¹HNMR OF PYRAZOLES: EFFECT OF INTERACTION OF CARBAMOYL GROUP WITH ADJACENT CENTERS ON THE CHEMICAL SHIFTS OF CONCERNED PROTONS.

Tarek A. Mohamed, Adel F. Youssef and Abd-El-Hamid N. Ahmed*.

Department of Medicinal Pharmaceutical Chemistry and *Department of Organic Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Assiut, Assiut 71516, A.R. Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The 'HNMR of series constitutional isomers dimethylpyrazole carboxamides vealed the contribution of π and/or n-electrons in deshielding protons α- to CONHR group. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding with polar solvent molecules was able to affect more strongly the CONHR proton chemical shift than intramolecular. The observed pattern of δ values shown by CONHR proton in the derivative R = C6H11 allowed to assign for it a trans axial conformation. Finally a regression equation was derived to draw a linear relation between the chemical shifts of CONHR protons in both isomers.

INTRODUCTION

Different biological activities have been assigned for pyrazoles carrying N-substituted carbamoyl moiety. This is associated not only with analgesic, antiinflammatory and antipyretic group but with other medicines, fungicides and herbicides as well²⁻⁹.

In a previous publication we have reported the synthesis and prelimenary biological and metal binding potentialities revealed by constitutional isomers of dimethyl-Nsubstituted pyrazole carboxamides 1 and 2¹⁰, Scheme 1.

a, R = H, b, $R = CH_3$, C, $R = C_2H_5$, d, $R = C_6H_{11}$ e, $R = C_6H_5$, f, $R = CH_2C_6H_5$, g, R = NH CSNH₂, h, $R = NH_2$

Scheme 1

In this report ¹HNMR of C4-H, N-CH3, C3/5-CH3 and CONHR protons of isomers 1 and 2 will be discussed. Deshielding due to intramolecular hydrogen bond, as expected, is restricted to interaction between CONHR proton and pyridinic nitrogen in isomer 1. This report discusses the effect of CONHR group on adjacent centers and gives an equation for the relation between the chemical shifts of CONHR protons in 1 and 2. Moreover, observed solvent shift and anisotropic effect of σ-electrons have been rationalized.

EXPERIMENTAL

¹HNMR spectra, table 1, were determined on EM-390,90 MHz instrument with TMS as internal standard.

All spectra were carried out in DMSO-d6 and in CDCl3 with exception of the derivatives a,f-h which are insoluble in CDCl3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Several trials with moderate success have been done to correlate ¹HNMR chemical shifts and charge densities of the carbon atom to which the proton is bound. The obtained results depend on methods of calculation adopted neshielding of protons α - to 2-pyridyl group in 1-benzyl-5-methyl-3-(2-pyridyl) pyrazole 3, and 1-benzyl-3-methyl-5-(2-pyridyl) pyrazole 4 has been reported. Either C4-H or benzylic proton will suffer a downfield shift (\approx 0.6 ppm) when α - to the 2-pyridyl group group 11a, Scheme 2.

Scheme 2

In our work on using CDCl3 the protons α — to CONHR were considerably deshielded. Thus C4-H proton in (b-e) is shifted downfield by an average value of 0.5 ppm relative to C4-H proton in 1,5-dimethylpyrazole. On the other hand in 2 (b-e), protons on α — and $\tilde{\alpha}$ — positions relative to CONHR were affected. This may indicate a simultaneous contribution of π —and n—electron clouds of the hetero atoms of CONHR group. Thus N-CH3 and C4-H protons were shifted downfield by an average values 0.3

and 0.4 ppm respectively when matched with the corresponding protons in 1,3 dimethylpyrazole, table 2. In both isomers the chemical shift of -CH3 in \(\beta\)- position was not affected, and reserved values practically equal to those of the dimethylpyrazoles 11b.

Because of its significance in metal binding, the concerted bonding of pyridinic nitrogen and CONHR proton seemed worthy of study. Intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the two centers looks a plausible probe. It reflects spatial complementarity between the donor and acceptor centers. Actually where intramolecular hydrogen bond was allowed by 1 (b-e), a considerable downfield chemical shift of CONHR protons relative to their analogues of 2(b-e) was observed, table 1. The differences between chemical shifts shown by derivatives (b-e) in isomers 1 and 2 go in parallel with bulkiness of R: where R = CH3, $\delta=0.2$; R=C₂H₅, $\delta=0.5$; R=c-hexyl, $\delta = 0.66$; R=C₆H₅, $\delta = 0.82$ ppm.

In DMSO-do the chemical shifts of different protons were differently affected relative to their values in CDCl3. However, prominent downfield chemical shifts were shown by CONHR protons in DMSO-d6, table 1, this may be attributed to strong dipole-dipole interaction of the type solvent CONHR. The difference between δ values in DMSO-d6 and CDCl3 ranges from 0.57 to 1.27 ppm for 1 (b-e) and from 1.47 to 2.35 ppm for 2 (b-e). Examination of δ values in DMSO-d6, shows that CONHR protons in 1(a-h) are clearly shielded relative to those in 2 (ah). This observation seems opposite to that noticed in CDCl3. However, intervention of intramolecular hydrogen bonding allowed by 1 might be an effective contributing factor in each case. Further more, such contribution might be of lower magnitude relative to intermolecular,

molecule-DMSO-d₆, interaction leading to an orderly reduced δ values of 1 (a-h).

Solvent shifts were reported by Elguero et al¹² on studying ¹HNMR of 1-methyl and 1-phenyl substituted pyrazoles. A week upfield shift was noticed for C3-H and a significant downfield shift was observed for proton C5-H in DMSO-d6 compared to CDCl3. This indicated different sensitivity of protons to solvent shift.

Consistency of 2 (a-h) to show higher δ values of CONHR proton in DMSO-d $_{\delta}$ relative to 1 (a-h) analogues led us to search an equation that can describe this proportionality.

The linear regression equation 1 was deduced:

 δ^2 (CONHR) = 0.9601 + 0.9329 (± 0.0432) δ^1 (CONHR)

n=8; r=0.9936; $s=\pm0.12$

F=21.62 at P < 0.0001

In equation 1 δ^1 and δ^2 represent the chemical shifts of CONHR protons pertaining to 1 and 2 isomers respectively, n is the number of derivatives (a-h), s is the standard deviation, r is the regression coefficient, F is the confidence limit at the given p value. The regression constant can be regarded as a correction factor counting for included intramolecular hydrogen bonding effect in δ^1 values.

The predicted values from equation 1 are listed in table 3, where derivative d shows the most

deviating δ value. In table 1, the CONHR-C6H11 proton is relatively shielded showing lowest δ values within b-h series in either CDCl3 or DMSO-d6. Since CONHR-C6H11 bond is mainly equatorial, then NH proton should be axially directed to fall in the shielded zone of C-C bonds of c-hexane ring. Demonstration of such a shielding effect is probably a strong evidence for the persistance of the molecules in trans axial conformation a.

Therefore in compound d anisotropic effect of σ -electrons of C-C bond should be added to the parameters already discussed affecting CONHR chemical shift. Consequently after exclusion of d from the regression treatment of δ values equation 2, was obtained with lower s values, table 3.

 δ^2 (CONHR) = 0.6118 + 0.9701 (±0.0294) δ^1 (CONHR)

n=7, r=0.9977 $s=\pm0.07$ F=1088 P < 0.00001

Validity of equation 2 seems to be limited by inter and intramolecular forces affecting CONHR chemical shifts. In a case where liable intervention by other forces, equation 2 will give considerably deviating values.

Table 1: Protons chemical shifts of 1 and 2 (a-h) derivatives in different solvents.

| Compound | | CDC1• | | ~~~~~~~~ | DMSO- | -d ₄ | <u> </u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------|------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|-------|
| | 1-CH ₃ | C3/C5 | 4-H | 5- | 1-CH ₃ | C_3/C_5 | 4-H | 5 |
| ia | | • | | | 3.73 | 2.27 | 6.33 | 7.10 |
| 1 b | 3.77 | 2.27 | 6.57 | 6.93 | 3.70 | 2,23 | 6.30 | 7.83 |
| ic | 3.80 | 2.30 | 6.60 | 6.83 | 3.70 | 2.23 | 6.30 | 7.83 |
| 1 d | 3.70 | 2.23 | 6.40 | 6.53 | 3.75 | 2.27 | 6.30 | 7.40 |
| 1 e | 3.80 | 2.30 | 6.57 | 8.50 | 3.77 | 2.27 | 6.47 | 9.77 |
| 1 f | | | | | 3.77 | 2.27 | 6.47 | 8.53 |
| 1 g | | | | | 3.73 | 2.27 | 6.47 | 9.78 |
| 1h | • | | | | 3.78 | 2.30 | 6.47 | 9.13 |
| 2a | | | | | 3.96 | 2.16 | 6.53 | 7.50 |
| 2 b | 4.10 | 2.23 | 6.37 | 6.73 | 3.97 | 2.20 | 6.50 | 8.20 |
| 2°C | 4.10 | 2.23 | 6.33 | 6.33 | 3.97 | 2.20 | 6.51 | 8.25 |
| 2d | 4.13 | 2.27 | 6.30 | 5.87 | 3.97 | 2.22 | 6.58 | 8.07 |
| 2 e | 4.17 | 2.33 | 6.50 | 7.68 | 3.97 | 2.20 | 6.75 | 10.03 |
| 2 f | | | | | 3.93 | 2.13 | 6.57 | 8.78 |
| 2 g | | | | | 3.87 | 2.10 | 6.57 | 10.13 |
| 2h | | | | | 3.90 | 2.10 | 6.43 | 9.57 |
| | | | | | | | • | |

^(*) Data is absent for insoluble compounds.

Table 2: Deshielding of protons ortho to CONHR in 1 and isomers.

| | | δ(ppm) | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Compound | solvent | N-CH ₃ | C ₃ -CH ₃ | C-CH3 | C ₄ -H | | | | |
| 1(b-e) | CDC13 | 13.70-3.80 | | 2.23-2.30 | 6.4-6.6 | | | | |
| 1,5-DMP[#] | CDC13 | 3.73 | | 2.22 | 5.98[1]ь] | | | | |
| 2(b-e) | CDC13 | 14.10-4.17 | 2.23-2.33 | } | 6.3-6.5 | | | | |
| 1,3-DMP[*] | CDC13 | 3.80 | 2.23 | | 5.95[11 b] | | | | |

^(*) DMP = dimethylpyrazole.

| Table | 3 | : | Predicted | chemical | shifts | of | CONHR | proton | in | isomer | 2. |
|-------|---|---|-----------|----------|--------|----|-------|--------|----|--------|----|
|-------|---|---|-----------|----------|--------|----|-------|--------|----|--------|----|

| | δ ² (C | ONHR) (p | · —— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — | | | |
|------------|-------------------|----------|--|----------|-----------------------|--|
| Compound | Found Calcd. A | | Δ2 | Calcd.3 | A ² | |
| 1 2a | 7.50 | 7.58 | -0.08 | 7.5 | 0.00 | |
| 1 2Ъ | 8.20 | 8.26 | -0.06 | 8.21 | - 0.0 1 | |
| 1 2c | 8.25 | 8.26 | -0.01 | 8.21 | 0.04 | |
| 2 d | 8.07 | 7.86 | 0.21 | | | |
| 1 2 e | 10.03 | 10.07 | -0.04 | 10.09 | -0.06 | |
| 1 1 2 f | 8.78 | 8.92 | -0.14 | 8.89 | -0.11 | |
| 1 1 2g | 10.13 | 10.08 | 0.05 | 10.10 | 0.03 | |
| 1 2h | 9.57 | 9.48 | 0.09 | 9.47 | 0.10 | |
| | <u> </u> | · | | <u> </u> | | |

1) from eq.1; 2) difference between found and calculated values; 3) from eq.2

REFERENCES

- 1-G. Vertuani, P.Giori, M.Guarneri, and G.P. Sarto, J. Pharm. Sci., 74, 1013 (1985).
- 2-C.Zenaida, and B.Cornelia, Rev. Med. (Trigu-Mures), 17, 415 (1972); through Chem. Abstr., 76, 153661k (1972).
- 3-J.G.Buchanon, A.Stobie, and R.H.Wightman, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2374 (1981).
- 4-H.M.Faid-Allah, and R.Soliman, Pharmazie, 35, 799 (1980).
- 5-H.M.Mokhtar, and H.M.Faid-Allah, ibid., <u>42</u>, 481 (1987).
- 6-M.T.Garcia-Lopez, R.Herranz, and G.Alonso, J. Med. Chem., 22, 807 (1979).
- 7-M.T.Garcia-Lopez, M.J.Dominguez, R.Heranz, M.Sanchezperez, A. Contreras, and G.Alonso, ibid., 23, 625 (1980).

- 8-J.L.Huppatz, J.N.Phillips, and B.Witrzens, Agric. Biol. Chem., 48, 45 (1984).
- 9-J.R.Back, J. Aikins, M.P.lynch, J.R.Rizzo, and E.V.P.Tao, J. Heterocyclic Chem. 26, 3 (1989).
- 10-T.A.Fadl. A.F.Youssef, A.N.Ahmed and H.I.El-Bitar, Bulletin of Pharmaceutical Seiences Assiut University 13 (2) 145 (1990).
- 11-J.Elguero, in "Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry", Vol 5, A. Katritzky, and C.W.Ress, Eds., Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1984), [a] 183, [b] 197.
- 12-J.Elguero, R.Jacquier, and S.Mignonac-Mondon, Bull. Soc., Chim. France, 4436 (1970).

الرنين النووى المغناكيسى للبير ازولات: شأثير نفاغل مجموعة الكارباعويل مع المراكز الرنين النووى المغنية الميداور لأغلى الإزاجة الكيميائية للبرونونات المعنية طارق أبو الفضل محمد – عادل فوزى يوسف – عبد الحميد نجيب احمد قسم الكيمياء الصيدلية – كلية الصيدلة – جامعة اسيوط – اسيوط – مصر

تم دراسة الرنين النووى المغناطيسى لمجموعة مشتقات المتشابهات الوصفية لثنائى ميثيل البيرازول كاربوكساميد والتى اثبتت دور الالكترونات (TT, n) في عدم حجب البروتونات الموجودة في وضع الفا بالنسبة لمجموعة الكاربامويل. وقد لوحظ التأثر الشديد لبروتون الكاربامويل بواسطة المذيب القطبي،

وبملاحظة نمط O للبروتون حيث $R = C_6 H_{11}$ قد امكن اقتراح الوضع ترانس محورى لهذا المركب. ولقد تم استنباط معادلة خطية تبين العلاقة بين مقدار تغير قيمة S للبروتونات الاميدية في أي الموضعين S ، S معلومية احدهما .

.

Received in 17/8/1992 & Accepted in 14/10/1992