways. Evidences increasingly suggest that, there could be a bidirectional transmission of Salmonella between domesticated and wild animals. Farm animals acquiring Salmonella from wildlife, could increase the risk of human

infection. *Salmonella* infections in humans have also been reported through direct contact with exotic pets and wildlife, especially those in captivity (*Krueger et al., 2014*).

Salmonellosis is well- known cause intermittently of disease and reported disease in psittacine birds (Oros et al., 1998). The risk of disease dissemination must be considered, given that captivity allows greater contact between species, favoring the transmission of infectious agents (Alves et al., 2013). On the other hand. Salmonella spp. serotype most frequently isolated from psittacines is Salmonella Typhimurium (Hidasi et al., 2013).

So this study aimed to detect the prevalence of Salmonella infection in some species of captive bred psittaciformes in Egypt from different sources, as well as using of PCR based on inv A gene as a sensitive and a specific accurate tool for confirmation and detection of Salmonella. Beside, antibiotic sensitivity and antibiotic resistant genes were performed on Salmonella Typhimurium strains

Material and Method

Birds: 300 psittaciformes belonging to 15 different species (257 apparently healthy, 34 diseased and 9 freshly dead birds) were collected from different private farms of psittacines (234), pet shops (25) and households (41) in Egypt. **Samples:** a total number of 300 samples were collected on aseptic condition from all investigated psittacine birds. All samples, (219) fecal samples, (72) cloacal samples and internal organs (intestine, liver, lung, spleen and kidney) from 9 freshly dead birds were labeled with code number, type of sample, bird species and date of collection and were submitted to bacteriological and serological examination.

Bacteriological examination of collected samples:

1- Cultivation in liquid media:

The swabs from sample (fecal, cloacal and tissue) were collected aseptically and inoculated into selenite F broth incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hours.

2- Plating out onto solid media:

A loopfull from the incubated broth was placed and streaked onto Salmonella Sigella agar "S S Agar", MacConkey agar, Xylose lysine deoxychoclate agar "XLD agar" and Brilliant green agar plate (Oxoid), then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Semisolid nutrient agar "0.4 %"(Oxoid) was used for detection of motility as well as preservation of the isolated strains as those were carried out by Wilson and Miles (1975). Suspected colonies were subjected to morphological and biochemical identification according to Cruickshank et al., (1975).

Serological identification of the isolated Salmonella:

Serotyping of isolated Salmonella was carried out in serological unit, Animal

Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza according to *Edwards and Ewing (1972)*.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing by disc diffusion method:

The test was performed according to the procedures of *(NCCLS,* 2007) using disc diffusion technique (**Table 1**).

1-Preparation of *Salmonella* isolates for DNA extraction:

Pure colony of *Salmonella* from selective medium was transferred to nutrient agar medium and incubated for 24 hr at 37°C (**Shanmugasamy** *et al.*, **2011**) then, 3 ml phosphate buffered saline was added on the medium and harvesting the growth by pipetting them and collecting in 15 ml falcons tube (pelleting).

2-Bacterial genomic DNA extraction:(Freschi *et al.*, 2005)

1- 100µl from pellet was transferred into eppendorff tube after vortex.

2- Eppendorff tube was put into heat block at 95°C for 10 minutes, then to freezer overnight, centrifugation at 13,000 xg for 3 minutes.

3- The supernatant (extracted DNA) was transferred into another clean eppendorff.

3-DNA amplification (polymerase chain reaction):

DNA samples were tested (in 25ml. reaction volume in a 0.2 PCR tube. The reaction mixture consisted of 12.5 ml. master mix (Thermo Scientific), 3 ml. Bacterial DNA, 0,25 ml. of each primer (Table 2) (conc. 25 pmol) and nuclease free water up to 25 ml., then thermal cycling in a programmable heating block (Coyvorporation, Grasslake, Michan, USA) was done.

4-Molecular identification of Salmonella spp. gene:

a) PCR protocol of *inv*A gene:

* Initial denaturation at 94° C/ 5 min.

- * Denaturation at 94° C / 0.5 min.
- * Annealing at 64° C / 0.5 min.

* Extension at 72° C / 45 sec.

* Cycles repeated for 35 times with final extention at $72^{\circ}C/7$ min.

b) PCR protocol of *tet* A and *tet* B genes:

* Initial denaturation at 94° C / 5 min.

- * Denaturation 94°C / 30 sec.
- * Annealing 55° C / 30 esc.

* Extention 72° C / 45 sec.

* Cycles repeated for 35 times with final extention at 72 $^{\circ}C/7$ min.

* Initial denaturationat 94°C/ 3 min.

* Denaturation 94°C/1 min.

* Annealing for *sul*1 gene 51°C/ 1 min.,and for *sul*2 gene 57°C/1 min. *Extention at 72° C / 1 min.

* Cycles repeated for 35 times with final extention at $72^{\circ}C$ / 10 min.

5-Identification of the PCR products:

Following amplification, 10 of each reaction products taken for electrophoresis on 1.5% (W/ V) agarose gel containing 1 x TAE buffer (0.01 m Tris acetate 0.002 M EDTA) and ethidium bromide (0.5 mg/ ml) The electrophoresis at 100 volts for 35 minutes in an electrophoresis unit. The presence of specific amplified DNA bands was detected by visualization with UV light at wave length 421 run and compared with molecular size marker (Ladder) with MW 100 bp and measure MW100-1000 bp.

c) PCR protocol of *sul*1 and *sul*2 genes:

SCVMJ, XXIII (1) 2018

			Standard zone of inhibition (mm)			
Antibiotic	Code	Conc.	sensitive	intermediate	resistant	
Ampicillin	AMP	10µg	≥17	14–16	≤13	
Amoxicillin	AML	10µg	≥17	14–16	≤13	
Amoxicillin / clavulanic acid	AMC	30µg	≥18	14–17	≤13	
Ciprofloxacin	CIP	5 µg	≥ 21	16-20	≤15	
Gentamicin	GN	10 µg	≥15	13–14	≤12	
Neomycin	Ν	30µg	≥17	13-16	≤12	
Streptomycin	S	10 µg	≥15	12–14	≤11	
Tetracycline	TE	30 µg	≥15	12–14	≤11	
Doxycycline	DO	30 µg	≥14	11–13	≤ 10	
Spiramycin	SP	100 µg	≥ 24		≤19	
Sulfa./ trimethoprim	SXT	25 μg	≥16	11–15	≤ 10	

Table (1): Antimicrobial susceptibility testing by disc diffusion method:

Molecular detection of Salmonella isolates:

Table (2): Oligonucleotide primers encoding for inv A gene and antibiotic

 resistant genes:, tetracycline and Sulfonamides:

Primer	DNA sequences (5' to 3')	Target gene	Amplicon size	Annealing Temp.	Ref.
Inv A gene F Inv A gene R	5'GTGAAATTATCGCCACGTTCGGGCAA- 3' 5'- TCATCGCACCGTCAAAGGAACC-3'	Inv A	284 pb.	64 ºC	 Rahn <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1992)
tet (A) Ftet (A) R	GTGAAACCCAACATACCCC GAAGGCAAGCAGGATGTAG	tet (A)	741 bp.	55 °C	Ma et
tet (B) Ftet (B) R	CAGTGCTGTTGTGTCATTAA GCTTGGAATACTGAGTGTAA	tet (B)	571 bp.	55 °C	(2007)
Sul1 F Sul1 R	TTCGGCATTCTGAATCTCAC ATGATCTAACCCTCGGTCTC	Sul1	547 bp.	51 °C	Harel <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1991)
Sul2 F Sul2R	CGGCATCGTCAACATAACC GTGTGCGGATGAAGTCAG	Sul2	543 bp.	57 °C	Ma et al. (2007)

Result and Discussion

Psittacine birds are frequently commercialized in illegal wildlife trade and when apprehended by the responsible public departments are in poor found often sanitary conditions. In these cases, these birds become susceptible to several pathogens, such as enterobacteria and can cause intestinal and extraintestinal opportunistic infections. (*Lopes et al.*, 2015).

Source of positive *Salmonella* psittacines in the present study was illegal trafficking during period of import ban which demonstrate, that psittacines from illegal trafficking can be an infected with *Salmonella* and this result agreed with

Goncalves et al. (2010); Hidasi, et al. (2013) and Matias et al. (2016). These birds were under stress condition that, may be enhance the presence of Salmonella that is an opportunistic microorganism, this agreed with Dorrestein et al. (1997) who stated that, Salmonella are ubiquitous microorganisms and. under suitable conditions can survive and multiply in environment for a long time. Many animals can be subclinical carriers and birds may become infected by ingestion of contaminated food and / or drinking water or contact with carriers (e.g., rodents, wild birds, or domesticated species).

In addition the presence of enterobacteria not considered normal, but actually categorized as a contamination. (*Marietto et al.*, 2010).

There have been many reports on isolation of *Salmonella* from caged birds such as psittacines birds (*Sawa et al. 1981*). Asymptomatic *Salmonella* carriage in wild birds is thought to be high, as many species acquire the organisms and become intestinal carriers without showing any visible signs and can be considered apparently healthy birds (*Gamal- Eldein et al., 2008*).

The present study was conducted upon captive bred, species of order psittaciformes from different sources, private wildlife farms, pet shops and households .This study has been carried out on a total number of 300 psittacine birds belonging to 15 different species (257 apparently healthy, 34 diseased and 9 died during period of sampling).

At necropsy of (9) dead psittacines birds, {(1) budgerigar, (2) lovebird} from farm (A), {(3) Amazon and (3) lovebird} from farm (C), (Table 3), that died during period of sampling, no focal necrosis were seen in the post mortum samples, However no Salmonella was isolated in these birds, this result disagreed with each of Oros, et al., (1998) who isolated Salmonella Airozonea from the liver with multifocal necrotic hepatitis in Sulpher crested cockatoo (Cacatus galerita) with no previous clinical signs, Vigo, et al. (2009) who isolated Salmonella Typhimurium from liver, spleen, heart, lung, kidney and intestine of Blue and gold macaw (Ara ararauna) chicks died of fatal Salmonella and Piccirillo et al. (2010) who isolated Salmonella Typhimurium from 2 cockatoos (Cacatus Molucan moluccensis) and at post mortum reported necrotic foci surrounded by a hyperemic halo were observed in lungs, heart, liver, spleen, kidneys and intestine. In addition contrast to each of Cardona et al. (2016) who reported a case of unusual salmonellosis in female African grey parrot and at necropsy revealed sever fibrinous pericarditis, moderate hydrocoelom, diffusely reddened lungs, and a green discoloration of the liver and

Siqueira et al. (2017) who isolated *Salmonella* Typhimurium from dead pet pittacine birds with multifocal necrotic hepatitis.

Salmonella spp. are not regular intestinal members of the microbiota of psittacine and. therefore isolating these bacteria asymptomatic from or immunosupressed individuals indicates a possibility of disease (Goncalves et al., 2010).

As shown in Table (3), the prevalence of Salmonella was in apparently healthy psittacine birds in farm (A), (10/80), 12.5% and (3.89%)10/257. to the total investigated apparently healthv birds. This result go in hand with Lopes et al., (2015) and Suphoronski et al. (2015), they declared that. gram negative bacteria may be isolated from healthy psittacines. Also, Evance (2011) stated that, Salmonella spp. were isolated from several captive psittacines birds, whether they are asymptomatic carriers or clinically diseased. Previous studies proved that the normal microbiotas of these birds are Gram positive bacteria and yeast and this was disagreeing with our result. In addition Lopes et al. (2014) stated that, in most birds presented negative in the study of Salmonella spp. in captive psittacines may not imply the absence of this pathogen in these since the birds. intermittent excretion is wellknown а

characteristic of this microorganism.

Meanwhile, in this study as shown in Table (3) there was no isolation of *Salmonella* from pet shops nor households and this result disagree with *Seeperadsingh and Adesiyn* (2003) who reported 6 spp. of *Salmonella* isolated from pet birds were obtained from pet shops and households which may pose a health risk to their owners and contacts.

As shown in Table(4) the bacteriological examination of 300 samples revealed that. 10 Salmonella isolates were positive apparently healthy birds from demonstrated a (3.33%) Salmonella prevalence rate in the total investigated psittacine birds and (10.33 %) among the examined birds in a private wildlife farm group, farm A, 92 psittacines while no Salmonella was isolated from 34 diseased psittacines nor 9 psittacine birds died during period of study.

This low prevalence Salmonellosis in the present study, (3.33%) almost agreed with the study of *Matias et al.* (2016) and *Siqueira et al.* (2017) who isolated a single *Salmonella* Typhimurium (1/75), 1.33% prevalence rate, while disagreed with (*Akhter, et al. 2010*) who isolates 21 *Salmonella* species. out of 45 samples with a prevalence rate (46.6%).

Among the investigated (Table 5), 15 Psittaciformes spp. *Salmonella* was isolated from 3 spp., Lovebirds

 $\{5/66, (7.57\% \text{ of total lovebird}\},\$ Green rosella {1Green rosella 1/18, 5.55% of total rosella} and budgerigar {4/85, 4.7% of total budgerigar } and this result similar to Oros et al. (1998) who isolated Salmonella Arizonae from captive sulpher crested cockatoo (Cactus galerita and Tizard, 2004) stated that, the wild and exotic birds, such budgerigars, as may harbor Salmonella spp. in their intestine. Moreover, Abd-El -Latif and El-Said, (2003) isolated Salmonella from 50 psittacines, spp. Seepersadsingh Adesiyun, and (2003) isolated Salmonella from pet birds. Also, Allgayer et al. (2008) investigated 13 captive psittacines birds for Salmonella and the most commonly infected were orangewinged (Amazona parrot amazonica), (28%) and redspectacled parrot (Amazona pretrei), (20%) and Enas (2008) from isolated Salmonella budgerigar. Also, Vigo et al. (2009) isolated Salmonella strains from 2 blue and gold macaw (Ara ararauna), Akhter et al. (2010) isolated 21 Salmonella spp. from a total of 45 samples were collected from 5 types of caged parrots (Gray cockatiels, Rose ringed parakeet, Alexandrine parakeet, Red breast parakeet and Blossom head parakeet) of Dhaka Zoo and Evance (2011) isolated Salmonella spp. from caged parrots. Cardona et al. (2016) isolated Salmonella spp. from an adult female African grey

parrot and *Siqueira et al. (2017)* isolated one single *Salmonella* in Amazon parrot (*Amazona aestiva*).

positive Salmonella Ten were isolated from cloacal swabs.(10/34). 29.4% to the total no. of cloacal swabs in farm (A) and 13.88% (10/72) to total no. of cloacal samples, (Table 6), this similar to Akhter et al. (2010), who reported that, irrespective to the types of parrots, the higher percentage of different bacteria was isolated from cloacal swabs and also similar with Bezerra et al. (2013), Lopes et al. (2014) and Lopes et al. (2015).

While contrast to *Sareyyüpoglu et al. (2008)* reported that, 5 (2.7%) fecal samples were found to harbor *Salmonella* spp. out of 108 fecal samples collected from pet birds in Ankaraand and *Hidasi et al. (2013)* isolated one *Salmonella* spp. from fecal samples.

Salmonella Typhimurium was detected by serological identification in all 10 Salmonella isolates. This go in hand with **Piccirillo et al. (2010)** who said that, Salmonella spp. serotype most frequently isolated from psittacines is S. Typhimurium.

Meanwhile, in previous studies are agreed to our findings that isolated *Salmonella* Typhimurium from psittacines **as** *Ward et al.* (2003) isolated 4/45, 8.88% *Salmonella* Typhimurium in a population of 45 lorikeets and lories, *Piccirillo et al.* (2010) reported 2 fatal cases of Salmonella Typhimurium in Moluccan cockatoos and *Krawiec et al.* (2015).

However, disagreed with others who isolated other serotypes, Oros et al. (1998) isolated Salmonella Arizonae from captive sulpher crested cockatoo (Cactusgalerita), Seepersadsingh Adesivun and (2003)isolated 6 isolates of Salmonella species with 2 isolates of serotype Aberdeen and one isolate each of Thompson, Rubislaw, Panama and Newport, Allgaver et al. (2008) isolated Salmonella spp. from 13 different spp. psittacines birds but specific tests for Salmonella Typhimurium negative, one Salmonella were Lexington from (31) Blue – fronted Amazon (Amazona aestiva), one Salmonella Saintpaul from (16) Red- and - green Macaw (Ara macao) one Salmonella and Newportfrom (06) Budgerigar and Akhter et al. (2010) isolated (5) Salmonella Pullorum from caged parakeets. In addition, Goncalaves et al. (2010) isolated Salmonella Enteriditis in 3 captive specimens of 103 Amazona aestiva out of investigated birds, 2.9% and Enas (2015)isolated Salmonella Paratyphoid, S. Chester, S. Infantis, and untypable S. strains Moreover, Lopes et al. (2014) and Matias et al. (2016) isolated 2 Salmonella Panama strains from 2 chestnut capped black birds (Chrysomurufi capillus).

There is scarce information about antimicrobial resistance and diseases in pet birds, however there are reports involving free-living birds as potential disseminators of E. *coli* and *Salmonella* spp. resistant to cefalosporins, streptomycin, ampicillin, sulfoxazole and tetracycline isolated from passerines (Andres et al., 2013).

Antimicrobial resistance in nontyphoidal Salmonella is common, and in some places, it has been increasing in recent years (*Centers for disease control and prevention*, 2013).

While a growing body of research has found evidence of AMR in *Salmonella* spp. isolates derived from free-living wildlife, including birds. Wildlife species possess antimicrobial resistance determinants and the prevalence rate of AMR genes in these isolates could be as high as 100% (*Botti et al.*, 2013).

In this study the most effective antibiotics (100%) sensitivity were /clavulanic amoxicillin acid. gentamycin. ciprofloxacin and Sixty%, 40%, 30%, 30%, 30% and susceptible to neomycin, 20% streptomycin, ampicilin, amoxicillin, spiramycinand respectively tetracycline while 100% of the isolates exhibited complete resistance to doxycycline and Sulph./ Trimethobrim, 80% to tetracycline, 50% to spiramycin, 30% ampicillin, 20% amoxicillin,

20% streptomycin and 10% neomycin, Table (7) and Fig. (1) These results agreed with Meakins et al. (2008) who mentioned that, despite wide use of fluoroquinolones such as ciprofloxacin, the levels of resistance to these antimicrobials remain low. Moreover, agree with Rahmani et al. (2011) and Abd- El latif and El Said, (2003) whom reported that, most of isolated Salmonella strains were resistant to amoxicillin, fluoquine, streptomycin and penicillin. El Sharkawy et al. (2017)reported tetracycline resistance in the Salmonella Typhimurium isolates 58 (86.6%) in a total 615 broiler flocks. The study observed 20% resistance rate to amoxicillin, also. disagree with Leonard et al. (2012) who recorded a sensitivity rate of (86.7%) against amoxicillin and disagree with Enas (2008) who reported that, amikacin, chloramphenicol and tetracycline were the most effective drugs against isolated Salmonella and the Salmonella was resistant to amoxclavulanic acid, erythromycin and penicillin. Moreover disagreed with Vigo et al. (2009) who reported that, all Salmonella strains isolated from 2 blue and gold macaw (Ara ararauna) was sensitive to trimethobrim-sulfamethoxazole while in our study resistance to sulph./ Trimethobrim was 100%. In addition, disagreed with Matias et al. (2016) who reported that resistance of one strain of Salmonella Typhimurium and 2 strains of *Salmonella* Panama (isolated from wild birds) to multiple antimicrobial drugs, like ampicilin, ceftriaxone, ceftifur, tetracycline, gentamycin, enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin.

It is assumed that, the multidrug resistance in this result might be due to their frequent application of these antibiotics which suggest paying more attention when using these antibiotics.

The excessive use of a specific antimicrobial agent may explain the difference between the sensitivity profiles observed among the surveys. Since it is known that, continuous exposure of the bacteria to an antimicrobial agent tends to select this microorganism to resistance (Arias and Carrilho, *2012*).

The presence of multidrug resistant strains, if not controlled, can be considered a condition of sanitary risk to the birds, as well as to freeliving animals that may be exposed to the introduced birds. Birds carrying resistant strains may spread these bacteria and. consequently, affect other wild animals through direct or indirect contact with contaminated feces (Hebla et al., 2011).

The antimicrobial susceptibility tests on psittacines from illegal revealed trade that. the enterobacteria found in the intestinal microbiota of the studied birds presented high multidrug resistance rates, which the most frequent resistance was to

azithromycin among the various isolated strains and this may be a consequence inadequate use of this antibiotic at some part of the life of these birds (*Lopes et al., 2015*).

One of the earliest steps in the pathogenic cycle of the facultative intracellular pathogen Salmonella species was the invasion of the intestinal epithelium, *inv* A was a member of this locus, and it was the first gene of an operon consisted of at least two additional invasion genes *Galan et al.* (1992) and *Lamb et al.* (2014) recommended the use of invA primer due accuracy, sensitivity and uniform distribution among *Salmonella*.

To assess potential virulence of *Salmonella* isolates by the presence or absence of genes, Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to detect *Salmonella* virulence genes. All samples tested positive using PCR, amplifying the invasion gene *invA* gene, at 284 bp. Fig. (2), these results were in agreement with *Krawiec et al.* (2015) while nearly similar with *Hudson et al.* (2000) who detected 15 positive *inv* A gene in a total of 22 *Salmonella* isolates.

Detection of resistant genes was tested by PCR targeting *tet*A, *tet*B,

sul 1 and sul 2. PCR detected tetA gene, (740 bp) with an incidence rate of 60%, Fig (3)., tet B gene, (571bp) with an incidence rate 70%, Fig. (4) and sull gene, (574 bp) with an incidence rate 70%, Fig (7) while there was no detection at all of sul2, Fig. (6) Which refers that in this study the incidence rate of *tet A* gene is higher than that of *tet B* and so it is disagreed in percentage of detection with Eid and Shalaby (2013), who reported the incidence rates detected in their study for tet A and tet B genes by PCR was 90% and 40%, respectively and (Hamada et al. (2003), Asai et al. (2006) and Shahada et al., (2006) who stated that, the most common tetracycline resistance determinant in chickens belonged to tetA gene. Moreover, agreed with El Sharkawy et al. (2017) reported tetracycline resistance in the S. Typhimurium isolates 58 (86.6%) in a total 615 broiler flocks, correlated with the presence of tet C (96.6%), and tetA gene (84.5%), (Sul1 and Sul3). All tested strains were negative for tetB codon. tet A codon was also found in all of the nontypable Salmonella strains.

~	Apparently healthy			Diseased			Dead	
Source of sample	No.	posi Sa	tive	No	positive Sal.		No	% positive
•		No.	%		No	%		Sal.
Farm (A)	80	10/80	12.5%	9	0/9	0%	3	0%
Farm (B)	49	0/49	0%	49	0/49	0%	0	0%
Farm (C)	62	0/62	0%	25	0/25	0%	6	0%
Pet shops	41	0/41	0%	41	0/41	0%	0	0%
House holds	25	0/25	0%	25	0/25	0%	0	0%
Total No.	257	10/257	3.89%	34	0/34	0%	9	(0%)

Table (3): Prevalence of Salmonella in different Sources of collection:

 Table (4): Source of examined birds and positive samples.

Source of examined psittaciformes	No. of examined birds	No. of positive samples	Percentage (%)
Farm (A)	92	10/92	10,9%
Farm (B)	49	0/49	0%
Farm (C)	93	0/93	0%
Pet shops group	41	0/41	0%
House hold group	25	0/25	0%
Total No.	300	10/300	3,33%

Table

		Examined	Positive		
Scientific Name	cientific Name Common Name		salm	ionella	
		, , ,	(No.)	(%)	
Ara macao	Macaw	2	0/2	0%	
Platycercus	Green rosella	18	1/18	5.55%	
caledonicus				-,,-	
Pesphotus varius	Mulga parrot	5	0/5	0%	
Psephotus haematonotus	Red – rumpedparrakeet	25	0/25	0%	
Neophema splendida	Splendid or Scarlet- chested parrots (Splendida)	1	0/1	0%	
Neopsephotus bourkii	Bourke's parrot	4	0/4	0%	
Neophema pulchella	Turquoisine parrot	2	0/2	0%	
Psittacus erithacus	African grey parrot	17	0/17	0%	
Melopsittacus undulatus	Budgerigar	85	4/85	4,7%	
Nymphicus hollandicus	Cockateil	16	0/16	0%	
Polytelis alexandrae	Princess of Wales (paralceet)	3	0/3	0%	
Psittaculakrameri	Indian ring head parakeet	9	0/9	0%	
Amazona amazonica	Orange-winged amazon	28	0/28	0%	
Agaponis roseicollis	Rosy-faced love bird	60	5/60	8.33%	
Agaponis taranta	Black-winged lovebird	6	0	0%	
Agaponis fischeri	Fischer's lovebird	6	0/6	0%	
Total lovebird		72	5/72	6.94%	
Pionus senilis	White-caped parrot	9	0/9	0%	
Cacatus goffiana	Goffin's cockatoo	4	0/4		
Total No.		300	10/300	3.33%	

(5): Prevalence of Salmonella infection in examined psittacines

 Table (6) Prevalence of Salmomella in all types of samples:

Type of	Source of sample	No. of	positive Sa	positive <i>Salmonella</i>		
sample	Source of sample	samples	No.	%		
Fecal samples	Farm (A)	55 / 92	0/55	0%		
_	Farm (B)	40 / 49	0/40	0%		
	Farm (C)	58 / 93	0/58	0%		
	Pet shops	41 / 41	0/41	0%		
	House holds	25 / 25	0/25	0%		
No.		219	0	0%		
Cloacal swabs	Farm (A)	34/92	10/34	29,4%		
	Farm (B)	9/49	0/9	0%		
	Farm (C)	29/93	0/29	0%		
	Pet shops	0/41	0	0%		
	House holds	0/25	0	0%		
No.		72	10	13.88%		
Necropcies	Farm (A)	3/92	0/3	0%		
	Farm (B)	0/49	0	0%		
	Farm (C)	6/93	0/6	0%		
	Pet shops	0/41	0	0%		
	House holds	0/25	0	0%		
No.		9	0	0%		
Total		300	10/300	3.33%		

 Table (7): Antibiogram of isolated Salmomella Typhimurium:

Antimicrobial group	R		Ι		S	
Anumerobiai group	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)
Ampicillin	3	30%	4	40%	3	30%
Amoxicillin	2	20%	5	50%	3	30%
Tetracycline	8	80%	0	0%	2	20%
Doxycycline	10	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Spiramycin	5	50%	2	20%	3	30%
Amoxicilin /clavulanicacid	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
Sulph./ Trimethobrim	10	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Ciprofloxacin	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
Gentamicin	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
Neomycin	1	10%	3	30%	6	60%
Streptomycin	2	20%	4	40%	4	40%
R: Resistant I: Intermediate			S	Sensiti	ive	



Figure (1): Antibiotic resistance pattern of different SalmonellaTyphimurium isolated from investegated Psittacine birds



Figure (2): Electropherotic pattern of *inv* **A gene PCR assay:** lane 2 (negative control): *E.coli* ATCC 25922, lanes 3-12: positive *inv* A gene (284 bp) *Salmonella* isolates and lane 1: DNA ladder from 100-1000 (Jena Bioscience).



Figure (3):Electrophoretic pattern of *Salmonella* Typhimurium tetracycline resistance gene, (A) *tet*A gene 740 bp PCR assay:DNA marker GeneRuler (Thermo); 1 negative control; 2 positive control; from 3-12 examined *Salmonella* isolates.



Figure (4):Electropherotic pattern of *Salmonella* Typhimurium tetracyclin resistance gene, *tet* B gene 571bp PCR assay,; DNA marker JenaBioscience (Germany) (B) M; DNA marker GeneRuler (Thermo); 1 negative control; 2 positive control; from 3-12 examined *Salmonella* isolates.



Figure (5):Electropherotic pattern of *Salmonella* Typhimurium Sulfonamide resistance gene 1, *Sul* 1 gene 574 bp PCR assy; DNA marker Gene Ruler (Thermo); 1 negative control; 2 positive control; from 3-12 examined *Salmonella* isolates.



Figure (6):Electrophoretic pattern of *Salmonella* **Typhimurium resistance Sulphonamid gene2 show** negative *Salmonella* Typhimurium Sulphonamide resistant gene, *Sul* (2) gene 543 bp PCR assay ; DNA marker Gene Ruler (Thermo); 1 negative control; 2 positive control; from 3-12 examined *Salmonella* isolates.

References

Abd-El-Latteif,A.E.and El-Said, M. A. (2003): Antimicrobial drugs susceptibility of some bacterial pathogens isolated from naturally infected psittacines birds in Sharkia province. Mansoura Veterinary Medicine Journal.VI (2):111-123.

Akhter, J. M.; Hossain; Islam, M. T. *et al.*(2010): Isolation and Identification of microflora from apparently healthy caged parrots of Dhaka Zoo of Bangladesh. Bangl. J. Vet. Med., :8 p. 5-10.

Allgayer, M. C. ; Lima –Rose, C. A. V. ; Weimer, T. A. ; Rodenbusch, C. R. ; Pereira, R. A. ; Streck, A. F. ;Oliveira, S. D. AND Canal, C. W. (2008): Molecular diagnosis of Salmonella species in captive psittacines birds. The Veterinary record 162(25): 816-9.

Alves, R. R. N.; Lima, J. R.; and Araujo, H. F. P.; (2013): "The live bird trade in Brazil and it's conservation implications: an overview," Bird Conservation International,: 23, no.1 pp.53-65.

Andrés, S.; Vico JP., Garrido, V.; Grilló, MJ. ; Samper, S.; Gavín, P.; et al. (2013): Epidemiology of Subclinical Salmonellosis in Wild Birds from an Area of High Prevalence of Pig Salmonellosis: Phenotypic and Genetic Profiles of Salmonella Isolates. Zoonoses Public 355-365. doi: Health: 60(5): 10.1111/j.1863-2378.2012.01542.x PMID: 22909058 10. Pennycott TW, Cinderey RN, Park A, Mather HA.

Arias, M. ,V. B. ; and Carrilho , C. M. D. M. (2012): Resistencia antimicrbiana nos animais e no ser humano.Ha motive para preocupacao? Semina: Ciencias Agrarias. 33 (2): 77-590

Asai, T.; Itagaki, M.; Shiroki Y.; Yamada M.; Tokoro M.; Kojima A.: Ishihara K. : Esaki. H. Tamura, Y. and Takahashi, T. (2006): Antimicrobial resistance types and genes in Salmonella enterica Infantis isolates from retail and chicken meat broiler raw chickens on farms. J. Food Prot. 69: 214-216.

Bezerra, W. G. A.; Cardoso, W. M.; Teixeira, R. S. C.; Vasconcelos, R. H.; Machado, D. N.; lopes, E. Albuquerque, **D.**; De A. H. andRocha-e-Silva, R. C.(2013): Survey of Salmonella sp.in (Melopsittacus Budgerigars undulates) in Fortaleza, Brazil. Acta Scientiae Veterinariae. (41):1157.

Botti, V.; Navillod, FV., Domenis, l.; Orusa, R., Pepe, E.; Robetto, S.; Guidetti, C. (2013): *Salmonella* spp. and antibiotic-resistant strains in wild mammals and birds in northwestern Italy from 2002 to 2010. Vet Ital 49:195-202.

Cardona, T.; Máinez1, M.; Juan-Sallés2, C.; (2016): Chronic pericarditis in an African grey parrot (Psittacus erithacus erithacus) caused by SalmonellaClin. Vet. Peq. Anim, 36 (3): 191 - 196.

Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention (2013):Antibiotic
resistance threats in the United States,
Centers for Diseases Control and
Prevention, Atlanta.

http://www.cdc. gov/ drugresistance/ pdf/ ar-threats-2013-508.pdf.

Cruickshank, R.; Duguid, J. P. and Swain, R. H. A. (1975): Medical microbiology, 1070 pp. E. and S. Livingstone lime. Edinburgh and London.

Dorrestein, G. M. Bacteriology. In (1997): Avian medicine and surgery.Altmam, R. B.; Clubb, L. S.; Dorrestein, and Quesenberry, K.; edes, W. B.S., Philadelphia, PA. pp. 255-280.

Edwards, P. R. and Ewing, W.H. (1972): Identification of Enterobacteriaceae. 3 rd edition Burges publication company, Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.

Eid, AS. S. and Shalaby A. G. (2013): Molecular characterization of Salmonella species isolated from pigeon Microbiology Dept. And Biotechnology Dept. National Laboratory for Veterinary Quality Poultry Production, Control on Animal Health Research Institute. Animal Health Research Journal Vol. 1 No. 4, December 2013 pp. 1-13

Elsharkawy, H.;Tahoun, A.EL-Gohary, A, A.; El-Abasy, M.; El-Khayat, F.; Gillespie, T.; Kitade, Y.; Hafez, M. H.; and El- Adawy, (2017): H. Epidemiological, molecular characterization and antibiotic resistance of Salmonella *enterica* serovars isolated from chicken farms in Egypt. Gut patho, v. 9.

Enas M. S..; Kamel, A. M.; Khafagy, A. A. R.; M. A. and Eldein, G. (2015): Serological and Molecular Studies on Multidrug

Resistant	Salmonella Is	solated From
Captive	Budgerigars.	Faculty of
Veterinar	У	,Suez
CanalUni	versity. <u>https://</u>	WWW.
researchg	ate.	net/
publicatio	n/305466895	

Enas, M. S. (2008): Studies upon some bacterial isolates affecting budgerigars. M.V.SC.Thesis (wildlife management and zoo medicine).Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Suez Canal University.

Evanc, E. E. ;(**2011**): Zoonotic diseases of common pet birds: psittacines, passerine, and columbiform species. Vet Clin North Am Exot Anim Pract.

Freschi, C.R.; Silva Carvalho, L.O. and Oliveira, C.J., (2005): Comparison of DNA extraction methods and selective enrichment broth on the detection of Salmonella Typhimurium in swine feces by PCR. Brazilian J. Microbiol, 36: 363-367.

Galan, J.E., Ginocchio, C. and Costeas P. (1992): Molecular and functional characterization of the *Salmonella* invasion gene *inv*A: homology of *inv*A to members of a new protein family. J. Bacteriol., 174 (13): 4338-4349.

Gamal-Eldein, M. A.; Azza, S. A. G. and Ahmed, L. S. (2008): Identification of Salmonella infection in some migratory birds at Lake Mazala.4th Inter. Conf. Vet. Res. Div., NRC, Cairo, Egypt, (26-28, Feb.).

Gonçalves, G. A. M. ; Almeida, S. M. ; Lima, E. T. ; Okamoto, A. S. ; Pinczowski, P. and Andreatti, R. L., (2010): Isolation of *Salmonella*

enteric Serovar Enteritidis in Blue-Fronted Amazon Parrot (Amazona aestiva).Avian Diseases ,54(1): 151-155.

Hamada, K.; Oshima, K. and Tsuji, H., (2003): Drug resistance genes encoded in integrons and in extra integrons: their distribution and lateral transfer among pathogenic enterobacteriaceae including enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica serovars Typhimurium and Infantis. Jpn. J. Infect. Dis., 56: 123– 126.

Harel, J., H. Lapointe, A. Fallara, L. A. Lortie, M. Bigras-Poulin, S. Lariviere, and J. M. Fairbrother. (1991): Detection of genes for fimbrial antigens and enterotoxins associated with *Escherichia coli*

Hebla, L.; Ka aniova, M.; Lejkova, J. and Pocho, J. (2011): Antibiotic Resistance of Enterobacteriaceae Species Associated with Faecal Bacterial Cenosis of Ducks.Animal Science and Biotechnologies. 44(1): 408-414.

Hidasi, H. W.; Neto, J. H.; Morases, D. M. C.; Linhares L.; Jayme, G. F. C., V. andAndrade, M. A., (2013): Enterobacterial detection and Escherichia Coli antimicrobial resistance in parrots seized from the illegal trade. Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine, 44(1): 1-7.

Hoelzer, K., A.I. Moreno Switt, and M. Wiedmann, (2011): Animal contact as a source of human nontyphoidal salmonellosis. Vet Res, 42: p. 34. Hudson, C. R.; Quist, C.; Lee, M. D.; Keyes, K.; Donson, S. V. ; Morales, C. ; Sanchez, S.; White, D. G. and Maurer, J. J.(2000): Genetic Relatedness of *Salmonella* Isolates from Nondomestic Birds in Southeastern United States. Journal of Clinical Microbiology; 38(5): 1860–1865.

Krawiec, M.; Kuczkowski, M.; Kruszewicz,A. G. and Wieliczko, A., (2015): Prevalence and genetic characteristics of *Salmonella* in free-living birds in Poland, Journal of B.

Krueger, A.L., et al., (2014): Clinical outcomes of nalidixic acid, ceftriaxone, and multidrug-resistant nontyphoidal salmonella infections compared with pansusceptible infections in FoodNet sites, 2006-2008. Food borne Pathog Dis., 11(5): p. 335-41.

Lamb, S.; Sobczynski, A.; Starks, D. & Sitinas, N., (2014): Bacteria isolated from the skin of congo African grey parrots (*Psittacus erithacus*), budgerigans (*Melopsittacus undulatus*), and cockatiels (*Nymphicus hollandicus*). J. Avian Med. Sug. 28(4):275-279.

Leonard, E. K.; Pearl, D.L.; Finley, R. L.; Janecko, N.; Reid-Smith, R .J.; Peregrine, A .S.; Weese , J.S .,(2012): Comparison of antimicrobial resistance patterns of Salmonella spp. and Escherichia coli recovered from pet dogs from volunteer households in Ontario Antimicrob (2005)-06). J Chemother.; 67 (1): 174-181.

Lopes, E. S.; Cardoso, W. M.; Albuquerque, A. H. ; Teixeira, R. S.C.; Salles, R. P. R.; Bezerra, W. G. A. ;Rocha et Silva , R. C. ; lima S.V. G.; Sales, R. J. P. F. and Vasconcelos, R. H.,(2014): Isolation of Salmonellas pp.in captive Psittaciformes from zoos and а commercial establishment of Fortaleza, Brazil. Arq.Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec. 66, (3): 965-968.

Lopes, E. S.; Maciel, W. C.; Albuquerque, A. H.; Machado, D. N.; Bezerra, W. G. A ; Vasconcelos , R. H. ; Lima B. P. ; Goncalves, G. A. M ; and Teixeira, R. S. C. (2015): Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance Profile of Enterobacteria Isolated from Psittaciformes of Illegal Wildlife Trade.Acta Scientiae Veterinariae, 43: 1313.

Ma, M.; Wang, HI; Yong, YuY; Zhang. **D.**; Liu, **S.**. (2007): Detection antimicrobial of resistance genes of pathogenic Salmonella from swine with DNA microarray. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. :19:161-167.

Marietto- Goncalves, G. A.; Almeida. S. M.; Lima, E. T. and And Andreatti Filho, R. L., (2010): Detectao de Echerichia coli e *Salmonella* spp. em microbiota intestinal de Psittaciformes em fase de reabilitacao para soltura. Brazilian Journal of Veterinary Research and Animal Sciences. 47(3): 185-189.

Matias, C. A. R.; Pereira, A.; Araújo, M.S.; Santos, A. F. M. ; Lopes, R. P. ;Christakis, S. ; Rodrigues, D. P. ; and Siciliano S. (2016): Characteristics of Salmonella spp. isolated from Wild Birds Confiscated in Illegal Trade Markets, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Hindawi publishing corporation Bio Med Research International, Article ID 3416864,

Meakins, S.; Fisher, I.S., and Berghold, C., (2008): Antimicrobial drugresistance in human nontyphoidal *Salmonella* isolates in Europe 2000-2004: a report from the Enter- net International Surveillance Network. Microb Drug Resist 14: 31-35.

NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards). (2007): Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals approved standards. 22 (1).

Oros, J.; Rodriguez, J. L.; Fernandez, A.; Herraez, **P.**; Espinosa, A. and Jacobson, E. R., (1998): Simultaneous Occurrence of Salmonella arizonae in sulpher crested cockatoo (Cacatua galerita) and iguanas. Avian Disease, 42: 818-823.

Oxoid Manual, (1983.): The Oxoid culture media, ingredients and other laboratory service. 5t1 Ed., Oxoid Limited England Koneman.

Piccirillo, A.: Mazzariol. **S**.: Caliari, D.; and Menandro, M. L., Salmonella Typhimurium (2010): Phage Type DT160 Infection in Two Moluccan Cockatoos (Cacatua *moluccensis*): Clinical Presentation and Pathology Avian Diseases 54(1):131-135.

Rahmani,M.; Peighambamari, S. M.; Yazdani, A. and Hojjati, P. (2011): Salmonella infection in birds kept in parks and pet shops in Tehran, Iran. International journal of veterinary Research. 5 (3): 145 – 148.

Rahn, K. S.; Grandis, S.A., and **Clarke, R. C.**, (**1992**): Amplification of an *inv* A sequence of *Salmonella* Typhimurium by polymerase chain reaction as a specific method of detection of *Salmonella*.

Ritchie, B. W.; Harrison, G. J. ; Harrison, L. R. (1994): Avian Medicine (eds): Principles and Application Lake Worth, Wingers Publishing, pp.951, 968, 978.

Sareyyüpoğlu, B.; Ok, A. Ç.; Cantekin, Z.; Yardımcı, H.; Akan, **M.:** and Akcay, A., (2008): Polymerase Chain Reaction Detection of Salmonella spp. in Fecal Samples of Pet Birds. Avian Diseases: Vol. 52, No. 1, pp. 163-16. Sawa, H.; Hirai, K.; Kinjo, T.; Shibata, I.; and Shimakura, S., SalmonellaTyphimurium (1981): infection in imported passerine and psittacines birds. Jpn. J. Vet. Sci. 43: 967-969.

Seepersadsingh, N. and Adesiyun A. A., (2003): Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance of Salmonella spp. in Pet Mammals, Reptiles, Fish Aquarium Water, and Trinidad. Birds in Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 50(10):488-493 Shahada, F.: Chuma, T.: Tobata, T.; Okamoto, K.; Suevoshi, M. and Takase, K., (2006): Molecular epidemiology of antimicrobial

resistance among *Salmonella* enterica serovar Infantis from poultry in Kagoshima, Japan. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 28: 302–307, 2006.

Shanmugasamy M, Velayutham T, Rajeswar J., (2011): *Inv* A gene specific PCR for detection of *Salmonella* from broilers. Vet. World ;4(12):562–564.

Siqueira R. A.S.; Maciel W. C., Vasconcelos,H.;Bezerra , W. G.A.;Lopes, E. S.; Machado, D. N.; F. and Lucena, R. B., (2017): Pathologic and microbiologic aspects of pet psittacineinfectedby Escherichiacoli and

Salmonella Typhimurium Pesq. Vet. Bras. vol. 37 no. 4 RiJaneiro.

Tizard, I.m., (2004): Salmonellosis in Wild Birds.Seminars in Avian and Exotic Pet Medicine, : 13, No 2 (April), 2004: pp 50-66.

Vigo, G. B.; Origlia, J.; Gornatti, D; Piscopo, M.; Salve, A. ; Caffer, M. I. ; Pichel, M. ; Binsztein, N. and Leotta, G. A. (2009): Isolation of *Salmonella*Typhimurium from dead blue and gold macaws (Ara aurauna). Avian Diseases. 53 (1): 135-138

Ward, M .P ; Ramer, J. C. ; Proudfoot , J. ; Garner, M.M.; Juan-Salles, C. and Wu, C. C.(2003): Outbreak of Salmonellosis in a zoologic Collection of Lorikeets and lories. Avian Diseases, 47: 493-498

Wilson, S.G. and Miles, A., (1975): Principles of bacteriology, virology and immunity 6 ed. The Wilkins Co., Baltimore. عزل سالمونيلا تيفميوريوم من بعض أنواع الببغاوات ورصد الجينات المقاومة للمضادات الحيوية في مصر

⁽¹⁾ داليا محمد الصياد، ⁽²⁾ عاطف محمد كامل، ⁽²⁾ محمد عبد الحليم جمال الدين، ⁽³⁾ عبد العظيم محمد الجمال، ⁽⁴⁾ عزة سعيد أحمد جودة ⁽¹⁾ قسم الحياة البرية- حجر بيطرى مطار القاهرة- ⁽²⁾ قسم الحياة البرية وحدائق الحيوان, ⁽³⁾ قسم البكتريا والمناعة والفطريات حجامعة قناة السويس- ⁽⁴⁾ مركز بحوث الصحراء - القاهرة

تم عمل هذه الدراسة لعزل وتصنيف السالمونيلا كواحدة من أهم الأمراض البكتيرية المشتركة على انواع مختلفة من طيور الببغاء . تم فحص 300 طائر تنتمى ل (15) نوع من طيور الببغاء من مصادر مختلفة (محلات طيور الزينة- مزارع خاصة للحياة البرية- طيور مرباة فى المنازل), كما تم تجميع (219) عينة براز, (72) مسحة من فتحة المجمع وعينات من الاعضاء الداخلية (الامعاء, الكبد, الرئه, الطحال والكلي) من 9 طيور نافقة وذلك لعزل ميكروب السالمونيلا بالطرق التقليدية وتأكيد العزل باجراء تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل باستخدام جين (An) مع اجراء اختبارات حساسية ورصد الجينات المقاومة للمضادات الحيوية.

أظهرت النتائج أن معدل الأصابه بالسالمونيلا كان3,33 % لعدد (10) عينات من اجمالى الطيور محل الدراسة وذلك من طيور سليمة ظاهريا تم شراؤها بطرق غير مشروعة أثناء فترة حظر استيراد الطيور: (5) طيور الحب، (4) طيور الدر الأسترالى و(1) طائر الروزيلا. كما نم تصنيف العترات المعزوله الى سالمونيلا تيفيميوريم وتم تأكيد العزل باستخدام تفاعل البلمره المتسلسل باستخدام جين (A). وكانت نتيجة اختبارات حساسية المضاد الحيوى أن المضادات الأكثر تاثيرا (100%) هى أموكسيسلين/ حمض الكلافولينك، سيبروفلوكساسين، جنتاميسين وأظهرت مقاومة (100%) لكل من دوكسيسيكلين وسلفا/تر ايميثوبريم . وعند عمل رصد للجينات المقاومة لتتراسيكلين، سلفوناميد 1/2 أظهرت النتائج وجود (6) عينات ايجابية لجين المقاومة تتراسيكلين (أ)، (7) عينات ايجابية لجين المقاومة تتر اسيكلين(ب)، (7) عينات ايجابية لجين المقاومة سلفوناميد (1) بينما ظهرت النتيجة سلبية لجين المقاومة تتر اسيكلين (2) فى جميع العينات .