Analysis of a Practical Quad Copter Robot Using Linear Quadratic Regulator Controller
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Abstract
This paper aims to evaluate a practical implementation of an efficient control system for a quad copter robot under Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) Simulation results are conducted using Matlab/Simulink. Experimental results with different values of Euler angles demonstrated that the Linear Quadratic Regulator controller provides a robust, versatile and easy implementable controller system.
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1. Introduction
Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) are very versatile aircrafts in contrast to their lower complexity; it can be called the robot future due to their various advantages in our life rather than conventional aircrafts [1]. In the past decade, there has been growing interest in the use of UAVs for both government and commercial applications [2, 3]. As UAV technology improves, unmanned vehicles are playing a greater role in national military operations with missions ranging from early warning and maritime tracking to communications relay [4, 5]. Quad copter robots are a particular type of UAVs, which also may be referred as a quad copter helicopter. Within UAV hardware, quad copters are being widely used for different purposes, such as educational, commercial or entertainment. The first type of quad copter was flown in the 1920’s to be used as manned vehicles, but the first successful manned flight was in the 1960’s when the Curtiss-Wright X-19A was developed. However, these early quad copters lacked stability and controlling during flight. With recent advances in on board computer technology, quad copter stability and control is much easier. Therefore, In order to perform an efficient stabilizing system and navigation, there are several techniques implemented for controlling quad copters robot, each one with its peculiarities [6, 7]. This work design and apply LQR control technique for a quad copter robot having a tri- axis accelerometer and a compass as the sensors. Researches consider the LQR controller is a good controller because of its great performance and robustness in the plant in question [8, 9, and 10]. This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the operation and experimental framework of a quad copter robot. Section 3 explores the dynamic modeling of a quad copter robot. Section 4 explains the LQR technique applied for a quad copter robot. Section 5 presents simulation and experiment angular velocities and Euler angles of the quad copter robot provided by the available sensors. Finally, Section 6 introduces conclusions.

2. Operation of a Quad Copter Robot
The quad copter robot is consists of 4 arms arranged on x shape or + shape, every arm holds a propeller with a brushless dc motor on its end (28 A, 12 V DC, Max thrust: 1300 g). As shown in figure1, the configuration presents a very low moment of inertia and six degrees of freedom (three translational and three rotational), which results in great stability of the quad copter.

Figure 1 Experimental framework of a quad copter robot
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Conventional helicopters have two rotors arranged as two coplanar rotors both providing upwards thrust, but spinning in opposite directions in order to balance the torques exerted upon the body of the helicopter. However, these configurations require complicated machinery to control the direction of motion. With new versions of a quad copter, the operation is based on four rotors. Each rotor is responsible for a certain amount of thrust and torque about its center of rotation, as well as for a drag force opposite to the rotorcraft’s direction of flight. In fact, the quad copter’s propellers are not similar; they are divided in two pairs, two pushers and two puller blades that work in contra-rotation. As a consequence, the resulting net torque can be null if all propellers turn with the same angular velocity and the aircraft still around its center of gravity.

In order to define an aircraft’s movement around its center of mass that describe all possible combinations of aircraft attitude, aerospace engineers usually define three rotational parameters, the angles of yaw, pitch and roll. It is useful because of the forces used to control the aircraft act around its center of mass, causing it to pitch, roll and yaw. As indicated in figure 2, changes in the pitch angle are induced by contrary variation of speeds in propellers 1 and 3, resulting in forward or backwards translation. With the same action for propellers 2 and 4, can produce a change in the roll angle and will get lateral translation. Yaw is induced by mismatching the balance in aerodynamic torques.

3. QUAD COPTER MODELING

For describing the quad copter attitudes, the equations and the parameters needed to generate for each element;

3.1. System Dynamics

Due to the presence of two coordinate systems, it is necessary to use the transformation matrix to obtain the response of any movement from a coordinate system (Earth-fixed frame) to the other (model-fixed frame or mobile frame). It will designate this reference frame by North-East-Down (O_{NED}), because two of its axis (u_x and u_y) are aligned respectively with the North and East direction, and the third axis (u_z) is directed down, aligned towards the center of the Earth (Figure 2). The mobile frame or aircraft body is designated by O_{ABC} and its origin coincident with the quad copter’s center of gravity.

In control theory, the dynamic behavior of a given system can be understood through its states. For a quad copter, the dynamic behavior about 3 axis of rotation is examined first with 6 states: the Euler angles \([\phi, \theta, \psi]\) (Roll – Pitch – Yaw) and the angular velocities around each axis of the O_{ABC} frame \([P Q R]\). Second, another 6 states are necessary: the position of the Center of Gravity (COG) \([X Y Z]\) and respective linear velocity components \([U V W]\) relative to the fixed frame. Therefore, the quad copter has 12 states that describe 6 degrees of freedom. The equations describing the orientation of the mobile frame relative to the fixed one can be deduced by using a rotation matrix S. This matrix results of the product between three matrices \((R(\phi), R(\theta) and R(\psi))\), each of them representing the rotation of the ABC frame around the O_{NED} axis.

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
    c\theta c\psi & c\theta s\psi & -s\theta \\
    s\phi s\theta c\psi - c\phi s\psi & c\phi s\theta c\psi + s\phi s\theta s\psi & s\phi c\theta \\
    c\phi s\theta c\psi + s\phi s\psi & s\phi s\theta s\psi - c\phi c\theta & c\phi c\psi \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where; \(s = \sin, c = \cos\).

The vectors of the linear accelerations acting on the vehicle’s body are:
Where; \([F_p, F_p, F_p,]\) are the vector elements of \(F_p\).

Assuming the aircraft is in a hovered flight, in such a scenario, the forces are acting only in the z axis of quad copter, corresponding to the situation where it have the engines trying to overcome the force of gravity to keep the aircraft stable at a given altitude:

\[
F_{pz} = -(T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4)
\]  
(3)

Assuming the quad copter is a rigid body with constant mass and axis aligned with the principal axis of inertia and then, the tensor \(I\) becomes a diagonal matrix containing only the principal moments of inertia:

\[
I = \begin{bmatrix}
I_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(4)

Therefore, The vector of angular accelerations:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\phi} \\
\dot{\theta} \\
\dot{\psi}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\tan\theta \sin\phi & \tan\theta \cos\phi & 0 \\
\cos\phi & -\sin\phi & 0 \\
\sin/\cos\phi & \cos/\cos\phi & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
P \\
Q \\
R
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(10)

3.3. Quaternion Differential Equations

If the aircraft pitches up 90 degrees, the aircraft roll axis becomes parallel to the yaw axis, and there is no axis available to accommodate yaw rotation (one degree of freedom is lost). To overcome this problem, the quaternion method may be used. The quaternion vector elements as a function of Euler angles yields:

\[
\begin{align*}
q_0 &= \cos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) + \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \\
q_1 &= \cos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) - \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \\
q_2 &= \cos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) + \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \\
q_3 &= \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) - \cos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)
\end{align*}
\]  
(11)

Also gets the absolute velocity using the quaternion rotation tensor:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{X} \\
\dot{Y} \\
\dot{Z}
\end{bmatrix} = S_q^T \begin{bmatrix}
U \\
V \\
W
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(12)

To employ the quaternion method combines the previous quaternion equations with the dynamics equations to compose the vehicle acceleration on the aircraft local frame:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{U} \\
\ddot{V} \\
\ddot{W}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
F_{px} \\
F_{py} \\
F_{pz}
\end{bmatrix} + g \begin{bmatrix}
2(q_1q_3 - q_0q_2) \\
2(q_2q_3 + q_0q_1) \\
q_0^2 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 + q_3^2
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
QW - RV \\
RU - PW \\
PV - QU
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(13)

3.4. Sensors Modeling

A) Accelerometer

Absolute acceleration of the accelerometer located at any point \(p\):

\[
a_p = \frac{a_B}{m} + \dot{S} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & g \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\omega}_B \times r_p \times \omega_B \times r_p
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(14)

Where: \(r_p\) is the position of the accelerometer relative to the quad copter’s center of gravity, \(a_p\) is the absolute acceleration at point \(p\). To obtain these
angles through the vector of gravitational acceleration provided by the accelerometer are:

\[
\phi = \arctan \left( \frac{a_y}{a_z} \right) \quad (15)
\]

\[
\theta = -\arctan \left( \frac{a_x}{a_z} \right) \quad (16)
\]

B) Compass

It can be modeled as follows:

\[
\psi = N \quad (17)
\]

Where, \(N\) is the direction of the magnetic North Magnetic Pole mapped between \(-\pi\) and \(\pi\) radians.

4. QUAD COPTER CONTROL

4.1 Kalman Filter

The dynamic model of the quad copter is not linear which causes noisy under control. The Kalman filter [8] is a recursive filter created for a linear system to estimate states error tries to go to zero while under the influence of noise (e.g. sensor readings). Linearizing the system will facilitate the construction of the Kalman filter and quad copter control. Let us take as a starting point for the process of linearization of the state vector \(\vec{x}\), which illustrates nothing less than the quad copter in flight, stabilized at a height \(Z\) from the ground. This point is usually known as an equilibrium point. The linearization of the quad copter’s model:

\[
\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} U & V & W & P & Q & R & X & Y & Z & \phi & \theta & \psi \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -z & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \quad (18)
\]

\[
\tilde{u} = [\omega_1 \ \omega_2 \ \omega_3 \ \omega_4] \quad (19)
\]

\[
\dot{x} = f(x, u) \quad y = h(x, u) \quad (20)
\]

Where; \(u\) is the speed of rotation vector of each one of the motors, \(x\) is the state vector. The linearized state space representation around the hovering conditions:

\[
\dot{x} = Ax + Bu \quad (21)
\]

\[
y = Cx + Du \quad (22)
\]

With:

\[
A = \frac{\partial f(x,u)}{\partial x} \quad (23)
\]

\[
B = \frac{\partial f(x,u)}{\partial u} \quad (24)
\]

\[
C = \frac{\partial h(x,u)}{\partial x} \quad (25)
\]

\[
D = \frac{\partial h(x,u)}{\partial u} \quad (26)
\]

4.2 LQR Controller

In optimal control one endeavor on finding a controller that provides the best possible performance with respect to some given measure of performance. In 2005, Castillo et al. has implemented LQR controller in which the control signal energy is measured by a cost function containing weighting factors provided by the controller designer [12]. During simulation the controller has performed satisfactory. For a continuous-time linear system described by the equation (21). The cost function \(J_{LQR}\) is:

\[
J_{LQR} = \int_0^\infty (x^T \tilde{Q} x + u^T \tilde{R} u) dt \quad (27)
\]

\[
u^K = -\tilde{R} \tilde{x} \quad (28)
\]

Where \(Q\) is a square matrix of sixth order, \(\tilde{R}\) is a unitary vector and \(u^K\) is the vector of control actions. The LQR gain matrix \(\tilde{R}\) is provided by:

\[
\tilde{R} = \tilde{R}^{-1} B^T \tilde{P} \quad (29)
\]

\(P\) is derived by means of the algebraic Riccati equation:

\[
A^T \tilde{P} + \tilde{P} A - \tilde{P} B \tilde{R}^{-1} B^T \tilde{P} + \tilde{Q} = 0 \quad (30)
\]

5. IMPLEMENTATION AND RESULTS

Hardware implementation of the quad copter in the Arduino chip is quite easy as indicated in figure 4. The mathematical model is simulated using Matlab Simulink programming language as indicated in figure 5.
The quad copter system has two cases:

**Case 1: 6-state ideal case**

The behavior of the system in ideal case (Neglecting sensors and motors effect) with LQR controller is designed to control only 6 of the 12 states available from the system, the angular velocities and the Euler angles. Fig. 7 shows the system response with pitch motion, fig. 8 shows the system response with roll motion and fig. 9 shows the system response with yaw motion. The state vector is \( \mathbf{x} = [\phi \ \theta \ \psi \ p \ q \ r]^T \) and the motors angular velocities are \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4 \). It clear that change in motors angular velocities is step according to each motion.
Fig. 6 quad copter 6-state ideal case modeling

Fig. 7 quad copter ideal case pitch motion
Pitch and roll motion curves have fast response for step variation (less than 3 sec to reach stability) as only two having a change in their angular velocities, but for yaw motion it takes a quit more time to be stable (more than 22 sec) as all four motors angular velocities changed.

**Case 2: 6-state LQR controller practical case**

The effect of including motors dynamic and sensors in the control loop of a quad copter under LQR technique with kalman filter is illustrated in figure 10. Then, it will check if the LQR controller continues to maintain the quad copter leveled with only 6 states. The change in motors angular velocities is step according to each motion. Fig. 11 shows the system response with pitch motion, fig. 12 shows the system response with roll motion and fig.13 shows the system response with yaw motion.
Figure 10 quad copter practical case modeling
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Fig. 11 quad copter practical case rolls motion

Fig. 12 quad copter practical case pitch motion
6. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presented the simulation and the implementation of a quad copter robot prototype, having a tri-axis accelerometer and a compass as its sensors. For a perfect analysis, the modeling of the aircraft’s dynamics and kinematics for a computer simulation environment are preceded. A quad copter simulation model includes major aero dynamical effects modeled with blade element and momentum theory. In addition, the actuator’s model was identified and all sensor delays and noises were taken into account. Real experiments were conducted with the same control parameters tuned in simulation. LQR controller used is sufficient and suitable for controlling the altitude and the attitude or Euler angles of the quad copter.

Fig 13 quad copter practical case yaw motion

The fluctuation shown is due to sensors reading noise. The appearance of small angels in roll and pitch motions beside the angle of variation is due to that all motors are not typical. Roll and pitch motion response for step variation is less than 12 sec to reach stability, but for yaw motion it takes a quit more time to be stable (more than 20 sec)
**NOMENCLATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$O_{NED}$</td>
<td>reference frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_{ABC}$</td>
<td>The mobile frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>Angular speed around the x-axis (rad/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>Angular speed around the y-axis (rad/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>Angular speed around the z-axis (rad/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X$</td>
<td>Position of body frame of the quadrotor along the x-axis(m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y$</td>
<td>Position of body frame of the quadrotor along the y-axis(m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>Position of body frame of the quadrotor along the z-axis(m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>Linear velocity in the x-axis (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>Linear velocity in the y-axis (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$</td>
<td>Linear velocity in the z-axis (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_p$</td>
<td>thrust generated by the propellers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{px}$</td>
<td>thrust generated by the propellers in x-axis (kg.m/$S^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{py}$</td>
<td>thrust generated by the propellers in y-axis (kg.m/$S^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{pz}$</td>
<td>thrust generated by the propellers in z-axis (kg.m/$S^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>thrust produced by propeller1 (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>thrust produced by propeller2 (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3$</td>
<td>thrust produced by propeller3 (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_4$</td>
<td>thrust produced by propeller4 (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>Inertia matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{11}$</td>
<td>Principal moment of inertia along the x-axis (kg.m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{22}$</td>
<td>Principal moment of inertia along the y-axis (kg.m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{33}$</td>
<td>Principal moment of inertia along the z-axis (kg.m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_x$</td>
<td>Moment along the x-axis (N.m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_y$</td>
<td>Moment along the y-axis (N.m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_z$</td>
<td>Moment along the z-axis (N.m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dCG$</td>
<td>Distance from the quadrotor’s center of gravity to the motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{TM}$</td>
<td>propeller moment constant to thrust constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>Quadrotor’s mass (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ω_B$</td>
<td>The vector of angular velocities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>Acceleration of gravity (m/s²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{px}$</td>
<td>absolute acceleration at point $p$ in the x-axis (m/s²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{py}$</td>
<td>absolute acceleration at point $p$ in the y-axis (m/s²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{pz}$</td>
<td>absolute acceleration at point $p$ in the z-axis (m/s²)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSCRIPTS**

- $N$ North
- $E$ East
- $D$ Down
- $P$ propeller
- $x$ x-axis
- $y$ y-axis
- $z$ z-axis
- $B$ Quad copter body
- $TM$ Thrust and moment

**ABBREVIATIONS**

- LQR Linear Quadratic Regulator
- UAVs Unmanned Aerial Vehicles
- $N$ North
- COG Center of Gravity
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