EFFECT OF DIETARY SUNFLOWER OIL ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF BROILER CHICKS KEPT AT TWO CAGE DENSITIES DURING SUMMER SEASON

Rabie, M.H.; El-Samra H. A. Abo-Egla; F. S. A. Ismail and Sara Kh. Sherif

Poultry Production Dept., Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The present experiment was conducted with Hubbard broiler-type chicks in a factorial arrangement of treatments (3x2) to investigate the effects of feeding diets fortified with three levels of sunflower oil on growth performance of broiler chicks kept at two stocking densities during summer season. Three isonitrogenous experimental diets, fortified with sunflower oil (0.00, 1.25 or 2.50% of the diet), were formulated and used. The chicks were kept in battery cages at two stocking densities (9.04 and 11.3 birds/m²). All chicks were fed their respective experimental diets and had free access to feed and water throughout the experimental period from 2 to 6 weeks of age. The criteria of response were growth performance, nutrient digestibility, carcass traits and some blood parameters.

The obtained results can be summarized as follows: Apart from the effect of cage density, dietary supplementation with sunflower oil level increased significantly the growth performance of broiler chicks (final body weight, body weight gain and feed conversion), nutrient digestibility of diets (dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, ether extract and nitrogen retention), and blood plasma glucose concentration. However, dietary oil supplementation did not affect feed intake, carcass traits, digestibility of crude fiber and nitrogen free extract or ash retention as well as blood plasma concentrations of cholesterol, total protein, albumin, globulin, triglycerides, or activity of AST and ALT. Decreasing stocking density led to significant increases in final body weight, body weight gain, feed conversion, and digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, nitrogen free extract and nitrogen retention, and in plasma triglycerides concentration. However, stocking density did not affect feed intake, carcass traits, digestibility of ether extract, crude fiber and ash retention and blood plasma concentrations of glucose, cholesterol, total protein, albumin and globulin or activity of AST and ALT. The effect of interaction between dietary sunflower oil and cage density was not significant for most variables examined in the present study. Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that reducing stocking density and dietary supplementation with sunflower oil in summer season can be used for obtaining normal growth performance of broiler chicks.

Keywords: Broiler performance, stocking density, dietary oil supplementation.

INTRODUCTION

Heat stress is known to be one of the major problems facing broiler industry in the tropical and subtropical areas (Sabah Elkheir *et al.*, 2008). In this regard, Butcher and Miles (2003) demonstrated that broilers subjected to high temperature exhibit many behavioral changes which allow them to reestablish heat balance with their surrounding environment. But the response of broilers at high temperatures differs based on the relative humidity occurred. High environmental temperature accompanied by high humidity is more detrimental to growth of broiler chicks than high temperature with low humidity (Daghir, 2009). At the same time, constant high temperature of 3032°C is more deleterious to broilers than cyclic or alternating temperatures of 30-32°C at day and 25°C at night. According to the scientific literature, high ambient temperatures have deleterious effects on feed intake, live weight gain, efficiency of feed and energy utilization, and digestibility of nutrients in different classes of poultry (Mills *et al.*, 1999; Hai *et al.*, 2000).

Stocking density of broilers can be defined by the number or the weight of birds in a given area. It is considered an important factor in broiler production because of its impact on health, welfare, and well-being, as well as on growth performance of birds (Estevez, 2007). Current recommendations for stocking density in broilers differ widely by country and husbandry systems (Bessei, 2006; Buijs et al., 2009). Several studies are present in the literature on the effect of stocking density on productive performance of broiler chicks. These studies evaluated a wide range of stocking density, from less than 10 to over 80 kg/m² floor space (Bessei, 2006; Manning et al., 2007). There is a well documented reduction of feed intake and reduced growth rate when stocking density exceeds 30 kg/m² in floor pens (Dozier et al. 2005; Bessei, 2006; Onbasilar et al., 2008); but the effect of stocking density was reduced when broilers were kept in cages (Bessei, 2006; Houshmand et al., 2012). On the other hand, Bessei (2006) concluded that the influence of stocking density on growth rate of broilers is acting through heat stress rather than physical restriction of the animals' space for movement, since growth depression which has been found with increasing stocking density was closely linked to problems of heat dissipation.

Dietary fat supplementation is a common nutritional means to compensate for the reduction of feed intake of heat-stressed broiler chicks. The beneficial effects of added dietary fat at high temperature are several and well known (Dale and Fuller, 1979; Leeson, 1986; Wiernusz, 1998; Leeson and Summers, 2005; Daghir, 2008). The higher fat content of the diet contributes to reduced heat production, since fat has a lower heat increment than either protein or carbohydrates (Leeson and Summers, 2005; Daghir, 2008). The latter authors also reported that energy intake is increased in both broiler and laying hens in a warm environment by the addition of fat. The addition of fat to the diet appears to increase the energy value of the other feed constituents (Mateos and Sell, 1981). Fat has also been shown to decrease the rate of food passage in the gastrointestinal tract (Mateos et al., 1982) and thus increase nutrient utilization. Therefore, the present study was carried out in order to investigate the effects of feeding diets supplemented with sunflower oil on growth performance of broiler chicks kept at two stocking densities during summer season.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field work of the present study was performed at the Poultry Farm, belonging to the Kalabsho Center for Agricultural Researches and Experiments, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt, during summer season (from July to and August 2011). Prevailing means of maximum and minimum daily temperatures in Dakahliyah Governorate during

the experimental period were 35°C and 23°C, while the relative humidity ranged between 41 and 85%.

Experimental birds and diets

During the first two weeks of age, unsexed Hubbard broiler chicks were kept in brooding batteries, provided with an extra heat and fed a common commercial starter diet. The chicks were also vaccinated against diseases and managed similarly. At two weeks of age, one hundred sixty two chicks were randomly divided into six experimental groups, each with three replications. All the experimental groups of chicks were stocked at battery cages in an open-sided house under two cage densities (9.04 or 11.30 birds/m²). Three isonitrogenous experimental diets, fortified with three levels of sunflower oil (0.00, 1.25 or 2.50% of the diet), were formulated to meet or exceed the nutrient requirements of broiler chicks (NRC, 1994). Dietary inclusion of sunflower oil was exclusively at the expense of the ground vellow corn content of the basal diet, with no adjustments in metabolizable energy contents of the experimental diets. The high level of stocking density (11.30 birds/m²), applied herein, was hypothesized to be suitable under normal condition. All chicks were given a free access to feed and water, fed their respective experimental diets and managed similarly throughout the experimental period, from 2 to 6 weeks of age. Composition and chemical analyses of the experimental grower diets are presented in Table 1.

Criteria of response

Criteria of response included growth performance of chicks (live body weight, weight gain, feed intake and feed conversion, mortality rate), nutrient digestibility, carcass traits, and some blood constituents {plasma concentration of glucose, cholesterol, total protein, albumin, triglycerides, and activity of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT)}. Records on weekly live body weight (LBW), body weight gain (BWG), and feed intake (FI), and thereby feed conversion (FC; g feed: g gain) of broiler chicks, were maintained on a replicate group basis throughout the experimental period from 2 to 6 weeks of age. But mortality was monitored and recorded daily and its cumulative rate was calculated.

Digestibility trials:

At 5 weeks of age, one replication from each treatment were placed in a separate battery compartment and fed its respective experimental diet for a 3-day adaptation period, followed by a 3-day test period during which daily feed intake and excreta voided were quantitatively determined. Just after collection, the excreta were sprayed with 1% boric acid to eliminate nitrogen loss due to possible ammonia release. Any feather or foreign debris occasionally found in the excreta were removed out. The excreta were then dried in a forced-air oven at 70°C for 48 hours. The procedure described by Jakobsen *et al* (1960) was used for separating the fecal protein fraction in excreta samples. The urinary organic matter was calculated by multiplying the percent of urinary nitrogen by the factor 2.62 (Abou-Raya and Galal, 1971). Chemical analyses of the experimental diets and dried excreta were carried out according to the official methods of analysis (AOAC, 1990). Digestibility of nutrients were calculated for dry matter (DM), organic matter

Rabie, M.H. et al.

(OM), crude protein (CP), crude fiber (CF), ether extract (EE) and nitrogenfree extract (NFE). The retention rates (%) of ash (AR) and nitrogen (NR) were also determined.

	Level of added sunflower oil (%)						
Ingredients %	0.00	1.25	2.50				
Ground yellow corn	71.93	70.68	69.43				
Soybean meal (44% CP)	7.09	7.09	7.09				
Corn gluten meal (60% CP)	16.50	16.50	16.50				
Dicalcium phosphate	1.70	1.70	1.70				
Ground limestone	1.60	1.60	1.60				
Common salt (NaCl)	0.30	0.30	0.30				
Vit. & min. premix**	0.30	0.30	0.30				
Lysine- HCL	0.58	0.58	0.58				
Sunflower oil		1.25	2.50				
Total	100	100	100				
Calculated analysis (air dry basis; NRC, 1994)							
Metabolizable energy (ME); kcal/kg	3208	3287	3366				
Crude protein (CP); %	20.15	20.05	19.95				
ME: CP ratio	159.2	163.9	168.7				
Ether extract (EE); %	3.20	4.41	5.61				
Crude fiber (CF); %	2.29	2.27	2.24				
Calcium; %	1.02	1.02	1.02				
Total phosphorus; %	0.65	0.64	0.64				
Non-phytate phosphorus; %	0.39	0.38	0.38				
Lysine; %	1.13	1.12	1.12				
Methionine; %	0.42	0.42	0.41				
Methionine plus cystine; %	0.78	0.77	0.77				
Determined analysis (dry matter basis; AOAC, 1990)							
DM; %	89.32	89.91	89.29				
CP; %	22.36	22.18	22.30				
Ash; %	4.61	4.80	4.67				
EE; %	3.65	4.88	6.29				
CF; %	2.59	2.54	2.61				
NFE; %	66.79	65.60	64.13				

Table 1: Composition and chemical analyses of the experimental grower diets

** Each 3 kg premix contains: Vit. A, 12,000,000 IU; Vit. D₃, 2,500,000 IU; Vit. E, 10 g; Vit. K, 2.5 g; Vit. B₂, 5 g; Vit. B₆, 1.5 g; Vit. B₁₂, 10 mg; Biotin, 50 mg; Folic acid, 1.0 g; Nicotinic acid, 30 mg; Pantothenic acid, 10 g; Antioxidant, 10 g; Mn, 60 g; Cu, 10 g; Zn, 55 g; Fe, 35 g; I, 1.0 g; Co, 250 mg and Se, 150 mg.

Carcass traits of broiler chicks:

At the conclusion of the feeding trial (6 weeks of age), 3 chicks from each treatment; whose body weight were near the average of their respective treatment, were selected for slaughter test. Prior to slaughter the birds were held 16 hours without feed. Just after slaughter and complete bleeding, their

carcasses were individually weighed, scalded, feather-plucked and eviscerated. Procedures of cleaning out and separating the abdominal fat were performed on hot carcasses. The abdominal fat included the adipose tissues surrounding the gizzard and the bursa of Fabricius and cloaca. Individual weights of eviscerated carcass and giblets (*i.e.* the edible organs including heart, liver and gizzard) were recorded. Total edible parts were calculated as eviscerated carcass plus giblets. All measurements of carcass and its components were determined relative to live body weight at slaughter.

Blood plasma parameters

During slaughtering (6 weeks of age), three blood samples per treatment were taken in heparinized test tubes. They were immediately centrifuged at 3000 r.p.m for 15 minutes in order to separate blood plasma. Plasma samples were frozen at -20° C until later analysis. Concentration of plasma total protein (Henry, 1964), albumin (Doumas *et al.*, 1971), glucose (Trinder, 1969), cholesterol (Allain *et al.*, 1974), triglycerides (Tietz, 1995) and the activity of plasma AST and ALT (Reitman and Frankel, 1957) were determined using commercial kits of diagnostic examination. Plasma globulin level was estimated as plasma total protein minus that of albumin, neglecting the fibrinogen content of blood plasma.

Statistical analysis

A completely randomized design with a 3×2 factorial arrangement of treatments (3 levels of added dietary sunflower oil by two levels of stocking density, namely, 9.04 and 11.3 birds/m²) was used. The statistical processing of data was performed using the Statgraphics Program (Statistical Graphics Corporation, 1991) based on a one-way analysis of variance. The significant differences (P≤0.05) among means of the different variables were identified by LSD-multiple range test of Quattro Program (Borland International, Inc., 1990).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth performance of broiler chicks:

Data on the performance of broiler chicks for live body weight (LBW), body weight gain (BWG), feed intake (FI) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) as affected by added dietary sunflower oil and stocking density, during summer season, are given in Table 2. Apart from the effect of stocking density, added dietary sunflower oil significantly improved (P≤0.01) final LBW and total BWG of broiler chicks compared with their control counterparts during the whole experimental period, from 2 to 6 weeks of age (Table 2). It was observed that birds fed the oil-supplemented diets consumed slightly more (P>0.05) feed as compared to the control birds, so their total FCR was significantly better (P≤0.05) only for birds given the 2.5% oil-supplemented diets. Dietary supplementation with sunflower oil, applied herein, perhaps exerted some positive effect on feed intake and/or growth rate of heat-stressed broiler chicks. Because energy intake is often the limiting factor to growth of broilers during heat stress, added dietary fat perhaps enhanced the energy intake and reduced the specific dynamic effect of the diet *via* reducing heat

Rabie, M.H. et al.

production, since fat has a lower heat increment than either protein or carbohydrates (Leeson and Summers, 2005; Daghir, 2008).. The addition of fat to the diet also increases the energy value of other feed constituents (Mateos and Sell, 1981). The present results are in accordance with those obtained by Miraei-Ashtiani *et al.* (2004), who reported that high-fat diets (6%) have helped in reducing the detrimental effect of heat stress in broilers raised at 30–38°C. In harmony also with the present results, Ghazalah *et al.* (2008) found that growth performance of heat-stressed broiler chicks was significantly improved due to feeding high-energy or high-fat diets.

penonnal	nce of brol	er chicks	auring sur	illier seaso	
Treatments	Initial	Final	Total	Total	Total
	LBW (g)	LBW (g)	BWG (g)	FI (g)	FCR (g: g)
Main effects:	(2 wk-old))	(7 wk-old)	2-7 wk-old	2-7 wk-old	2-7 wk-old
Added oil: A					
0.00% (A1)	411	2217 ^b	1807 ^b	3509	1.95 ^b
1.25% (A2)	411	2289 ^a	1878 ^a	3578	1.91 ^b
2.50% (A3)	411	2322 ^a	1911 ^a	3609	1.89 ^a
SEM [¶]	0.712	19.0	19.3	33.6	0.014
Significance level	NS	**	**	NS	*
Cage density: B					
9.04 Birds/m ² (B1)	412	2220 ^b	1808 ^b	3595	1.99 ^b
11.30 Birds/m ² (B2)	410	2333 ^a	1922 ^a	3536	1.84 ^a
SEM [¶]	0.581	15.5	15.7	27.4	0.012
Significance level	NS	**	**	NS	**
AB Interaction					
A1×B1	412	2157	1746	3593	2.06
A1×B2	410	2278	1868	3426	1.83
A2×B1	413	2229	1816	3602	1.98
A2×B2	410	2349	1940	3554	1.83
A3×B1	410	2272	1862	3590	1.93
A3×B2	411	2371	1960	3628	1.85
SEM [¶]	1.007	26.9	27.2	47.5	0.02
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	NS	**

Table 2:	Effects	of dietary	sunflower	oil and	cage	density	on growth
	perform	nance of br	oiler chicks	s durina	summ	er seaso	n

^{a-b}: For each of the main effects, means in the same column bearing different superscripts differ significantly (P \leq 0.05). NS: not significant. *: Significant at P \leq 0.05. **: Significant at P \leq 0.01.

[¶]: SEM is standard errors of the means.

Regardless of the effect of added dietary sunflower oil, decreasing stocking density of broiler chicks from 11.3 to 9.04 birds/m² caused significant improvements (P≤0.01) in final LBW, total BWG and total FCR (Table 2). These results are in agreement with the general viewpoint that performance of growing birds is inversely related to the rate of stocking density (Feddes *et al.*, 2002; EI-Deek and AI-Harthi, 2004; Houshmand *et al.*, 2012). The obtained results are also consistent with those reported by Estevez (2007) and Onbasilar *et al.* (2008), who found that increasing stocking density of broiler chickens had negative effects on criteria of growth performance. Similarly, Dozier *et al.* (2005) reported that high stocking density depressed

growth and feed consumption of broiler chicks. Recently, Beloor *et al.* (2010) found that daily feed intake was reduced from 114 g/bird (low density group, 0.116 m² /bird) to 103.2 g/bird (high density group, 0.0578 m²/bird). The effect of interaction between dietary sunflower oil and cage density on the performance of broiler chicks was significant only for FCR during the whole experimental period (Table 2).

Nutrient digestibility:

Effects of dietary sunflower oil and cage density on nutrient digestibility of the experimental diets in broiler chicks are presented in Table 3. Independently from the effect of stocking density, added dietary sunflower oil significantly improved digestibilities of DM, OM, CP and EE, and the percentage of NR compared with those of the control group while CF digestibility and percent ash retention were not affected. Feeding high-fat diets to broiler chicks has been shown to decrease the rate of food passage in their digestive tract and thus increase nutrient utilization (Mateos *et al.*, 1982). In agreement with the current results, Ghazalah *et al.* (2007) observed improvements in means of nutrient digestibility due to inclusion of dry fat in diets of broiler chicks. Similarly, Ghazalah *et al.* (2008) found that digestion coefficients of crude protein and ether extract were improved significantly when broiler chicks were fed diets containing high level of either metabolizable energy or poultry fat.

Irrespective of the effect of added dietary sunflower oil, decreasing stocking density of broiler chicks from 11.3 to 9.04 birds/m² led to significant improvements in digestibility of DM, OM, CP and NFE as well as the percentage of nitrogen retention while digestibility of CF and EE, and percent ash retention were not affected. In the present study, the positive effects of decreasing cage density on nutrient digestibility could not be explained based on the results obtained, since feed intake of birds was unaffected while their water intake was not estimated. As far as the authors aware, no publications were found in the scientific literature on the effect of stocking density on feed digestibility by animals. But if high stocking density adversely affect nutrient digestibility as well, because impacts of stocking density on feed and water intakes are closely related (Dozier *et al.*, 2005). Significant interactions between dietary sunflower oil and cage density were observed on digestibility of CP and NFE, and percent nitrogen retention (Table 3).

Rabie, M.H. et al.

Main effects: (%)									summer seas
Added oil: A B Added oil: A B Added oil: A B Added oil: A B B B B	AR ⁸	NR ⁷	NFE ⁶	CF ⁵	EE ⁴	CP ³	OM ²	DM ¹	Treatments
0.00% (A1) 79.9 ^b 83.2 ^b 94.9 ^b 86.8 ^b 16.7 84.0 83.4 ^b 1.25% (A2) 82.2 ^a 84.8 ^a 95.6 ^{ab} 91.7 ^a 16.8 84.8 88.1 ^a 2.50% (A3) 82.7 ^a 85.3 ^a 96.2 ^a 88.9 ^b 17.3 85.3 89.4 ^a SEM [¶] 0.58 0.51 0.23 0.91 1.29 0.63 0.64 Significance level * * ** ** NS NS ** Cage density: B	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	Main effects:
1.25% (A2) 82.2ª 84.8ª 95.6ab 91.7ª 16.8 84.8 88.1ª 2.50% (A3) 82.7ª 85.3ª 96.2ª 88.9b 17.3 85.3 89.4ª SEM [¶] 0.58 0.51 0.23 0.91 1.29 0.63 0.64 Significance level * * ** ** NS NS ** Cage density: B 83.7b 84.9b 9.04 Birds/m² (B1) 80.5b 83.4b 95.3b 88.1 15.8 83.7b 84.9b 11.30 Birds/m² (B2) 82.8a 85.5a 95.8a 90.1 18.1 85.7a 88.9a SEM [¶] 0.47 0.42 0.19 0.74 1.05 0.51 0.52 Significance level ** ** * NS NS * ** AB Interaction 83.7 83.4 88.0									Added oil: A
2.50% (A3) 82.7° 85.3° 96.2° 88.9° 17.3 85.3 89.4° SEM [¶] 0.58 0.51 0.23 0.91 1.29 0.63 0.64 Significance level * * ** ** NS NS ** Cage density: B - - - - - - - 9.04 Birds/m² (B1) 80.5° 83.4° 95.3° 88.1 15.8 83.7° 84.9° 11.30 Birds/m² (B2) 82.8° 85.5° 95.8° 90.1 18.1 85.7° 88.9° SEM [¶] 0.47 0.42 0.19 0.74 1.05 0.51 0.52 Significance level ** ** * NS NS * ** AB Interaction - - - - - - - A1×B2 80.7 83.7 95.7 89.6 18.8 83.4 88.0	34.9	83.4 ^b	84.0	16.7	86.8 ^b	94.9 ^b	83.2 ^b	79.9 ^b).00% (A1)
SEM [¶] 0.58 0.51 0.23 0.91 1.29 0.63 0.64 Significance level * * ** ** NS NS ** Cage density: B -	44.3	88.1 ^a	84.8	16.8	91.7ª	95.6 ^{ab}	84.8 ^a	82.2 ^a	.25% (A2)
Significance level * * ** ** NS NS ** Cage density: B ** ** NS NS ** 9.04 Birds/m ² (B1) 80.5 ^b 83.4 ^b 95.3 ^b 88.1 15.8 83.7 ^b 84.9 ^b 11.30 Birds/m ² (B2) 82.8 ^a 85.5 ^a 95.8 ^a 90.1 18.1 85.7 ^a 88.9 ^a SEM ¹ 0.47 0.42 0.19 0.74 1.05 0.51 0.52 Significance level ** ** * NS NS * ** AB Interaction 82.8 94.1 84.0 14.7 84.6 78.8 A1×B1 79.2 82.8 94.1 84.0 14.7 84.6 78.8	43.4	89.4 ^a	85.3	17.3	88.9 ^b	96.2ª	85.3 ^a	82.7 ^a	2.50% (A3)
Cage density: B Image: bold state Image: bold state	2.72	0.64	0.63	1.29	0.91	0.23	0.51	0.58	SEM ¹
9.04 Birds/m² (B1) 80.5 ^b 83.4 ^b 95.3 ^b 88.1 15.8 83.7 ^b 84.9 ^b 11.30 Birds/m² (B2) 82.8 ^a 85.5 ^a 95.8 ^a 90.1 18.1 85.7 ^a 88.9 ^a SEM [¶] 0.47 0.42 0.19 0.74 1.05 0.51 0.52 Significance level ** ** * NS NS * ** AB Interaction A1×B1 79.2 82.8 94.1 84.0 14.7 84.6 78.8 A1×B2 80.7 83.7 95.7 89.6 18.8 83.4 88.0	NS	**	NS	NS	**	**	*	*	Significance level
11.30 Birds/m² (B2) 82.8ª 85.5ª 95.8ª 90.1 18.1 85.7ª 88.9ª SEM ¹ 0.47 0.42 0.19 0.74 1.05 0.51 0.52 Significance level ** ** * NS NS * ** AB Interaction									Cage density: B
SEM [¶] 0.47 0.42 0.19 0.74 1.05 0.51 0.52 Significance level ** ** * NS NS * ** AB Interaction	40.9	84.9 ^b	83.7 ^b	15.8	88.1	95.3 ^b	83.4 ^b	80.5 ^b	0.04 Birds/m ² (B1)
Significance level ** ** * NS NS * ** AB Interaction	40.9	88.9 ^a	85.7 ^a	18.1	90.1	95.8 ^a	85.5 ^a	82.8 ^a	1.30 Birds/m ² (B2)
AB Interaction	2.22	0.52	0.51	1.05	0.74	0.19	0.42	0.47	SEM ¹
A1×B179.282.894.184.014.784.678.8A1×B280.783.795.789.618.883.488.0	NS	**	*	NS	NS	*	**	**	Significance level
A1×B2 80.7 83.7 95.7 89.6 18.8 83.4 88.0									AB Interaction
	34.8	78.8	84.6	14.7	84.0	94.1	82.8	79.2	A1×B1
	35.0	88.0	83.4	18.8	89.6	95.7	83.7	80.7	A1×B2
A2×B1 80.8 83.5 95.8 91.1 15.4 83.0 87.5	43.9	87.5	83.0	15.4	91.1	95.8	83.5	80.8	A2×B1
A2×B2 83.5 86.2 95.4 92.4 18.3 86.5 88.7	44.8	88.7	86.5	18.3	92.4	95.4	86.2	83.5	A2×B2
A3×B1 81.4 84.0 95.9 89.3 17.3 83.5 88.6	44.0	88.6	83.5	17.3	89.3	95.9	84.0	81.4	A3×B1
A3×B2 84.0 86.7 96.4 88.4 17.3 87.2 90.1	42.8	90.1	87.2	17.3	88.4	96.4	86.7	84.0	A3×B2
SEM [¶] 0.81 0.72 0.33 1.29 1.82 0.88 0.90	3.84	0.90	0.88	1.82	1.29	0.33	0.72	0.81	
Significance level NS NS * NS NS * **	NS	**		NS	NS	*	NS	NS	Significance level

Table 3: Effects of dietary sunflower oil and cage density on nutrient digestibility of the experimental diets of broiler chicks during summer season

^{a-b}: For each of the main effects, means in the same column bearing different superscripts differ significantly (P≤0.05). NS: Not significant. *:Significant at P≤0.05. **:Significant at P≤0.01. ¹:Standard errors of means.

¹⁻⁸: Denote to dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, ether extract, crude fiber, nitrogen-free extract, nitrogen retention and ash retention, respectively.

Carcass traits:

Data on relative weights of carcass traits of 6-week-old broiler chicks, as affected by added dietary sunflower oil and stocking density during summer season, are presented in Table 4. Carcass traits of broiler chicks during summer season were not affected by either added dietary sunflower oil or stocking density of birds. The similarity of slaughter weights of birds, selected herein, perhaps contributed in the lack of significant differences among the different treatments in carcass traits of broiler chicks. There were no significant interactions between dietary sunflower oil and cage density on all carcass traits of broiler chicks, measured in the present study (Table 4).

In agreement with the present results, Thomas *et al.* (2004), Dozier *et al.* (2005) and Sekeroglu *et al.* (2011) found that stocking density of broiler chicks had no effect on their carcass characteristics. However, Feddes *et al.* (2002) and Škrbić *et al.* (2011) reported that birds grown at high stocking density had lower carcass yield than those of birds grown at low stocking density. The inconsistent effects of stocking density on carcass traits of broiler chicks in different studies might be due to many factors such as

stocking density level, season and housing type (floor pens of cages) or conditions (open-sided house or environmentally-controlled house).

In harmony also with the present results, Tabeidian *et al.* (2005) found that added dietary soybean oil (up to 7.5%) had no effect on carcass yield of broilers. Similarly, Anitha et al. (2006) reported that increasing rice oil level up to 5% exerted no significant effect on carcass yield of 42-day-old broilers. In addition, Ghazalah *et al.* (2008) found that dressing percentage and giblets of broiler chicks were not affected by feeding diets containing high level of either metabolizable energy or poultry fat. However, Ghazalah *et al.* (2007) reported significantly better carcass measurements of broiler chicks due to inclusion of dry fat in their diets as compared to the control group.

Table 4: Effects of dietary sunflower	oil and cage density on relative
weights of carcass traits of	6-week-old broiler chicks during
summer season	

Treatments	LBW ¹	EC ²	Liver	Gizzard	Heart	Giblets	TEP ³	AF ⁴
	(g)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Main effects:								
Added oil: A								
0.00% (A1)	2264	73.03	2.29	1.46	0.57	4.31	77.35	1.83
1.25% (A2)	2268	72.55	2.43	1.58	0.53	4.54	77.09	1.95
2.50% (A3)	2233	71.53	2.69	1.65	0.52	4.85	76.39	1.78
SEM [¶]	40.65	0.50	0.12	0.12	0.03	0.19	0.45	0.17
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Cage density: B								
9.04 Birds/m ²	2238	72.55	2.44	1.54	0.54	4.51	77.07	1.77
(B1)								
11.30 Birds/m ²	2272	72.20	2.50	1.59	0.54	4.62	76.82	1.93
(B2)								
SEM ¹	33.19	0.41	0.10	0.10	0.02	0.15	0.37	0.14
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
AB Interaction								
A1×B1	2245	72.99	1.97	1.53	0.61	4.11	77.10	1.80
A1×B2	2283	73.09	2.61	1.38	0.53	4.52	77.61	1.85
A2×B1	2235	73.08	2.38	1.51	0.48	4.37	77.44	1.81
A2×B2	2301	72.03	2.47	1.65	0.58	4.70	76.73	2.09
A3×B1	2235	71.58	2.97	1.57	0.52	5.07	76.65	1.70
A3×B2	2230	71.50	2.40	1.72	0.51	4.64	76.13	1.86
SEM [¶]	57.49	0.71	0.17	0.17	0.04	0.27	0.64	0.24
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Ns: Not significant								

Ns: Not significant

Blood parameters of broiler chicks:

Effects of dietary sunflower oil and cage density on blood plasma parameters of 6-week-old broiler chicks during summer season are summarized in Table 4. Apart from the effect of stocking density, added dietary sunflower oil significantly increased ($P \le 0.05$) blood plasma glucose level but had no significant effect on other blood parameters (levels of total

protein, albumin, globulin, cholesterol and triglycerides, and activity of AST and ALT in blood plasma), examined in the present study. Regardless of the effect of added dietary sunflower oil, decreasing cage density of broiler chicks from 11.3 to 9.04 birds/m² led to a significant increase (P<0.05) in plasma level of triglycerides but other blood parameters were not affected. Dietary sunflower oil by cage density interactions were not significant for all blood parameters of broilers, estimated in the present study (Table 4).

In accordance with the present results, Zulkifli *et al.* (2007) found that dietary supplementation with soybean oil or palm oil had no significant effect on blood plasma levels of total protein and cholesterol in broilers. However, Mondal *et al.* (2007) found that inclusion of soybean oil in broiler chicks' diet (4%) decreased plasma total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides and very low density lipoprotein cholesterol but concentrations of glucose and total protein were unaffected. In addition, Monfaredi *et al.* (2011) found that broiler chicks fed diets supplemented with 2 or 4% soybean oil exhibited comparable levels of serum triglycerides and very low density lipoprotein to those of the control group but levels of cholesterol, high- and low-density lipoproteins were significantly increased while serum glucose level was decreased due to dietary oil supplementation.

Gaining	36430							
Treatments	GLU ¹ mg/dl	CHO ² mg/dl	TPR³ g/dl	ALB⁴ g/dl	GLO⁵ g/dl	TRI ⁶ mg/dl	AST ⁷ U/I	ALT ⁸ U/I
Main effects:								
Added oil: A								
0.00% (A1)	221 ^b	148	4.30	1.75	2.55	168	95.7	35.2
1.25% (A2)	236 ^a	146	4.32	1.70	2.62	169	97.8	35.5
2.50% (A3)	243 ^a	149	4.33	1.62	2.72	166	96.2	36.2
SEM	4.85	1.33	0.06	0.18	0.19	2.30	3.33	1.09
Significance level	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Cage density: B								
9.04 Birds/m ² (B1)	232	147	4.31	1.67	2.64	164 ^b	96.6	35.7
11.30 Birds/m ² (B2)	234	148	4.32	1.71	2.61	171 ^a	96.6	35.6
SEM¶	3.96	1.09	0.05	0.14	0.16	1.87	2.72	0.88
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	NS
AB Interaction								
A1×B1	221	149	4.33	1.73	2.60	162	96.0	35.7
A1×B2	220	146	4.27	1.77	2.50	175	95.3	34.7
A2×B1	229	145	4.30	1.73	2.57	164	99.7	35.3
A2×B2	244	147	4.33	1.67	2.67	172	96.0	35.7
A3×B1	246	148	4.30	1.53	2.77	166	94.0	36.0
A3×B2	239	150	4.37	1.70	2.67	166	98.3	36.3
SEM ¹	6.86	1.89	0.08	0.25	0.27	3.25	4.71	1.54
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 5: Effects of dietary sunflower oil and cage density on blood plasma parameters of 6-week-old broiler chicks during summer season

^{a-b}: For each of the main effects, means in the same column bearing different superscripts differ significantly (P≤0.05). NS: Not significant. *:Significant at P≤0.05. [¶]:Standard errors of means.

¹⁻⁸: Refer to levels of glucose, cholesterol, total protein, albumin, globulin, triglycerides, aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase.

The present results are also in line with the findings of El-Deek and Al-Harthi (2004), who observed no significant impact of stocking density on most of blood plasma constituents or liver functions, as evidenced by activity of ALT and AST in blood plasma. Similarly, Dozier et al. (2005) and Thaxton et al. (2006) indicated that stocking densities, at least from 20 to 55 kg of body weight/m², did not cause physiological stress in broilers. In harmony also with the present results, Yakubu et al. (2009) reported that stocking density (8.3, 11.1 and 14.3 birds/m²) exerted no influence on serum biochemical components (total protein, albumin, globulin, glucose, cholesterol, and creatinine) of broiler chicks. In partial agreement with the present results, Tayeb et al. (2011) found that stocking density of broiler chicks (8.66, 10.41 and 13.36 birds/m²) did not affect blood plasma levels of total protein, albumin, triglycerides or low-density lipoprotein while levels of glucose, cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein and very low density lipoprotein were significantly reduced as stocking density increased. However, Onbasilar et al. (2008) reported that high stocking density (17.5 vs. 11.9 birds/m²) caused significant elevations in levels of blood serum glucose and cholesterol in broiler chickens.

Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that reducing stocking density and dietary supplementation with sunflower oil in summer season can be used for obtaining normal growth performance of broiler chicks.

REFERENCES

- Abou-Raya, A.K. and A.Gh. Galal (1971). Evaluation of poultry feeds in digestion trials with reference to some factors involved. U.A.R. (Egypt) Animal Production, 11: 207-221.
- Allain, C.A.; L.S. Pon; C.S.G. Chang; W. Richmond and P.C. Fu (1974). Enzymatic determination of total serum cholesterol. Clinical Chemistry, 20: 470-475.
- Anitha, B.; M. Moorthy and K. Viswanathan (2006). Performance of broilers fed with crude rice oil. International Journal of Poultry Science, 5(11): 1046-1052.
- AOAC; Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1990). Official Methods of Analysis, 15th Ed, Arlington, Virginia, USA.
- Beloor, J.; H.K. Kang; Y.J. Kim; V.K. Subramani; I.S. Jang; S.H. Sohn and Y.S. Moon (2010). The effect of stocking density on stress related genes and telomeric length in broiler chickens. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 23(4): 437–443.
- Bessei, W. (2006). Welfare of broilers: a review. World's Poultry Science Journal, 62(3): 455-466.

Borland International, Inc., (1990). Quattro Program, Version 1.0.

- Buijs, S.; L. Keeling; S. Rettenbacher; E. Van Poucke and F.A.M. Tuyttens (2009). Stocking density effects on broiler welfare: Identifying sensitive ranges for different indicators. Poultry Science, 88: 1536–1543.
 - 405

- Butcher, G.D. and R. Miles (2003). Heat stress management in broilers. Report VM 65, one of a series of the Veterinary Medicine, Large Animal Clinical Sciences Department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida.
- Daghir, N.J. (2008). Nutrient requirements of poultry at high temperature. Chapter 6 in: Poultry Production in Hot Climate, 2nd Edition, published by CAB International, Wallingford, Oxfordshire OX10 8DE, UK pp. 133-160.
- Daghir, N.J. (2009). Nutritional strategies to reduce heat stress in broilers and broiler breeders. Lohmann information, 44(1): 6-15.
- Dale, N.M. and H.L. Fuller (1979). Effects of diet composition on feed intake and growth of chicks under heat stress. I. Dietary fat levels. Poultry Sci., 58: 1529-1534.
- Doumas, B.T.; W.A. Watson and H.G. Biggs (1971). Albumin standards and the measurement of serum albumin with bromocresol green. Clin. Chim. Acta, 31: 87-96.
- Dozier, W.A.; J.P. Thaxton; S.L. Branton; G.W. Morgan; D.M. Miles; W.B. Roush; B.D. Lott and Y. vizzier-Thaxton (2005). Stocking density effects on growth performance and processing yields of heavy broilers. Poult. Sci., 84: 1332-1338.
- El-Deek, A.A.; M.A. Al-Harthi (2004). Responses of modern broiler chicks to stocking density, green tea, commercial multi enzymes and their interactions on productive performance, carcass characteristics, liver composition and plasma constituents. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 3 (10): 635-645.
- Estevez, I. (2007). Density allowances for broilers: where to set the limits. Poult. Sci., 86: 1265-1272.
- Feddes, J.J.R.; E.J. Emmanuel and M.J. Zuidhof (2002). Broiler performance, body weight variance, feed and water intake, and carcass quality at different stocking densities. Poultry Sci., 81: 774–779.
- Ghazalah, A.A., A.Z.M. Soliman, N.Z. Boulous and Samia M. Mobarez (2007). Effect of using dry fat on performance, nutrients digestibility, carcass traits and blood constituents of broiler chicks. Egypt. Poult. Sci., 27: 363-382.
- Ghazalah, A.A.; M.O. Abd-Elsamee and A.M. Ali (2008). Influence of dietary energy and poultry fat on the response of broiler chicks to heat therm. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 7 (4): 355-359.
- Hai, L.; D. Rong and Z.Y. Zhang (2000). Effect of thermal environment on the digestion of broilers. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr., 83(2): 57-64.
- Henry, R.J. (1964). Clinical Chemistry: Principles and Techniques, Harper and Row Publishers, New York.
- Houshmand, M.; K. Azhar; I. Zulkifli ; M.H. Bejo and A. Kamyab (2012). Effects of prebiotic, protein level, and stocking density on performance, immunity, and stress indicators of broilers. Poultry Science, 91 :393– 401.
- Jakobsen, P.E.; K. Gertov and S.H. Nielsen (1960). Digestibility trials with poultry. 1. The digestive tract of the hen and the technical problems

encountered in digestibility trials. Forsgslab. (Copenhagen, Denmark), Report No. 322, English Abstract, pp. 56.

- Leeson, S. (1986). Nutritional considerations of poultry during heat stress. World's Poultry Sci. J., 42: 69-81.
- Leeson, S. and J.D. Summers (2005). Feeding programs for broiler chickens. Chapter 5 in: Commercial Poultry Nutrition, 3rd edition, Published by Nottingham University Press, Manor Farm, Church Lane, Thrumpton, Nottingham, NG11 0AX, England, pp. 229-296.
- Mills, L.J.; M.A. Mitchell and M. Mahon (1999). Comparison of thermoregulatory ability in fast and slow growing strain of turkey during acute heat stress. Br. Poult. Sci., 40: 51-52.
- Manning, L.; S.A. Chadd and R.N. Baines (2007). Key health and welfare indicators for broiler production. World's Poultry Science Journal, 63(1): 46-62.
- Mateos, G.G. and J.L. Sell (1981). Influence of fat and carbohydrate source on rate of food passage of semi-purified diets for laying hens. Poultry Science, 60: 2114–2119.
- Mateos, G.G.; J.L. Sell. and J.A. Eastwood (1982). Rate of food passage as influenced by level of supplemental fat. Poultry Science, 61: 94–100.
- Miraei-Ashtiani, S.R.; P. Zamani; M. Shirazad and A. Zare-Shahned (2004). Comparison of the effect of different diets on acute heat stressed broilers. Proceedings of the 22nd World's Poultry Congress, Istanbul, Turkey, p. 552.
- Mondal, M.K.; T.K. Das; P. Biswas; C.C. Samanta and B. Bairagi (2007). Influence of dietary inorganic and organic copper salt and level of soybean oil on plasma lipids, metabolites and mineral balance of broiler chickens. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 139(3-4): 212–233.
- Monfaredi, A.; M. Rezaei and H. Sayyahzadeh (2011). Effect of supplemental fat in low energy diets on some blood parameters and carcass characteristics of broiler chicks. South African Journal of Animal Science, 41(1): 24-32.
- NRC; National Research Council (1994). Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th revised edition, National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Onbasilar, E.E.; Ö. Poyraz; E. Erdem and H. Öztőrk (2008). Influence of lighting periods and stocking densities on performance, carcass characteristics and some stress parameters in broilers. Arch. Geflügelk., 72(5): 193-200.
- Reitman, S. and S. Frankel (1957). A colorimetric method for the determination of serum glutamic oxaloacetic and glutamic pyruvic transaminases. Amer. J. Clin. Pathol., 28: 56-63.
- Sabah Elkheir, M.K.; M.M. Mohammed Ahmed and S.M. Abdel Gadir (2008). Effect of feed restriction and ascorbic acid supplementation on performance of broiler chicks reared under heat stress. Res. J. Anim. Vet. Sci., 3: 1-8.
- Sekeroglu, A.; M. Sarica; M.S. Gulay and M. Duman (2011). Effect of stocking density on chick performance, internal organ weights and blood parameters in broilers. J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 10(2): 246-250.

- Škrbić, Z.; Z. Pavlovski; M. Lukić; and D. Milić (2011). The effect of rearing conditions on carcass slaughter quality of broilers from intensive production. African Journal of Biotechnology., 10(10): 1945-1952.
- Statistical Graphics Corporation (1991). Statgraphics Program, Version 5.0 Reference Manual. Rockville, M.D.: Statistical Graphics Corporation.
- Tabeidian, A.; G.H. Sadeghi and J. Pourreza (2005). Effect of dietary protein levels and soyabean oil supplementation on broiler performance. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 4(10): 799-803.
- Tayeb, I.T.; S.N. Hassan; M.M. Mustafa; S.A.M. Sadeq; G.I. Ameen and A.M. Hassan (2011). Effects of various stocking density on productive performance and some physiological traits of broiler chicks. Research Opinions In Animal and Veterinary Sciences, 1(2): 89-93.
- Thaxton, J.P.; W.A. Dozier; S.L. Branton; G.W. Morgan; D.W. Miles; W.B. Roush; B.D. Lott and Y. Vizzier-Thaxton (2006). Stocking density and physiological adaptive responses of broilers. Poultry Sci., 85: 819–824.
- Thomas, D.G.; V. Ravindran; D.V. Thomas; B.J. Camden; Y.H. Cottam; P.C.H. Morel and C.J. Cook (2004). Influence of stocking density on the performance, carcass characteristics and selected welfare indicators of broiler chickens. New Zealand Veterinary Journal., 52, 76-81.
- Tietz, N.W. (1995). Clinical Guide to Laboratory Tests. 2nd edition, Philadelphia, PA., published by WB Saunders Company.
- Trinder, P. (1969). Determination of glucose in blood using glucose oxidase with an alternative oxygen acceptor. Annals of Clinical Biochemistry, 6: 24-27.
- Wiernusz, C. (1998). Nutritional therapies to optimize poultry production during high humidity and ambient temperature exposure. Technical News (Quarterly Publication of Cobb-Vantress, Incorporated), 6(2): 1-6.
- Yakubu, A.; J.A. Gwaska and A.E. Salako (2009). Strain and placement density effects on welfare, haematological and serum biochemical indices of broilers in north central Nigeria. Acta Argiculturae Slovenica, 94(2): 153–158.
- Zulkifli, I.; N. N. Htin; A. R. Alimon; T. C. Loh and M. Hair-Bejo (2007). Dietary selection of fat by heat-stressed broiler chickens. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 2: 245 251.

تأثير إضافة زيت عباد الشمس علي أداء النمو لكتاكيت اللحم تحت مستويين من كثافة الإسكان خلال فصل الصيف محمود حسن ربيع ، السمرة حسن علي أبو عجلة ، فوزي صديق عبد الفتاح إسماعيل و سارة خليل شريف قسم إنتاج الدواجن – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة - مصر

أجريت تجربة عاملية (3×2) على كتاكيت اللحم (هبرد) لبحث تأثير التغذية على علائق مدعمة بثلاثة مستويات من زيت عباد الشمس على أداء النمو لكتاكيت اللحم تحت مستويين من كثافة الإسكان خلال فصل الصيف. تم تكوين ثلاث علائق تجريبية متساوية في محتواها من البروتين الخام وتم تدعيمها بزيت عباد الشمس (صفر، 1.25 ، 2.5% من العليقة). تمت التربية في بطاريات تسمين بمستويين من كثافة الإسكان (9.04 أو 11.30 طائر/م²) و غذيت الكتاكيت على العلائق التجريبية الخاصة بها كما أتيح لها الوصول للماء والغذاء بحرية خلال الفترة التجريبية من 2-6 أسابيع من العمر. وتضمنت القياسات المأخوذة أداء النمو ومعاملات هضم العناصر الغذائية، ومواصفات الذبيحة، وبعض مقاييس الدم.

ويمكن تلخيص النتائج المتحصل عليها فيما يلى : بغض النظر عن تأثير كثافة الإسكان، أدت الوزنية ومعامل التحويل الغذائي) ومعامل هضم كل من المادة الجافة، والمادة العضوية، والبروتين الخام، والدهون، والنتيروجين المحتجز وتركيز الجلوكوز ببلازما الدم. بينما لم تؤثر إضافة الزيت للعليقة على الغذاء المأكول ، ومواصفات الذبيحة ، ومعامل هضم كل من المادة الجافة، والمادة العضوية، والبروتين الخام، المأكول ، ومواصفات الذبيحة ، ومعامل هضم كل من الألياف الخام، والمستخلص خالي الأزوت، أو الرماد المحتجز وتركيز البلازما من الكوليستيرول والبروتين الكلى والألبيومين والجلوبيولين والجلسريدات الثلاثية أو المحتجز وتركيز البلازما من الكوليستيرول والبروتين الكلى والألبيومين والجلوبيولين والجلسريدات الثلاثية أو يتضاط إنزيمات الكبد (ALT، AST). وبغض النظر عن تأثير إضافة الزيت للغذاء، أدي خفض كثافة الإسكان كل من المادة الجافة، والمادة العضوية، والبروتين الخلى والمستخلص خالي وكذلك معاملات هضم لا معن معنوي في وزن الجسم النهائي والزيادة الوزنية ومعامل التحويل الغذائي وكذلك معاملات هضم كل من المادة الجافة، والمادة العضوية، والبروتين الخام، والمستخلص خالى الأزوت، والنتير وجين المحتجز وتركيز الجلسريدات الثلاثية ببلازما الدم. بينما لم تؤثر كثافة الإسكان معنوياً على الغذائي وكذلك معاملات هضم الذي يحسن معنوي في وزن الجسم النهائي والزيادة الوزنية ومعامل التحويل الغذائي وكذلك معاملات هضم وتركيز الجلسريدات الثلاثية ببلازما الدم. بينما لم تؤثر كثافة الإسكان معنوياً على الغذاء المأكول ، ومواصفات الذبيحة ، ومعاملات هضم كل من الدهون، والألياف الخام، والماد المحتجز وتركيز البلازما من الجلوكوز وتركيز الجلسريدات الثلاثية ببلازما الدم. بينما لم تؤثر كثافة الإسكان معنوياً على الأذوت، والنتير وجين المحتجز وتركيز الجلس يدان علمادة العضوية، والألياف الخام، والماد المحتجز وتركيز البلازما من الجلوكوز وتركيز الجلس يدار المادة الحمن والألياف الخام، والرماد المحتجز وتركيز البلازما من الجلوكوز ولم عن الني الماد بن عمان الدهون، والألياف الخام، والماد المحتجز وتركيز المان ما الحوكوز ولم ين علي إلى مان المادة الزمان الذم. بينمان معنويا أو نشاط إنزيمات المأخوذة في هذه الدراسة. الكون والمان النويت على ألمان ألفني ألفان الذم ما الحري إلى ألما ألم ألما ألزيونين منول

قام بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة	اً <u>د</u> / ترك محمد ابراهيم
كلية الزراعة – جامعة الأسكنريه	ا <u>َ</u> د /حسن صابر زویل ُ