Effect of using some web 2.0 application on developing cognitive achievement for table tennis back spin serve for fourth grade students (education division) and their attitude towards it

Dr/ Basma Mahmoud Moursi Moubarak^(*)
Introduction

Education Faces a big Chalenges result of as a information and communicative techniques development. Web appears as important resource informathion because of its easy use, accessibility; studnts use it as one of the important educational channels recently it has dvelopped from means of information publishing depend on individual relationships between users and the net as in Web 1.0 exemple for learning, seminars to a kind of electronic social participations as in (Web 0.2) application, as a new trend to use Web technology in designing electronic sites which aim at increasing creativity, information exchange, enforcing cooperation between internet to build users electronic societies.(Alfar. 2013, p44)

Using Web 2.0 applications is of best developments in knowledge decentralization. Τt enables students to send, interact and participate in organizing the content and not only negative recievers. It also make learning cooperative and integrating, Web is a not only a reading place, ts is read and write area. Web inceases students' ambitions and encourages them to participate in teaching and learning in strongest manner. (O'REILLY, 2007, p. 18 and Malhiwsky, 2010, p26)

Within Web 2.0 application is Online Social Networks which defined as group of Network websites emerged with Web 2.0 allow individuals communication in virtual society assembling them in groups according to their interests like (country, universty or school) all these through online direct commnication like services

Assiut Journal For Sport Science Arts

^(*) Lectturer, curricuala and physical education teaching methods department, faculty of physical education for girls, Alexandria university

sending messages or reading others' personel data files (Lamberson, 2010, p. 2).

It also defined as defined them as "Easy to use technologies used as teaching method that permits its users to practice many activites like adding personal versions, share pictures and videos, add post, communicate with peers and creat personal group" (klopfer et al, 2009,p.10)

Researcher in the opinion that Web Social Networks web 2.0 are applications could be used in educational purposes, making the educational content available in all possible forms, it allows students and teachers participating in developing or amending the content, provide activities, express opinions, share pictures and videos, add posts, communicate with peers also create and personal groups. Mazman and Usluel study (2010) results mentioned three educational usages of Facebook namely: communication. cooperation and content share.

Web Social Networks have many educational advantages, it has easy acsess interactive interfaces allow their users interactive and cooperative content management in social frame keeps social and human relationships them among (Alhalafawy, 2011. p. 43). Students communication allow them to be updated with the newest about curricula, exam results. These sites allow instant feedback from other students and teacher and enable students to ask questions and receive instant answers (Davis. 2010).

Many scintefic conferences, e.g. E-Learning and Distance Learning Third International Conference (2013),Arab society Education Technology, seventh scientific conference (2011), recommended necessity using Web Social Networks like (Facebook), (Blogs), **Taggin** (Wiki). Content effectively achieve to educational goals.

This calls many reserchers to conduct studies in this area which proofed that using Web Social Networks in all its forms is effective in promoting stuents cignitive achievement in different educational stages (Kurt. Izmirli, & Sahin-Izmirli, 2011 and Junco. Heiberger, Loken, 2010)

Facebook is the most important and spreading Web Social Network, since (2005) its users increased greatly, A scintefic study revealed that (85%) of world students use Facebook and that (38%) of Facebook users are Americans, Canada comes in second rank, Great Britan and then Egypt (Alfar, 2013, p 204).

Using Facebook as educational tool and improves eases information learning and trnasformation process, rises students' acievement because of providing individual learning methods and using all students' senses. the matter makes the user more interactive with educational environment that depend on innovative methods help to develop students' cognitive systems and makes them more able to grasp informations (Alhalafawy, 2009, p111 and Redecker. Ala-Mutka. Bacigalupo, Ferrari, & Punie, 42). This 2009, confirmed by Junco (2012), Brandtzæg, Lüders, & Skjetne, 2010 and Patrício & Gonçalves (2010) study results.

The researcher as table tennis lecturer at faculty of physical Educaion noticed students strong use of some web 2.0 applications as facebook and twitter, so she questionnaired a sample of (32) fourth grade (Education Division) students at faculty of physical education with one question about web 2.0 socia: noertworks application preferences . results that revealed 80.8% from students have acounts on facebook. and subsribed in aiming groups at. communicating and exchange information about educational field training. different syllabuses tasks and missions, therefore researcher has chosen facebook as the application used in this study. As far as reseracher knows, there is no intersted in its study effectiveness in developing cognitive achievement in table tennis or in field of physical education. from what mentioned above this study idea emerged to benefit from students' passion to use these applications in educational field.

Research objective:

This research aims at identify the effect of using some web 0.2 application on developing cognitive achievement for table tennis back spin serve for fourth grade studens (education

division) and their attitude towards it

Research Hypotheses:

- 1-There are statistically significant differencs between experimental group pre and post tests for cognitive achievement for the table tennis back spin serve.
- 2- There are statistically significant differencs between experimental and control groups pre and post tests for cognitive achievement for the table tennis back spin serve.
- 3- Students attitudes towards using some web 2.0 application in elarning table tennis back spin serve are mostly positive
- 4- There is correlation between cognitive achievement and student attitudes towards using some web 2.0 application in larning table tennis back spin serve.

Research Terms Web 2.0 Applications

Web hosted applications like Facebook which used to interact with educational content for (tabletennis back spin serve skill) in easy way and in a form meets students needs to use these applications in the educational process (procedural definition).

Cognitive achievement

The knowledge and information acquired by fourth grade (Education Division) students after they studied table tennis back spin serve using ebook (prepared by researcher), evaluated by markes achieved in the cognitive test prepared by the researcher (procedural definition).

Altitude:

Students opinion about using Web 2.0 application like facebook whether accepting or refusing, measured by atitude scale prepared by resdearcher (preedural definition)

Research procedures: Research Methodology:

The researcher used the quasi experimental methodoogy as it suits research nature using the experimental design with two group (control and experimental).

Research Sample:

Research sample consisted of (55) fouth grade students (education divison) in the academic year (2015/2016) execluding after players, injured and absent students. Sample divided (24)to students eperimental group, who can use vcpmouters and have faceook and google students accounts, (26)contrl group, in addition to (32) students from fouth grade stdenys in the academic year (20414/2015) as pilot study sample.

Expermintal and control groups homoginity

Table(1) Expermintal and control groups homoginity before experiment

Statestics	Experimental group (n=24)		Control g (n=26	T	
Variables	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	value
age	21.192	0.658	21.282	0.491	0.098
Intelligence	26.500	1.794	27.538	2.024	1.913
Cognitive test	9.042	3.000	8.192	2.593	1.074

T significat at 0.05 and 48 DOF = 2.011

Table (1) revealed that differences between experimental and contrl groups are not statistially significant, the matter indicates groups homoginity in age, intelligence and cognitive test resultss before experiment.

Research tools:

- 1- Cognitive Achievement test - prepared by researcher (appendix 1)
- 2- IQ test for adults Samia Al-Ansary. (appendix 6) 3- Attitude scale to toeads some web 2.0 applications prepared by researcher (appendix 3)

Main study:

Main study implemented in the period from 21/9/2015 to 2/11/2015 as follows:

Pre measurement

- Pre-measurement conducted for experimental and control groups in the period from 21/9 to 23/9/2015) in:
- 1- Cognitive achievement test of back spin serve skill after giving a general idea about the skill.
- 2- IQ Adults tests Samia Al Ansary .

Program implentation:

The program prapared by the researcher implemented in the period from 28/9 to 26/10/2015 after explaiing 2.0 web application and train experimental group students in using it and facebook in educayonal and process manageing theortical the lecturer.

• For experimental group the theoritical lecturer was only through face book (it was uploaded one dav before lecturer schedled day (Sunday) on the website prepared by the using E-learning reseacher Moodle system to the site (http://www.doctorbasma.co Descussions **m**). between students themsleves or with lecturer were through Tabletennis facebook group created for this study purpose during Monday schedled time, some assgnments were given by the lecturere to be completed by Tuesday 7.00 pm.

- Control group taught using the traditional method by the researcher weekly on moneday.
- Program implementation time were 5 weeks, one lecturer/week, ecah lecturer is one houre time for both groups.

- Post-measurement conducted for experimental and control groups in the period from 2/11 to 4/11/2015) in:
- 1- Cognitive achievement test of back spin serve skill after giving a general idea about the skill (for both groups).
- 2- Attitude scale (for experimental group only).

Results and discussions

1- T_{Ω} verify first "There hypothesis are statistically significant differencs between experimental group pre and for cognitive post tests achievement for the table tennis back spin serve.". researcher calculated value and Cohen effect size as demonstrated in table 2.

Post measurement

Table(2)
Differences significance between experimental group pre-and-post measurements in cognitive test

Statestics		Cognit		Cohen's		
Group	Pre mesurement		Post mesurement		T value	d Effect
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		size
Experimental Group (N=24)	9.042	3.000	18.958	1.654	14.567*	2.97

^{*}Statistically significant at 0.05 (T. significant at 0.05 23 DOF= 2.069) Effect size: low (0.2 - < 0.5), Medium (0.5 - < 0.8) high (0.8 and greater) (Lakens, 2013, p. 3).

Table (2) results reveal statistically significant differences between experimental group cognitive achievment pre and post tests with high effect size (greater than 0.8) in favor of post test, this may be to use some Web 2.0 aplications as educational tools which help in learning information and process transfer by individual learning that allow styles more interaction with educational which enviroment provide teachers and learners with easy to use tools like content share. instant messages, picture and videos share, profile updates by adding information, or picturers related to scientific

content shared between them; that encourages scientific progress. This is in agreement with Brandtzæg, Lüders, & Skjetne (2010), Wang, & Woo. (2010) study results which revealed that these reasons lead to social networking (facebook) success in education.

2- T_0 verify second "There hypothesis are statistically significant differencs between experimental and control groups pre and post tests for cognitive achievement for the table tennis back spin serve.", researcher calculated value and Cohen effect size as demonstrated in table 3.

Table(3)
Differences significance between experimental and control group post measurements in cognitive test

Statestics	Experi	mental	Con	trol	T value	Cohen's
Variables	group (n=24)		gro (n=2	_		d Effect
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		ssize
Cognitive test	18.958	1.654	12.769	2.438	10.414*	٣.٣٣

^{*}Statistically significant at 0.05 (T. significant at 0.05 48 DOF= 2.011) Effect size: low (0.2 - < 0.5), Medium (0.5- <0.8) high (0.8 and greater) (Lakens, 2013, p. 3).

Table (3) results reveal statistically significant differences between experimental and control groups cognitive achievment

post tests with high effect size (greater than 0.8) in favor of experimental group. This difference may be explained as follows:

Assiut Journal For Sport Science Arts

- Web 2.0 applications overcome students' boring, as voice, pictures, video clips introduce purposeful. and exciting interesting, learning environment, this will increase students desire to learn and achievement. This results in agreement Reynol (2011) study results confiremed which positive correlation between study duration in Web and content congnitive achievement.
- 2- The program prepared using Web 2.0 application provide syllabus general objectives, procedural behavioral objectives that can be observed and measured, which students should fulfill in each lesson.
- 3- Web 2.0 applications provide different ways to offer the educational content, which ensure students positive and effective role in acquiring

- knowledg and link it with previous information and discuss it with their colleagues.
- 4- Web 2.0 applications give students chance to get deeper in understanding understudy subjects, with wide and deep manner. This help students to improve their knowledge level.
- 5- Web 2.0 applications provide instant feedback, which help students to instantly correct their cognitive path during study.

These results are in agreement with Couillard. (2009), and Randtzæg, Lüders, & Skjetne (2010) study results.

3- T_0 verify third hypothesis "Students attitudes towards using some web 2.0 application in elarning table tennis back spin serve are mostly positive", researcher used chi square test demonstrated in table 4.

Table (4)
Research sample responses on attitudes scale (n=24)

Phrase No	Phrase Agree		ree	Do Not knoww Disagree		gree	Approval	Response		Chi Square	
NU	type	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	70	unec	direction	
1.	Negative	13	54.17	8	33.33	3	12.5	29.17	Disagree	positive	6.250*
2.	Positive	16	66.67	2	8.33	6	25	70.83	Agree	positive	13.000*
3.	Negative	12	50	9	37.5	3	12.5	31.25	Disagree	positive	5.250
4.	Positive	17	70.83	0	0	7	29.17	70.83	Agree	positive	18.250*
5.	Negative	11	45.83	11	45.83	2	8.33	31.25	Disagree	positive	6.750*
6.	Positive	10	41.67	13	54.17	1	4.17	68.75	Agree	positive	9.750*
7.	Positive	15	62.5	4	16.67	5	20.83	70.83	Agree	positive	9.250*
8.	Positive	13	54.17	7	29.17	4	16.67	68.75	Agree	positive	5.250

	Follov	w Table (4)	
Research samp	ple respor	nses on attit	udes scale (n=24)

Phrase No	Phrase type	Ag	ree	-	Not ww	Disa		Approval	Resp direc		Chi Square
110	type	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	70	unce	.tion	Square
9.	Positive	8	33.33	7	29.17	9	37.5	47.92	Do not know	Neutral	0.250
10.	Negative	12	50	10	41.67	2	8.33	29.17	Disagree	positive	7.000*
11.	Negative	11	45.83	12	50	1	4.17	29.17	Disagree	positive	9.250*
12.	Positive	15	62.5	6	25	3	12.5	75	Agree	positive	9.750*
13.	Negative	9	37.5	15	62.5	0	0	31.25	Disagree	positive	14.250*
14.	Negative	16	66.67	2	8.33	6	25	29.17	Disagree	positive	13.000*
15.	Positive	14	58.33	7	29.17	3	12.5	72.92	Agree	positive	7.750*
16.	Positive	17	70.83	3	12.5	4	16.67	77.08	Agree	positive	15.250*
17.	Positive	13	54.17	8	33.33	3	12.5	70.83	Agree	positive	6.250*
18.	Positive	12	50	6	25	6	25	62.5	Do not know	Neutral	3.000
19.	Positive	8	33.33	8	33.33	8	33.33	50	Do not know	Neutral	0.000
20.	Negative	8	33.33	7	29.17	9	37.5	52.08	Do not know	Neutral	0.250
21.	Negative	8	33.33	9	37.5	7	29.17	47.92	Do not know	Neutral	0.250
22.	Positive	13	54.17	7	29.17	4	16.67	68.75	Agree	positive	5.250
23.	Negative	11	45.83	11	45.83	2	8.33	31.25	Disagree	positive	6.750*
24.	Positive	14	58.33	5	20.83	5	20.83	68.75	Agree	positive	6.750*
25.	Positive	15	62.5	7	29.17	2	8.33	77.08	Agree	positive	10.750*
26.	Negative	16	66.67	6	25	2	8.33	20.83	Disagree	positive	13.000*
27.	Positive	10	41.67	6	25	8	33.33	54.17	Do not know	Neutral	1.000
28.	Negative	10	41.67	8	33.33	6	25	41.67	Do not know	Neutral	1.000
29.	Positive	19	79.17	2	8.33	3	12.5	83.33	Agree	positive	22.750*
30.	Negative	15	62.5	5	20.83	4	16.67	27.08	Disagree	positive	9.250*

*Statistically significant at 0.05(Chi square signficant =5.991)

Response direction: Disagree (0-33.33%) Do not know (33.34-66.66%) Agree (>66.66%)

Table (4) results rveal the following:

1- Research sample responses are statistically significant in positive direction in positive phrases (2, 4, 6, 7, 12, 15, 16, 17, 24, 25, 29), and

in negative phrases (1, 5, 10, 11, 13, 14, 23, 26, 30) (twenty pharses represent 66.67% of total pharses).

2-Research sample responses are in positive direction but not statistically significant in the positive phrases (8, 22) and in negative phrase (3) (three pharses represent 10% of total pharses)

3-Research sample are in neuteral responses direction and not statistically significant in the positive phrases (9, 18, 19, 27) and in negative phrases (20, 21, 28) (seven pharses represent 33.33% of total pharses)

All these declare that sample responses ob attitude scale are mostly positive.

These results could be explained as following:

- Web 2.0 applications coinside with students' wishes in using new learning methods, which encourages them study. and increase their positive attitudes to use it in learning. Fahmy & Abdel Sabour (2001)argue that emotional side cant' he developped through reading or listening to its advantages, but it is important to give many opportunities for students to practise it. and to form emotions and sympathies around it. all that make students like it, believe in it and be keen on it.
- 2- Web 2.0 applications is an encouraged method to students which increase their motives towards completing the activities which offered throgh it, hence it affects their

- attitudes towards using it in learning.
- 3-Web 2.0 application communicative and ensure interactive educational environment between students with full co-operation, objective discussion between them, this will improve their attitudes towards using these applications and interact with it.
- 4- Web 2.0 application give the chance to bravery learn without shame or fear, the matter which will aid students to achieve and progress, hence enforce their trends towards using it in learning.

These results are in agreement with Hossain & Quinn (2013), Kurt, Izmirli, & Sahin-Izmirli (2011), Junco, Heiberger, & Loken (2010), Hoffman (2009) study results.

4-To verify fourth **There** hypothesis correlation between cognitive and student achievement attitudes towards using some web 2.0 application larning table tennis back spin serve", researcher used perason (r) correlation coeffecient test as demonstrated in table 5.

18.958

for experimental group (n=24)								
Cognitive test Attitudes scale Correlation								
Mean	SD	Mean	SD	coeffeciebt (r)				

Table (5)
Correlation coefficient between cognitive test and attitudes scale for experimental group (n=24)

*Statistically significant at 0.05 (r signficant at 0.05 and $22 \overline{DOF} = 0.404$)

47.028

Table (5) results reveal statestically significant positive correlation between the results cognitive test results and attitudes scale.

1.654

The results of table (5) could be explained as follows

- 1students' positive attitude towards using Web 2.0 applications makes them more willing to learn, and that will their cognitive increase achievement. This is agreement with Alhalafawy (2012) opinion that education using web 2.0 applications is an innovated method suitable for students' needs in this age as it has a great effect in increasing their achievement.
- 2web 2.0 Using apllications encourage students to discuss their opinions even they have no relationshps, and benefit from different opinions will make them more acceptable to educational content (Jovanovic, Chiong, & Weise, 2012).

3- Facebook is predominated by youth character, and that will make it suitable for students research sample, it will also enforce their relation with educational content and positively affects their cognitive achevement.

0.548*

Conclusions:

4.393

According to research goals, its hypotheses and its results, the follwing is concluded

- 1-Experemental group Web which used 2.0 excels application control group that used traditional educational method (Explanation. demonstration and book) in congnitive achievement (cognitive test) for table tennis syllabus.
- 2- Using some Web 2.0 applications in education contributes to develop positive attitude within research sample individuals, and increases student motivation and

effectiveness towards using it in table tennis learning.

3- There is psoitive correlation between cognotive achievement and attitude towards using some Web 2.0 applications in studying syllabus conetent.

Recommendations:

In light of research results, the following is recommended:

- 1- Using some Web 2.0 applications in teaching table tennis syllabus for second grade due to its positive effect appeared in is study.
- 2- Using some Web 2.0 applications in teaching other syllabuses

References:

- 1- Alfar, I. (2013). Twenty first century educational technologies, Web 2.0 technologies. Tanta, Egypt: Delta computer technology. (in Arabic)
- 2-Alhalafawy, W. (2009).Developing education system based on Web 2.0 applications, its effectiveness on cognitive achievement, innovative thinking, and students attitudes towards using within education it technology students. Education technology, Egyptian association for education technology, 19 (4). (in Arabic)
- **3- Alhalafawy, W. (2011).** Elearning, applications and

innovations. Cairo, Egypt: Arab Thought House. (in Arabic)

- **4- Brandtzæg, P. B., Lüders, M., & Skjetne, J. H.** (2010). Too many facebook "friends"? content sharing and sociability versus the need for privacy in social network Sites. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 26 (11-12), 1006-1030. doi:10.1080/10447318. 2010.51671
- 5- Couillard, C. (2009). Facebook: The pros and cons of use in education (Unpublished master's thesis). University of Wisconsin-Stout, Menomonie, WI. Retrieved from:http://clairecouillard.wee bly.com/uploads/5/1/9/8/51980 42/research_paper_tcs_701.pdf 6- Davis, M. (2010, June 16). Social networking goes school. Education Week. directions[Bethesda digital MD1. Retrieved http://www.edweek.org/dd/arti cles/2010/06/16/03networking. h03.html
- 7-**Abdel** Fahmy, F., & Sabour, M. (2001). Systematic pedagogical approach as necessity for present and future challenges. Cairo, Egypt: Knowledge House. (in Arabic) Hoffman. E. (2009).**Evaluating Social Networking**

Assiut Journal For Sport Science Arts

Tools for Distance Learning. In Proceedings of TCC Worldwide Online Conference 2009 (pp. 92-100). TCCHawaii. Retreived from: http://www.editlib.org/p/43785/proceedings_43785.pdf

8- Hossain, M.M. & Ouinn. R.J. (2013).Investigating Relationships between Attitudes toward the use of Web 2.0 Technologies and Mathematical Achievement, In R. McBride & M. Searson (Eds.), Proceedings of Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference 2013 (pp. 3916-3922). Chesapeake, VA: Association for the Advancement Computing in Education (AACE). Retrieved from: https://www.learntechlib. org/p/48728.

9- Jovanovic, J., Chiong, R., & Weise, T. (2012). Social networking, teaching, and learning.Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management, 7, 39-43. Retrieved from http://www.ijikm.org/ Volume 7/IJIKMv7p039-043Editorial572.pdf

10- Junco, R. (2012). The relationship between frequency of Facebook use, participation in Facebook activities, and

student

engagement. Computers & Education, 58 (1), 162-171. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2011.0 8.004

11- Junco, R., Heiberger, G., & Loken, E. (2010). The effect of Twitter on college student engagement and grades. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 27 (2), 119-132. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2729.2010.00387.x

12- Kurt, A., Izmirli, S., & Sahin-Izmirli, O. (2011).Student experience in Blog use for supplementary purposes in courses. Turkish On-line Journal Distance Education, 12(3), 78-96 Retrieved from http://tojde.anadolu.edu.tr/yone tim/icerik/makaleler/684published.pdf

13-Lakens, D. (2013).Calculating and reporting effect sizes facilitate to cumulative science: a practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. Frontiers in Psychology, 4. doi:10.3389/ fpsyg.2013.00863

14- Lamberson, P. J. (2010). Social learning in social networks. The B.E. Journal of Theoretical Economics, 10(1), 1-31. Retrieved from

http://www.bepress.com/bejte/vol10/iss1/art36

- 15- Malhiwsky, D. (2010). Student achievement using Web 0.2 Technologies: A mixed methods study (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Nebraska, Lincoln, NE.
- 16- Mazman, S. G., & Usluel, Y. K. (2010). Modeling educational usage of Facebook. Computers & Education, 55(2), 444-453. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2010.0 2.008
- **17- O'REILLY, T.** (2007). What is Web 2.0: design patterns and business models for the next generation of software. Communications & Strategies, (65), 17-37.
- 18-Patrício, M., & Gonçalves, V. (2010).Facebook in the learning process: a case study. Madrid, 3rd International Spain. Conference of Education. Research and Innovation. Retreived from: https://bibliotecadigital.ipb.pt/b itstream/10198/3582/1/988.pdf

- 19-Redecker, C., Ala-Mutka, K., Bacigalupo, M., Punie, Y. Ferrari. A.. & (**2009**). The impact of Web 2.0 innovations on education and training in Europe. Retrieved from European Commission, Joint Research Centre. Institute for Prospective Technological Studies website: http://ftp.jrc.es/EURdoc/JRC55 629.pdf
- 20-Seventh Scintefic conference. (2010).Arab society for Education Technology. Third international conference of education, research (2010).innovation. International Academy of Education Technology, and Development.
- 21- Wang, Q., & Woo, H. (2010).Supporting collaborative learning by using web 2.0 tools. In E. Luzzatto & G.DiMarco (Eds.), Collaborati learning: Methodology, ve of interactions and types techniques. New York, NY: Nova Science Publishers.