EFFECT OF ORGANIC MANURES AND CHEMICAL FERTILIZERS ON FOENICULUM VULGARE, MILL AND CARUM CARVI, L.

Souzan M. Ibrahim¹, Hosny M. El-Labban², Fayez I. Mohamed³ and Neveen M. Naga²

¹Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

²Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

³Department of Horticulture Res. Inst., Agricultural Research Center, Egypt

تم دراسة تأثير التسميد العضوى والكيماوى على النمو الخضرى ومحصول الزيت ومكوناته لنباتى الشمر والكراوية فقد استخدمت ثلاثة تركيزات من كل سماد وهى سماد الماشيه بمعدل م' /فدان وسماد الدواجن بمعدل ر ، ر ر م' /فدان وخليط من السمادين (الماشية + الدواجن) بمعدل + + + م' /فدان وتم اضافة تلك الاسمدة للتربه وقت التحضير أما بالنسبه للتسميد الكيماوى فقد تم رشه على التربه مرتين بعد يوما من الزراعه

اسفرت النتاتئج عن ان استعمال سماد الماشيه بمعدل م' لهدان اعطت افضل القياسات على النمو الخضرى وكذا على انتاج الثمار لهدان فى النباتين موضوع الدراسه واعطت قيمه عاليه لكل من النيتروجين، الفسفور والبوتاسيوم فى الاوراق لنبات الشمر

أعلى انتاجيه للزيوت لثمار الشمر نتجت عن استعمال خليط سماد الماشيه والدواجن بمعدل + م' /فدان بالنسبه لثمار الكراويه نتجت عن استعمال سماد الدواجن بمعدل , م' /فدان وهذا التركيز ايضا اعطى اعلى قيمه لكل من النيتروجين ، الفسفور والبوتاسيوم

The effect of organic manures and chemical fertilizers on the vegetative growth and oil production and composition for both plants were studied. The experiments were carried out during two seasons of 2001/2002 and 2002/2003.

Three concentration from each of farmyard manure, FYM (8,12 and 16 m^3 /fed), chicken manure, CM (0.8, 1.2 and 1.6 m^3 /fed) and

Received in 12/3/2006 & Accepted in 4/6/2006

mixed manures of FYM + CM (4 + 0.4, 6 + 0.6 and 8 + 0.8 m³/fed) were added at the time of soil preparation. The chemical fertilizers N and K were used as soil dressing two times after 50 and 75 days from planting.

The results indicated that the use of FYM, 16 m^3 /fed treatment produced high vegetative growth and high fruit yield/fed in both plants as well as high values of NPK for fennel plant.

The high oil yield was produced from the use of the mixed treatment FYM + CM 4 + 0.4 m^3 /fed and by the use of CM, 1.2 m^3 /fed in case of fennel and caraway plants respectively. The dose of CM, 1.2 m^3 /fed with caraway also produce high values of NPK.

INTRODUCTION

Foeniculum vulgare, Mill (Fennel) and *Carum carvi*, L. (Caraway), Apiaceae family, are important medicinal and aromatic plants.¹ They are used as popular flavoring agents in culinary preparations, bread, pastry and confectionery.²

Fennel volatile oil is commonly used as antimicrobial, galactagogue, for estrogenic activities and as a remedy for jaundice and menstrual troubles. Fennel plant occupies a high position in exportation list of medicinal plants.³⁻⁶

Caraway fruits are traditionally used as a remedy for dyspepsia, intestinal colic and antispasmodic.^{7&8}

It was reported that the use of organic fertilizers as chicken, poultry, farmyard or cattle manures increased the vegetative growth as well as the contents of several aromatic plants.⁹⁻¹⁶

This investigation was conducted us to study the effect of application of organic manures and chemical Fertilizers on the vegetative growth of *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill and *Carum* *carvi*, L. plants as well as on oil yield and composition of their fruits.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was carried out during the period 2001-2003 in two successive seasons at the Farm of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Gemmiza (A.R.C.) Gharbieah Governorate.

The fruits of *Foeniculim vulgare*, Mill and *Carum Carvi*, L were sown on November 15^{th} in the first season and November 1^{st} in the second one.

The organic manures used were three doses of each of farmyard manure (FYM) 8, 12 and 16 m³/fed., chichen manure (CM) 0.8, 1.2 and 1.6 m³/fed. and mixed manures (FYM + CM) 4 + 0.4, 6 + 0.6, 8 + 0.8 m³/fed.

Before planting the calculated amount of organic manures and calcium superphosphate were added at the time of soil preparation. Chemical fertilizers (N in the form of ammonium sulphate 130 kg/fed and k as potassium sulphate 100 kg/fed)

were added also with calcium superphosphate as soil dressing after 50 and 75 days from planting. The experiments were designed in a complete randomize blocks with three replicates.

Control treatment was used without any addition. The plant height (cm), number of branches and umbles/ plant, plant fresh weight (g), umbles dry weight (g), weight of 100 fruits (g), weight of fruits yield/plant (g) and /fed. (Ton) were recorded at the full blooming of both plants.

The percentage of N, P and K elements were determined according to the literature procedures.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Volatile oils content of the fruits were determined by water distillation method²⁰ and GLC analysed using Hewlett Packard 5890 with flame ionization detection that was fitted with capillary column, coated with carbowax 20 M x 0.2 min. The operating conditions were injector temperature 250°. detector temperature 300°. Nitrogen was used as a carrier gas with flow rate 1 ml/min, for hydrogen was 30 ml/min. and for air was 300 ml/min. The peaks were recorded and the areas under peaks were determined using HP-integrator. Series Π apparatus. The oil components were comparing identified by their retention time to that of the authentic compounds.

The mean values of the treatments were compared by L.S.D. test

according to Snedecor and Cochran.²¹

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data in Tables (1 and 2) showed that all fertilization treatments significantly increased all the vegetative parameters for fennel plant in comparing with the control group for both seasons.

The highest values were noticed in both seasons with 16 m³/fed FYM treatments for all parameters except with number of umbles/plant and the weight of 100 fruits. The two latter parameters showed indicative values with the mixed manures FYM + CM at 4 : 0.4 and 8 : 0.8 m³/fed respectively in both seasons. Our results were in accordance with the findings of Mohamed and Ahmed,⁹ Migahed and El-Kased²² and Abd-El-Salam.²³

The recorded data in Table (3) indicated that the volatile oils content in fennel fruits were increased as a result of fertilization during the two experimental seasons. The most pronounced effect resulted from the mixed manures FYM + CM at the concentration ratio of $4 + 0.4 \text{ m}^2/\text{fed}$. The increase in volatile oil content was probably due to the increment in the metabolic activities. These results were in agreement with those of Jacoub¹³ on thyme and Aly²⁴ on fennel.

| | | | | Effe | ect on | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|---------|-----------|----------------|-----------|------------|
| Treatments | Plant height | No. of Plant N | | No. of | Weight of | Weight of | Fruits | Fruit |
| m ³ /fed | "cm" | branches/ | fresh | umbels/ | umbels/ | 100 fruits (g) | weight/ | yield/fed. |
| | CIII | plant | weight (g) | plant | plant (g) | 100 muits (g) | plant (g) | (ton) |
| Control | 116.67 | 9.50 | 516.67 | 38.8 | 87.3 | 0.86 | 37.58 | 0.563 |
| FYM, 8 | 127.00 | 14.33 | 520.67 | 52.3 | 132.0 | 0.89 | 59.50 | 0.892 |
| 12 | 135.00 | 14.17 | 591.33 | 39.0 | 122.3 | 0.93 | 53.00 | 0.783 |
| 16 | 186.67 | 14.77 | 881.67 | 39.2 | 162.0 | 1.03 | 117.53 | 1.763 |
| CM, 0.8 | 184.33 | 12.83 | 662.17 | 48.2 | 112.7 | 0.97 | 81.27 | 1.219 |
| 1.2 | 144.67 | 14.50 | 689.0 | 52.5 | 142.0 | 1.01 | 103.00 | 1.545 |
| 1.6 | 157.67 | 13.33 | 813.33 | 36.2 | 152.0 | 0.89 | 110.27 | 1.654 |
| FYM + CM, 4 + 0.4 | 158.33 | 14.3 | 729.17 | 56.2 | 135.3 | 0.90 | 98.18 | 1.473 |
| 6 + 0.6 | 163.33 | 11.17 | 745.0 | 45.8 | 132.7 | 0.92 | 68.92 | 1.034 |
| 8 + 0.8 | 135.17 | 12.83 | 735.83 | 47.2 | 137.7 | 1.07 | 99.88 | 1.498 |
| NPK | 170.33 | 11.17 | 795.83 | 42.3 | 114.0 | 1.00 | 82.71 | 1.241 |
| L.S.D. (5%) | 6.51 | 1.99 | 15.43 | 4.89 | 36.42 | 0.139 | 10.32 | 0.069 |
| L.S.D. (1%) | 8.85 | 2.67 | 17.93 | 6.05 | 41.73 | 0.189 | 14.71 | 0.094 |

Table 1: Effect of fertilization treatments on the vegetative growth of *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill in the first season 2001/2002.

| | | | | Eff | ect on | | | |
|-------------------|--------|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Treatments | Plant | No. of | Plant | No. of | Weight of | Weight | Fruits | Fruit |
| (m^3/fed) | height | branches/ | fresh | umbels/ | mbels/ | of 100 | weight/ | yield/fed. |
| | "cm" | plant | weight (g) | plant | plant (g) | fruits (g) | plant (g) | (ton) |
| Control | 161.33 | 8.50 | 478.43 | 34.7 | 97.8 | 1.12 | 42.67 | 0.640 |
| FYM, 8 | 190.17 | 12.67 | 695.42 | 50.7 | 174.7 | 1.14 | 113.68 | 1.705 |
| 12 | 167.17 | 11.50 | 675.75 | 50.0 | 142.3 | 1.22 | 105.12 | 1.577 |
| 16 | 195.89 | 11.87 | 1087.10 | 81.5 | 198.7 | 1.29 | 146.41 | 2.196 |
| CM, 0.8 | 194.50 | 11.03 | 896.67 | 71.5 | 188.5 | 0.98 | 123.33 | 1.850 |
| 1.2 | 190.50 | 11.83 | 808.33 | 77.5 | 161.3 | 1.14 | 126.97 | 1.905 |
| 1.6 | 188.50 | 11.25 | 963.75 | 67.2 | 193.5 | 1.20 | 142.71 | 2.141 |
| FYM + CM, 4 + 0.4 | 190.00 | 11.50 | 956.67 | 87.0 | 182.9 | 1.18 | 134.58 | 2.019 |
| 6 + 0.6 | 181.50 | 10.17 | 773.33 | 66.7 | 190.0 | 1.25 | 140.49 | 2.108 |
| 8 + 0.8 | 169.17 | 11.33 | 733.33 | 67.7 | 182.2 | 1.34 | 134.57 | 2.019 |
| NPK | 187.83 | 12.00 | 949.50 | 86.0 | 191.0 | 1.27 | 141.23 | 2.119 |
| L.S.D. (5) | 4.40 | 1.75 | 56.96 | 4.66 | 44.88 | 0.34 | 5.39 | 0.225 |
| L.S.D. (1%) | 5.98 | 2.34 | 68.43 | 6.11 | 53.76 | 0.46 | 8.62 | 0.303 |

Table 2: Effect of Fertilization treatments on the vegetative growth of *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill in the second season 2002/2003.

| Treatments | First | seasons 200 |)1/2002 | Seco | nd seasons 2 | 002/2003 |
|-----------------------|-------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| (m ³ /fed) | Oil % | Oil yield/ Plant (ml) | Oil yield/ fed. (L) | Oil % | Oil yield/ plant (ml) | Oil yield/ fed. (L) |
| Control | 1.00 | 0.38 | 5.65 | 1.10 | 0.47 | 7.05 |
| FYM, 8 | 1.22 | 0.73 | 10.90 | 1.38 | 1.57 | 23.55 |
| 12 | 2.80 | 0.44 | 6.60 | 2.69 | 2.20 | 32.95 |
| 16 | 1.66 | 1.95 | 29.25 | 1.54 | 2.25 | 33.80 |
| CM, 0.8 | 1.40 | 1.13 | 17.00 | 1.50 | 1.85 | 27.75 |
| 1.2 | 1.68 | 1.73 | 25.95 | 1.76 | 2.24 | 33.55 |
| 1.6 | 2.00 | 2.21 | 33.10 | 1.92 | 2.74 | 41.10 |
| FYM + CM, 4 + 0.4 | 2.88 | 2.63 | 39.45 | 2.80 | 3.66 | 56.55 |
| 6 + 0.6 | 1.96 | 1.35 | 20.25 | 1.62 | 2.28 | 34.15 |
| 8 +0.8 | 1.69 | 1.69 | 25.30 | 1.86 | 2.51 | 37.60 |
| NPK | 2.68 | 2.22 | 33.25 | 2.44 | 3.45 | 51.70 |
| L.S.D. (5%) | 0.346 | 0.396 | 2.21 | 0.219 | 099 | 2.11 |
| L.S.D. (1%) | 0.491 | 0.544 | 3.40 | 0.372 | 1.33 | 2.26 |

Table 3: Effect of fertilization treatments on volatile oil percentage, oil yield/plant and oil yield/feddan of *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill fruits during the two seasons of 2001/2002 and 2002/2003.

GLC analysis of the volatile oil fruits samples during the second seasons Table (4) indicated that α -pinene, limonene, linalool, methyl chavicol and anethol were identified in all treatments. These results were in agreement with the results obtaned by Guenther² and Sakr¹⁴ on mentha and Mohsen²⁶ on sweet basil.

Methyl chavicol was the main component in all the tested treatments as it showed the highest values in relation to the other components. The highest percentage of methyl chavicol was obtained from CM 1.6 m³/fed treatment NPK was the most effective treatments on the linalool and anethol content for all the tested oils. The highest values of α -pinene were observed with the mixed treatment of $6 + 0.6 \text{ m}^3/\text{fed FYM} + \text{CM}$, while that of limonene were noticed at FYM, 8 m³/fed.

The effect of fertilization treatments on nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium percentage of fennel leaves in the two experimental seasons are presented in Table (5). The highest level of both N and P were observed with FYM at 16 m^3 /fed treatment, while CM, 0.8 m^3 /fed treatment showed the highest K percentage.

As regard the effect of fertilization treatments on the vegetative growth of caraway during both seasons, it is

| | Retent- | | | | | % of | componen | ts in the tr | eatments (| m ³ /fed) | | | |
|----|---------|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------------|------------|----------------------|----------|---------|--------|
| No | ion | Identification | Control | | FYM | | | СМ | | F | FYM + CM | | NPK |
| | time | | Control | 8 | 12 | 16 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 4 + 0.4 | 6 + 0.6 | 8 + 0.8 | INI K |
| 1 | 3.295 | α-pinene | 0.679 | 0.999 | 0.683 | 0.454 | 0.454 | 0.813 | 0,476 | 0.580 | 1.279 | 0.377 | 0.483 |
| 2 | 3.709 | Unknown | 0.111 | | 0.1036 | | | | 0.168 | 0.256 | | 0.252 | |
| 3 | 4.200 | Fenchone | | 1.202 | 0.785 | | | | | 0.194 | | | 1.53 |
| 4 | 4.573 | Unknown | 0.491 | | 0.218 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 5.529 | Unknown | 0.354 | | | | | | | 0.141 | | | |
| 6 | 5.972 | Limonene | 10.722 | 12.794 | 8.714 | 7.818 | 6.841 | 6.068 | 7.711 | 7.030 | 7.803 | 5.752 | 4.074 |
| 7 | 6.257 | Unknown | 0.469 | 0.495 | 0.455 | | | | | | 0.178 | 0.412 | 1.216 |
| 8 | 8.967 | Linalool | 2.991 | 1.521 | 2.711 | 4.772 | 4.739 | 3.343 | 3.208 | 3.614 | 3.048 | 4.374 | 4.822 |
| 9 | 15.754 | Methyl chavicol | 79.353 | 80.285 | 82.216 | 82.866 | 83.274 | 83.077 | 85.057 | 84.913 | 83.065 | 84.144 | 81.927 |
| 10 | 16.671 | Unknown | 1.870 | | 0.195 | 0.710 | 0.366 | 1.067 | 0.781 | 0.709 | 1.641 | 0.372 | 0.166 |
| 11 | 19.351 | Anethol | 2.346 | 1.658 | 0.587 | 0.891 | 0.813 | 2.613 | 0.574 | 1.502 | 1.988 | 0.420 | 2.691 |
| 12 | 27.801 | Unknown | 0.246 | 0.186 | 0.656 | 1.152 | 0.932 | 0.185 | | 0.232 | | 0.905 | 0.017 |
| 13 | 29.004 | Unknown | 0.176 | 0.369 | | 0.206 | 0.644 | 0.492 | 1.241 | 0.720 | 0.410 | 0.106 | 0.028 |

Table 4: Effect of different fertilization treatments on the percentage of volatile oil components of *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill fruits during the second season of 2002/2003.

| Treatments | First sea | asons 200 | 01/2002 | Second | seasons 2 | 2002/2003 |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| (m^3/fed) | N% | P% | K% | N% | P% | K% |
| Control | 1.89 | 0.16 | 1.4 | 1.81 | 0.12 | 0.9 |
| FYM, 8 | 1.83 | 0.19 | 1.3 | 2.04 | 0.16 | 1.4 |
| 12 | 3.70 | 0.35 | 3.3 | 3.09 | 0.35 | 2.4 |
| 16 | 3.64 | 0.28 | 1.9 | 3.03 | 0.27 | 2.0 |
| CM, 0.8 | 3.42 | 0.22 | 3.4 | 2.46 | 0.2 | 2.5 |
| 1.2 | 2.81 | 0.18 | 1.44 | 2.39 | 0.19 | 2.0 |
| 1.6 | 2.57 | 0.22 | 1.2 | 2.85 | 0.2 | 1.7 |
| FYM + CM, 4 + 0.4 | 3.46 | 0.29 | 2.3 | 2.68 | 0.29 | 2.3 |
| 6 + 0.6 | 2.43 | 0.19 | 1.2 | 2.03 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| 8 +0.8 | 2.12 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0.25 | 1.9 |
| NPK | 2.28 | 0.28 | 1.9 | 2.36 | 0.22 | 1.8 |

Table 5: Effect of fertilization treatments on N, P and K percentage of
Foeniculum vulgare, Mill leaves during the two seasons of 2001/2002
and 2002/2003.

tabulated in Tables (6 and 7). The results indicated that all the treatments were increased the studied vegetative parameters. Farmvard manure was the most effective treatment when applied at the rate of 16 m^3 /fed. Our results were in accordance with the findings of Fiad²⁶ on caraway, Aly et al.²⁷ and Abd El-Kader²⁸ on coriander and anise.

Table (8) clearly showed that the volatile oils percentage and yields were significantly increased with the application of different fertilization treatments. In all cases supplying plants with CM at 1.2 m³/fed gave significantly higher oil percentage and yield in both seasons. The previous results were agreed with those obtained by Abd El-Salam,²³ Hammam²⁹ and Abd El-Wahab³⁰ on fennel, anise and nigella.

Carvone, limonene and α -pinene were noticed in all treatments of the GLC volatile oil analysis of the samples taken from the second season Table (9). The table also clearly indicated that carvone was the main component of caraway oil and the highest percent of it was obtained from the mixed manures FYM + CM, 4 + 0.4 m³/fed while the lowest percentage was obtained from FYM, 12 m³/fed treatments. Limonene was the second component of the oil, it was observed in high percentage by the NPK treatment. This treatment also showed higher percentage of α pinene which exhibited relatively small percentage in the oil.

Our results were in agreement with those of Khattab and Omer.³¹

The effect of fertilization treatments on the NPK in the leaves of caraway is presented in Table (10).

| | | | | Ef | fect on | | | |
|-------------------|--------|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Treatments | Plant | No. of | Plant | No. of | Weight of | Weight | Fruits | Fruit |
| (m^3/fed) | height | branches/ | fresh | umbels/ | mbels/ | of 100 | weight/ | yield/fed. |
| | "cm" | plant | weight (g) | plant | plant (g) | fruits (g) | plant (g) | (ton) |
| Control | 101.67 | 9.00 | 319.0 | 47.33 | 70.67 | 0.576 | 48.67 | 0.584 |
| FYM, 8 | 112.17 | 10.50 | 355.33 | 80.83 | 90.67 | 0.561 | 63.50 | 0.762 |
| 12 | 110.00 | 9.50 | 550.0 | 66.67 | 104.00 | 0.578 | 73.67 | 0.884 |
| 16 | 121.67 | 11.67 | 658.33 | 131.00 | 134.33 | 1.073 | 99.33 | 1.192 |
| CM, 0.8 | 116.67 | 11.00 | 401.67 | 82.88 | 80.50 | 0.572 | 58.00 | 0.695 |
| 1.2 | 112.67 | 10.00 | 371.67 | 56.67 | 126.17 | 0.614 | 92.00 | 1.104 |
| 1.6 | 111.00 | 11.00 | 341.0 | 72.67 | 89.17 | 0.627 | 67.67 | 0.812 |
| FYM + CM, 4 + 0.4 | 112.67 | 8.50 | 450.00 | 78.83 | 92.67 | 0.749 | 69.33 | 0.832 |
| 6 + 0.6 | 106.67 | 10.00 | 323.33 | 66.50 | 71.00 | 0.634 | 55.33 | 0.664 |
| 8 + 0.8 | 115.00 | 9.17 | 463.33 | 81.33 | 76.67 | 0.645 | 54.67 | 0.656 |
| NPK | 116.00 | 9.67 | 296.67 | 75.17 | 80.00 | 0.699 | 55.00 | 0.660 |
| L.S.D. (5%) | 8.09 | 1.17 | 30.98 | 9.38 | 12.92 | 0.073 | 9.11 | 0.086 |
| L.S.D. (1%) | 11.01 | 1.59 | 41.89 | 12.75 | 17.56 | 0.134 | 12.37 | 0.123 |

 Table 6: Effect of fertilization treatments on the vegetative growth of Carum carvi, L. in the first season 2001/2002.

| | | | | Effe | ct on | | | |
|-------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Treatments | Plant | No. of | Plant fresh | No. of | Weight | Weight | Fruits | Fruit |
| (m^3/fed) | height | branches/ | weight (g) | umbels/ | of mbels/ | of 100 | weight/ | yield/fed. |
| | "cm" | plant | weight (g) | plant | plant (g) | fruits (g) | plant (g) | (ton) |
| Control | 117.33 | 10.83 | 325.0 | 52.17 | 74.00 | 0.632 | 54.88 | 0.658 |
| FYM, 8 | 124.83 | 10.50 | 365.33 | 85.17 | 95.70 | 0.805 | 69.13 | 0.829 |
| 12 | 122.90 | 10.57 | 564.67 | 68.33 | 108.60 | 1.219 | 79.1 | 0.949 |
| 16 | 134.83 | 12.50 | 676.0 | 136.72 | 139.33 | 1.274 | 105.7 | 1.268 |
| CM, 0.8 | 122.67 | 11.17 | 406.83 | 85.17 | 86.50 | 0.843 | 62.17 | 0.758 |
| 1.2 | 125.67 | 10.33 | 386.00 | 61.67 | 132.07 | 1.094 | 97.23 | 1.166 |
| 1.6 | 129.83 | 10.83 | 347.00 | 77.00 | 91.58 | 0.981 | 82.23 | 0.986 |
| FYM + CM, 4 + 0.4 | 125.00 | 11.73 | 442.20 | 79.83 | 92.92 | 0.815 | 72.43 | 0.869 |
| 6 + 0.6 | 129.50 | 10.67 | 332.82 | 74.17 | 102.23 | 0.779 | 54.67 | 0.656 |
| 8 + 0.8 | 125.00 | 10.50 | 483.83 | 84.00 | 82.95 | 0.967 | 58.4 | 0.701 |
| NPK | 122.83 | 10.33 | 356.5 | 79.89 | 87.33 | 0.788 | 60.63 | 0.727 |
| L.S.D. (5%) | 8.07 | 1.55 | 26.340 | 11.14 | 14.84 | 0.236 | 8.65 | 0.271 |
| L.S.D. (1%) | 10.97 | 2.11 | 35.646 | 15.14 | 20.17 | 0.287 | 12.47 | 0.373 |

 Table 7: Effect of fertilization treatments on the vegetative growth of Carum carvi, L. in the second season 2002/2003.

| | First | seasons 200 | 01/2002 | Secon | nd seasons 2 | 2002/2003 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Treatments (m ³ /fed) | Oil % | Oil yield/ plant (ml) | Oil yield/ fed. (L) | Oil % | Oil yield/ plant (ml) | Oil yield/ fed. (L) |
| Control | 1.10 | 0.54 | 6.44 | 1.20 | 0.66 | 7.92 |
| FYM, 8 | 2.24 | 1.42 | 17.04 | 2.08 | 1.44 | 17.24 |
| 12 | 2.10 | 1.55 | 18.56 | 1.92 | 1.52 | 18.24 |
| 16 | 2.48 | 2.46 | 29.56 | 2.12 | 2.24 | 26.92 |
| CM, 0.8 | 1.96 | 1.14 | 13.68 | 2.00 | 1.26 | 15.16 |
| 1.2 | 3.00 | 2.54 | 30.48 | 2.80 | 2.43 | 29.16 |
| 1.6 | 2.88 | 1.95 | 23.4 | 2.66 | 2.19 | 26.32 |
| FYM + CM, 4 + 0.4 | 1.50 | 1.04 | 15.52 | 1.78 | 1.29 | 15.48 |
| 6 + 0.6 | 2.50 | 1.38 | 16.64 | 2.22 | 1.21 | 14.56 |
| 8 + 0.8 | 2.20 | 1.20 | 14.44 | 1.94 | 1.13 | 13.6 |
| NPK | 2.76 | 1.65 | 19.8 | 2.75 | 1.61 | 19.28 |
| L.S.D. (5%) | 0.37 | 0.163 | 2.77 | 0.31 | 0.199 | 3.21 |
| L.S.D. (1%) | 0.48 | 0.223 | 2.96 | 0.42 | 0.277 | 3.54 |

Table 8: Effect of fertilization treatments on volatile oil percentage, oilyield/plant and oil yield/feddan of *Carum carvi*, L. fruits during the twoseasons of 2001/2002 and 2002/2003.

| | | | | | | % of the | dentified | component | s in treatme | nts m ³ /fed | | | |
|----|----------------|---------------------|---------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|----------|---------|--------|
| No | Retent- ion | Identificat- ion | Control | | | FYM | | СМ | | | FYM + CM | | |
| | time | 1011 | Control | 8 | 12 | 16 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 4 + 0.4 | 6 + 0.6 | 8 + 0.8 | NPK |
| 1 | 3.895 | α-pinene | 0.268 | 0.227 | 0.276 | 0.269 | 0.282 | 1.188 | 0.236 | 0.244 | 0.222 | 0.237 | 0.374 |
| 2 | 5.455 | Limonene | 19.274 | 19.427 | 23.783 | 18.274 | 18.437 | 16.824 | 20.141 | 15.843 | 17.330 | 20.807 | 26.187 |
| 3 | 6.303 | Unknown | 0.685 | 0.733 | 0.333 | 1.012 | 0.905 | | | 0.445 | | 0.460 | |
| 4 | 9.841 | Unknown | 0.397 | 0.615 | 0.614 | 0.619 | 0.343 | 0.495 | 0.451 | 0.496 | 0.335 | 0.366 | |
| 5 | 11.970 | Unknown | | | 0.059 | | 0.087 | | | 0.075 | 0.054 | 0.060 | 0.060 |
| 6 | 14.463 | Unknown | 0.537 | 0.653 | 0.520 | 0.545 | 0.540 | 0.412 | 0.485 | 0.473 | 0.420 | 0.528 | 0.471 |
| 7 | 18.463 | Carvone | 77.811 | 77.945 | 71.652 | 78.462 | 79.083 | 81.745 | 77.992 | 82.220 | 81.353 | 79.945 | 72.035 |
| 8 | 23.292 | Unknown | | | 0.053 | 0.037 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 24.673 | Unknown | 0.140 | 0.189 | | 0.130 | 0.107 | 0.065 | 0.139 | | 0.064 | 0.109 | 0.125 |
| 10 | 28.732 | Unknown | 0.097 | 0.138 | 0.748 | | | 0.098 | 0.051 | | 0.088 | | |

Table 9: Effect of different fertilization treatments on the volatile oil components of *Carum carvi*, *L*. fruits during the second season of 2002/2003.

| Treatments | First sea | asons 200 | 1/2002 | Second seasons 2002/2003 | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------------------------|------|-----|--|
| m ³ /fed | N% | P% | K% | N% | P% | K% | |
| Control | 2.09 | 0.19 | 2.0 | 2.27 | 0.25 | 2.4 | |
| FYM, 8 | 2.20 | 0.30 | 402 | 2.73 | 0.32 | 4.5 | |
| 12 | 2.30 | 0.24 | 3.9 | 2.20 | 0.22 | 3.6 | |
| 16 | 3.63 | 0.32 | 4.8 | 2.73 | 0.27 | 4.4 | |
| CM, 0.8 | 3.39 | 0.33 | 4.7 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 4.5 | |
| 1.2 | 3.84 | 0.37 | 4.9 | 3.98 | 0.33 | 4.6 | |
| 1.6 | 3.10 | 0.25 | 3.1 | 3.76 | 0.30 | 3.8 | |
| FYM + CM, 4 + 0.4 | 2.80 | 0.30 | 3.7 | 3.43 | 0.32 | 3.9 | |
| 6 + 0.6 | 3.46 | 0.23 | 3.1 | 3.18 | 0.28 | 3.6 | |
| 8 +0.8 | 3.30 | 0.25 | 3.2 | 3.93 | 0.28 | 3.8 | |
| NPK | 3.13 | 0.30 | 4.7 | 2.68 | 0.28 | 4.2 | |

Table 10: Effect of fertilization treatment on n, p and k percentage of *Carumcarvi*, L. leaves during the two seasons of 2001/2002 and 2002/2003.

CM, 1.2 m³/fed treatment was the most effective one, as it showedhigher percentage of NPK content.

REFERENCES

- G. E. Trease and W. C. Evans, "Text Book of Pharmacognosy", 13th Ed. Bailiere Tindall, London NWI 70x, England, 1989, pp. 435-440
- 2- E. Guenther, "The Essential Oils", Vols. I and IV, 4th Ed., D. van Nostrand Company, Inc. Princeton, New Jersey, Toronto, New York, London (1961).
- 3- I. Morelli, E. Bonar, A. M. Pagni and P. E. Tomei, Schoool of Specialization Science and Technology of Medicinal Plants, Fac. of Pharmacy, University of

Pisa, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Rome, 1983, pp. 16-63.

- 4- F. T. K. Hussein, Medicinal Plants in Libya, 462 (1985).
- 5- Y. Albert, "Encyclopidia of Common Natural Ingredients Used in Food, Drugs, and Cosmetics", Awiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1980, pp. 169-171.
- 6- M. Y. M. Ali, "Physiological Studies on *Foeniculum vulgare* Mill. Plant Under Sinai Conditions", M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt (2002).
- J. Lawless, "The Encylopoaedia of Essential Oils, the Complete Guide to the Use of Aromatics in Aromatherapy Herbalism", Health & Well Being Element-Shaftesbury, Dorse-Rochport,

Massachusetts Brisbane, Queensland (1992).

- 8- B. Golambosi and P. Peura, J. Essent. Oil Res., 8, 389 (1996).
- 9- A. A. Mohamed and M. E. Ahmed, Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Dev., 22, 221 (2002).
- M. A. Abdou and M. A. H. Mahmoud, J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28, 3857 (2003).
- U. R. Khandkar and K. B. Nigam, Ind. of Agric., Sci., 66, 549 (1996), (Hort. Abst., 67, 3440).
- 12- E. A. E. El-Ghadban, "Effect of Some Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer on Growth, Oil Yield and Chemical Composition of Spearmint and Majoram Plants", Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt (1998).
- 13- R. W. Jacoub, "Effect Of Some Organic and in Organic Fertilizers on Growth, Oil Yield and Chemical Composition of *Ocimum basilicum* L. and *Thymus vulgaris* L. Plants", Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt (1999).
- 14- W. R. Sakr, " Effect of Some Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on *Mentha pipertia*.", M.Sc. Thesis, Fac., Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt (2001).
- 15- S. A. El-Gendy, A. M. Hosni, S. S. Ahmed and M. Salri, Ann. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 46, 319 (2001).
- 16- M. Helmy and S. S. Zarad, J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28, 3911 (2003).

- 17- C. A. Black, "Methods of Soil Analysis", Part 1 and 2. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Inc. Pulp., Madison, Wise U.S.A (1983).
- 18- F. S. Watanabe and S. R. Olsen, Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining phosphours in water and NaHCO₃ extracts from soil. Soil Science Society Proceeding, 1965, pp. 677-678.
- 19- L. A. Richards, "Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkaline Oils", U.S.D.A. Agric. Hand Book No. 60. Gov. Print. Off (1954).
- 20- S. I. Balbaa, S. H. Hilal and A. Y. Zaki, "Medicinal Plants Constituents", 3rd Ed., General Organization for Univ. Books, Cairo, Egypt, 1981, p. 644.
- 21- G. W. Snedecor and W. G. Cochran, "Statistical Methods", 7th Iowa State, Univ. Press. Amer. Iowa. U.S.A (1980).
- 22- H. A. M. Migahed and F. A. El-Kased, J. Appl. Sci., 13, 327 (1998).
- 23- I. Z. Abd El-Salam, "Physiological Studies on Fennel Plant (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill)", Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt (1999).
- 24- M. S. Aly, J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 28, 3215 (2003).
- 25- M. M. Mohsen, "Sweet Basil Herb and Oil Production as Affected by Chemical and Organic Fertilization", M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt (2002).

- 26- A. M. Fiad, "Physiological Study on Caraway", M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ. (1993).
- 27- S. A. Aly, R. K. S. Tomar and K. N. Maurya, Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patika, 9, 241 (1994), (Hort. Abst., 66, 4434).
- 28- A. Abd-El-Kader, "Nitrogen Nutrition of Fennel (*Foeniculum* vulgare, Mill) and Anise (*Pimpinella anisum*, L.) and Their Effects on Growth and Essential Oil Contents", M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt (1999).
- 29- K. A. Hmmam, "Effect of Nitrogenous Fertilization and Irrigation on Growth, Yield and Active Constituents of Anise Plants (*Pimpinella anisum*, L.)", M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt (1996).
- 30- M. A. Abd El-Wahab, "Effect of Chemical Fertilization on *Cuminum cyminum* L. and *Nigella sativa* L. Plants Under North Sinai Condition", M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt (1997).
- 31- M. E. Khattab and E. A. Omer, J. Hort., 26, 249 (1999).