

*IMPACTS OF PHOTOTHERAPY ON
IMMUNOLOGICAL STATUS OF NEWBORN WITH
HYPERBILIRUBINEMIA*

By

Dr. Osman Khairy Osman El-Sayed*, Dr. Sherif Mostafa Kamal Reda*, Dr. Ahmed Yousef Al Sawah*, Dr. Mamdouh Atia Mohamed, Dr. Mohammed Abdel Karem***

*Pediatrics Department; **Clinical Pathology Department; Faculty of
Medicine, Al-Azhar University

* **Corresponding author:** Osman Khairy Osman El-Sayed,
E-mail: drosmankhairy4@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Neonatal jaundice in the first week of life is a common problem in the newborn; it is due to an imbalance of bilirubin production and its elimination which can lead to significantly elevated levels of circulating bilirubin (hyperbilirubinemia).

Aim of the Work: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of phototherapy on the immunological status of newborn.

Patients & Methods: This is a prospective randomized simple descriptive follow up study that was conducted on 75 jaundiced neonates ≥ 37 weeks admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) of Al Hussein University Hospital in a period from October 2016 to September 2018. Our cases were divided into three groups according to level of bilirubin and type of phototherapy: Group (1) 25 neonates with bilirubin level 16-18 mg/dL under single phototherapy, Group (2) 25 neonates with bilirubin level >18 to 22 mg/dL under double phototherapy, Group (3) 25 neonates with bilirubin level >22 mg/dL under intensive phototherapy and 25 apparently healthy neonates with physiological jaundice as control group. The history, general and local examinations and specific investigations were done by measuring IL6, IL10, CD19, CD4 and CD8.

Results: There is no difference between demographic data and level of IL6, IL10, CD19, CD4 and CD8 on all studied groups and there is significant increase between control group and groups exposed to single, double, intensive phototherapy in IL6 only and there are no changes in IL10 levels and CD19, CD4, CD8 percent after exposure to phototherapy

Conclusion: Phototherapy used in treatment of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia can affect the level of cytokines IL6 and no effect on IL10 or B19 and CD4, CD8.

Recommendations: *Avoid unnecessary exposure to phototherapy to avoid possible immunological impacts on immune systems.*

Key words; *Phototherapy, Immunological Status, Hyperbilirubinemia.*

INTRODUCTION

Phototherapy (PT) has been widely used for the treatment of neonatal jaundice for more than 50 years. The side effects of this efficacious therapeutic method, which significantly decreases the exchange-transfusion rates, are still a matter of interest⁽¹⁾.

It has been reported that PT may cause retinal and testicular damage, ileus, patent ductus arteriosus, and hypocalcaemia as well as well-known temporary side effects, such as skin rash, abdominal distention, frequent defecation, and weight loss. Further, it was thought that oxidative stress that resulted from PT might contribute to premature infant diseases, such as retinopathy of prematurity, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, and necrotizing enterocolitis⁽²⁾.

Another concern related to PT is genotoxicity leading to DNA damage that may be related to cancer development. The light spectrum used for PT includes visible light that has a main therapeutic efficacy and, to a lesser extent, ultraviolet (UV) light. Along with well-known mutagenic and carcinogenic effects of UV light, it has been shown in many in vitro studies

that visible light also leads to DNA damage⁽³⁾.

The immune system is a complex group of cells, tissues and organs that recognize and attack foreign substances, pathogenic organisms and cancer cells. It also responds to injury by producing inflammation⁽³⁾.

The immune system is a unique system that is divided into two discrete responsive units of defense against pathogens. Those two units are the innate and acquired immune systems. The innate immune system is the nonspecific and abrupt first response, while the acquired immune is unique in its specificity for distinct pathogens and ability to create immunological memory⁽⁴⁾.

The fetal immune system develops in a sterile and protected environment, and therefore lacks antigenic experience. It must also be modulated in order to coexist with mother's immune system. Soon after birth, the newborn is exposed to the "hostile world" of bacteria, viruses, fungi and parasites, and must immediately defend itself. The immunologic competence of the neonate progresses rapidly in the first three months of life, as the cells

involved in acquired immunity mature and gain antigenic experience⁽⁵⁾.

The immunosuppressive effects of solar radiation are mediated mostly by the middle wave length range ultraviolet B (UVB, 290-320 nm). Therefore, the vast majority of photo immunologic studies utilized UVB. There is also evidence that the long wave length range (UVA, 320-400 nm) can affect the immune system although its effects are less pronounced⁽⁶⁾.

Many experimental models have shown that particular antigen-specific immune responses are suppressed by UVB radiation, while other immune reactions are not affected⁽⁷⁾. Experimental studies have revealed that UVB exposure can impair the immunological resistance to viral, fungal, bacterial infection, parasitic diseases and antigenic tumors⁽⁸⁾.

Exposure to UV radiation starts a complex cascade of responses resulting in the down regulation of the immune system⁽⁹⁾.

Neonatal phototherapy can significantly increase the levels of cytokines, including TNF-alpha, IL-1 beta, and IL-8, but decrease the level of IL-6 in newborn infants. This change of cytokine levels is thought to be the

principal cause of Th-2/Th-1 switch disorder, Th-1 associated with type 1 diabetes mellitus and celiac disease and Th-2 associated with allergic dermatitis and systemic lupus erythematosus⁽¹⁰⁾.

NNPT directly causes DNA damage to lymphocytes in jaundiced infant. This injury could affect the genes regulating the Th-2/Th-1 switch and contribute to the disorder⁽¹¹⁾.

UV light significantly decreases circulating CD4+ T lymphocyte counts, interferes with CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes, and reduces natural killer cell activity. Therefore, UV light in NNPT exposure may affect the immune system and lead to allergy and autoimmunity disorders⁽¹²⁾.

The effect of NNPT on immune regulation may partly be due to degrading bilirubin. Unconjugated bilirubin inhibits complement activation through the classical pathway and prevents leukocyte migration⁽¹³⁾.

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this study was to evaluate the impacts of phototherapy on immunological status of newborn with hyperbilirubinemia.

Ethical Consideration:

1. A written informed consent

- was obtained from patient for their legal guardians.
2. An approval by the local ethical committee was obtained before the study.
 3. The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/ or publication of this article.
 4. All the data of the patient and results of the study are confidential and the patients have the right to keep it.

Financial Disclosure/Funding:

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/ or publication of this article.

PATIENT AND METHODS

This was a prospective randomized simple descriptive follow up study that was conducted on 75 jaundiced neonates ≥ 37 weeks admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) of Al Hussein University Hospital during the period from October 2016 to September 2018.

Inclusion Criteria:

All newborn infants with gestational age ≥ 37 weeks and birth weight ≥ 2500 g who required phototherapy in the first week of life.

Exclusion Criteria:

1. Birth asphyxia.

2. Sepsis.
3. Any infant who required exchange transfusion.
4. Congenital malformations.
5. Congenital infections.
6. Preterm and IUGR.
7. Any infant who required immunoglobulin.
8. Instrumental delivery (vacuum), Cephalohematoma.
9. Starvation.

Our studied cases were classified into 3 groups (as follow according to bilirubin level and intensity of phototherapy).

- **Group (1)** 25 neonates on single phototherapy with (serum bilirubin level 16-18 mg/dL).
- **Group (2)** 25 neonates on double phototherapy with (serum bilirubin level >18 to 22 mg/dL).
- **Group (3)** 25 neonates on Intensive phototherapy with (serum bilirubin level >22 mg/dL).
- Another 25 apparently healthy neonates with physiological jaundice matched with age and sex as a control group.

According to American Academy of Pediatrics International Guidelines (2011)

All the enrolled cases exposed to full medical history and thorough medical examination:

I- Laboratory evaluation including:

1. Complete Blood Count:

Total leukocyte count and differential leukocyte count were done to rule out infection, RBC to rule out polycythemia, Hb% to rule out anemia or polycythemia, Peripheral smear for RBC morphology, and reticulocyte count was also done by (CBC. Dragon).

2. Determination of serum bilirubin (total and direct):

Serial serum bilirubin measurements repeated on daily bases. Total bilirubin and direct bilirubin were measured by (Bilirubin. photo analyzer).

3. Blood group and RH determination for newborn and parents:

The ABO and Rhesus blood grouping was done to rule out ABO and Rh incompatibility as cause of jaundice.

4. Direct Coomb's test:

To rule out extracorpuscular hemolytic causes of jaundice.

5. Serum C- reactive protein (CRP) (quantitative):

The CRP was done as part of the septic work up to rule out infection as a cause of jaundice.

II- Specific investigations:

1. Interleukin IL-6 and

Interleukin IL-10: (Before and after 72 hours of phototherapy) by ELISA, the measuring unit is (pg /ml).

2. CD 19, CD4 and CD8 by using the flow cytometry technique

Flow cytometry

Principle:

Flow cytometry is the process of passing cells singly in a fluid stream through a light beam. The light source is typically a laser and as the cells pass through the laser beam, photons of light are emitted and scattered. These photons are separated and collected by forward and side light scatter detectors. Forward light scatter is parallel to laser beam and corresponds to the size of the cells while the side light scatter is detected at 90 degree angle to the laser beam and corresponds to the nuclear complexity and cytoplasmic granularity. Lymphocytes have small nuclei and little cytoplasmic granulations. So they exhibit low forward and low side light scatter. Meanwhile, granulocytes are

larger in size with abundant cytoplasmic granules so they exhibit increased forward and side light scatter. These differences in forward and side light scatter allow identification of lymphocytic monocytic and granulocytic populations. The detected light signal is converted into digital signal by a photomultiplier tube.

Cells bound to fluorochrome conjugated monoclonal antibody (mAb) pass in front of the laser beam, excitations of the fluorochrome occurs followed by emission of light which is scattered then collected and detected by the detector. The positive light emission signal is then converted into digital signal and plotted on a histogram. Two of the most widely used fluorochromes are the fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and phycoerythrin (PE) which can be used simultaneously as they can both be excited by the same light source (Argon laser) yet have different spectra of light emission. This difference in light emission allows them to be detected as separate signals.

Reagents:

- Lysing solution 1.5 mmol/L NH₄XCl.100 mmol/L NH₄Cl.100 mmol/L KHCO₃ and 10 mmol/L tetra (Na-

EDTA) made up to 1 liter with distilled water, pH adjusted at 7.2 (catalogue number F2364, lot 00016979-1).

● Monoclonal antibodies:

- Anti-Human CD4 fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and CD8 phycoerythrin (PE) monoclonal antibodies Cocktail, (eBioscience Company, Germany, Catalog Number 22-0408).
- Anti-Human CD19 phycoerythrin-cyanine5 (PC5) monoclonal antibodies, (Beckman Coulter Company, France, Catalog Number (A07771).

Procedure:

- 20ul of Anti-Human CD4 FITC and CD8 PE monoclonal antibodies Cocktail and 10 ul of Anti-Human CD19 PC5 monoclonal antibodies were added to 50 ul of the cells.
- Followed by incubation for 20 minutes in dark room.
- Then 1ml from lysing reagent was added.
- Finally, incubation for another 20 minutes in dark room.

The stained samples were finally mixed and ready for analysis by flow cytometry.

Analysis of samples:

Samples were analyzed immediately. Analysis of lymphocytes was done using EPICS ELITE Coulter flow cytometry.

The region of lymphocytes was identified by their size and granularity and thus they were gated upon.

- Samples were thoroughly mixed prior to flow cytometry analysis.
- Warming up the argon laser was done for 30 minutes before processing the samples.
- Then the protocol for FTTC, PE and PC5 analysis was loaded. Negative control samples were introduced in the machine, the auto fluorescence region for FTTC, PE, and PC5 stains was adjusted for each sample.

Methodology:

Plan of the study of all the enrolled cases was to measure IL6, IL10, CD19, CD8 before admission to phototherapy and after discharge from the unit and regular follow up at intervals of two months at the outpatient clinic in Al Hussein University Hospital for 6 months to assess the rate of infections episodes (diagnosis

duration any complication) and etiology of hospital admission. The control group was followed up for the same period and reasons.

Before the start of phototherapy and after 72 hours of phototherapy, we repeat specific investigations (IL6, IL10, CD19, CD4, CD8).

3. Follow up:

The enrolled and control cases we regularly followed up to assess the frequency of infection and hospital admission every 2 months for 6 months.

Statistical Design:

Data were collected, revised, coded and entered to the Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS) version 23. The quantitative data were presented as mean, standard deviations and ranges. Also qualitative variables were presented as number and percentages. The p-value was considered significant as the following: $P > 0.05$: Non significant (NS), $P < 0.05$: Significant (S), $P < 0.01$: Highly significant (HS).

RESULTS

Table (1): Age of onset of jaundice among different groups

| | | Control group | Patients on single phototherapy | Patients on double phototherapy | Patients on intensive phototherapy | Test value | P-value | Sig. |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|---------|------|
| | | No. = 25 | No. = 25 | No. = 25 | No. = 25 | | | |
| Onset of jaundice (day) | Mean ± SD | 3.26 ± 0.44 | 3.24 ± 0.6 | 3.08 ± 0.61 | 2.76 ± 0.89 | 3.126 | 0.129 | NS |
| | Range | 3 – 4 | 2 – 4 | 1.5 – 4 | 1 – 4 | | | |
| | Mean ± SD | 9 ± 2 | 17 ± 1 | 20 ± 2 | 23.5 ± 1.5 | | | |
| Level of total bilirubin (mg/dL) | Range | 7 – 11 | 16 – 18 | 18 – 22 | 22 – 25 | | | |

This table show there is no significant difference between onset of jaundice and level of bilirubin between the three studied groups.

Table 2: Immunological results of the studied groups before phototherapy

| Specific investigation on admission | | Control group | Patients on single phototherapy | Patients on double phototherapy | Patients on intensive phototherapy | Test value | P-value | Sig. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|---------|------|
| | | No. = 25 | No. = 25 | No. = 25 | No. = 25 | | | |
| IL-6 (pg/ml) | Mean ± SD | 330.72 ± 83.9 | 342.8 ± 80.7 | 372.04 ± 59.94 | 370.48 ± 65.62 | 4.503 | 0.105 | NS |
| | Range | 218 – 432 | 221 – 441 | 228 – 444 | 218 – 441 | | | |
| | Mean ± SD | 15 ± 10.07 | 14.96 ± 3.78 | 17.6 ± 6.85 | 15.4 ± 7.93 | | | |
| IL-10 (pg/ml) | Range | 12 – 80 | 10 – 30 | 10 – 33 | 1 – 35 | | | |
| CD19 | Mean ± SD | 16.44 ± 2.71 | 18.96 ± 4.47 | 20.12 ± 4.25 | 16.44 ± 2.27 | 6.788 | 0.070 | NS |
| | Range | 12 – 22 | 11 – 30 | 14 – 30 | 12 – 20 | | | |
| | Mean ± SD | 43.04 ± 6.45 | 43.28 ± 6.17 | 44.84 ± 4.01 | 50 ± 6.56 | | | |
| CD4 | Range | 35 – 59 | 31 – 55 | 35 – 52 | 36 – 60 | | | |
| CD8 | Mean ± SD | 15.4 ± 3.01 | 17.24 ± 2.59 | 17.4 ± 2.69 | 19.56 ± 3.72 | 7.859 | 0.200 | NS |
| | Range | 10 – 21 | 12 – 21 | 12 – 22 | 12 – 27 | | | |
| | Mean ± SD | 2.88 ± 0.87 | 2.53 ± 0.37 | 2.66 ± 0.4 | 2.61 ± 0.46 | | | |
| CD4/CD8 ratio | Range | 1.71 – 5 | 2.06 – 3.92 | 1.81 – 3.38 | 1.88 – 4.25 | | | |

There are no significance differences between studied group

and control group regarding to immunological result before phototherapy.

Table 3: Immunological results of the studied groups after stoppage of phototherapy

| Specific investigation after discharge | | Control group | Patients on single phototherapy | Patients on double phototherapy | Patients on intensive phototherapy | Test value | P-value | Sig. |
|--|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|---------|------|
| | | No. = 25 | No. = 25 | No. = 25 | No. = 25 | | | |
| IL-6 | Mean \pm SD | 396.52 \pm 89.43 | 411.4 \pm 73.89 | 455.4 \pm 79.13 | 475.52 \pm 104.46 | 6.317 | 0.030 | S |
| | Range | 231 – 553 | 330 – 549 | 226 – 442 | 220 – 633 | | | |
| IL-10 | Mean \pm SD | 14 \pm 9 | 13.5 \pm 3.6 | 18.6 \pm 6.85 | 16.5 \pm 3.2 | 35.764 | 0.100 | NS |
| | Range | 10 – 60 | 10 – 20 | 10 – 23 | 13 – 22 | | | |
| CD19 | Mean \pm SD | 13.93 \pm 0.61 | 22 \pm 2.45 | 21.76 \pm 3.62 | 17.08 \pm 4.08 | 42.084 | 0.060 | NS |
| | Range | 13.1 – 14.9 | 18 – 29 | 17 – 30 | 10 – 25 | | | |
| CD4 | Mean \pm SD | 40.92 \pm 6.31 | 47.48 \pm 6.64 | 47.96 \pm 7.53 | 45.4 \pm 7.95 | 5.061 | 0.300 | NS |
| | Range | 28 – 50 | 35 – 60 | 33 – 59 | 31 – 60 | | | |
| CD8 | Mean \pm SD | 16.64 \pm 2.33 | 19.56 \pm 1.96 | 18.72 \pm 4.8 | 17.96 \pm 2.81 | 3.826 | 0.102 | NS |
| | Range | 12 – 20 | 15 – 25 | 12 – 28 | 12 – 25 | | | |
| CD4/CD8 ratio | Mean \pm SD | 2.48 \pm 0.42 | 2.46 \pm 0.29 | 2.66 \pm 0.61 | 2.53 \pm 0.25 | 1.217 | 0.308 | NS |
| | Range | 2 – 3.69 | 2 – 3.11 | 1.75 – 3.93 | 2.1 – 3.21 | | | |

This table show there is significant increase in IL-6 and normal IL10, and normal CD19, CD4, CD8 regarding to immunological result after phototherapy.

Table 4: Relation between immunological results and occurrence of infections and hospital admission at 2 months after discharge

| Follow up of the infants | Control group | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Test value | P-value | Sig. |
|---|---------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|---------|------|
| Infant required hospitalization (neonatal sepsis) | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 3.03 | 0.387 | NS |
| Infant not required hospitalization | | | | | | | |
| Conjunctivitis | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 3.03 | 0.387 | NS |
| Common cold | 3 (7.5%) | 2 (5%) | 3 (7.5%) | 2 (5%) | 0.444 | 0.931 | NS |
| Otitis media | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (2.5%) | 2.041 | 0.563 | NS |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|----|
| No history suggestive of infection | 21 (90%) | 22 (92.5%) | 22 (92.5%) | 22 (92.5%) | 0.265 | 0.966 | NS |
|---|----------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|----|

There is no significant increase in the rate of infection and hospital admission after 2 months in the studied groups.

Table 5: Relation between immunological results and occurrence of infections and hospital admission at 4 months after discharge

| Follow up of the infants | Control group | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Test value | P-value | Sig. |
|--|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|------|
| Infant required hospitalization | 0 (0.0%) | 2 (5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 6.122 | 0.105 | NS |
| Infant not required hospitalization | | | | | | | |
| Common cold | 3 (7.5%) | 2 (5%) | 4 (10%) | 3 (7.5%) | 0.758 | 0.859 | NS |
| Otitis media | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (2.5%) | 2.041 | 0.563 | NS |
| Bronchiolitis | 4 (10%) | 4 (10%) | 5 (12.5%) | 4 (10%) | 0.213 | 0.975 | NS |
| Acute diarrhea | 2 (5%) | 3 (7.5%) | 3 (7.5%) | 3 (7.5%) | 0.306 | 0.958 | NS |
| Croup | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 3.030 | 0.387 | NS |
| No history suggestive of infection | 16 (77.5%) | 16 (77.5%) | 12 (67.5%) | 16 (77.5%) | 2.000 | 0.572 | NS |

There is no significant increase in the rate of infection and hospital admission after 4 months in the follow up in the studied cases.

Table 6: Relation between immunological results and occurrence of infections and hospital admission at 6 months after discharge

| Follow up of the infants | Control group | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Test value | P-value | Sig. |
|--|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|------|
| Infant required hospitalization | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2.041 | 0.563 | NS |
| Infant not required hospitalization | | | | | | | |
| Common cold | 2 (5%) | 1 (2.5%) | 3 (7.5%) | 3 (7.5%) | 1.343 | 0.718 | NS |
| Otitis media | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (2.5%) | 2 (5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 3.78 | 0.286 | NS |
| Bronchiolitis | 2 (5%) | 3 (7.5%) | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 3.546 | 0.314 | NS |
| Acute diarrhea | 1 (2.5%) | 2 (5%) | 1 (2.5%) | 1 (2.5%) | 0.632 | 0.889 | NS |
| Croup | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (2.5%) | 1 (2.5%) | 1.031 | 0.793 | NS |
| No history suggestive of infection | 18 (82.5%) | 18 (82.5%) | 16 (77.5%) | 20 (87.5%) | 1.587 | 0.662 | NS |

There is no significant increase in the rate of infection and hospital admission after 6 months in the follow up in the studied cases.

DISCUSSION

Phototherapy, a non-invasive easily available therapy has been widely used for the treatment of neonatal jaundice for more than half a century. Its efficiency in decreasing plasma bilirubin concentration is well documented⁽¹³⁾.

The total serum bilirubin ranged from (16-29 mg/dL) before admission to NICU.

They were 46 males (46%) and 54 females (54%) with gestational age ranging from 37 weeks to 41 weeks with median 38 weeks and weight ranging from (2.6-3.9 kg) with median 3.250kg, (50SVD and 50CS).

Two samples were collected at starting and after stoppage of phototherapy (single, double, intensive).

As regard cytokine e.g. IL6, our results agree with **Kurt et al.**⁽⁹⁾ who found that phototherapy treatment can affect the function of the immune system in newborns via alterations in cytokine production specially IL6.

From table (3), our results agree with **Maisels et al.**⁽¹⁸⁾ who found that UV radiation induces an increase in IL6, IL8 and INF alpha. Although, phototherapy at a wavelength of 420-480 nm, especially the blue light does not contain ultra violet radiation, yet these results might also apply to this particular wavelength.

From table (3), our results disagree with **Sirota et al.**⁽¹⁹⁾, who found no change in serum IL6 concentrations after 72 hours of phototherapy administered to term infants by a bank of four lamps (9). Similarly found comparable stationary level of IL6 with significant increase in release of IL10 after phototherapy. They claimed that IL6 and IL10 are produced from keratinocytes in association with phototherapy.

From table (3), our results contrary to that, other studies showed decreased serum IL6 after 24 hours of initiation of phototherapy and claimed anti-inflammatory effect in term and late preterm newborns. Keratinocytes release cytokines,

and these factors enter the circulation, so they suggested that phototherapy inhibits IL6 production by keratinocytes⁽¹⁰⁾.

From table (3), our results agree with **Kurt et al.**⁽⁹⁾ added that phototherapy treatment can affect the function of the immune system in newborns via alterations in cytokine production. Which were defined by **Toshio and Tadimitsu**⁽²⁰⁾ as soluble mediators that aid cell-to-cell communication in immune responses. They include IFNs, chemokines, lymphokines, interleukins (IL6, IL10), TGF- β , colony-stimulating factors (CSF), and TNF and are characterized by functional redundancy and pleiotropy. And according to **Bijjiga and Martino**⁽²¹⁾ the balance of cytokines is critical for normal immune responses. Irregular cytokine levels can shift the immune responses from being beneficial to being harmful.

From table (3), our results agree with **El Rashedy et al.**⁽¹⁶⁾ who studied the effect of phototherapy on some lymphocytes subsets (CD4, CD8, CD19) in 30 term neonates with indirect hyperbilirubinemia and 25 healthy term neonates as control group. They found no statistically significant difference between lymphocytes subsets before and

after 72 hours of exposure to phototherapy.

From table (3), our results do not go in agreement with **Kurt et al.**⁽⁹⁾ who investigated the influence of the use of phototherapy on some lymphocyte subsets and cytokine production in the prevention or treatment of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. He found that the percentage of T (CD4, CD8) lymphocyte subset was significantly lower in newborns at 72 hours of exposure to phototherapy.

From table (3), our results disagree with **Karabayir et al.**⁽¹⁴⁾. He noticed a significant increase in CD4+ % after eight hours of the phototherapy ($p < 0.05$) but agree with the same author there was non-significant change in lymphocyte subsets 48 hours after phototherapy ($p > 0.05$).

From table (6), also this does not agree with **EIFeky et al.**⁽²³⁾ who found that there was an increase of the number of hospital visits in the first six months of life.

CONCLUSION

Phototherapy used in treatment of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia can increase the level of IL6 and no effect on IL10 and no effect on B cell (B19) and T cell (CD4, CD8) although previous studies

were not homogenous with our results so more studies are needed.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Avoid unnecessary exposure to phototherapy to avoid possible immunological impacts on immune systems.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

Our cases were started by 107 jaundice neonates and ended by 100 cases only, 7 neonates not included in our study due to lack of compliance of parents and not follow systematized roll of the study.

REFERENCES

1. **Kahveci H, Dogan H, Karaman A, Caner I, Tastekin A, Ikbal M (2013):** Phototherapy causes a transient DNA damage in jaundiced newborns. *Drug and Chemical Toxicology*; 36(1):88-92.
2. **Jobe AH and Bancalari E (2001):** Bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *American journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*; 163(7):1723-9.
3. **Dyson M (2008):** How phototherapy affects the immune system. *SPIE vol 6846*.
4. **Power-Coombs MR, Kronforst K and Levy O (2013):** Neonatal host defense against Staphylococcal infections. *Clin Dev Immunol*; 826-303.
5. **Ygberg S and Nilsson A (2012):** The developing immune system from foetus to toddler. *Acta Paediatr*; 101:120.
6. **Schwarz T (2005):** Mechanisms of UV-induced immunosuppression. *Keio J Med*; 54(4):165-71.
7. **Jonuleit H and Schmitt E (2003):** The regulatory T cell family distinct subsets and their inter relations. *J Immunol*; 171(12):6323- 6327.
8. **Sleijffers A, Garssen J, Vos JG and Loveren HV (2004):** Ultraviolet light and resistance to infectious diseases. *J. Immunotoxicol*; 1: 3-14.
9. **Kurt A, Aygun AD, Kurt ANC, Godekmerdan A, Akarsu S and Yilmaz E (2009):** Use of phototherapy for neonatal hyperbilirubinemia affects cytokine production and lymphocyte subsets. *Neonatology*; 95(3): 262-266.
10. **Procianoy RS, Silveira RC, Fonseca LT, Heidemann LA, Neto EC (2009):** The influence of phototherapy on serum cytokine concentrations in newborn infants. *Am J Perinatol*; 26(7): 485-490.
11. **Aycicek A, Kocyigit A, Erel O, Senturk H (2008):** Phototherapy causes DNA damage in peripheral mononuclear leukocytes in term infants. *JP ediatr (Rio J)* 84:141-146.
12. **Maverakis E, Miyamura Y, Bowen MP, et al. (2010):** Light, including ultraviolet. *J Auto immune* 34: J247-J257.
13. **Xiong T, Qu Y, Cambier S, Mu D (2011):** The side effects of phototherapy for neonatal jaundice: what do we know? What should we do?. *European Journal of Pediatrics*;

- 170(10):1247-55.
14. **Karabayir N, Yilmaz S, Adal SE, Aydoğmuş Ç (2011):** The Effect of Phototherapy on the Lymphocyte Subsets in Newborn. *Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Medical Sciences*; 31(5):1245-9.
 15. **Yahia S, Shabaan AE, Gouida M, El-Ghanam D, Eldeglä H, El-Bakary A, Abdel-Hady H (2015):** Influence of hyperbilirubinemia and phototherapy on markers of genotoxicity and apoptosis in full-term infants. *European Journal of Pediatrics*; 174(4):459-64.
 16. **El Rashedy FH, El-Hawy MA, Helwa MA, El-Shahat MI. (2015):** Effect of Phototherapy on CD4, CD8 and Natural Killer Cells of Full Term Neonates with Indirect Hyperbilirubinemia. *EGYPTIAN JOURNAL OF IMMUNOLOGY*. 2015 Jun;22(2):23-9.
 17. **Tobin AM, Maguire B, Enright H, Kirby B (2009):** The effects of phototherapy on the numbers of circulating natural killer cells and T lymphocytes in psoriasis. *Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine*; 25(2):109-10.
 18. **Maisels MJ and McDonagh AF (2008):** Phototherapy for neonatal jaundice. *New England Journal of Medicine*; 358(9):920-8.
 19. **Sirota L, Straussberg R, Gurary N, Aloni D, Bessler H (1999):** Phototherapy for neonatal hyperbilirubinemia affects cytokine production by peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Eur J Pediatr*, 158:910-3.
 20. **Toshio T and Tadimitsu K (2014):** The Biology and Medical Implications of Interleukin-6. *Cancer Immunol Res*; 2(4); 288-94. _ AACR.
 21. **Bijjiga E, Martino AT (2013):** Interleukin 10 (IL-10) Regulatory Cytokine and its Clinical Consequences. *J Clin Cell Immunol S1: 007*.doi:10.4172/2155-9899.S1-007
 22. **Calabrese LH, Rose-John S (2014):** IL-6 biology: implications for clinical targeting in rheumatic disease. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*; 10(12):720.
 23. **El-Feky OA, El-Khashab AM, Sobeih AA, El-Hameed MG (2012):** The Role of Intensive Phototherapy Device in Treatment of Neonatal Jaundice. *Medical Journal of Cairo University*; 84(2): 271-275.

تأثير العلاج الضوئي علي حاله المناعيه في الأطفال حديثي الولادة المصابين بزيادة مادة البليروبين

عثمان خيرى عثمان السيد

بكالوريوس طب وجراحة – ماجستير طب الأطفال - جامعة الأزهر

مكان العمل: وحدة العناية المركزة لحديثي الولادة، مستشفى الحسين الجامعي، جامعة الأزهر

الملخص العربي

اليرقان الوليدى ومشكلة شائعة فى الأطفال حديثى الولادة وهو نتيجة وجود خلل فى إنتاج البيليرون التخلص منه مما ينتج عنه ارتفاع نسبة البيليروبين فى الدم مما قد يؤدى للإصابة باعتلال الدماغ.

وقد استخدم العلاج الضوئى لعلاج فرط البيليروبين بالدم فى الاطفال حديثى الولادة لسنوات حيث قلت نسبة الاصابة بالاكتلال الدماغى والأحتياج لتغيير الدم الكامل فى الاطفال حديثى الولادة كعلاج لليرقان الوليدى.

ومن المتفق عليه أن الآثار الجانبية للعلاج الضوئى لا تمثل خطورة واضحة بل ويمكن السيطرة عليها ومنها اعراض قصيرة المدى منها تأثير علاقة الرضيع بأمه واضطراب فى درجات حرارة جسمه ونسبة الأملاح بدم الطفل الخديج وتعرضه للجفاف نتيجة الحرارة المنعثة من اجهزة العلاج الضوئى وقد يؤدى للإصابة بمتلازمة الطفل البرونزى واضطراب الساعة البيولوجية لديه كما قد يؤدى العلاج الضوئى بعض الآثار طويلة المدى مثل أمراض الحساسية وتلف فى شبكية العين وبالتالي فمن الضرورى وضع المبادئ التوجيهية القائمة على الأدلة وأجهزة ضوء جديدة .

وبدائل علاجية جديدة للحد من الآثار الجانبية للعلاج الضوئى.

كذلك هناك ابحاث تثبت ان لعلاج الضوئى له تأثير مباشر على السيتوكينات التى لها وظائف متعددة بالجسم من اهمها تحفيز الجهاز المناعى ومقاومة البكتيريا والفيروسات كذلك التحكم فى كثير من الخلايا مثل كرات الدم البيضاء بأنواعها المختلفة والخلايا المنتجة لكرات الدم الحمراء والخلايا الكراتينية.

الانترلوكين (10) والذى يلعب دورا هاما فى تبسيط رد الفعل المناعى بالجسم والانتر لوكين(6) الذى يلعب دورا هاما فى تحفيز رد الفعل المناعى بالجسم هما إحدى عناصر السيتوكينات للذان يتم

افراز هما بخلايا متعددة بالجسم ومنها الخلايا الكراتينية التي يتم فيها تحويل البيلروبين الى مادة غير سامة عن طريق العلاج الضوئى .

وتلعب كرات الدم البيضاء من النوع ب وت دور حيوى فى مناعة الجسم حيث التعرض على الميكروبات وقتلها أن امكن ذلك.

وكان الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو معرفة تأثير الجهاز المناعى لحديثى الولادة عند تعرض إلى العلاج الضوئى لدى المصابين بزيادة فى مادة البليروبين وأن صح ذلك إلى أى مدى وذلك من خلال دراسة مستوى (Interleukin-6).

Interleukin-10 ودلائل كرات الدم البيضاء (CD4, CD8, CD19)

وتطرقت الدراسة الي ذلك من خلال أربعة مجموعات عدد الواحدة منهم مريض وتعرض المجموعة الاولى(25) مريض الى العلاج الضوئى الأحدى .

تعرض المجموعة الثانية (25) مريض إلى العلاج الضوئى الثنائى وتعرض المجموعة الثالثة (25) مريض الى العلاج الضوئى المكثف ومقارنة تلك المجموعات مع المجموعة الضابطة .

والنتائج اوضحت الاتى زيادة إحصائية فى(6) Interleukin ومستوي طبيعى من Interleukin 10 ومستوي طبيعى من CD19,CD4,CD8 وبالمتابعة إلى هذه المجموعات علي مدار ستة أشهر لا توجد حالات عدوي ذات دلالة احصائية مميزة ولا توجد حالات حجز بالمستشفى ذات دلالة احصائية مميزة بين المجموعات الثلاثة مقارنة بالمجموعة الضابطة.

ولم يتم ملاحظة أى زيادة فى معدل الحجز بالمستشفى .

ومن الجدير بالذكر أن الدراسات السابقة فى حيث تأثير العلاج الضوئى على الحالة المناعية لحديثى الولادة لم تكن ثابتة زيادة أو نقصان أو عدم كغير وهذا يؤكد أننا فى إحتياج لمزيد من الدراسات لبحث مدى تأثير هذا العلاج الضوئى.