

Egyptian Journal of Food Science

http://ejfs.journals.ekb.eg/



The Chemical and Microbiological Properties of Ricotta Cheese Supplemented with Curcumin and Bifidobacteria



Elham A. El-Den

Dairy Sci. and Technol. Dep., Faculty of Agric., Menoufiya Univ., Shebin El-Kom, Egypt.

PRODUCTION of Ricotta cheese has been considered to be one of the economic ways for the utilization of whey. The aim of this study was investigating the possibility of improving the quality attributes of Ricotta cheese by using curcumin, and incorporating Bifidobacteria, with monitoring the changes of cheese quality and the survival of bifidobacteria during storage period. Four treatments were made to determine the effects of curcumin on the chemical properties of Ricotta cheese stored for 14 day refrigerated condition. Addition of 2% curcumin to Ricotta cheese affected significantly (p < 0.05) total protein, titratable acidity, lactose, fat and ash contents. These parameters were increased while cheese yield decreased gradually during storage period. Ricotta supplemented with curcumin was characterized by increasing phenol compounds (PC), antioxidant activity % (AA) and scores of organoleptic properties. Curcumin-treated probiotic Ricotta gained the highest PC, TAA. Curcumin could be considered as a good source of total phenolics. Also, high retention of antioxidant activity in curcumin-treated Ricotta could be related to the stability of the curcumin. Organoleptic properties of Ricotta cheese revealed that all curcumin-treated samples gained higher scores as compared to untreated curcumin treatment (control). Also, it was characterized by high counts of Bifidobacteria during storage period. It could be concluded that functional Ricotta of acceptable composition and acceptable quality can be made by the addition of Bif. infants and curcumin.

Keywords: Ricotta, Curcumin, Bifidobacteria.

Introduction

Ricotta cheese is a high moisture soft cheese (Modler and Emmons, 2001). It can be made using cheese whey or milk, or a mixture of both (Pizzillo et al., 2005). Ricotta cheese is very mild and it is used in many Italian dishes, fresh Ricotta had a mild and nutty flavour. Production of Ricotta cheese has been considered to be one of the economic ways for the utilization of whey. So, it can be ussed to recreate an additional source of income (Shukla et al., 1986).

Curcumin (CUR) is a phenolic compound obtained from the rhizome of plant turmeric (Curcuma longa). CUR has many health promoting benefits such as antioxidant, anticancer, antiinflammatory (Aggarwal et al., 2007; Anand et al., 2007 and Anand et al., 2008) and anticarcinogenic activities (Miller et al., 2008). Hosney et al. (2011) reported that Karish cheese with added curcumin showed better keeping quality and longer shelflife than plane Karish cheese (control).

Bifidobacteria as a probiotic show antagonistic effects towards some pathogenic bacteria, reduce the risk of diarrhea, normalize the bowel movement, enhance immune functions, reduce cholesterol level, reduce the risk of eczema, synthesize several vitamins, protect from cancer and relieve of lactose intolerance symptoms (Burgain et al., 2011; Prasanna et al., 2014 and Martin

6

^{*}Corresponding author: elham.mohamed@agr.menofia.edu.eg Received:1/1/2020; accepted:5/3/2020 DOI: 10.21608/EJFS.2020.21792.1037 ©2020 National Information and Documentation Centre (NIDOC)

et al., 2015). To achieve these health benefits of bifidobacteria, their numbers in any product should be about $10^6 - 10^7$ cfu / gm.

The objectives of this study were to investigate the possibility of improving the quality attributes of Ricotta cheese by using curcumin, study the effect of incorporating Bifidobacteria on Ricotta cheese quality and to monitor the changes of cheese quality and the survival of bifidobacteria during storage period.

Materials and Methods

Curcumin

Curcumin (CUR) from *Curcum longa* (> 65% pure), was purchased from sigma (St. Louis, Mo, USA).

Sweet whey

Sweet whey of Mozzarella cheese processing was obtained from own Lab, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt.

Cultures

Active *Bifidobacterium infantis* ATCC 15697 was obtained from Egyptian Microbial Culture Collection (EMCC) at Microbiological Resources Center, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Ricotta Cheese making

Sweet whey obtained after processing of Mozzarella cheese were divided to four portions. The whey samples were kept in four separate tanks according to El-Sheikh et al. (2010). The first portion was control treatment without addition of neither curcumin nor bifidobacteria, the second portion was curcumin - treated cheese (2%), the third portion was probiotictreated $(1.3 - 1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ cfu/g})$, the fourth portion was curcumin - treated probiotic cheese (1.3 - 1.5×10^8 cfu/g). The pH was adjusted to 4.5,the sweet whey heated at 90°C for 15 - 30 min, the curd was left in the whey for 10 min and then scooped in a plastic frame lined with cheesecloth, then added (bifidobacteria and/ or curcumin) and placed over a drainage table and allows to achieve complete drainage in 24 h. The curd was removed from the molds and cut into small cubes, cheese samples was packed in polyethylene bags, and then warm water containing 10% salt was added and stored in refrigerator at $6 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. Samples from each cheese treatment was taken when fresh and at 3, 7, 10, 14 day for chemical, microbiological and sensory evaluation. The whole experiment was triplicate.

Egypt. J. Food Sci. 48, No.1 (2020)

Chemical analysis

The total solids, titratable acidity, protein, fat and ash contents were determined according to AOAC (2007). Lactose was determined according to Barnett and Abdel-Tawab (1957).

Antioxidant activity was determined by using 2, 3-diphenyl-1-pricrl-hydrazyl (HPPH) assay (Cuendet & Potterat, 1997 and Burits & Bucar, 2000). Phenolic compound was determined in the ethanolic extract of cheese according to Singleton and Rossi (1965).

Cheese yield

Cheese yield was calculated as kg of fresh cheese per 100 kg of sweet whey used.

Microbiological analysis

Count of Bifidobacteria was determined using modified MRS agar containing solution of antibiotics and lithium chloride (Wijsman et al., 1989). Plates were incubated at 37° C for 2 – 3 days under anaerobic conditions.

Organoleptic properties

Ricotta cheese samples were scored for organoleptic properties by a taste panel of 13 staff members (trained) of Dairy Department, Food Technology Institute, Agricultural Research Center according to Mahran et al. (1999). The panelists scored the cheese flavor (out of 40 points); body & texture (out of 50 points) and appearance (10 points).

Statistical analysis:

Data were analyzed using factorial design, and the Student NewMan Keuls test was followed to make the multiple comparisons (Steel and Torrie, 1980) using Costat program. Significant differences were calculated at p < 0.05.

Results and Discussion

The cheese yield and total solids content of Ricotta cheese made with curcumin increase significantly (P \Box 0.05 (Table 1,6). This yield increase might be due to the formation of complexs between curcumin and immunoglobulin (Liu et al., 2008) and \Box -lactoglobulin (Sneharani et al., 2010). Curcumin-treated probiotic Ricotta had the highest yield and total solids. The cheese yield decreased and total solid increased in all treatments with the progression of storage period. This may be due to expel the whey from the curd (Effat et al., 2001 and Kebary et al., 2018).

| ā | | Chee | se yield | (%) | | | Total so | lids cont | tent (%) | | | Ash | content | (%) | | | Fat | content | (%) | |
|--|------|------|----------|------|------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|
| Cheese treatments | | | | | | | | | Stora | age perio | d (days) | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 14 |
| Control | 4.41 | 4.23 | 4.00 | 3.78 | 3.35 | 23.39 | 27.16 | 30.07 | 32.96 | 34.00 | 2.03 | 2.30 | 2.46 | 2.57 | 2.70 | 6.17 | 6.20 | 6.36 | 6.52 | 6.80 |
| C u r c u m i n - treated cheese | 4.55 | 4.38 | 4.10 | 3.90 | 3.64 | 23.65 | 27.20 | 30.12 | 33.11 | 34.27 | 2.09 | 2.50 | 2.68 | 2.78 | 2.92 | 6.22 | 6.38 | 6.68 | 6.98 | 7.07 |
| Probiotic - treated cheese | 4.43 | 4.24 | 4.03 | 3.80 | 3.40 | 23.71 | 27.23 | 30.17 | 33.15 | 34.31 | 2.05 | 2.33 | 2.50 | 2.61 | 2.77 | 6.17 | 6.24 | 6.35 | 6.51 | 7.81 |
| C u r c u m i n - treated probiotic cheese | 4.64 | 4.47 | 4.15 | 4.09 | 4.00 | 23.88 | 27.34 | 30.29 | 33.26 | 34.45 | 2.09 | 2.51 | 2.71 | 2.80 | 2.97 | 6.23 | 6.40 | 6.68 | 6.95 | 7.10 |

Data in Tables 1& 6 show that the ash content of curcumin-treated Ricotta was comparable ($p \Box 0.05$) with control. It seems that binding of curcumin with transition metals like Fe³⁺, Mn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Pb²⁺, Cd²⁺, Ru³⁺ and Re³⁺ render curcumin stable and functionl (Zebib et al., 2010). Also, ash content increased in all treatments with the progression of storage period. There were no significant differences (p > 0.05) in fat content among different treatments. While, fat content increased in all treatments with the progression of storage period. This may be due to expel the whey from the curd (Kebary et al., 2018).

Total protein and lactose content of all treatments increased significantly as storage period proceeded (Table 2, 6). This may be due to expel the whey from the curd. There were significant ($p \Box 0.05$) differences among cheese treatments, which means that supplementation of curcumin increased significantly ($p \Box 0.05$) the total protein of Ricotta cheese. This increase might be due to the formation of complexs between curcumin and immunoglobulin (Liu et al., 2008) and \Box -lactoglobulin (Sneharani et al., 2010).

The titratable acidity of Ricotta from different treatment and control increased significantly (p ≤ 0.05) as storage period progressed (Table 2, 6). Supplementation of Ricotta with curcumin did not affect significantly in titratable acidity as compared to control cheese. After 14 day of storage, the acidity of curcumin-treated probiotic Ricotta was the lowest (1.32 %) with curcumin-untreated Ricotta (Table 2). These results might be due to the high buffering capacity of milk constituents (Salaun et al., 2005).

Tables 3&6 show the changes in the antioxidant activity of curcumin-treated Ricotta from different treatments during storage . The initial levels of antioxidant activity were high in curcumintreated Ricotta as compared in control Ricotta and probiotic-treated Ricotta. After 14 day of storage, Ricotta from all treatment showed a decline in their antioxidant activity. The antioxidant activity recorded the lowest value in control Ricotta and the highest level in curcumin-treated probiotic Ricotta. High retention of antioxidant activity in curcumin-treated Ricotta could be related to the stability of the curcumin (Anand et al., 2008).

Significant differences in the antioxidant activity and total phenolics content among all Ricotta cheese treatments (Tables 3&6). Curcumin-treated probiotic Ricotta contained the

| TABLE 2. Eff | ect of curcumin | and Bif. i | infantis on | total protein, | lactose and | titratable acidit | y contents o | of Ricotta |
|--------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| ch | ese during cold | storage (| 6+2°C/1 | 4 day). | | | | |

| Cheese | , | Fotal pr | otein coi | ntent (% |) | | Lactos | e conte | ent (%) |) | Titra | atable | acidity | conten | t (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|-------|------|--------|---------|---------|------|-------|--------|---------|--------|-------|
| treatments | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 14 |
| Control | 15.74 | 15.97 | 17.13 | 17.60 | 18.00 | 3.56 | 4.13 | 4.35 | 4.57 | 4.86 | 1.09 | 1.30 | 1.31 | 1.36 | 1.40 |
| Curcumin-treated cheese | 16.10 | 16.50 | 17.90 | 18.25 | 18.44 | 3.76 | 4.33 | 4.51 | 4.84 | 4.92 | 1.06 | 1.23 | 1.26 | 1.30 | 1.34 |
| Probiotic-treated cheese | 15.78 | 16.02 | 17.17 | 17.63 | 18.05 | 3.60 | 4.27 | 4.43 | 4.62 | 4.87 | 1.07 | 1.26 | 1.28 | 1.32 | 1.35 |
| Curcumin-treated probiotic cheese | 16.18 | 16.54 | 17.96 | 18.30 | 18.49 | 3.85 | 4.45 | 4.57 | 4.86 | 4.98 | 1.04 | 1.23 | 1.27 | 1.30 | 1.32 |

TABLE 3. Total phenol (mg/g) and antioxidant activity (%) of Ricotta cheese treated with curcumin and Bif.infantis during cold storage (6 + 2°C / 14 day).

| Chasse treatments | | Total | phenol | (mg/g) | | | Antioxid | lant activ | vity (%) | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|------------|----------|-------|
| Cheese treatments | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 14 |
| Control | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 22.02 | 20.91 | 19.30 | 17.85 | 16.20 |
| Curcumin-treated cheese | 20.50 | 17.87 | 16.57 | 15.03 | 13.81 | 47.42 | 43.83 | 40.17 | 37.99 | 35.37 |
| Probiotic-treated Kishk | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 25.71 | 22.54 | 20.58 | 18.98 | 16.77 |
| Curcumin-treated probiotic cheese | 52.46 | 50.90 | 49.00 | 47.73 | 46.82 | 58.24 | 54.03 | 52.66 | 50.60 | 49.52 |

TABLE 4. *Bif. infantis* counts of Ricotta cheese treated with curcumin and *Bif. infantis* during cold storage ($6 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C / 14 day).

| | Viable coun | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Stor | age period (days) |) | | Cheese treatments |
| 14 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 0 | _ |
| ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | Control |
| ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | Curcumin-treated cheese |
| 44×10^{6} | 85×10^{6} | 23×10^7 | 63×10^7 | 79× 106 | Probiotic-treated cheese |
| $70 	imes 10^6$ | $20 	imes 10^7$ | 52×10^7 | $87 	imes 10^7$ | 85× 10 ⁶ | Curcumin-treated probiotic cheese |

* cfu: Colony forming unit.

ND: Not detected.

highest antioxidant activity and total phenolics content. The variation might be attributed to the high antioxidant capacity of curcumin. Also, curcumin could be considered as a good source of total phenolics. These results were in line with those reported that curcumin has exhibited antioxidant activity (Sharma, 1976). The viable counts of *Bif. infantis* of probiotic-treated cheese and curcumin-treated probiotic cheese are presented in Tables 4& 6). The populations of *Bif. infantis* showed a gradual decrease during storage period. After 14 days of storage the counts of *Bif. infantis* of probiotic-treated cheese and curcumin-treated probiotic cheese were reduced to 44×10^6 and

Egypt. J. Food Sci. 48, No.1 (2020)

 70×10^6 , respectively. It is evident that the growth of *Bif. Infantis* retained high count by the presence of curcumin which fall within the recommended count $(10^6 - 10^7)$ claimed for probiotic foods to accomplish their health benefits (IDF, 1992).

Changes in total scores of organoleptic properties of Ricotta cheese treatments during storage period are presented in Tables (5, 6). The results showed that properties qualities were affected significantly by adding curcumin and storage period. Curcumin–treated probiotic cheese gained the highest scores of organoleptic properties. Organoleptic properties of Ricotta cheese revealed that all curcumin-treated samples gained higher scores as compared to untreated curcumin treatment (control).

Conclusions

Production of Ricotta cheese has been considered to be one of the economic ways for the utilization of whey. Results showed that functional Ricotta of acceptable composition and acceptable quality can be made by the addition of *Bif. infants* and curcumin.

| TABLE 5. Sensory evaluation of Ricotta | cheese treated with curcumin a | nd Bif. infantis during co | old storage (6 <u>+</u> 2°C |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| / 14 day). | | | |

| Storage | | | Cheese treatments | |
|---------------|---------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| period (days) | Control | Curcumin-treated cheese | Probiotic-treated Kishk | Curcumin-treated probiotic cheese |
| | | I | Body & Texture (35) | |
| Fresh (0) | 33.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.2 |
| 3 | 32.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 34.0 |
| 6 | 29.5 | 32.7 | 33.0 | 33.5 |
| 10 | 29.0 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 33.0 |
| 14 | 27.5 | 32.5 | 32.0 | 33.0 |
| | | Col | or and Appearance (15) | |
| Fresh (0) | 13.05 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 13.0 |
| 3 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 13.0 |
| 6 | 11.5 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 12.5 |
| 10 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 |
| 14 | 10.0 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 11.5 |
| | | | Flavor (50) | |
| Fresh (0) | 43.5 | 45.3 | 43.5 | 45.8 |
| 3 | 42.0 | 44.5 | 43.0 | 45.0 |
| 6 | 40.0 | 44.3 | 42.6 | 44.5 |
| 10 | 39.0 | 44.0 | 42.0 | 44.0 |
| 14 | 37.8 | 43.5 | 42.0 | 44.0 |
| | | Ove | erall acceptability (100) | |
| Fresh (0) | 90.0 | 92.3 | 91.0 | 93.0 |
| 3 | 86.5 | 91.0 | 89.0 | 92.0 |
| 6 | 81.0 | 89.5 | 88.6 | 90.5 |
| 10 | 79.0 | 88.5 | 87.5 | 89.0 |
| 14 | 75.3 | 87.7 | 86.0 | 88.5 |

Egypt. J. Food Sci. 48, No.1 (2020)

| | | F | ffect of treat | ments | | Eff | ect of sto | rage pe | eriod (o | lays) | |
|------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|--------------|---------|----------|-------|----|
| | | Ми | ıltiple compa | risons• | | | Multiple | compa | risons | • | |
| Ricotta properties | Mean squares | Control | Curcumin treated cheese | Probiotic treated cheese | Curcumin treated probiotic cheese | Mean squares | Fresh (0) | 3 | 6 | 10 | 14 |
| Cheese yield | 0.205* | С | В | С | А | 0.119* | А | В | С | D | Е |
| Total soild (%) | 0.325* | С | В | С | А | 0.166* | D | С | BC | В | А |
| Ash (%) | 1.320* | В | А | В | А | 4.714* | D | С | BC | В | А |
| Fat (%) | 0.963* | В | А | В | А | 0.26* | С | CB | В | AB | А |
| Total protein (%) | 0.308* | В | А | В | А | 0.217* | С | BC | В | А | А |
| Lactose (%) | 0.057* | С | В | BC | А | 0.941* | С | BC | В | AB | А |
| Titratable acidity (%) | 0.068 | С | BC | В | А | 0.281* | D | С | BC | В | А |
| Total phenol (mg/g) | 67.264* | - | С | - | А | 2.814* | А | В | С | D | Е |
| Antioxidatio activity | 21.495* | D | В | С | А | 1.941* | А | В | С | D | Е |
| Sensory evaluation | 0.184* | D | В | С | А | 3.318* | А | В | С | D | Е |
| Bifidobacterial counts | 14.159* | - | В | - | А | 0.033* | D | А | В | С | Е |

| TABLE 6. Statistica | l analysis of the | chemical | composition | and | microbiological | counts | of | Ricotta | cheese | with | or |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--------|-----------------|--------|----|---------|--------|------|----|
| with | out curcumin as | affected b | y and storage | e peri | iod. | | | | | | |

• For each effect the different letters in the same row means the multiple comparisons are different from each other, letter A is the highest mean followed by B, C, ... etc.

* Significant at 0.05 level ($p \le 0.05$).

References

- Aggarwal, B.; Sundaram, C.; Malani, N. and Ickikawa, H. (2007) Curcumin: The Indian solid gold. Molecular targets and therapeutic uses of curcumin in health and disease. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, **595**, 1-75.
- Anand, P.; Kunnumakkara, A.B.; Newman, R.A. and Aggarwal, B.B. (2007) Bioavailability of curcumin: problems and promises. *Molecular Pharmaceutics*, 4(6),807-18.
- Anand, P.; Thomasm, S.G.; Kunnumakkara, A.B.; Sundaram, C. (2008) Biological activities of curcumin and its analogues (Congeners) made by man and Mother Nature. Biochem. Pharmacol.,1,76 (11),1590-611.
- AOAC (2007). Official Methods of Analysis, 17th ed. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists Washington, DC: AOAC.
- Barrent, A.J. and Abd El-Tawab, G. (1957) Rapid method for determination of lactose in milk and cheese. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **7**, 437-441.

Egypt. J. Food Sci. 48, No.1 (2020)

- Burits, M. and Bucar, F. (2000) Antioxidant activity of Nigella sativa essential oil. *Phytother Res.*, 14, 323-228.
- Burgain, J.; Gaiani, C.; Linder, M. and Scher, J. (2011) Encapsulation of probiotic living cells: From laboratory scale to industrial applications. *J. Food Eng.*, **104**, 467-483.
- Cuendet, M.K.H. and Potterat, O. (1997) Iridiod glucosides with free radical scavenging properties from *fagraea blmei* Helvetica. *Chem. Acta.*, **90**, 1144-1152.
- Effat, B.A.; Salem M.M.E. and El-Shafei, K. (2001) Effect of using different starter on quality of kareish cheese. *Egypt. J. Food Sci.*, pp. 29 – 108.
- El-Sheikh, M.; Farrag, A. and Zaghloul, A. (2010) Ricotta cheese form whey protein concentrate. *J. Ame. Sci.*, **6** (8) 321-325.
- Hosny, I.M.; El-Kholy, W.I. ; Murad, H.A. and El-Dairouty, R.K. (2011) Antimicrobial activity of curcumin upon pathogenic microorganisms during manufacture and storage of a novel style cheese "Karishcum". J. Am. Sci., 7, 611-618.

- IDF (1992) General standard of identity for fermented milks. IDF Standard 163. International Dairy Federation, Brussels.
- Kebary, K.M.K.; El-Den, E.A. and Omar, N.S. (2018) Novel functional kareish cheese. *Egypt. J. Dairy Sci.*, 46, 183-192.
- Liu, Y.; Yang, Z.; Du, J.; Yao, X.; Lei, R. and Zheg, X. (2008) Interaction of curcumin with intravenous immunoglobulin: A fluorescence quenching and fourier transformation infrared spectroscopy study immunobiology, 213, 651-661.
- Mahran, G.A.; Hammad, Y.A.; Ahmed, N.S.; Sayed, A.F and Abd El-Aziz, M. (1999). Manufacture of Ricotta cheese from whey fortified with skim milk powder using different acidulant. *Egypt. J. Dairy Sci.*, 27,191.
- Martin, M.J.; Lara-Villoslada, F.; Ruis, M.A. and Morales, M.E. (2015) Microencapsulation of bacteria: A review of different technologies and their impact on the probiotic effects. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 27, 15-25.
- Miller, M.; Chen, S.; Woodliff, J. and Kansra, S. (2008) Curcumin (*diferuloylmethane*) inhibits cell proliferation, induces apoptosis, and decreases hormone level and secretion in pituitary tumor cells. *Endocrinology*, **149**, 4158-67.
- Modler, H.W. and Emmons, D.B. (2001) The use of continuous Ricotta cheese processing to reduce ingredient cost in further processed cheese products. *International Dairy Journal*, **11**, 517-523.
- Pizzillo, M.; Clamp, S.; Clunj, G. F.; Eedele, V. and Rubio, R. (2005) Effect of goat bread on the sensory, chemical and nutritional characteristics of Ricotta cheese. *Lrverstock Production Sci.*, 94, 33.

- Prasanna, P.H.P.; Grandison, A.S. and Charalampopoulos, D. (2014) Bifidobacteria in milk products: An overview of physiological and biochemical properties, exopolysaccharide production, selection criteria of milk products and health benefits. *Food Res. Inter.*, 55, 247-262.
- Salaun, F.M.; Mietton, B. and Gaucheron, F. (2005) Buffering capacity of dairy products. *Int. Dairy J.*, **15**, 95-109.
- Sharma, O.P. (1976) Antioxidant activity of curcumin and related compounds. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 25, 1811-2.
- Shukla, F.C. and Manhlt K.B. (1986) Manufacture and signification of Ricotta cheese. *Indian J. Dairy Sci.*, 39, 343-348.
- Singleton, V.L. and Rossi, J.A. (1965). Coloimetary of total phenolics with hosphomolybdicphosphotungstic acid reagents. *Ame. J. Enol. Vitic.*, **16**, 144-158.
- Sneharani, A.H.; Karakkat, J.V.; Singh, S.A. and Rao, A.G.A. (2010) Interaction of curcumin with β-lactoglobulin; stability, spectroscopic Analysis and Molecular Modeling of the Complex. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **58**,11130–11139.
- Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. (1980) Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach. 2nd ed. Mc Graw-Hill Book Co., New York.
- Zebib, B.; Mouloungui, Z. and Noirot, V. (2010) Stabilization of curcumin by complexation with divalent cations in glycerol/water system. Hindawi Publishing Corporation Bioinorg. *Chem. and Appl.* Article ID292760, p. 8.
- Wijsman, M. R.; Hereijgers, J.L.P. and Groote, J.M.F.H. (1989) Selective enumeration of Bifidobacteria in fermented dairy products. *Neth. Milk Dairy J.*, 43, 395.

الخواص الكيميانية والميكر وبيولوجية لجبن الريكوتا المدعم بالكركمين والبيفيد وبكتريا

إلهام الدن قسم علوم وتكنولوجيا الألبان - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنوفية - شبين الكوم - مصر

أجريت الدراسة لتصنيع جبن ريكوتا وذلك للاستفادة من كميات الشرش الكبيرة التى تعد منتج ثانوي في مصانع الألبان وتم تدعيمها بالكركميين وبكتريا البروبيوتك (نظراً لما له من فوائد صحية عديدة). تم تتبع نشاط بكتريا البروبيوتك, التركيب الكيماوي خلال فترة التخزين (12 يوم على درجة حرارة 1 ± 1°م).

ارتفعت نسبة كلا من التصافي والجوامد الصلبة في المعاملات الحتوية على الكركمين. كما زادت نسبة الجوامد الصلبة وانخفضت نسبة التصافي بتقدم فترة التخزين. ارتفعت الجموضة تدريجياً خلال فترة التخزين بينما لم تظهر اختلافات معنوية بين المعاملات. المعاملات الحُتوية على الكركميين كانت أعلى في مُحتواها من اللاكتوز والبروتين مُقارنةً بباقي المعاملات, كما زادت نسبة كلا من اللاكتوز والبروتين بتقدم فترة التخزين. المعاملات الحُتوية على الكركميين كانت أعلى في مُحتواها من الدهن والرماد مُقارنةً بباقي المعاملات, كما ازدادت نسبة الدهن والرماد بتقدم فترة التخزين. إضافة الكركميين أدت إلى زيادة أعداد البيفيدوبكتريا. المعاملات المعاوية على الكركميين كانت أعلى في مُحتواها من الدهن والرماد مُقارنةً بباقي المعاملات. كما ازدادت نسبة الدهن والرماد بتقدم فترة التخزين. إضافة الكركميين أدت إلى زيادة أعداد البيفيدوبكتريا. المعاملات المحتوية على الكركمين كانت أعلى في محتواها من مضادات الاكسدة عن باقي المعاملات. كما المحتوية المي الكركمين كانت معني منه لي محتواها من مضادات الاكسدة عن باقي المعاملات. كما المحتوية المي الكركمين كانت اعلي بشكل كبير في محتواها من مضادات الاكسدة عن باقي المعاملات. كما احتوت المحاملات الحكتوية على الكركميين مركبات فينولية. حصلت المعاملات الحكوميين على أعلى درجات تقييم حسًى مُقارنةً بباقي المعاملات.