# EFFECT OF DIETARY CONCENTRATE TO ROUGHAGE RATIO ON NUTRIENT DIGESTIBILITY, RUMEN FERMENTATION, GROWTH PERFORMANCE AND SERUM ACUTE PHASE PROTEIN IN GROWING BUFFALO CALVES

# S.M. Abdel Raheem<sup>1</sup>, E.H. Hassan<sup>2</sup>, M.M. Farghaly<sup>3</sup>

(Received 1/11/2017, accepted 19/12/2017)

### **SUMMARY**

his study was accomplished to designed to assess the appropriate concentrate: roughage (C:R) ratio for better nutrient digestibility, rumen fermentation patterns, healthy and economic beef production in Egyptian buffalo calves. Sixteen buffalo calves aged about 18-20 months had 292.5±4.7 kg average body weight were randomly assigned into four groups of 4 animal each. The treatment diets were composed of four concentrate: roughage (C: R) ratios (80:20, A; 75:25, B; 60:40, C; 55:45, D). The results revealed that increasing in the proportion of concentrate in the diet significantly (p <0.05) increased the digestibility of dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), crude fiber (CF), ether extract (EE), nitrogen free extract (NFE) and the total digestible nutrients. Ruminal concentration of both volatile fatty acids (VFA) and ammonia nitrogen were increased linearly with increasing the dietary concentrate portion (60, 75, 80), however the rumen pH were decreased (P<0.01) with increasing the concentrate level in the diet. In addition, increasing the concentrate proportion increased the dry matter intake, average daily gain, final body weight; feed cost per kg body weight. Serum, glucose, and acute phase protein were increased by increasing the dietary concentrate portion. Feeding diet containing 60% concentrate showed better performance and economic per kg body weight gain when comparing diet containing (75 and 80 %) concentrates levels. This study suggested that the diet consisting of 60: 40 concentrate: roughage ratio could be considered as the optimum diet for growing buffalo calves for better performance, efficient feed utilization, economic feed efficiency and low risk of sub-acute ruminal acidosis.

Key words: Concentrate, roughage, ratio, performance, sub acute, ruminal acidosis and buffalos calves.

### INTRODUCTION

Feedlot ration should be designed to give maximum weight gain and fattening rate at the lowest cost with minimum digestive upset. Modern beef feeding requires the manipulation of concentrate to roughage ratio (C: R) which affects gain and efficiency of gain. Since long time ago, there has been a tendency to fed high grain level in feedlot rations. Feeding high concentrate level improved ruminnat production and decreased methan production which represent daily feed energy losses and reduce profitability of beef production. High concentrate diet shift rumen fermentation to propionogenesis and reduce acetate, butyrate and methane production in comparison with high forage diet (Martin *et al.* 2010).

Many studies reported that feeding high concentrate diet to young calves with limited or no forage source (low NDF) or no optimum level of physically effective fiber could disturb rumen fermentation pattern, animal metabolism and health (Suárez *et al.*, 2007). A concentrate diets improves animal performance, but increase the risk of rumen disorders and hepatic abcess when compared with diets with a fibre forage source, due to the decrease in rumen pH caused by extreme consumption of fermentable carbohydrates (Nagaraja and Titgemeyer, 2007).

The dietary inclusion of concentrates for ruminant is planned to raise the energy, proteins, minerals, and vitamins content in animal diet and to improve feed utilization efficiency and productive efficiency (Morand-Fehr and Sauvant, 1987). On the other hand, long time feeding on high-concentrate diet

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition and Clinical Nutrition, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt, 71526.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut, Egypt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Assiut, 71516, Egypt.

### Abdel Raheem et al.

predispose the animal to subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA) which described as decreased rumen pH under 5.6 or 5.8 as a result of excessive production of lactic acid and volatile fatty acids (VFA) (Zebli et al., 2008, Chen et al., 2012). The symptoms of SARA are decreasing feed intake, decreasing rumination, and metabolic disorders and animals might live in a certain stress status (Jia et al., 2014). Subacute ruminal acidosis stimulates the release of ruminal lipopoly saccharide endotoxin and triggers an inflammatory response in steers (Gozho et al., 2005 and Gonzleza et al., 2012). SARA produced by high grains diet lead to increasing the acute phase protein (APPs) in peripheral blood (Gozho et al., 2005; Khafipour et al., 2009, Jia et al., 2014). The increased acute phase proteins (APPs) concentration is not specific for a particular disease, but reflects the overall health of the animals. The information about the optimum levels of roughage in rations of fattening buffalo calves is lacking. Therefore, verifying the proper concentrate: roughage ratio is one of the most essential factors to guarantee the growth and health of buffalo calves. Consequently, the present study was designed to assess the appropriate C:R ratio for better nutrient digestibility, rumen fermentation patterns, healthy and economic beef production.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Animals, diets and management

This study was conducted following the procedures officially approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation of Assiut University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine. This study was performed out at the research farm of Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University Assiut, Egypt. Sixteen buffalo calves aged about 18-20 months of 292.5±4.7 kg average body weight were randomly assigned into 4 groups of 4 animal each for six month fattening period. The treatment diets were composed of basal diet with four concentrate to roughage ratios (80:20, A; 75:25, B; 60:40, C; 55:45, D), respectively. The experimental diet consists of concentrate mixture, wheat straw and berseem hay. The concentrates level was 2 % of body weight, while roughage level was 1% of body weight. The ingredients composition and chemical analysis of experimental diets are displayed in Table (1 and 2).

Table (1): Ingredients composition of the experimental diets.

Item	A	В	C	D	
Concentrate mixture (%)	80	75	60	55	
Roughage (%)	20	25	40	45	
Total	100	100	100	100	
Concentrate mixture					
Ground corn	17	10.50	3.50	3	
Ground sorghum	51.5	62.00	78.00	75.5	
Wheat bran	16	12.00	2.00	2.00	
Soybean meal	12	12.00	14	17	
Vitamin and mineral Premix*	1	1	1	1	
Limestone	2	2	1	1	
Salt	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Total	100	100	100	100	

\*Vitamin and mineral premix each 3 kg contains: 1,250,000 IU, Vit. A; 2,500,000 IU, Vit. D3; 1000 mg, Vit. E; 80,000 mg, Mn; 60,000 mg, Zn; 50,000 iron, 20,000 copper, 5000 iodine, 250 Se, 1000 Co mg tell 3 kg CaCO3.

Preparing and mixing of diet performed daily and diets offered twice a day. Daily feed intake was noted and representative samples from feed were taken for chemical analysis. Separate pens with concrete floor equipped with locally manufactured feed manger were used for keeping each calf. Body weight of the calves were recorded initially and every month thereafter. Clean and fresh water was available ad libitum. Deworming of calves was carried out before the beginning of the experiment.

Table (2): Chemical analysis and nutrients composition of experimental diets, berseem hay and wheat straw (% on DM basis).

Item	A	В	С	D	Berseem hay	Wheat straw
Dry matter	89.79	90.01	90.52	90.45	88.6	91.5
ME Mcal/kg	2.85	2.84	2.76	2.72	2.45	1.62
Crude protein	16.89	16.86	16.83	16.85	19.75	4.81
Crude fiber	10.69	11.86	15.70	17.29	30.36	40.87
Ether extract	3.17	3.06	2.85	2.80	2.71	2.19
NFE	59.35	62.62	57.71	55.55	31.72	43.71
Ash	9.90	5.60	6.91	7.51	15.46	8.42
Organic matter	90.10	94.40	93.09	92.49	84.54	91.58
Calcium	1.06	1.10	0.97	1.04	2.47	0.19
Phosphorus	0.50	0.45	0.35	0.34	0.29	0.05

Diet A with C: R=80:20; diet B with C: R= 75:25; diet C with C: R= 60:40; diet D with C:R= 55:45.

NFE= Nitrogen free Extract, ME= metabolizable energy.

# Digestibility trials

Digestibility of feed nutrients was performed by the use of chromic oxide as indicator. The digestion trial lasted for 14 days, 7-days as preliminary period and 7-day as collection period. Exactly 10 grams of Cr2O3 were hand mixed into the concentrate portion of each calf for 14 days. Orts were weighed daily, and collected before feedings of days 7-14.

### Sampling and Measurements

Feed was dried at 60°C in a forced-air oven for 72 hours. By the end of the collection period, an equal quantity of sample was taken from each daily collection, and composited per calf to create a single sample representative of that calf's feed. About 200 g of fresh feces was collected by fecal grab from 7-14 days twice / 24-hr and stored in refrigerator. At the end of each period, fecal samples were thawed, composited in equal amounts by calves, and dried at 60°C. Samples were milled to pass through a 1 mm screen and sealed in plastic bags. Samples were assayed for dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), crud fiber (CF), ether extract (EE) and ash according to methods defined by AOAC (1999). Chromium content of feed and feces was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer by the methods described by Williams *et al.* (1962). Digestibilties of nutrients were estimated according to (Maynard and Loosli, 1969) by the following equation:

Digestibility of nutrient (%) =  $100 - (100 \times (\% \text{ marker in feed}) / (\% \text{ marker in feces}) \times (\% \text{ nutrient in feces}) / (\% \text{ nutrient in feed}).$ 

### **Blood sampling**

Blood samples were collected by jugular vein-puncture into two tubes containing either 0.1% EDTA for plasma collection or in a tube with no anticoagulant for obtaining the serum at the end of the experiment 6 hrs. after the a.m. feeding. After that blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 min. for harvesting serum and plasma and then stored at -20 °C till analyzed for blood metabolites and plasma acute phase protein. Blood metabolites (glucose, total protein, albumen, AST, ALT, blood urea nitrogen was analyzed by spectrophotometer (Unico, USA) using commercial test kits according to manufacturer company.

# Determination of acute phase proteins

Serum haptoglobin (Hp) concentration was determined using a commercial sandwich ELISA (GenWay Biotech Inc. San Diego, CA). Samples were diluted (50-time dilution). Intra and inter-assay CV was 3.3% and 11%, respectively. Serum amyloid A was determined by the use of commercial multispecies ELISA (Tridelta Development Ltd, Kildare, Ireland) explained by McDonald *et al.* (1991).

### Rumen liquor parameters

In the last day of collection period at 3 hrs. post morning feeding rumen liquor was taken from each calf by stomach tube. A double layer of cheesecloth was used for filtration of rumen liquor into plastic tubes (50 ml). A portable pH meter (Beckman, model 45, USA) was used for determination of pH immediately after sampling. Rumen liquor was used to determine total volatile fatly acids (VFA)

### Abdel Raheem et al.

concentration according to method described by Cannon *et al.* (2010). Rumen fluid samples was mixed with oxalic acid (0.1 mol/l), sodium azide (40 mmol/l) and capronic acid (0.1 mmol/l) as internal standard, centrifuged and the supernatant was analyzed for short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) by gas chromatography (Agilent 6890 N GC) equipped with a 30 m x 530µm x 0.1 µm capillary column with flame ionization detector according to the method. While rumen ammonia nitrogen (NH3-N) concentration mg /100 ml was analyzed according to (method 973.49, AOAC, 2000).

### Statistical analysis

SPSS program version 16.0 software (SPSS, 2008) was used for analysis of raw data, Differences between groups in nutrient digestibility, rumen fermentation, blood metabolites; serum acute phase protein and performance were estimated by one way ANOVA. Duncan's multiple range (Duncan, 1955) tests was used to detect the differences between means. The data were tabulated in mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. Level of significance was set at P<0.05.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# Nutrients digestibility

The data in Table (3) indicated that increasing the proportion of concentrate in the diet significantly (p <0.05) increased the digestibility of DM, OM, CP, CF, EE, and NFE. Similarly, the percentage of digestible crude protein (DCP), and total digestible nutrients (TDN) were significantly increased in high concentrate diets (A, B and C) in comparison with diet D. This result was coincided with the findings observed by previous investigation carried out by Cantalapiedra-Hijar *et al.* (2009), Saini *et al.* (2012), Kumari *et al.*, 2012, Ma *et al.* 2014 and Malisetty *et al.*, 2014). The decrease in nutrient digestion at 80 % concentrate level may be produced by the inhibition of cellulolytic bacteria growth caused by decreasing the rumen pH under 6.2 (Grant and Mertens, 1992).

Table (3): Effect of concentrate to roughage ratio on nutrients apparent digestibility for buffalo calves.

	Diets						
Item	A	В	С	D	P		
		Digestibility,%	ó				
DM	$69.86^{\mathrm{b}} \pm 0.35$	$73.2^{a} \pm 0.43$	$73.47^{a} \pm 0.31$	$68.54^{\rm b} \pm 0.68$	< 0.001		
OM	$71.42^{c} \pm 0.14$	$75.44^{b} \pm 0.21$	$76.03^{a} \pm 0.14$	$70.62^{d} \pm 0.12$	< 0.001		
CP	$71.31^{b} \pm 0.34$	$76.34^{a} \pm 0.7$	$76.11^{a} \pm 0.31$	$69.86^{\circ} \pm 0.44$	< 0.001		
CF	$65.66^{b} \pm 0.21$	$68.28^a \pm 0.25$	$68.42^{a} \pm 0.27$	$64.02^{\circ} \pm 0.6$	< 0.001		
EE	$68.59^{b} \pm 0.1$	$71.43^{a} \pm 0.29$	$71.64^{a} \pm 0.22$	$66.68^{\circ} \pm 0.27$	< 0.001		
NFE	$74.19^{b} \pm 0.15$	$76.87^{a} \pm 0.24$	$77.26^{a} \pm 0.08$	$73.32^{\circ} \pm 0.17$	< 0.001		
		Nutritive value,	%				
DCP	$12.04^{b} \pm 0.06$	$12.87^a \pm 0.05$	$12.81^a \pm 0.05$	$11.77^{\circ} \pm 0.07$	< 0.001		
TDN	$67.99^{\circ} \pm 0.1$	$74.02^{a} \pm 1.9$	$72.73^{b} \pm 0.08$	$67.77^{\circ} \pm 0.13$	< 0.001		

Means within row bearing different superscripts differ significantly (p< 0.001).

Diet A with C: R=80:20; diet B with C: R= 75:25; diet C with C: R= 60:40; diet D with C: R= 55:45.

DM dry matter, OM organic matter, CP crude protein, EE ether extract, NFE, nitrogen free extract, DCP digestible crude protein, TDN total digestible nutrients.

### Rumen Properties

The rumen fermentation parameters were exhibited in Table (4). The C: R ratio affected (P < 0.001) ruminal pH, VFA and ammonia-N concentration. There was a significant (p < 0.009) decrease in the rumen pH in the buffalo calves by increasing the level of concentrate from 55 to 80 %. In the current study increasing concentrate in diets was increased (p < 0.001) rumen total volatile fatty acids and ammonia nitrogen concentration. This result support the finding of previous studies performed by Cantalapiedra-Hijar *et al.* (2009), Agle *et al.* (2010) and Van Dung *et al.* (2014). The higher rumen volatile fatty acid produced from feeding high concentrate diet decreased (P < 0.001) rumen pH as compared with high roughage diet. This observation supports the finding of Cantalapiedra-Hijar *et al.* 

(2009). The greater lactic acid produced from the greater amount of starch present in high concentrate diet was the main reason for the reduction in rumen pH (Slyter, 1976). The higher rumen ammonia N in high concentrate diet may be caused by the higher digestibility of crude protein in high concentrate diet because the rumen ammonia nitrogen depend up on the protein content in the diet and the digestibility of protein (Cantalapiedra-Hijar *et al.* (2009).

Table (4): Effect of concentrate to roughage ratio on rumen fermentation parameters.

	Diets					
Item	A	В	С	D	P	
pН	$6.08^{b} \pm 0.07$	$6.31^{a} \pm 0.1$	$6.44^{a} \pm 0.03$	$6.49^{a} \pm 0.03$	0.009	
NH3-N, mg/dl	$14.72^{a} \pm 0.14$	$13.08^{b} \pm 0.22$	$11.17^{c} \pm 0.04$	$11.46^{\circ} \pm 0.05$	< 0.001	
Acetate, mM	$52.23^{a} \pm 0.32$	$50.01^{\rm b} \pm 0.62$	$47.74^{\circ} \pm 0.32$	$45.91^{d} \pm 0.07$	< 0.001	
Propionate, mM	$6.04^{\rm b} \pm 0.05$	$6.13^{a} \pm 0.01$	$6.05^{\rm b} \pm 0.01$	$5.85^{c} \pm 0.02$	< 0.001	
Iso butyrate, mM	$5.00^{\circ} \pm 0.11$	$5.84^{\rm b} \pm 0.07$	$5.74^{\rm b} \pm 0.13$	$6.38^{a} \pm 0.02$	< 0.001	
Butyrate, mM	$4.8^{\circ} \pm 0.03$	$5.83^{\rm b} \pm 0.53$	$7.53^{a} \pm 0.31$	$6.01^{\rm b} \pm 0.02$	< 0.001	
Isovalerate, mM	$4.76^{a} \pm 0.01$	$4.58^{b} \pm 0.07$	$4.06^{d} \pm 0.01$	$4.37^{c} \pm 0.02$	< 0.001	
Valerte, mM	$3.09^{a} \pm 0.02$	$2.87^{b} \pm 0.05$	$2.55^{d} \pm 0.01$	$2.78^{c} \pm 0.01$	< 0.001	
Total VFAs, mM	$75.94^{a} \pm 0.29$	$75.29^{ab} \pm 0.22$	$73.68^{b} \pm 0.51$	$70.32^{\circ} \pm 0.97$	< 0.001	
A:P	$8.65^{a} \pm 0.08$	$8.23^{b} \pm 0.11$	$7.79^{c} \pm 0.04$	$7.84^{c} \pm 0.02$	< 0.001	

Means within row bearing different superscripts differ significantly (p< 0.001).

Diet A with C: R=80:20; diet B with C: R= 75:25; diet C with C: R= 60:40; diet D with C: R= 55:45.

mM: Millimolar or mmol/L

### **Blood** metabolites

The blood metabolites were displayed in Table (5). Blood metabolites are crucial tools for general health state and vitality. In this study, we noticed that increasing the proportion of concentrate from 55 % to 80 % in diets increased blood glucose, total protein and globulin concentration in buffalo calves. The increase in plasma glucose concentration reflects higher hepatic glucogenesis (Bobe *et al.*, 2004), associated with the higher propionate proportion observed in our study. This result support the previous report of Serment *et al.* (2011), Abonyi *et al.* (2013), Chen *et al.* (2015) and dong et al. (2015) who revealed that the high concentrate diet probably improved energy balance, protein synthesis and humoral immunity of the animal. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) concentration was used as indicator of nitrogen utilization efficiency by ruminants (Lewis, 1957). Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) was not affected (P > 0.05) by different concentrate to roughage ratio in this study. The urea N produced from protein and amino acid catabolism in the body. That implies decreasing protein utilization, increasing blood urea N content (Ponnampalam *et al.*, 2005). Experimental diets with different C: R ratios failed to induce any impact on liver enzymes (ALT and AST).

Table (5): Effect of concentrate to roughage ratio on blood metabolites for buffalo calves.

Item	Diets				
	A	В	С	D	P
Glucose (mg/dl)	$64.10^{a} \pm 0.41$	$62.26^{b} \pm 0.28$	$59.95^{c} \pm 0.14$	$58.04^{d} \pm 0.21$	< 0.001
Total protein (g/dl)	$7.52^{a} \pm 0.09$	$7.44^{a} \pm 0.04$	$7.01^{b} \pm 0.01$	$6.99^{b} \pm 0.09$	< 0.001
Albumin (g/dl)	$4.44^{ab} \pm 0.04$	$4.52^{a} \pm 0.02$	$4.51^{a} \pm 0.02$	$4.42^{b} \pm 0.01$	0.04
Globulin (g/ dl)	$3.08^{a} \pm 0.07$	$2.92^{a} \pm 0.05$	$2.49^{b} \pm 0.11$	$2.57^{\rm b} \pm 0.09$	< 0.001
A:G ratio	$1.44^{\rm b} \pm 0.03$	$1.54^{\rm b} \pm 0.02$	$1.83^{a} \pm 0.09$	$1.74^{a} \pm 0.07$	< 0.001
ALT (U/l)	$30.1 \pm 1.9$	$25.21 \pm 0.94$	$30.77 \pm 1.38$	$28.11 \pm 2.44$	0.11
AST (U/l)	$78.16 \pm 0.17$	$78.2 \pm 0.18$	$78.32 \pm 0.05$	$78.36 \pm 0.04$	0.69
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	$89.32^{ab} \pm 0.29$	$88.48^{ab} \pm 0.42$	$94.1^{b} \pm 0.91$	$99.19^a \pm 0.99$	< 0.001
BUN (mg/dl)	$25.82 \pm 0.09$	$25.78 \pm 0.04$	$25.76\pm.08$	$25.56 \pm 0.14$	0.291

Means within row bearing different superscripts differ significantly (p< 0.05).

BUN= Blood urea nitrogen.

Diet A with C: R=80:20; diet B with C: R= 75:25; diet C with C: R= 60:40; diet D with C:R= 55:45.

### Serum acute phase proteins

Variation in the ratio between concentrate to roughage induced significant (P<0.01) effects on serum acute phase proteins as clear in Table (6). There were significant increase (P<0.001) in serum haptoglobulin, serum amyloid A and serum C- reactive protein by increasing the dietary concentrate portion. These results in line with the results of (Gozho  $et\ al.$ , 2005, Khafipour  $et\ al.$ , 2009 and Plaizier  $et\ al.$ , 2009). Although serum acute phase protein increased with high concentrate diet and the risk of subclinical or subacute ruminal, acidosis was increased, but the greater risk of acidosis did not impair growth performance in buffalo calves under the present experimental station.

Table (6): Effect of concentrate to roughage ratio on levels of serum acute phase proteins for buffalo calves.

Item		Diets			
	A	В	С	D	P
Haptoglobulin, μg/ml	$41.66^{a} \pm 0.87$	$41.07^a \pm 0.25$	$39.52^{b} \pm 0.18$	$36.61^{\circ} \pm 0.27$	< 0.0001
Serum amyloid A, μg/ml	$35.66^a \pm 0.87$	$35.07^a \pm 0.25$	$33.52^b \pm 0.18$	$30.61^{\circ} \pm 0.28$	< 0.0001
C-reactive protein, mg/L	$38.65^a \pm 0.87$	$38.07^a \pm 0.25$	$32.52^{b} \pm 0.17$	$29.61^{\circ} \pm 0.28$	< 0.0001

Means within row bearing different superscripts differ significantly (p < 0.0001).

Diet A with C: R=80:20; diet B with C: R= 75:25; diet C with C:R= 60:40; diet D with C:R= 55:45.

### Growth performance

Performance of buffalo calves was presented in Table (7). Feeding high concentrate significantly increased final body weight, total and daily body weight gain and dry matter intake. In addition, feeding high concentrate diet to buffalo calves induced higher feed conversion (Diet A and B) when compared with low concentrate diet (C and D). Furthermore, low concentrate diets (60 and 55 %) are cheaper in cost in comparison with high concentrate diet (80 and 75 %). The improvement of growth performance with high concentrate diet was in agreement with previous work of Haddad, (2005), Papi *et al.* (2011), Chen *et al.* (2015) and Rashid *et al.* (2015). The increase in dry matter intake in high concentrate diet (A and B) could be ascribed to the higher palatability of high concentrate diet (Ma *et al.*, 2014). Higher roughage diets reduced production costs in comparison with higher concentrate diets as well in our trail. In this respect Norris *et al.* (2002) mentioned that crossbred males fed with high concentrate diet had better (P < 0.05) feed conversion rate than that of those fed with medium and low concentrate diets. From economical point of view, calves fed with diet C were economically efficient than that of those on diet A and B. The feed cost required for 1 kg LWG increased with the increase of concentrate level in diet. This is in line with results of Helal *et al.* (2011) who demonstrated that feed cost for one kg weight gain increased with the increase in concentrate level (15% to 100%) in buffalo and steers diets, respectively.

Table (7): Performance of buffalo calves fed the experimental diets.

Item	Diets				
	A	В	С	D	P
Initial weight, kg	$292.75 \pm 3.35$	$292.5 \pm 4.69$	$296.0 \pm 3.49$	$295.00 \pm 2.16$	0.87
Final weight, kg	$432.5^{a} \pm 1.04$	$430.25^a \pm 2.28$	$428.5^a \pm 0.64$	$419.75^b \pm 0.85$	< 0.001
BWG gain, kg	$139.75^{a} \pm 3.71$	$137.75^{a} \pm 3.79$	$132.5^{ab} \pm 3.59$	$124.75^{b} \pm 2.78$	0.04
Daily gain, kg	$0.932^a \pm 0.03$	$0.918^a \pm 0.03$	$0.883^{ab} \pm 0.02$	$0.831^{b} \pm 0.01$	0.04
DMI <sup>¥</sup> of concentrate	$6.11^{a} \pm 0.01$	$5.28^{b} \pm 0.01$	$4.46^{c} \pm 0.03$	$4.00^d \pm 0.02$	< 0.001
DMI <sup>¥</sup> of roughage	$1.53^{d} \pm 0.02$	$2.26^{\circ} \pm 0.004$	$2.97^{b} \pm 0.02$	$3.28^{a} \pm 0.02$	< 0.001
Total DM intake kg/day	$7.64^{a} \pm 0.01$	$7.54^{a} \pm 0.01$	$7.44^{b} \pm 0.05$	$7.28^{c} \pm 0.04$	< 0.001
*FC kg DM /kg gain	$8.22 \pm 0.22$	$8.24 \pm 0.23$	$8.44 \pm 0.27$	$8.77 \pm 0.24$	0.38
Feed cost/day E.P.**	$31.85^a \pm 0.03$	$29.09^{b} \pm 0.05$	$26.32^{c} \pm 0.17$	$24.62^{d} \pm 0.14$	< 0.01
Feed cost/kg BWG***	$34.25^a \pm 0.95$	$31.75^{ab} \pm 0.90$	$29.88^{b} \pm 0.96$	$29.66^{b} \pm 0.81$	0.01

Means within row bearing different superscripts differ significantly (p< 0.05).

Diet A with C: R=80:20; diet B with C: R= 75:25; diet C with C:R= 60:40; diet D with C:R= 55:45.

 $<sup>*</sup>FC = Feed\ conversion\ ratio,\ \ \ \ \ DMI = Dry\ matter\ intake,\ \ **E.P.\ = Egyptian\ pound,\ \ ***BWG = Body\ weight\ gain.$ 

### CONCLUSION

The optimum levels of concentrate to roughage ratio in rations of fattening buffalo calves is very important factor affecting feed utilization and the whole ruminant production capacity. This study suggested that the diet consisting of 60: 40 concentrate: roughage ratio could be considered as the optimum diet for growing buffalo calves for better performance, efficient feed utilization, economic feed efficiency and low risk of sub-acute ruminal acidosis.

### REFERENCES

- Abonyi, F. O., N. S. Machebe, M. S. Ezea, J. I. Eze, B. C. Omeke and B. N. Marire (2013). Effects of substituting soya bean meal (SBM) with blood meal (BM) on biochemical profile of pregnant pigs. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 45:957-963.
- Agle M., Hristov A. N. , Zaman S., Schneider C., Ndegwa P. M. and Vaddella V. K. (2010). Effect of dietary concentrate on rumen fermentation, digestibility, and nitrogen losses in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 93:4211–4222.
- AOAC (1999). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis, 16<sup>th</sup> ed.: AOAC, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
- AOAC (2000). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis. 17<sup>th</sup> ed., Arlington, VA. USA.
- Bobe, G., J. W. Young, and D. C. Beitz. (2004). Pathology, etiology, prevention, and treatment of fatty liver in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 87:3105–3124.
- Cannon, S.J., Fahey Jr.G.C., pope, L.L., Bauer, L.L., Wallace, R.L., Miller, B.L., and Drackley J.K. (2010). Inclusion of psyllium in milk replacer for neonatal calves. 2. Effects on volatile fatty acid concentrations, microbial populations, and gastrointestinal tract size. J. Dairy Sci. 93, 4744–4758.
- Cantalapiedra-Hijar G, Yáñez-Ruiz D.R, Martín AI, García and Molina-Alcaide E. (2009). Effects of forage: concentrate ratio and forage type on apparent digestibility, ruminal fermentation and microbial growth in goats. J. Anim. Sci. 87, 622-631.
- Chen, Y., Oba M. and Guan, L. L. (2012). Variation of bacterial communities and expression of Toll-like receptor genes in the rumen of steers differing in susceptibility to subacute ruminal acidosis. Vet. Microbiol. 159:451-459.
- Chen G.J., Song, S.D., Wang, B.X., Zhang, Z.F., Peng, Z.L., Guo, C.H., Zhong, J.C., and Wang, Y. (2015). Effects of Forage: Concentrate Ratio on Growth Performance, Ruminal Fermentation and Blood Metabolites in Housing-feeding Yaks. Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 28 (12): 1736-1741
- Dong L. F., Zhang W. B., Zhang N. F., Tu Y. and Diao Q. Y. (2017). Feeding different dietary protein to energy ratios to Holstein heifers: effects on growth performance, blood metabolites and rumen fermentation parameters. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition, 101: 30–37.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F test. Biomtrics, 11:1-42.
- Gonzáleza L.A., Mantecab X., Calsamigliab S., Schwartzkopf-Gensweinc K.S. and Ferretb A. (2012). Ruminal acidosis in feedlot cattle: Interplay between feed ingredients, rumen function and feeding behavior (a review). Animal Feed Science and Technology 172, 66–79.
- Gozho, G., Plaizier, J., Krause, D., Kennedy, A. and Wittenberg, K. (2005). Subacute ruminal acidosis induces ruminal lipopolysaccharide endotoxin release and triggers an inflammatory response. Journal of Dairy Science 88, 1399–1403.
- Grant, R. J. and Mertens, D. R. (1992). Influence of buffer pH and raw corn starch addition on in vitro fiber digestion kinetics. J. Dairy Sci. 75:2762–2768.
- Haddad, S.G. (2005). Effect of dietary forage: concentrate ratio on growth performance and carcass characteristics of growing Baladi kids. Small Ruminant Research, 57: 43–49.

### Abdel Raheem et al.

- Helal, F. I. S., Abdel-Rahman, K. M., Ahmed, B. M. and Omar, S. S. (2011). Effect of feeding different levels of concentrates on buffalo calves performance, digestibility and carcass traits. American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science 10 (2): 186-192.
- Jia Y. Y., Wang S. Q., Ni, Y. D., Zhang Y. S., Zhuang S. and Shen X. Z. (2014). High concentrate-induced subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA) increases plasma acute phase proteins (APPs) and cortisol in goats. Animal, 8, 9: 1433–1438.
- Khafipour E., Krause D. and Plaizier J. (2009). A grain-based subacute ruminal acidosis challenge causes translocation of lipopolysaccharide and triggers inflammation. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 1060– 1070.
- Kumari, N.N., Reddy, Y.R., Blummel, M., Nagalakshmi, D., Sudhakar, K., Reddy, V.R., Monika, T., Pavani, M., Reddy, M.S., Reddy, B.V.S. and Reddy, C.R. (2012). Effect of roughage to concentrate ratio of sweet sorghum (Sorghum biclor L. Moench) bagasse-based complete diet on nutrient utilization and microbial N supply in lamb. Tropical Animal Health and Production 44 (7), 1717-1724.
- Lewis, D. (1957). Blood-urea concentration in relation to protein utilization in the ruminant. Journal of Agricultural Science 48, 438.
- Ma, T., Deng, K.D., Tua, Y., Zhang, N.F., Jiang, C.G., Li, J. Zhao, Y.G. and Diao, Q.Y. (2014). Effect of dietary forage-to-concentrate ratios on urinary excretion of purine derivatives and microbial nitrogen yields in the rumen of Dorper crossbred sheep. Livestock Science 160, 37–44.
- Malisetty, V., Yerradoddi, R.R., Devanaboina, N., Mallam, M. and Mitta P. (2014). Effect of feeding sorghum straw based complete rations with different roughage to concentrate ratio on dry matter intake, nutrient utilization, and nitrogen balance in Nellore ram lambs. Trop. Anim. Health Prod., 46: 759–764.
- Martin, C., Morgavi, D., Doreau, M. (2010). Methane mitigation in ruminants: from microbe to the farm scale. Animal 4, 351–365.
- Maynard L.A. and Loosli J.K. (1969). Animal Nutrition, 6<sup>th</sup> ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, USA.
- McDonald, T.L., Weber, A. and Smith, J.W. (1991). A monoclonal antibody sandwich immunoassay for serum amyloid (SAA) protein. J. Immunol. Methods 144: 149-155.
- Morand-Fehr P. and Sauvant, D. (1987). Feeding strategies in goats. Pages 1275-1303 in Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Goats, Brasilia, Brazil. (Eds. O. P. Santana, A. G. da Silva, and W. C. Foote). Depto. De Difusao de Tecnol., Brasilia, Brazil.
- Nagaraja, T.G. and Titgemeyer, E.C. (2007). Ruminal acidosis in beef cattle: the Current microbiological and nutritional outlook. Journal of Dairy Science 90 (E. suppl.), E17–E38.
- Norris, D., Macala, J., Makore, J., and Mosimanyana, B. (2002). Feedlot performance of various breed groups of cattle fed low to high levels of roughage. Livestock Research for Rural Development Volume 14 No. 6. Accessed March 25, 2015. http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd14/6/norr146.htm.
- Papi N., Mostafa-Tehrani A., Amanloub H. and Memarianb M. (2011). Effects of dietary forage-to-concentrate ratios on performance and carcass characteristics of growing fat-tailed lambs. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 163: 93–98.
- Plaizier, J.C., Krause, D.O., Gozho, G.N., McBride, B.W. (2009). Subacute ruminal acidosis in dairy cows: the physiological causes, incidence and consequences. Veterinary Journal 176 (1), 21-31.
- Ponnampalam, E.N., Egan, A.R., Sinclair, A.J., and Leury, B. J. (2005). Feed intake, growth, plasma glucose and urea nitrogen concentration, and carcass traits of lambs fed isoenergetic amounts of canola meal, soybean meal and fish meal with orage based diet. Small Rumin. Res., 58, 245-252.
- Rashid, M.M., Khan Huque, S., Hoque, M. A., Sarker, N.R. and Haque Bhuiyan, A.F. (2015). Effect of Concentrate to Roughage Ratio on Cost Effective Growth Performance of Brahman Crossbred Calves. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, A 5: 286-295.
- Saini J.K., Hundal J.S., Wadhwa M. and Bakshi1 M.P.S. (2012). Effect of Roughage to Concentrate Ratio in the Diet on the Rumen Environment and Nutrient Utilization in Goat and Sheep. Indian J. Anim. Nutr., 29, 4: 333-337

# Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds (2017)

- Serment, A., Schmidely, P., Giger-reverdin, S., Chapoutot, P. and D. Sauvant (2011). Effects of the percentage of concentrate on rumen fermentation, nutrient digestibility, plasma metabolites, and milk composition in mid-lactation goats. J. Dairy Sci., 94: 3960–3972
- Slyter, L.L. (1976). Influence of acidosis on rumen function. J. Anim. Sci. 43:910–929.
- SPSS (2008). Statistical package for the social science, Release 16, SPSS INC, Chicago, USA.
- Suárez, B.J., Van Reenen, C.G., van Stockhofe, N., Dijkstra, J. and Gerrits, W.J.J. (2007). Effect of roughage source and roughage to concentrate ratio on animal performance and rumen development in veal calves. J. Dairy Sci., 90: 2390-2403.
- Van Dung, D., Shang, W, and Yao, W. (2014). Effect of Crude Protein Levels in Concentrate and Concentrate Levels in Diet on In vitro Fermentation. Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 27 (6), 797-805.
- Williams, C. H., David, D.J., and Iismaa, O. (1962). The determination of chromic oxide in fecal samples by atomic absorption spectroscopy. J. Agric. Sci. 59, 381-385.
- Zebeli, Q., Dijkstra, J., Tafaj, M., Steingass, H., Ametaj, B.N., Drochner, W. (2008). Modeling the adequacy of dietary fiber in dairy cows based on the responses of ruminal pH and milk fat production to composition of the diet. J. Dairy Sci., 91: 2046–66.

تأثير نسبة العلف المركز الي العلف الخشن في العليقة على الهضم وتخمر الكرش وأداء النمو وبروتينات المرحلة الحادة في الدم في عجول التسمين الجاموسي

شريف محيد عبد الرحيم أن إكرامي حامد حسن و محسن محيد فرغلي أن المسيوط المسيوط

صممت هذه الدراسة لمعرفة تأثير نسبة العلف المركز الي العلف الخشن في العليقة على الهضم وتخمر الكرش وبروتينات المرحلة الحادة في الدم وأداء العجول التسمين الجاموسي. اجريت الدراسة على ستة عشر عجلا من عجول الجاموس بعمر 18-20 شهرا بلغ متوسط اوزانها 292.5 ±4.7 كجم وزعت عشوائيا على أربع مجموعات يحتوي كل منها على أربعة حيوانات. احتوت التجربة على متوسط اوزانها مخاملات بأربع نسب مختلفة للعلف المركز الي العلف الخشن (20:80، 25:75 ، 40:60) 5:25.4 كجم وزعت عشوائيا على العلف الخشن الهضم البروتين والالياف والدهون الخام وكذلك المادة العضوية والمادة الجافة الظهرت النتائج ان زيادة معدل الاستفادة من كل من البروتين المهضوم ومجموع المركبات الغذائية المهضوم. ايضا احدثت زيادة نسبة العلف المركز زيادة في كمية المادة الجافة اليومية المأكولة، وفي متوسط زيادة الوزن اليومي، وفي وزن الجسم النهائي، وكذلك تكلفة العلف لكل كيلوجرام زيادة في وزن الجسم. ادت زيادة مستوي العلف المركز الى زيادة مستوي الجلوكوز، وبروتين المرحلة الحادة في مصل الدم. من خلال النتائج تبين ان مستوي 60 % من العلف المركز حقق أفضل النتائج من حيث أداء النمو واقل تكلفة اقتصادية لكل كيلوجرام زيادة في الوزن عند المقارنة بمستوي (75٪ ، 80٪) من العلف المركز. من نتائج الدراسة الحالية يمكن استنتاج أن العلف الذي يحتوي على في الوزن النهائي وأفضل من الناحية الصحية واقل عرضة لخطر حموضة الكرش تحت الحاد الذي يصيب عجول التسمين واعلي زيادة في الوزن النهائي وأفضل من الناحية الصحية واقل عرضة لخطر حموضة الكرش تحت الحاد الذي يصيب عجول التسمين