ALLEVIATION OF CADMIUM STRESS ON RADISH BY HUMIC ACID AND CHITOSAN AS SOIL ADDITIVES Gadalla, S.F.*; A.A. Mosa^{**} and Heba M. Ibrahim^{*} * Agricultural Botany Dept., Faulty of Agriculture, Mansoura University **Soils Dept., Faulty of Agriculture, Mansoura University

ABSTRACT

Two pot experiments were conducted to study the effect of humic acid (HA) or chitosan (CHI) as soil additives at concentrations of 100 and 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil on counteracting the harmful effects of cadmium ions at levels of 100 and 150 mg kg⁻¹ soil on radish plant. Results showed that, Cd at 100 and 150 mg kg⁻¹ decreased significantly length, fresh and dry weights of shoot and root organs as well as leaves number per plant in both seasons. Chlorophyll, total sugars, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, relative water content, soluble proteins and total amino acids content were also decreased. Meanwhile, Cd concentration in plant tissues was increased. On the other hand, application of HA or CHI at levels of 100 or 200 mg kg⁻¹ increased all the above mentioned parameters and decreased Cd concentration in plant tissues. In conclusion, both natural chelating compounds, in particular, CHI at 200 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil can increase the capability of radish plant to survive under cadmium stress due to chelating Cd²⁺ ions and reducing Cd bio-availability.

Keywords: Humic acid, Chitosan, Cadmium, Radish.

INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals make a significant contribution to environmental pollution as a result of human activities such as mining, smelting, electroplating, energy and fuel production, power transmission, intensive agriculture, sludge dumping and military operations (Nedel-Koska and Doran, 2000). Due to high Cd²⁺ mobility in soil-plant system, it can easily enter the food chain and create a risk for humans, animals, plants and the whole environmental resources of our modern society (Pinto et al., 2004). A part of agricultural soils all over the world is slightly to moderately contaminated by Cd due to the extended use of super-phosphate fertilizers, sewage sludge application as well as smelters dust spreading and atmospheric sedimentation (Thawornchaisit and Polprasert 2009). According to Wagner (1993), Cd concentration in soil solution of uncontaminated soils is in the range of 0.04-0.32 µM, while moderately polluted soils contain 0.32-1.00 µM. In soil containing more than 35 µM Cd in the soil solution, only some plant species with Cd tolerance are capable of surviving. Cadmium has been shown to cause many morphological, physiological and biochemical changes in plants, such as growth inhibition, and water imbalance (Benavides et al., 2005). Cadmium produces alterations in the functionality of membranes, decreases chlorophyll content, and disturbs the uptake and distribution of macro- and micronutrients in plant tissues (Ramon et al., 2003).

Several techniques have been investigated for their efficiency, applicability and economic feasibility for the remediation of contaminated soils. Phytoremediation, which means the use of hyperacumulator plants

such as *Thlaspi caerulescens* to take up heavy metals from soils and groundwater, has revealed a great potential. However, it is limited by the fact that plants need time, nutrient supply and the limited metal uptake capacity. The second approach recommends profitable use of synthetic chelators such as EDTA which have shown positive effects in enhancing heavy metal extraction through phytoremediation (Grčman *et al.*, 2001). On the other hand, EDTA revealed a vast number of negative side-effects on soil capability for plant nutrition, as it is a non-selective agent, which could chelate various cations, such as calcium and magnesium, which are necessary for plant growth (Barona *et al.*, 2001). As an alternative to these synthetic chelators, widespread natural sources, such as humic substances and chitosan could be used.

Despite many studies about the effect of humic acid or chitosan on soil fertility and soil plant relationships, a little is known about their role in heavy metals remediation. Humic acid contains active acidic groups such as carboxyl and phenolic functional groups. Therefore, it provides organic macromolecules with an important role in the transport, bioavailability and solubility of heavy metals (Chen and Zhu, 2006). The multiple effects, which humic substances or chitosan exert on plant growth can be grouped into indirect effects on soil and direct effects on physiological processes of plant (Ohta *et al.*, 2004). Chitin and chitosan are copolymers found together in nature. Chitosan has strong effects on agriculture such as acting as a carbon source for microbes in the soil, accelerating the mineralization process of organic matter and assisting the root system of plants to absorb more nutrient from the soil (Boonlertnirun *et al.*, 2008).

Therefore, objectives of this investigation are to investigate and clarify the toxic effects of cadmium on growth, yield and physiological aspects of radish plants. In addition to investigate whether the application of humic aicd or chitosan could provide a useful recovery for the adverse effects of cadmium toxicity on radish plant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Layout of the experiment.

Two pot experiments were carried out during two successive seasons of 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 in the greenhouse of the Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University in order to assess the capability of humic acid and chitosan in alleviating the harmful effect of cadmium on radish plant. The used experimental design was complete randomized block design with three replicates. Closed plastic pots (30 cm in diameter) were filled with 8 kg air dry soil, divided into three sets and contaminated with cadmium at concentrations of 0, 100 and 150 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil in the form of cadmium chloride. Cadmium was added by dissolving CdCl₂ in the first irrigation water. In each set, pots were divided into 6 groups and treated with either humic acid or chitosan, at 100 and 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil or left untreated as a control.

Soil sampling and analysis.

The type of the experimented soil was Clayey, Superactive, Mesic , Vertic Xerofluvents. Surface soil samples (0-30 cm) were collected from the

experimental field. The collected samples were air-dried, grounded and passed through a 2-mm sieve. Particle size distribution of the soil was carried out using the pipette method (Dewis and Fertias, 1970). Soil field capacity was determined by the method described by Richards (1954). Soil reaction (pH), and soil electrical conductivity (EC) were determined in the saturated soil paste, and the saturated soil paste extract, respectively, according to Richards (1954). Total carbonate was estimated gasometrically using Collin's Calcimeter and calculated as calcium carbonate according to Dewis and Fertias, (1970). Soil organic matter content was determined using Walkley & Black method as described by Hesse (1971). Amounts of water soluble cations (Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺ and K⁺) and anions (CO₃²⁻, HCO₃⁻ and Cl⁻) were determined in the extraction of saturated soil paste by the method described by Hesse (1971), whereas (SO₄²) ions were calculated as the difference between total cations and anions. Soil available nutrients (N. P and K) were extracted and determined according to Hesse (1971). Soil available nitrogen was extracted using KCI (2.0 M) and determined by using macro-Kjeldahl method. Soil available phosphorus was extracted with NaHCO₃ (0.5 M) at pH 8.5 and determined colorimetrically after treating with ammonium molybdate and stannous chloride at a wavelength of 660 nm. Available potassium was determined by extracting soil with ammonium acetate (1.0 M) at pH 7.0 using flame photometer. Some physical and chemical properties of the experimented soil are listed in Table (1).

experimental soil.										
Soil pro	perties	Values								
	Sand	19								
Particle size	Silt	29								
Distribution (%)	Clay	52								
	Soil texture	Clay								
Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)		1.24								
Field capacity (%)		33								
EC (dSm ⁻¹)		1.43								
pH (Soil paste)		7.6								
Calcium carbonate (%)		3.7								
Organic matter %		1.65								
	Ca ²⁺	5.36								
Soluble cations	Mg ²⁺	3.23								
(meq L ⁻¹)	Na ⁺	5.28								
	K ⁺	0.28								
	CO_3^{2}	0								
Soluble anions	HCO ₃ ⁻	4.21								
(meq L ⁻¹)	CI ⁻	6.74								
	SO ₄	3.20								
	Nitrogen	43								
Available numerits $(m = 1/a^{-1})$	Phosphorus	14								
	Potassium	289								
Available Cd ²⁺ (mg Kg ⁻¹)		1.50								

Table (1): Some physical and chemical properties of the used experimental soil.

Cultivation process.

Twenty uniform seeds of radish (*Raphanus sativus*, L. var. sativus) were sown on 10th of April in both seasons. Irrigation was adjusted to reach the field capacity, and the assumed field capacity was readjusted every three days with the irrigation water. All of agricultural processes were carried out according to the recommendations of the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture. Three weeks after sowing, plants were thinned to leave 5 uniform plants per pot. At harvest (45 days from sowing), length of both the root and the shoot systems and their fresh and dry weights as well as leaves number per plant were recorded.

Plant analysis.

Chlorophyll content was extracted from the fresh samples by methanol for 24 hours at the laboratory temperature after adding traces from sodium carbonate, and determined spectrophotometrically according to Wellburn (1994). Plant samples were dried at 70°C, and ground using stainless steel equipment.

Subsamples were taken from plant shoot, and 0.2 g was digested using 5 cm³ from the mixture of sulphuric acid and perchloric acid (1:1) as described by Peterburgski (1968). Nitrogen concentration was determined by using micro-Kjeldahl method (Cottenie *et al.*, 1982). Phosphorous was determined using ammonium molybdate and ascorbic acid (Cooper, 1977). Total potassium was determined by using Gallen Kamp flame photometer as mentioned by (Cottenie *et al.*, 1982). Cadmium concentration was determined after digesting 0.2 g from the plant dry sample by 5 cm³ from the mixture of sulphuric acid, perchloric acid and nitric acid as described by Chapman and Pratt (1982) using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer.

Total sugars content in shoots was estimated using the anthrone method as described by Sadasivam and Manickam (1996). Soluble proteins concentration was measured using bovine serum albumin as standard at 595 nm according to the method of Bradford (1976). Water content was determined according to Fernandez-Ballester *et al.*, (1998). Meanwhile the relative water content (RWC) was calculated according the method of Sanchez *et al.* (2004), where leaves were weighed to obtain fresh weight (FW) then floated in distilled water to determine the turgid weight (TW), and then the plant materials were placed in a pre-heated oven at 80 °C to determine dry weight (DW) as follows:

RWC (%) = {(FW-DW)/ (TW-DW)} $\times 100$.

Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed according the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and mean separations were adjusted by the Multiple Comparison test (Norman and Streiner, 2003) using the statistical computer programme MSTAT-C v.1.2

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth parameters

Generally, severe reduction in plant growth manifested by smaller, chlorotic, wilted, and rolled leaves was recorded due to cadmium toxicity. Results in Tables 2 and 3 show that contaminated soil with cadmium at both

concentrations has resulted in a significant reduction (p<0.05) in plant growth chractristics in both seasons. High cadmium concentration had more deleterious effects on plant growth than the low concentration. The reduction in root growth was more pronounced by Cd stress than shoot. The reduction in both radish shoot and root under cadmium stress may be attributed partially to the inhibitory effect to mitosis, reducing the synthesis of cell-wall components, and changing in the polysaccharides metabolism (Punz and Sieghardt, 1993). Moreover, cadmium stress can interfere with a number of metabolic processes such as water and nutrient uptake and photosynthesis (Sheoran *et al.*, 1990), which play a critical role in plant growth. In addition, cadmium decreased cell turgor potential and cell wall elasticity leading to the formation of small cells and intercellular space areas (Barcelo *et al.*, 1988).

Addition of humic acid or chitosan improved plant growth through chelating Cd ions and decreasing its availability in the rhizosphere (Pinto *et al.*, 2004). Chitosan at the level of 200 mg kg⁻¹ was the superior treatment in alleviating the harmful effects of high cadmium concentration in radish plant. There are other suggested theories, which explain the role of HA or CHI on promoting plant growth. It is well established that humic substances or CHI are able to complex metal ions, which will decrease nutrients leaching with irrigation water, and increase fertilizers use efficiency (Stevenson, 1982). Chitosan has also been found to activate several biological processes of plant defense responses, such as enzymatic activities, which could be a participant in the early defense mechanisms to prevent pathogen infections (Ben-Shalom *et al.*, 2003). Furthermore, it reduces the population of fungal plant pathogens in soil, resulting in an increase in crop yield (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2002).

Chlorophyll and total sugar contents.

The effect of cadmium stress and soil chelators on chlorophylls a, b as well as total chlorophyll and total sugars concentration in radish leaves is shown in Tables 4 and 5. It is evident that, addition of cadmium ions to the soil has resulted in a significant reduction (p<0.05) in chlorophyll and total sugars contents. Moreover, the reduction in Chl. b was extremely sharp, which resulted in a higher Chl. a:b ratio as the concentration of Cd increased in soil (Table 4). These results are in great accordance with those obtained by Azevedo *et al.*, (2005).

The presence of soil chelators, especially CHI at the level of 200 mg kg⁻¹ counteracted the adverse effect of cadmium on total chlorophyll and total sugar contents (Table 5). Moreover, chelators mixed with soil increased significantly (p<0.05) chl. b which resulted in a decrease in the chl a:b ratio (Table 4).

The reduction of chlorophyll content attributed to cadmium stress could be due to the inhibition of the responsible enzymes for chlorophyll biosynthesis i.e. 5-aminolaevulinic acid dehydrates and protochlorophyllide reductase (Lanaras *et al.*, 1993). Others revealed this reduction to the impairment in the supply of magnesium and iron to the leaves (Greger and Ogren, 1991). Moreover, cadmium may substitute magnesium in chlorophyll molecules (Kupper *et al.*, 1998).

First season												
		Cad	dmium (A) (mg K	g⁻¹)							
Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean					
Tota	al chlorc	phyll, µg	1 g ⁻¹		Total sugars, %							
1.696	1.176	0.895	1.255	1.977	1.403	1.117	1.449					
1.866	1.444	1.235	1.515	2.313	1.743	1.547	1.868					
2.132	1.762	1.515	1.803	2.940	2.200	1.823	2.321					
1.894	1.570	1.408	1.624	2.500	1.923	1.573	1.999					
2.032	1.750	1.417	1.733	2.647	2.103	1.627	2.126					
1.924	1.540	1.294		2.475	1.875	1.537						
Α	В	AB		А	В	AB						
0.0193	0.0248	0.0436		0.0332	0.0427	0.0739						
			Second	l season								
1.675	1.165	0.904	1.248	1.877	1.273	1.030	1.393					
1.845	1.532	1.343	1.573	2.213	1.643	1.460	1.772					
2.101	1.853	1.621	1.858	2.863	2.090	1.730	2.228					
1.864	1.674	1.517	1.685	2.390	1.833	1.441	1.888					
2.011	1.819	1.536	1.788	2.577	1.963	1.531	2.024					
1.899	1.608	1.384		2.384	1.761	1.439						
A	В	AB		A	В	AB						
0.0182	0.0241	0.0441		0.040	0.051	0.089						
	Control Tota 1.696 1.866 2.132 1.894 2.032 1.924 A 0.0193 1.675 1.845 2.101 1.864 2.011 1.864 2.011 1.899 A 0.0182	Control 100 Total chloro 1.696 1.176 1.866 1.444 2.132 1.762 1.894 1.570 2.032 1.750 1.924 1.540 A B 0.0193 0.0248 1.675 1.165 1.845 1.532 2.101 1.853 1.864 1.674 2.011 1.819 1.899 1.608 A B	Control 100 150 Total chlorophyll, µg 1.696 1.176 0.895 1.866 1.444 1.235 2.132 1.762 1.515 1.894 1.570 1.408 2.032 1.750 1.417 1.924 1.540 1.294 A B AB 0.0193 0.0248 0.0436 1.845 1.532 1.343 2.101 1.853 1.621 1.864 1.674 1.517 2.011 1.819 1.536 1.899 1.608 1.384 A B AB	First s Cadmium (Cadmium (Cadmium (Cadmium (Total chlorophyll, µg g ⁻¹ 1.696 1.176 0.895 1.255 1.866 1.444 1.235 1.515 2.132 1.762 1.515 1.803 1.894 1.570 1.408 1.624 2.032 1.750 1.417 1.733 1.924 1.540 1.294 A A B AB 0.0436 Second 1.675 1.165 0.904 1.248 1.845 1.532 1.343 1.573 2.101 1.853 1.621 1.858 1.864 1.674 1.517 1.685 2.011 1.819 1.536 1.788 1.899 1.608 1.384 A A B AB 0.0182 0.0241 0.0441	First season Cadmium (A) (mg K Control 100 150 Mean Control Total chlorophyll, µg g ⁻¹ - -	First season Cadmium (A) (mg Kg ⁻¹) Control 100 150 Mean Control 100 Total chlorophyll, µg g ⁻¹ Total su Total su Total su 1.696 1.176 0.895 1.255 1.977 1.403 1.866 1.444 1.235 1.515 2.313 1.743 2.132 1.762 1.515 1.803 2.940 2.200 1.894 1.570 1.408 1.624 2.500 1.923 2.032 1.750 1.417 1.733 2.647 2.103 1.924 1.540 1.294 2.475 1.875 A B AB A B 0.0193 0.0248 0.0436 0.0332 0.0427 Second season 1.675 1.165 0.904 1.248 1.877 1.273 1.845 1.532 1.343 1.573 2.213 1.643 2.101 1.853 <td< th=""><th>First season Cadmium (A) (mg Kg⁻¹) Control 100 150 Mean Control 100 150 Total chlorophyll, µg g⁻¹ Total sugars, % 1.696 1.176 0.895 1.255 1.977 1.403 1.117 1.866 1.444 1.235 1.515 2.313 1.743 1.547 2.132 1.762 1.515 1.803 2.940 2.200 1.823 1.894 1.570 1.408 1.624 2.500 1.923 1.573 2.032 1.750 1.417 1.733 2.647 2.103 1.627 1.924 1.540 1.294 2.475 1.875 1.537 A B AB A B AB 0.0193 0.0248 0.0436 0.0332 0.0427 0.0739 Second season 1.675 1.165 0.904 1.248 1.877 1.273 1.030 1.845 <</th></td<>	First season Cadmium (A) (mg Kg ⁻¹) Control 100 150 Mean Control 100 150 Total chlorophyll, µg g ⁻¹ Total sugars, % 1.696 1.176 0.895 1.255 1.977 1.403 1.117 1.866 1.444 1.235 1.515 2.313 1.743 1.547 2.132 1.762 1.515 1.803 2.940 2.200 1.823 1.894 1.570 1.408 1.624 2.500 1.923 1.573 2.032 1.750 1.417 1.733 2.647 2.103 1.627 1.924 1.540 1.294 2.475 1.875 1.537 A B AB A B AB 0.0193 0.0248 0.0436 0.0332 0.0427 0.0739 Second season 1.675 1.165 0.904 1.248 1.877 1.273 1.030 1.845 <					

 Table 5: Total chlorophyll and total sugars contents in radish leaves as affected by cadmium or chelators as well as their interaction.

The Reduction in total sugars content induced by cadmium treatments may be due to its inhibitory effect on photosynthetic activities, photosynthetic pigment concentrations as well as on the activity of ribulose diphosphate carboxylase leading to a decrease in all sugar fractions (Stibrova *et al.*, 1986). The role of soil chelators in increasing chlorophylls and sugars contents under normal or polluted soil conditions may be attributed to the increasing of macro and micronutrients uptake, which increased the number of chloroplast per cell as well as photosynthetic efficiency.

Water status

Results in Table 6 indicate that increasing concentration of cadmium up to 150 mg kg⁻¹ decreased significantly (p<0.05) water content and relative water content of radish plant as compared to the control. On the other hand, addition of soil chelators increased significantly water content and relative water content. Concerning the effect of soil additives application, results obtained that there was a significant increase in RWC and water content as a result of alleviating the harmful effect of Cd on water status of radish leaves. Once again, CHI at the level of 200 mg kg⁻¹ was the superior treatment in this concern.

According to Barcelo and Poschenrieder (1990), heavy metals stress may result in a reduction in water uptake through:1) decreased root elongation, 2) decreased rate of assimilates movement from shoots to roots, 3) loss of endodermis integrity,4) increased root suberization and lignification, and 5) increased rate of root tip dieback.

	First season											
Treatments				Cadmi	um mg/K	g (A)						
Chilators (B)	Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean				
(mg/Kg)	Leav	ves wat	er cont	ent, %	Relative water content, 9							
0	88.66	86.00	81.16	85.27	74.50	62.92	60.41	65.94				
CHI100	89.68	87.64	86.63	87.98	79.56	69.68	64.32	71.18				
CHI200	90.84	89.33	88.04	89.90	85.57	77.36	71.69	78.21				
HA100	90.01	88.33	87.01	88.45	81.65	72.97	66.00	73.54				
HA200	90.40	89.08	87.36	88.94	84.06	76.35	68.17	76.19				
Mean	89.92	88.08	86.04		81.06	71.85	66.12					
	А	В	AB		А	В	AB					
L3D 0.03	0.2555	0.330	0.571		0.523	0.676	1.174					
				Seco	ond sease	on						
0	88.64	85.05	81.10	84.93	73.42	82.51	63.62	73.18				
CHI100	89.71	87.61	86.67	88.00	79.50	69.44	63.75	70.89				
CHI200	90.78	89.33	88.08	89.40	85.49	77.32	71.42	78.08				
HA100	90.08	88.36	87.05	88.49	81.38	72.72	65.49	73.19				
HA200	90.36	89.05	87.39	88.93	83.24	76.06	67.52	75.60				
Mean	89.91	87.88	86.06		80.61	75.61	66.36					
	A	В	AB		Α	В	AB					
L3D 0.03	0.145	0.188	0.325		1.371	1.770	3.067					

Table 6: Water content and relative water content percentages in radish leavesas affected by cadmium or chelators as well as their combinations

On the other hand, application of both chelators increased significantly root growth represented in its length and thickness (Table 3), which increased the plants absorption ability. These results are in harmony with the results obtained by Eyheraguibel *et al.*, 2008, who attributed the high water consumption to the promotion of root growth.

Soluble proteins and total free amino acids.

Cadmium levels up to 150 mg kg⁻¹ soil significantly reduced the total free amino acids and soluble protein content in the shoots of radish plants (p<0.05). The highest reduction was observed under high cadmium concentration (Table 7). On the other hand, application of soil chelators increased significantly both of soluble proteins and total free amino acids, and CHI at 200 mg kg⁻¹ was the superior treatment. Data also indicate that application of chelators under all cadmium levels increased significantly the content of total free amino acids and total soluble proteins as compared to untreated plants under such cadmium level (Table 7).

It was reported that, ions of Cd^{2+} reduced the absorption of nitrate by about 70%, due to the inhibition of nitrate reductase activity in roots (Gouia *et al.*, 2000). Since the nitrogen content in plants treated with Cd was reduced, ultimately, amino acids and protein contents of the plants were also reduced. Similar results were reported by Hegazy (2001) on radish and faba bean plants. On the other hands, application of soil chelators counteracted the harmful effect of cadmium on total amino acid and soluble proteins due to their effect on increasing the uptake of nitrogen, which is a precursor of amino acids.

_	First season												
Treatments			Cad	lmium r	ng Kg⁻¹ (A	A)							
Chilators (B) (mg/Kg)	Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean					
	Soluble	e proteir	n conten	it, %	Total amino acids, %								
0	3.31	2.09	1.38	2.26	4.55	3.11	2.39	3.35					
CHI100	3.74	2.84	2.41	3.00	5.10	4.11	3.36	4.19					
CHI200	4.75	3.53	3.03	3.77	6.02	4.99	4.27	5.09					
HA100	4.01	3.17	2.52	3.23	5.35	4.42	3.65	4.47					
HA200	4.25	3.43	2.69	3.46	5.64	4.82	3.92	4.79					
Mean	4.01	3.01	2.41		5.33	4.29	3.52						
	А	В	AB		А	В	AB						
L3D 0.05	0.1039	0.1342	0.2324		0.075	0.097	0.168						
			5	Second	season								
0	3.22	1.98	1.26	2.15	4.49	3.01	2.28	3.26					
CHI100	3.61	2.84	2.27	2.91	5.00	4.00	3.25	4.09					
CHI200	3.66	3.40	2.91	3.66	5.91	4.90	4.19	5.00					
HA100	3.89	3.08	2.37	3.11	5.24	4.32	3.53	4.36					
HA200	4.14	3.30	2.57	3.34	5.58	4.70	3.85	4.71					
Mean	370	2.92	2.28		5.24	4.19	3.42						
	А	В	AB		Α	В	AB						
L3D 0.05	0.086	0.111	0.192		0.062	0.081	0.140						

 Table 7: Soluble proteins and total free amino acids content as affected by cadmium or chelators as well as their combinations

Bioaccumulation of cadmium and nutrient content.

Data illustrated in Tables 8 and 9 show that increasing cadmium concentration in soil up to150 mg kg⁻¹ increased significantly the concentration of cadmium in plant tissue (p<0.05). Whereas the concentration of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium were significantly decreased. On the other hand, application of humic acid or chitosan as a soil additives decreased significantly cadmium concentration in radish plant growing in the presence of cadmium, in addition to the increasing of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium concentration as compared to untreated plants. CHI at 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil was the most effective treatment in this concern.

Cadmium was reported to reduce the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (Narwal *et al.*, 1993). Due to its effect on plant water relationships, cadmium may lead to a direct reduction in the absorption surfaces by inhibiting the formation of root hairs, and reduceing membrane permeability (Barcelo and poschenriederi, 1990). A large increase of nutrient uptake was recorded for the application of HA and CHI. The increased nutrient availability by HA and CHI addition could be due to the enhancement of microbial activity as well as increasing root growth, which facilitated more efficient nutrient absorption (Mallikarjuna Rao *et al.* 1987).

The increased N uptake by HA and CHI application was supposed to be due to the better use efficiency of applied N fertilizers in the presence of humic acid coupled with retarded nitrification process enabling the slow availability of applied N (Adani *et al.*, 1998). In addition, Inhibition of urease

activity by HA (Kiss and Simihâian, 2002), may led to reduce losses of N by volatilization.

Treatments	First season											
rieatments			Cadn	nium, m	g Kg ^{⁻¹} soi	I (A)						
Chilators (B)	Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean				
(mg Kg⁻¹)	Ca	admium	, mg Kg	-1	Nitrogen, %							
0	0.23	40.00	47.50	29.24	3.22	3.96	3.29	3.49				
CHI100	0.18	15.26	25.07	13.50	4.06	3.64	3.36	3.69				
CHI200	0.07	9.00	12.25	7.11	4.20	3.99	3.64	3.94				
HA100	0.15	22.41	29.0	17.19	4.06	3.71	3.43	3.73				
HA200	0.08	17.5	22.5	13.36	4.13	3.99	3.57	3.90				
Mean	0.14	20.83	27.26		4.07	3.73	3.45					
	А	В	AB		А	В	AB					
L3D 0.05	0.004	0.56	0.97		0.075	0.096	0.167					
				Second	season							
0	0.21	39.42	46.75	28.79	3.81	3.52	3.05	3.46				
CHI100	0.16	14.31	24.45	12.97	3.95	3.70	3.57	3.74				
CHI200	0.06	8.70	11.81	6.86	4.06	3.86	3.76	3.89				
HA100	0.14	21.84	24.29	15.42	3.97	3.81	3.59	3.79				
HA200	0.07	16.8	21.5	12.79	4.05	3.85	3.64	3.85				
Mean	0.13	20.21	25.76		3.96	3.75	3.52					
	A	В	AB		A	В	AB					
L3D 0.05	0.005	0.660	1.143		0.028	0.039	0.068					

 Table 8: Cadmium and nitrogen concentration in radish shoot as affected by cadmium or chelators as well as their interaction.

 Table 9: Phosphorous and Potassium concentration in radish shoot as affected by cadmium or chelators as well as their combinations

Troatmonte -	ents FIrst season											
meatments			Cadm	nium mg	/Kg soil (A	4)						
Chilators (B)	Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean				
(mg Kg⁻¹) [¯]	P	hosphor	us, %			Pot	assium	, %				
0	0.40	0.38	0.37	0.38	3.95	3.42	3.21	3.52				
CHI100	0.41	0.41 0.39 0.38		0.39	4.00	3.85	3.65	3.83				
CHI200	0.42	0.40	0.39	0.40	4.80	3.95	3.90	4.21				
HA100	0.41	0.40	0.39	0.40	4.05	4.23	3.70	3.99				
HA200	0.42	0.40	0.39	040	4.20	3.95	3.30	3.81				
Mean	0.412	0.394	0.384		4.20	3.88	3.55					
	А	В	AB		А	В	AB					
L3D 0.05	0.0075	0.0095	NS		0.1192	0.165	0.266					
			5	Second s	season							
0	0.398	0.353	0.319	0.357	3.89	3.36	3.20	3.48				
CHI100	0.402	0.394	0.387	0.394	3.98	3.82	3.69	3.83				
CHI200	0.416	0.400	0.396	0.404	4.29	3.94	3.82	4.02				
HA100	0.406	0.398	0.389	0.398	4.10	3.86	3.72	3.89				
HA200	0.412	0.399	0.391	0.401	4.20	3.91	3.76	3.96				
Mean	0.407	0.389	0.377		4.09	3.78	3.64					
	A	В	AB		A	В	AB					
L3D 0.05	0.002	0.002	0.004		0.038	0.049	0.086					

J. Soil Sci. and Agric.Engineering,Mansoura Univ., Vol.1(6), June , 2010

The increase in P uptake as a result of HA and CHI application may be due to the prevention of P fixation in the soil and the formation of phosphate complexes (Larsen *et al.*, 1959), which increased phosphorus uptake. Malcolm and Vaughan (1979) supported the hypothesis that soil phosphatase activity is improved by humic acid, which may have resulted in increasing P availability as phosphatase hydrolyses the phosphate esters into inorganic phosphorus.

The highest K uptake was recorded in the treatment receiving soil application of HA or CHI. According to Samson and Visser (1989), humic acid induced an increase in the permeability of biomembranes for electrolytes accounted for increased uptake of K. Moreover, there are some reports indicate that application of CHI increased significantly the content of potassium in plants (Farouk *et al.*, 2008).

In conclusion, both natural chelators, in particular, chitosan at 200 mg kg^{-1} can increase the capacity of radish plant to survive under cadmium stress due to chelating the Cd in the soil, and then reduced Cd bio-availability.

REFERENCES

- Adani, F., P. Genevini, P. Zaccheo, and G. Zocchi (1998). The effect of commercial humic acid on tomato plant growth and mineral nutrition. J. Plant Nutr., 21: 561-575.
- Azevedo, H., C.G. Pinto , J. Fernandes, Suzana Loureiro, and S. Conceicao (2005). Cadmium effects on sunflower growth and photosynthesis. J. Plant Nutr., 28 (12): 2211 2220
- Barcelo, J., M. Vazquez, and C. Poschenrieder (1988). Structural and ultrastructural disorders in cadmium-treated bush bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). New Phytolo., 108:37-49.
- Barcelo, J., and C. Poschenrieder (1990). Plant Water relations as affected by heavy metal stress: a review. J. Plant Nut., 13:1-37.
- Barona, A., I. Aranguiz, and A. Elias (2001). Metal associations in soils before and after EDTA extractive decontamination: implications for effectiveness of further clean-up procedures. Environ. Pol., 113: 79-85.
- Benavides, M.P., S.M. Gallego, and M.L. Tomaro (2005). Cadmium toxicity in plants. Braz. J. Plant Physiol., 17:21-34.
- Ben-Shalom, N., R. Ardi, R. Pinto, C. Aki, and E. Fallik (2003). Controlling gray mold caused by *Botrytis cinerea* in cucumber plants by means of chitosan. Crop Prot., 22: 285–290.
- Boonlertnirun, S., C. Boonraung and R. Suvanasara (2008). Application of Chitosan in Rice Production. Journal of Metals, Materials and Minerals, 18: 47-52.
- Bradford, M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizating the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Bioch,. 72:248-254.
- Chapman, H.D., Pratt, P.F. (Eds.), 1982. Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plants and Waters. Div. of Agr. Sci., Univ. of Calif, Berkeley, CA.

- Chen, B., and Y.G. Zhu (2006). Humic acids increase the phytoavailability of Cd and Pb to wheat plants cultivated in freshly spiked, contaminated soil. J. Soils Sediments, 6(4): 236-242.
- Cooper, T.G. (1977). The Tools of Biochemistry. A Wiley-Interscience Pub. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Cottenie, A., Verloo, M., Velghe, G., Comerlynk, R., 1982. Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil. Ghent, Belgium, Laboratory of Analytical and Agrochemistry State University.
- Dewis, J., Fertias, F., 1970. Physical and Chemical Methods of Soil and Water Analysis. Soils Bulletin No. 10. FAO. Rome.
- Eyheraguibel, B., J. Silvestre, P. Morard (2008). Effects of humic substances derived from organic waste enhancement on the growth and mineral nutrition of maize. Bioresour. Technol., 99(10): 4206-4212.
- Farouk, S., K.M. Ghoneem, and Abeer A. Ali (2008). Induction and Expression of systematic resistance to downy mildew disease in cucumber plant by elicitors. Egypt. J. Phytopath., (1-2): 95-111.
- Fernandez-Ballester, G., V. Martinez, D. Ruiz, and A. Cerda (1998). Changes in inorganic and organic solutes in citrus growing under saline stresses. J. Plant Nutr., 21(12):2497-2514.
- Gouia, H., M. Ghorbal, and C. Meyer (2000). Effect of cadmium on activity of nitrate reductase and other enzymes of the nitrate assimilation pathway in bean. Plant Physiol. Biochem., 38: 629-638.
- Greger, M., and H. Ogren (1991). Direct and indirect effects of Cd on photosynthesis in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*). Physiol. Plant ,83:129-135.
- Grčman, Š., D., Velikonja-Bolta, B. Vodnik, and D. K. Leštan1. (2001). EDTA enhanced heavy metal phytoextraction: metal accumulation, leaching and toxicity. Plant Soil,235:105-114.
- Hegazy, M.H. (2001). Some physiological studied on the effects of Cd, Zn and Ni on faba bean and radish plants. Ph.D Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ.
- Hesse, P.R., 1971. A Text Book of Soil Chemical Analysis. Juan Murry (Publisher) Ltd, London.
- Kobayashi, D.Y., R.M., Reedy, J., Bick, and P.V., Oudemans (2002). Characterization of a chitinase gene from Stenotrophomonas maltophilia strain 34S1 and its involvement in biological control. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 68, 1047–1054.
- Kupper, H., F. Kupper, and M. Spiller (1998). In situ detection of heavy metals substituted chlorophylls in water plants. Photosynthesis Res., 58:123-133.
- Kiss, S., M., Simihâian (2002). Improving Efficiency of Urea Fertilizers by Inhibition of Soil Urease Activity. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Lanaras, T., M. Moustakas, L. Symeonidis, S. Diomantoglou, and S. Karataglis (1993). Plant metal content, growth responses and some photosynthetic measurements of field-cultivated wheat growing on ore bodies enriched in Cu. Physiol. Plantarum, 88:307-314.

- Larsen, J.E., G.F. Warren and R. Langston (1959). Effect of iron, aluminum and humic acid on phosphorus fixation by organic soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 23:438-440
- Malcolm, R.E., and D. Vaughan (1979). Humic substances and phosphatase activities in plant tissues. Soil Biol. Biochem., 11:253-259.
- Mallikarjuna Rao, M., R. Govindasamy, and S. Chandrasekaran (1987). Effect of Humic acid on *Sorghum vulgare* var. CSH-9. Current Science, 56(24): 1273-1276.
- Narwal, R.P., S. Mahendra, and M. Singh (1993). Effect of cadmium and Zinc application on quality of maize. Ind. J. Plant Physiol., 36:170-173.
- Nedel-koska, T.V., and P.M. Doran (2000). Hyper accumulation of cadmium by hairy roots of *Thlaspi caerulescens*. Biotechnol. Bioeng., 67: 607–615
- Norman, G.R., and D.L. Streiner. 2003. PDQ Statistics, 3rd Ed. BC Deckker Inc, London.
- Ohta, K., S. Morishita, K. Suda, N. Kobayashi, and T. Hosoki (2004). Effects of chitosan soil mixture treatment in the seedling stage on the growth and flowering of several ornamental plants. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci., 73(1):66-68.
- Peterburgski, A.V.(1968). Handbook of Agronomic Chemistry. Kolop Publishing House, Moscow, Russia.
- Pinto, A.P., A.M. Mota, A. Devaremes, and F.C. Pinto (2004). Influence of organic matter on the uptake of cadmium, zinc, copper and iron by sorghum plants. Sci. Total Environ., 326:239-247.
- Punz, W.F., and H. Sieghardt (1993). The response of root of herbaceous plant species to heavy metals. Environ. Exp. Bot., 33:85-98.
- Ramon, O., E. Vazquez, M. Fernandez, and M.P. Felipe Zornoza (2003). Cadmium-stress in white lupine: effect on nodule structure and function. Plant Physiol., 161:911-919.
- Richards, L.A., 1954. The Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils. USDA, Handbook, 60.
- Sadasivam, S., and A. Manickam (1996). Biochemical Methods. 2nd edition, New age international. India.
- Samson, G., and S.A. Visser (1989). Surface-active effect of humic acids on potato cell membrane properties. Soil Biol. Biochem., 21: 343-347.
- Sanchez, F.J., E.F. De Andres, J.L. Tenorio, and L. Ayerbe (2004). Growth of epicotyls, turgor maintenance and osmotic adjustment in pea plants (*Pisum salivum* L.) subjected to water stress. Field Crop Res., 86:81-90.
- Sheoran, I.S., N. Aggarwal, and R. Singh (1990). Effect of cadmium and nickel on in vivo carbon dioxide exchange rate of pigeon pea (*Cajianus cajas* L.). Plant Soil, 129:243-249.
- Stevenson, 1982 F.J. Stevenson, Humus Chemistry: Genesis, Composition Reactions, Wiley-Interscience, New York (1982).
- Stibrova, M., M. Doubravova, A. Brezlova, and A. Fridrich (1986). Effects of heavy metals ions on growth and biochemical characteristics of photosynthesis of barly. Photosynthetica, 20:416-425.

- Thawornchaisit, U. and C. Polprasert (2009). Evaluation of phosphate fertilizers for the stabilization of cadmium in highly contaminated soils. J. Hazard. Mater., 165: 1109-1113
- Wagner, G,J. 1993. Accumulation of cadmium in crop plants and its consequences to human health. Adv. Agron., 51:173-212.
- Wellburn, A.R. (1994). The Spectral Determination of Chlorophylls A and B, as well as Total Carotenoids, Using Various Solvents with Spectrophotometers of Different Resolution. J. Plant Phys., 144: 307-313.

تقليل إجهاد الكادميوم علي نبات الفجل بواسطة حمض الهيوميك والكيتوزان كإضافات أرضية سعد فاروق جاد الله*, أحمد علي موسي** و هبة محمد إبراهيم* * قسم النبات الزراعي, كلية الزراعة, جامعة المنصورة

** قسم الأراضي. كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنصورة

تم إجراء تجربتي أصص لدراسة تأثير حمض الهيوميك والكيتوزان كإضافات أرضية بتركيز 100 و 200 مجم كجم¹ في تقليل التأثير الضار للكادميوم بتركيز 100 و 105 مجم كجم⁻¹ ¹ تربة جافة علي نبات الفجل. أظهرت النتائج أن الكادميوم بتركيز 100 و 150 مجم كجم⁻¹ أدت إلي إحداث خفض معنوي في الطول وكل من الوزن الطازج والجاف للمجموع الجذري والخضري و وعدد الأوراق في كلا الموسمين. كما حدث انخفاض معنوي في قيم كل من محتوي الكلوروفيل, السكر الكلي, تركيز النيتروجين والفسفور والبوتاسيوم, المحتوي المائي, نسبة العجز المائي بالإضافة إلي كل من البروتين الذائب والمحتوي الكلي للأحماض الأمينية بينما حدثت زيادة معنوي في في تركيز الكادميوم. علي الجانب الآخر أدت إضافة حمض الهيوميك أو الكيتوزان كمواد مخلية بتركيز الكادميوم في أنسجة البي زيادة كل المعايير سابقة الذكر كما حدث انخفاض معنوي في تركيز الكادميوم في أنسجة النبات. عموما كلا المادتين المخليبتين وبصفة خاصة الكيتوزان بتركيز تركيز الكادميوم في أنسجة النبات. عموما كلا المادتين المخليبتين وبصفة خاصة الكيتوزان بتركيز تركيز الكادميوم في أنسجة النبات. عموما كلا المادتين المخليبتين وبصفة خاصة الكيتوزان بتركيز تركيز الكادميوم في أنسجة النبات. عموما كلا المادتين المخليبتين وبصفة خاصة الكيتوزان مو دالك تركيز الكادميوم في أنسجة النبات. عموما كلا المادتين المخليبتين وبصفة خاصة الكيتوزان بتركيز تركيز الكادميوم في أنسجة النبات. عموما كلا المادتين المخليبتين وبصفة خاصة الكيتوزان بتركيز تركيز الكادميوم في أنسجة النبات. عموما كلا المادتين المخليبتين وبصفة خاصة الكيتوزان بتركيز تركيز الكادميوم في أن تؤدي إلي زيادة قدرة نبات الفجل علي تحمل تحت سمية الكادميوم وذلك لحدوث خلب لأيونات الكادميوم وتقايل صلاحيته بالنسبة للنبات.

قام بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة – جا	أد / السيد محمود فوزي الحديدي
كلية الزراعة – جا	أد / عبد المنعم محمد عبد الله الجلا

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة كلية الزراعة – جامعة عين شمس

	First season												
Treatments					Cad	mium (A	(mg K	g ⁻¹)					
Chilators (B)	Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean	
(mg Kg⁻¹)	Shoot fresh weight, g				Sh	Shoot dry weight, g				Leaves number/plant			
0	34.83	21.46	16.70	24.33	3.06	1.85	0.60	1.84	6.33	4.66	3.00	4.66	
CHI100	37.00	25.56	22.10	28.22	3.36	2.58	1.90	2.61	7.00	6.33	5.33	6.22	
CHI200	49.93	35.66	30.06	38.55	4.15	3.31	2.64	3.37	7.00	7.00	6.33	6.77	
HA100	38.20	33.66	22.40	31.42	3.44	2.75	2.04	2.74	7.00	6.33	5.66	6.33	
HA200	42.66	35.00	24.86	34.17	3.84	3.16	2.46	3.15	7.00	6.33	6.00	6.44	
Mean	40.52	30.27	32.22		3.57	2.73	1.93		6.86	6.13	5.26		
	А	В	AB		А	В	AB		А	В	AB		
E3D 0.05	1.35	1.74	3.02		0.126	0.162	0.282		0.415	0.534	0.929		
					:	Second	season						
0	32.56	21.33	18.36	24.08	2.90	1.61	0.71	1.74	6.00	4.33	2.66	4.33	
CHI100	36.23	26.20	23.73	28.72	3.26	2.48	1.89	2.54	6.66	6.00	5.00	5.88	
CHI200	45.90	34.83	27.63	36.12	3.94	3.14	2.51	3.20	6.66	6.66	6.00	6.44	
HA100	41.10	30.53	24.43	32.02	3.49	2.78	2.13	2.80	6.66	6.00	5.33	6.00	
HA200	42.30	34.13	25.46	33.96	3.77	3.01	2.35	3.04	6.66	6.00	5.66	6.11	
Mean	39.62	29.40	23.92		3.47	2.60	1.92		6.53	5.80	4.93		
LSD 0.05	A	В	AB		A	В	AB		A	В	AB		
	0.846	1.094	1.899		0.067	0.086	0.149		0.332	0.430	0.745		

 Table 2: Fresh weight, dry weight and leaves number per plant of radish as affected by cadmium or soil chelators as well as their interaction in both seasons.

Tractmonto	First season											
Treatments					Cadn	nium (A	.) (mg K	(g ⁻¹)				
Chilators (B)	Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean
(mg Kg⁻¹)	Shoot length, cm				Tap Root length, cm				Тар	root th	ickness	,cm
0	22.0	19.4	16.7	19.37	11.6	8.76	8.06	9.47	1.540	1.050	0.663	1.08
CHI100	25.0	21.5	20.0	22.17	12.6	10.6	9.53	10.91	1.683	1.383	1.277	1.45
CHI200	26.2	24.4	21.9	24.17	13.3	11.6	10.6	11.83	1.863	1.607	1.393	1.62
HA100	25.3	22.6	21.1	23.00	13.0	11.1	10.2	11.43	1.783	1.500	1.283	1.52
HA200	25.9	24.2	21.3	23.80	13.2	11.3	10.5	11.67	1.860	1.587	1.403	1.62
Mean	24.88	22.42	20.20		12.74	10.67	9.79		1.746	1.425	1.204	
LSD 5%	А	В	AB		А	В	AB		А	В	AB	
	0.679	0.877	NS		0.513	0.662	NS		0.058	0.075	0.130	
					S	econd s	season					
0	23.13	19.36	15.43	19.31	10.93	9.33	8.33	9.53	1.53	1.05	0.67	1.08
CHI100	24.40	21.63	19.90	21.98	12.33	10.70	9.94	10.99	1.68	1.38	1.28	1.45
CHI200	25.83	23.63	21.26	23.57	13.23	11.50	10.33	11.69	1.86	1.61	1.39	1.62
HA100	25.66	21.83	21.13	22.87	12.83	10.56	10.23	11.21	1.78	1.49	1.28	1.52
HA200	25.43	23.60	21.06	23.36	13.03	11.16	10.43	11.54	1.85	1.59	1.40	1.61
Mean	24.89	22.01	19.76		12.47	10.65	9.85		1.74	1.42	1.20	
LSD 5%	A	В	AB		A	В	AB		A	В	AB	
	0.210	0.274	0.476		0.320	0.412	NS		0.059	0.076	0.141	

 Table 3: Shoot length, root length and root thickness of radish plant as affected by cadmium or soil chelators as well as their interaction in both seasons.

	First season											
Treatments					C	admium (A) (mg Kg	J ⁻¹)				
Chilators (B)	Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean	Control	100	150	Mean
(mg Kg ⁻¹)	С	hlorophy	yll A, µg	g ⁻¹		Chlorophy	yll Β, μg g ⁻	1		Chloroph	yll _{a:b} ratio	
0	1.190	0.921	0.712	0.941	0.505	0.254	0.182	0.314	2.372	3.628	3.932	3.311
CHI100	1.092	1.053	0.957	1.034	0.773	0.389	0.276	0.479	1.413	2.704	3.460	2.526
CHI200	1.080	1.121	1.092	1.098	1.051	0.642	0.422	0.705	1.029	1.766	2.600	1.798
HA100	1.065	1.106	1.057	1.076	0.829	0.464	0.350	0.548	1.285	2.381	3.025	2.230
HA200	1.139	1.131	1.053	1.108	0.892	0.618	0.363	0.624	1.281	1.845	2.914	2.013
Mean	1.113	1.066	0.974		0.810	0.474	0.319		1.476	2.465	3.186	
	А	В	AB		А	В	AB		А	В	AB	
L3D 0.05	0.020	0.025	0.045		0.025	0.033	0.058		0.149	0.192	0.332	
						Second	l season					
0	1.180	0.901	0.732	0.937	0.495	0.264	0.172	0.310	2.383	3.412	4.255	3.35
CHI100	1.082	1.043	0.967	1.030	0.763	0.489	0.376	0.542	1.418	2.132	2.571	2.040
CHI200	1.070	1.111	1.099	1.093	1.031	0.742	0.522	0.765	1.037	1.497	2.105	1.546
HA100	1.045	1.110	1.067	1.074	0.819	0.564	0.450	0.611	1.295	1.968	2.371	1.878
HA200	1.129	1.121	1.063	1.104	0.882	0.698	0.473	0.684	1.280	1.606	2.247	1.711
Mean	1.101	1.057	0.985		0.798	0.551	0.398		1.482	2.123	2.709	
1 50 5%	A	В	AB		A	В	AB		A	В	AB	
230 3 %	0.020	0.032	0.055		0.012	0.043	0.055		0.159	0.189	0.353	

Table 4: Chlorophyll a and b content as well as chlorophyll a:b ratio in radish leaves as affected by cadmium or chelators as well as their interaction.

J. Soil Sci. and Agric.Engineering,Mansoura Univ.,Vol.1(6): , 2010