

Quantitative and Qualitative Studies on Enterobacteriaceae in Ground Beef

Abdelrahman H.; Meawad, A and Shaheen, H

Faculty of veterinary Medicine-Food Hygiene Department -Suez Canal University

Abstract:

120 packages of ground beef (40 each of fresh, frozen and frozen with Soya bean) were examined for the incidence of Enterobacteriaceae, Salmonella and *E. coli*. The incidence of Enterobacteriaceae was 100%, 75% and 87% in fresh, frozen and frozen with Soya ground beef respectively; and the mean counts were 6.3×10^4 cfu/g, 1.4×10^2 cfu/g and 1.6×10^3 cfu/g respectively. *E. coli*, *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Serratia liquefaiens* could be isolated from fresh ground beef; *Proteus vulgaris*, *E. coli* and *Enterobacter sakazakii* was isolated from frozen ground beef, while in case of frozen ground beef with Soya bean *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *E. coli* and *Pantoea agglomerans* was isolated. The incidence of *E. coli* was 60%, 35%, 48% in fresh, frozen and frozen ground beef with Soya bean respectively. The incidence of Salmonella was 0%, 10%, 15% in fresh, frozen and frozen ground beef with Soya bean respectively. *E. coli* strains were serologically identified into 20 O-typable strains and 37 O- untypable strains. The 20 O-typable strains were identified as O₈₆, O₁₁₉, O₁₅₈, O₁₄₅ and O₁₁₅.

Key words: Ground beef, Enterobacteriaceae, *E. coli*, Salmonella

Introduction:

Meat and meat products are considered as an ideal culture medium for growth of many organisms (Gracey, 1986). Contamination of raw meat is one of the main sources of foodborne illnesses (Bhandare et al, 2007; Podpecan et al, 2007). Changes in eating habits, mass catering, unsafe food storage conditions and poor hygiene practices are major contributing factors to food associated illnesses (Hedberg et al,

1992). Ground beef is either pure ground beef with or without any additives or with Soya bean protein (EOS, 2005). Enterobacteriaceae group has an epidemiological interest and importance as some of them are pathogenic and may cause serious infections and/or food poisoning. It is the most challenging bacterial contaminant to raw and processed meat products worldwide. Salmonella, *E. coli*, *Proteus*, and *Klebsiella species* are the most predominant species in all

food poisoning cases associated with some meat products. (*Mercuri and Cox, 1979; Ternstro`m and Molin, 1987*) Although more attention is generally paid to the pathogenic properties of particular genera of Enterobacteriaceae, some members of the family constitute an important spoilage group when conditions favour their growth (*Stanbridge and Davies, 1998; Nychas et al, 2008*). Foodborne pathogens are the leading causes of illness and death in developing countries costing billions of dollars in medical care, medical and social costs (*Fratnico et al, 2005*) A number of high-profile outbreaks of foodborne illnesses have been associated with meat products. Wider recognition of the importance of emerging pathogens such as *E. coli* O157:H7 have increased consumer and public health concerns about the possible contamination of such products, with such undesirable pathogens. Most people are aware of the existence of *Escherichia coli* in ground beef. It is a very common form of bacteria that causes people to get sick. The bacteria live in the intestines of both animals and humans, and can transfer easily between them when proper food preparation methods are not employed. It can cause problems with the functioning of the digestive system and can severely affect bowel movements (*Witherspoon, 2011*) *E. coli* can get into meat during processing. If the

contaminated ground beef is cooked to a degree less than 71°C, the bacteria can survive and cause several health problems and even death. It leads to a severe diarrhea in infants and travelers, minor discomfort to sever cholera like disease, as well as food poisoning manifestations among adults (*Frazier and Westhoff 1988; Mackie and Mecartney, 1989*). Salmonella is one of the most important pathogenic genera implicated in foodborne bacterial outbreaks and diseases (*Gouws, Visser and Bro`zel, 1998*). There are several transmission routes for Salmonellosis, but the majority of human infections are derived from the consumption of contaminated meat and meat products (*Hernandez et al, 2005*) (*Chittick et al, 2006*) .Therefore the aim of the present study was carried out to evaluate the load of Enterobacteriaceae and the incidence of *E. coli* and salmonella in ground beef.

Materials and Methods:

Collection of samples: A total of 120 packages of ground beef (40 each of fresh, frozen and frozen with Soya bean) were collected from different local retailers in Ismailia province.

Preparation of samples: All samples were prepared according to the technique recommended by *APHA (2001)*. 25g from each sample were transferred under aseptic condition to a sterile polyethylene bag containing 225mL

of 0.1% sterile buffered peptone water. The content of the bag was then homogenized using stomacher (*Lab. Blender 400, Seward Lab, London*) to have a dilution of 10^{-1} then further serial dilutions were carried out till 10^{-7} .

Determination of total Enterobacteriaceae count: were determined by the technique recommended by *ISO (2004)*

Identification of isolates: was carried out by using API-20E system

Isolation of *E. coli*: was carried out according to the method recommended by *ICMSF (1996)*.

Isolation of Salmonella: was carried out according to the method recommended by *ISO (2002b)*.

Serological identification of *E. coli* and Salmonella was carried out at Animal Health Research institute in Ismailia province.

Results and Discussion:

Enterobacteriaceae are wide spread in the environment and taken as useful indicators of hygiene and post processing contamination of processed meat. Furthermore, their count can be taken as an indicator of possible enteric contamination in the absence of coliforms even in low number.

The results reported in table (1) revealed that the incidence of Enterobacteriaceae in fresh, frozen and frozen with soya ground beef samples was 100%, 75% and 87% respectively. Nearly similar results were obtained by *Lindberg et al. (1998)* and *Ali et al. (2010)*. Such

results of high incidence of Enterobacteriaceae in ground beef were attributed due to unhygienic handling during processing, storage and distribution. Also addition of certain additives to meat products may lead to marked increase in the bacterial population (*Sharaf, 1999*). The results recorded in table (2) showed the mean values of Enterobacteriaceae count in ground beef were $6.3 \times 10^4 \pm 2.8 \times 10^4$ cfu/g for fresh ground beef, $1.4 \times 10^2 \pm 3 \times 10$ cfu/g for frozen ground beef and $1.6 \times 10^3 \pm 4.15 \times 10$ cfu/g for frozen ground beef with soya bean respectively.

The results were nearly similar to those reported by *Lindberg et al. (1998)* also by *Crowley et al. (2005)* in case of packaged minced beef samples. While lower results were recorded by *Gustavsson & Borch (1993)* and *Murray et al. (2001)*. However higher findings were obtained by *Crowley et al. (2005)* in case of fresh, unpackaged, ground beef samples.

The variation in the results between different authors may be due to the differences in manufacture practices, storage conditions, handling and the effectiveness of hygienic measures applied during production.

The frequency distribution of Enterobacteriaceae among the examined samples of fresh ground beef as given in table (3) revealed that *E. coli*, *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Serratia liquefaiens* were isolated at

incidence of 12%, 50%, 25% and 13% respectively and that given in Table (4) revealed that *Proteus vulgaris*, *E. coli* and *Enterobacter sakazakii* were isolated at incidence of 52%, 24% and 24% respectively in frozen ground beef, while that given in table (5) revealed that *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *E. coli* and *Pantoea agglomerans* were isolated at incidence of 13%, 50%, 12%, 13% and 12% respectively in frozen ground beef with soya bean. The obtained results were nearly similar to those reported by *Stiles and Ng (1981)*, *Ali et al (2010)* and *Doulgeraki et al (2011)*.

Serratia liquefaciens was known to proliferate in refrigerated foods (*Drosinos and Board, 1995*), and is frequently found to predominate in ground beef. *S. liquefaciens* and other *Serratia* spp. are considered to be opportunistic pathogens but have as yet not been implicated in diarrheal diseases and could be isolated only from fresh ground beef. *Citrobacter freundii* has been involved in a case of severe gastroenteritis and meat food has been identified as a vehicle of transmission (*Thurm and Gericke, 1994* and *Tscha'pe et al, 1995*)

Results given in table (6) revealed that the incidence of *E. coli* in fresh, frozen, and frozen with soya bean ground beef was 60%, 35%, 48% respectively.

These results were nearly similar to those obtained by *Hassan (1986)* and *Hussein & Bollinger*

(2005). Lower results were reported by *Doyle and Schaeni (1987)*, *Mousa et al (1993)*, *Blanco and Blanco (1996)*, *Chapman et al (2000)*, *Fantelli and Stephan (2001)*, *Vernozy - Rozand et al (2002)*, *Baran and Gulmez (2003)*, *Zaho et al (2004)*, *Cagney et al (2004)*, *Crawely et al (2005)*, *Dambrosio et al (2007)* and *Bernardez et al (2007)*. While higher results were reported by *Geoff et al. (2008)*. This variation in the results was attributed to poor sanitation during ground beef processing. The presence of *E. coli* in meat and meat products is considered as an indicator of the presence of a fecal contamination in addition to the unhygienic conditions during preparation, handling and storage.

The results given in table (7) showed that the incidences of *Salmonella* in fresh, frozen and frozen with Soya bean ground beef were 0%, 10% and 15% respectively. The results obtained are nearly similar to those obtained by *Khalafalla (1996)*, *Little et al (1998)*, *Jordan et al (2006)*, *Little et al (2008)*, *Cetinkaya et al (2008)*, *Duggan et al (2012)* and *Ahmed & Shimamoto. (2014)*. While higher results were obtained by *Mrema et al (2006)*. These variation may be attributed to the level of the hygienic procedure adopted during meat processing.

The results given in table (9) revealed that 57 strains of *E. coli* isolated from the examined ground

beewere serologically identified into 20 O-typable strains and 37 O-untypable strains. The 20 O-typable strains were identified in table (10) as EPEC with the following serotypes O86, O119 and O158

with incidence of 2 (10%) for each; EHEC with serotype O145 with incidence of 4 (20%) and ETEC with O115 serotype with incidence of 10 (50%).

Table 1: Incidence of Enterobacteriaceae in examined ground beef samples

Samples	Positive		Negative	
	No.	%	No.	%
Fresh	40	100	0	0
Frozen	30	75	10	25
Frozen with Soya	35	87	5	13
Total	105	87	15	13

Table 2: Enterobacteriaceae count in examined ground beef samples.

Samples	Min.	Max.	Mean	S.E.
Fresh	13×10^2	5×10^5	6.3×10^4	2.8×10^4
Frozen	<10	4×10^2	1.4×10^2	3x10
Frozen with Soya	2×10^2	7×10^3	1.6×10^3	4.5×10

Table 3: Frequency distribution of Enterobacteriaceae among the examined samples of fresh ground beef

Enterobacteriaceae species	F.	%
<i>E. coli</i>	7	12
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	30	50
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	15	25
<i>Serratia liquefaciens</i>	8	13
Total	60	100

Table 4: Frequency distribution of Enterobacteriaceae among the examined samples of fresh ground beef

Enterobacteriaceae species	F.	%
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	23	52
<i>E. coli</i>	11	24
<i>Enterobacter sakazakii</i>	11	24
Total	45	100

Table 5: Frequency distribution of Enterobacteriaceae among examined samples of frozen ground beef with Soya bean

Enterobacteriaceae species	F.	%
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	7	13
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	26	50
<i>citrobacter freundii</i>	6	12
<i>E. coli</i>	7	13
<i>Pantoea agglomerans</i>	6	12
Total	52	100

Table 6: Incidence of *E. coli* in examined ground beef samples

Sample	Positive		Negative	
	No	%	No	%
Fresh	24	60	16	40
Frozen	14	35	26	65
Frozen with Soya	19	48	21	52
Total	57	48	63	52

Table 7: Incidence of *Salmonella* examined ground beef samples

Sample	Positive		Negative	
	No	%	No	%
Fresh	0	0	40	100
Frozen	4	10	36	90
Frozen with Soya	6	15	34	85
Total	10	8	110	92

Table 8: Serotyping of *Salmonella* in the examined ground beef samples

	Samples no.	Positive samples	%
Salmonella	120	10	8%
Serotypes	Untypable		

Table 9: Serological identification of *E. coli* isolates from the examined ground beef samples

Positive samples	O-typable	O-untypable
57	20 (35%)	37(65%)

Table 10: Incidence of identified *E. coli* serotypes in the examined ground beef samples

Strain character	Serotypes	No.	%
EPEC	O86	2	10%
	O119	2	10%
	O158	2	10%
EHEC	O145	4	20%
ETEC	O115	10	50%
Total	-	20	100%

Conclusion and Recommendations

High incidence of Enterobacteriaceae in ground beef constitute a public health hazard and has an epidemiological interest and importance as some of them are pathogenic and may cause serious infections and/or food poisoning as *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli*, Enterococci, *Proteus*, and *Klebsiella species* which are considered as true indicator of poor sanitation during production, post processing contamination and the extent of faecal contamination. However, the greatest application of Enterobacteriaceae is the assessment of the overall quality of a food and the hygiene conditions present during the food processing. The presence of *Klebsiella spp*, *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli*, encountered in the examined samples of ground beef is alarming and give a warning signal for the possible occurrence of food borne intoxication. The following suggestive measures and

recommendations should be taken in considerations:

- 1- Routine microbiological examination should be adopted in meat product factories, butchers shops, groceries and other food rendering outlet with a consequent certificate of nil presence food born bacteria.
- 2- Hygienic awareness should be applied for personnel whom involved in handling and preparing of food at factories, home or restaurants avoid fecal contamination.
- 3-Demands for increased food hygiene surveillance and control, with the overall objective of safeguarding the consumer against poor quality and unsafe food stuffs were recommended.
- 4- Application of GMP and GHP during slaughtering, processing, storage and distribution of meat.
- 5- Effort must be done to define a standard limit for Enterobacteriaceae count in the Egyptian Standards (E.S) for ground beef.

References:

- Ahmed, A. M. and Shimamoto, T., (2014):** Isolation and molecular characterization of *Salmonella enterica*, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Shigella spp.* from meat and dairy products in Egypt. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 168–169, 57–62.
- Ali, N. H., Farooqui, A., Khan, A., Khan, A. Y. and Kazmi, S.U (2010):** Microbial contamination of raw meat and its environment in retail shops in Karachi, Pakistan. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries. 4(6):382-388.
- APHA (2001):** American Public Health Association. Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of food. 4th ED., Washington D., USA.
- Baran, F. and Gulmez, M. (2003):** The occurrence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in the Ground Beef and Chicken Drumsticks. Internet Journal of Food Safety, 2, 13-15.
- Bernardez, M. I., Gonzalez, E. A. and Blanco, J. (2007):** serotypes, virulence genes and intimin types of Shiga toxin (verocytotoxin) – producing *E. coli* isolates from minced beef on Lugo(spain) from 1995 through 2003. J. BMC Microbiol. (7):13.
- Bhandare, S.G., Sherikary, A.T., Paturkar, A.M., Waskar V.S., and Zende, R. J. (2007):** A comparison of microbial contamination on sheep/goat carcasses in a modern Indian abattoir and traditional meat shops. Food Control, 18: 854-868.
- Blanco, J. E. and Blanco, M. (1996):** Detection of Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in minced beef using immunomagnetic separation. Microbiol. 12(3): 385-94.
- Cagney, C., Crowley, H., Duffy, G., Sheridan, J.J., O'Brien, S., Carney, E., Anderson, W., McDowell, D.A., Blair, I.S., Bishop, R.H. (2004):** Prevalence and numbers of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 in minced beef and beef burgers from butcher shops and supermarkets in the Republic of Ireland. Food Microbiol. 21, 203–212.
- Cetinkaya, F., Cibik, R., Soyutemiz, G. E., Ozakin, C., Kayali, R. and Levent, B. (2008):** *Shigella* and *Salmonella* contamination in various foodstuffs in Turkey. Food Control 19, 1059–1063.
- Chapman, P.A., Siddons, C.A., Cerda'n Malo, A.T. and Harkin, M.A. (2000):** A one year study of *Escherichia coli* O157 in raw beef and lamb products. Epidemiol. Infect. 124, 207–213.
- Chittick, P., Sulka, A., Tauxe, R. V., & Fry, A. M. (2006):** A summary of national reports of foodborne outbreaks of *Salmonella* Heidelberg infections in the United States: clues for disease prevention. Journal of Food Protection, 69, 1150–1153.
- Crowley, H., Cagney, C., Sheridan, J.J., Anderson, W., McDowell, D.A., Blair, I.S., Bishop, I.S. and Duffy, G. (2005):**

Enterobacteriaceae in beef products from retail outlets in the Republic of Ireland and comparison of the presence and counts of *E. coli* O157:H7 in these products. *J. Food Microbiology* 22, 409–414.

Dambrosio, A.V., Lorusso, N.C., Quaglia, A. and Parisi, G. (2007): *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in 10- and 25- gram ground beef samples with an evanescent- wave biosensor with silica and polystyrene waveguides. *J. Food protect*; 56 (4): 596-602.

Dougeraki, A. I., Paramithiotis, S. and Nychas, G. J. E. (2011): Characterization of the Enterobacteriaceae community that developed during storage of minced beef under aerobic or modified atmosphere packaging conditions. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 145, 77–83.

Doyle M. P. and Schaeni, J. L. (1987): Isolation of *E. coli* O157:H7 from retail fresh meats and poultry. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 53:2394- 2396.

Drosinos, E.H. and Board, R.G. (1995): Attributes of microbial associations of meat growing as xenic batch cultures in a meat juice at 48°C. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 26, 279–293.

Duggan, S., Jordan, E., Gutierrez, M., Barrett, G., O'Brien, T., Hand, D., Kenny, K., Fanning, J., Leonard, N. and Egan, J. (2012): Salmonella in meats, water, fruit and vegetables as disclosed from testing undertaken by Food Business Operators in Ireland from

2005 to 2009. *Irish Veterinary Journal*, 65:17.

EOS (2005): Egyptian Standards, Minced Meat Mixed With Soybean Protein. E.S: 2097 – 2005, ICS: 67.120.10.

Fantelli, K. and Stephan, R. (2001): Prevalence and characteristics of Shigatoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Listeria monocytogenes* strains isolated from minced meat in Switzerland. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 70, 63–69.

Fratmico, P.M., Bhunia, A.K. and Smith J.L. (2005): *Foodborne Pathogens in Microbiology and Molecular Biology*, Caister Academic Press, Wymondham, Norfolk, UK, pp: 273.

Frazier, W.C. and Westhoff, D.C.W.(1988): *Food Microbiology*. 4th Edn., McGraw-Hill International Editions Food Science Series, pp: 401.

Geoff, H., Andrew, P., Michelle, I., Andress, K., Geoff, R. and John, S. (2008): Microbial profiles of carcasses and minced meat from kangaroos processed in south Australia. *Inter. J. Food Microbiol.* 123; (1-2): 88-92.

Gouws, P. A., Visser, M., and Brozzel, V. S. (1998): A polymerase chain reaction procedure for the detection of *Salmonella* sp. with 24 hours. *Journal of Food Protection*, 61, 1039–1042.

Gracey, J.F. (1986): *Meat Hygiene*. 8th Edn., The English

long Book Sic. And Baillier, Tindall, London.

Gustavsson, P., Borch, E. (1993): Contamination of beef carcasses by psychrotrophic *Pseudomonas* and Enterobacteriaceae at different stages along the processing line. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 48 (4), 243–245.

Hassan, Kh. M. (1986): Microbial association in cool stored beef. M. V. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.

Hedberg, C.W., Levine, W.C., White, K.E., Carlson, R.H., Winsor, DK., Cameron, D.N., MacDonald, K.L. and Osterholm, M.T. (1992): An International Foodborne Outbreak of Shigellosis Associated With a Commercial Airline. JAMA 268: 3208-3212.

Hernandez, T., Sierra, A., Rodriguez-Alvarez, C., Torres, A., Arevalo, M.P., Calvo, M., et al (2005): Salmonella enterica serotypes isolated from imported frozen chicken meat in the Canary Islands. Journal of Food Protection, 68, 2702–2706.

Husseine, H.S. and Bollinger, L.M. (2005): prevalence of Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* in beef cattle. J. Food Protect. 68 (10): 2228.

ICMSF (1996): International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods. Microorganisms in Foods. Intestinally pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Blachie Academic and professional London.

ISO (2002b): International Organization for Standardization.

Horizontal method for the detection of *Salmonella spp.* ISO 6579:2002 (E), Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO (2004): International Organization for Standardization. Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae – part 2: colony count method. ISO 21528- 2:2004, Geneva, Switzerland.

Jordan, E., Egan, J., Dullea, C., Ward, J., McGillicuddy, K., Murray, G., Murphy, A., Bradshaw, B., Leonard, N., Rafter, P. and McDowell, S. (2006): Salmonella surveillance in raw and cooked meat and meat products in the Republic of Ireland from 2002 to 2004 International Journal of Food Microbiology, 112, 66–70.

Khalafalla, F. A. (1996): Microbial evaluation of raw meats, products and non- meat ingredients. Beni-Suef, Vet. Med. Res., 6(2).

Lindberg, A.-M., Ljungh, A., Aherne, L.S., Molin, G. (1998): Enterobacteriaceae found in high numbers in fish, minced meat and pasteurised milk or cream and the presence of toxin encoding genes. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 39, 11–17.

Little, C.L., Monsey, H.A., Nichols, G.L. and de Louvois, J. (1998): The microbiological quality of ready-to-eat dried and fermented meat and meat products. Int. J. Environ. Health Res. 8, 277-284

Little, C.L., Richardson, J.F., Owen, R.J., de Pinna, E. and Threlfall E.J. (2008): Campylobacter and Salmonella in

- raw red meats in the United Kingdom: Prevalence, characterization and antimicrobial resistance pattern, 2003–2005. *Food Microbiology*, 25, 538–543.
- Mackie, K.J. and McCartney, J.G. (1989):** *Medical Microbiology*. Vol. 1 and 2, 30th Edn., Churchill Living Stone, Edinburg London Melbourne and New York.
- Mercuri, A.J. and Cox, N.A. (1979):** Coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae isolated from selected foods. *J. Food Prot.*, 42: 712.
- Mousa, M., Awad, H., Yassein, N. and Gouda, H. (1993):** Microbial quality of some meat product. *Alex. Vet. Med. J.* 41(3):59-62.
- Mrema, N., Mpuchane, S. and Gashe, B.A. (2006):** Prevalence of Salmonella in raw minced meat, raw fresh sausages and raw burger patties from retail outlets in Gaborone, Botswana. *Food Control*, 17, 207–212.
- Murray, K.A., Gilmour, A. and Madden, R.H. (2001):** Microbiological quality of chilled beef carcasses in Northern Ireland: a baseline study. *J. Food Prot.* 64 (4), 498–502.
- Nychas, G.J.E., Skandamis, P.N., Tassou, C.C. and Koutsoumanis, K.P. (2008):** Meat spoilage during distribution. *Meat Science* 78, 77–89.
- Podpecan, B., Pengov, A., and Vadnjal, S., 2007. The source of contamination of ground meat for production of meat products with bacteria staphylococcus aureus. *Slov Vet. Res.*, 44: 25-30.
- Sharaf, S. H. (1999):** Bacteriological studies on meat and meat products with special reference to *Salmonella* and *Shigella* serotypes. Ph.D. Thesis (Meat Hygiene), Fac. Vet. Med. Moshtohor, Zagazig University (Benha branch).
- Stanbridge, L.H. and Davies, A.R. (1998):** The Microbiology of Chill Stored Meat. In: Davies, A.R., Board, R.G. (Eds.), The microbiology of meat and poultry. Blackie Academic and Professional, London, pp. 174–219.
- Stiles, M. E. and Ng., L. K. (1981):** Enterobacteriaceae Associated with Meats and Meat Handling. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 41(4):867-872.
- Ternstroöm, A. and Molin, G. (1987):** Incidence of potential pathogens on raw pork, beef and chicken in Sweden, with special reference to *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae*. *J. Food Protect.* 50, 141–146.
- Thurm, V. and Gericke, B. (1994):** Identification of infant food as a vehicle in a nosocomial outbreak of *Citrobacter freundii*: epidemiological subtyping by allozyme, whole-cell protein and whole-cell protein and antibiotic resistance. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 76, 553–558.
- Tschaïpe, H., Prager, R., Streckel, W., Fruth, A., Tietze, E. and Bohme, G. (1995):** Verotoxinogenic *Citrobacter*

freundii associated with severe of haemolytic uraemic syndrome in a nursery school: green butter as the infection source. Epidemiol. Infect. 114, 441–450.

Vernozy-Rozand, C., Ray-Gueniot, S., Ragot, C., Bavai, C., Mazuy, C., Montet, M.P., Richard, Y., Bouvet, J. (2002): Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in industrial minced beef. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 35, 7–11.

Witherspoon, J. (2011): *E. coli* in ground beef.

<http://EzineArticles.com/?expert=James-Witherspoon>

Zhao, T., Doyle, M. P., Fedorka, P. J., Zaho, P., and Ladely, Q. (2004): Research note: occurrence of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium DT104A in retail ground beef. J. Food protect. 659 (2): 403.

المخلص العربي

دراسات كميّة ونوعيّة على مجموعة الامعائيات في اللحم المفري

حسنى عبد اللطيف عبد الرحمن، على معوض احمد، هبة محمد شاهين

أجريت هذه الدراسة لاستبيان مدى تواجد مجموعة الامعائيات في اللحم المفري المتداول بأسواق مدينة الاسماعيلية للكشف عن مدى تواجد ميكروب السالمونيلا وميكروب الايشيريشيا كولاى نظرا لما تسببه هذه الميكروبات للعديد من المشاكل الصحية متمثلة في التسمم الغذائى. لذا فقد تم تجميع عدد ١٢٠ عينة من اللحم المفري بالتساوى بين اللحم المفري الطازج واللحم المفري المجمد واللحم المفري المجمد المضاف اليه فول الصويا. اوضحت النتائج ان نسبة العينات الايجابية لمجموعة الامعائيات من عينات اللحم المفري الطازج و المجمد و المجمد المضاف اليه فول الصويا هي ١٠٠%، ٧٥% و ٨٧% على التوالي، بينما كانت قيمها الدنيا والقصى والمتوسطه هي ١٣×١٠، ١٠×٥ و ١٠×٦،٣ جرثومه لكل جرام على التوالي في عينات اللحم المفري الطازج، >١٠، ٤ و ١٠×١،٤ جرثومه لكل جرام على التوالي في عينات اللحم المفري المجمد و ٢×١٠، ٧×١٠ و ١،٦×١٠ جرثومه لكل جرام على التوالي في عينات اللحم المفري المجمد المضاف اليه فول الصويا.

هذا وتم تصنيف العترات المعزولة من عينات اللحم المفري كالاتى: بالنسبة لعينات اللحم المفري الطازج تمكنت الدراسة من عزل الايشيريشيا كولاى و الكلبسيلا اوكسيتوكا والسيريشيا مارسيسينيس بنسبه ١٢%، ٥٠%، ٢٥% و ١٣% على التوالي. وبالنسبة لعينات اللحم المفري المجمد تمكنت الدراسة من عزل البروتيس فالجاريزو الايشيريشيا كولاى والانتيروباكتريزوكازيكي بنسبه ٥٢%، ٢٤% و ٢٤% على التوالي، بينما كانت الانواع التى تم عزلها من عينات اللحم المفري المجمد المضاف اليه فول الصويا هي و الكلبسيلا اوكسيتوكا و البروتيس فالجاريزو والسيتروباكتريز فارميرى و الايشيريشيا كولاى و بانتيو الجوميرانس بنسبه ١٣%، ٥٠%، ١٢%، ١٣% و ١٢% على التوالي.

كما تم عزل ميكروب الايشيريشيا كولاى من عينات اللحم المفري الطازج، المجمد و المجمد المضاف اليه فول الصويا بنسب مختلفه وهى ٦٠%، ٣٥% و ٤٨% على التوالي. وبالنسبة لميكروب السالمونيلا فقد تم عزله بنسب مختلفه وهى ٠%، ١٠% و ١٥% من عينات اللحم المفري الطازج، المجمد و المجمد المضاف اليه فول الصويا على التوالي. وتم عزل ٥٧ عترة من عترات الايشيريشيا كولاى و التى تم تصنيفها سيريبولوجيا الي ٢٠ عترة مصنفة طبقا للجزئ الجسيمي و ٣٧ عترة غير مصنفة طبقا للجزئ الجسيمي والعشرون عترة بيانهم كالتالى: O₈₆, O₁₁₉ and O₁₅₈

(EPEC); O₁₄₅ (EHEC) and O₁₁₅ (ETEC).