# **Journal of Plant Production**

Journal homepage: <u>www.jpp.mans.edu.eg</u> Available online at: <u>www.jpp.journals.ekb.eg</u>

# Autecology and Economic Importance of Weed Flora of the Nile Delta: Capsella bursa – pastoris L.

Abu Rayia, M. S.<sup>1</sup>; M. E. Abu Ziada<sup>2</sup> and S. R. Al-Halboosi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fac. Agric. Damietta Univ. <sup>2</sup>Fac. Sci. Mans-Univ. Cross Mark

# ABSTRACT



The present study was conducted to investigate the morphology, anatomy, karyotype, palynology, biogeography and seed germination of Capsella bursa - pastoris. Also, the ecological characteristics and metabolic products were examined. C. bursa - pastoris (shepherd's purse) is annual cruciferous herb shows considerably variety of habit form. It is a serious weed responsible for yield loss of many crops. The stem shows the typical dicot characters, with intervascular cambium gives few secondary tissues and has wide parenchymatous pith. The leaf has adaxial epidermal cells of midrib are large and projecting from the blade. The root shows normal secondary thickening and the ruptured epidermis covered by multilayered periderm. The chromosomes are 2n = 16. Pollen grains are spheroidal isopolar, tricolpate with sinuaperturate. The highest rate of seeds germination attained at 30 - 35° C, low salinity (0 - 0.2 M NaCl) and 25 - 30 mm rainfall. Capsella community comprised 25 species belonging to 15 families. Cynodon dactylon, Urtica urens, Rumex dentatus and Lamium amplexicaule were the common associates. The major life - forms were therophytes, hemicryptophytes and geophytes. The assemblage of this community belongs to six chorotypes with predominance of Mediterranean and Irano - Turanian. Capsella flourished in wet, loose, non - saline soil. The nutritive value was 69.29 cal./ 100g. The residues of the different extracts of leaves, stems and roots were 15.64, 11.97 and 8.30 %, respectively. The polyphenols and flavonoids were detected in ethyl alcohol, chloroform and acetone extracts. These extracts exhibited antioxidant and antimicrobial spectrum only against. Bacillus cereus, Staphyloccus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Keywords: Capsella, Ecology, Karyotype, Palynology, Phytochemistry.

# INTRODUCTION

*Capsella bursa – pastoris* is a cosmopolitan species, characterized by strong colonizing ability and success in man – made habitats. It is a common weed in the Nile Delta and Isthmic desert Tackholm (1974), grows best in moist, well – drained, low fertile, slightly alkaline soil in full sun.

Literature reviews indicated that, wide variety of metabolic compounds have been reported from Capsella bursa - pastoris which confirm its traditional application (Alizadeh et al., 2012; Grosso et al., 2011; Jahangir et al., 2009 and Song et al., 2007). Shepherd's purse is native to Eastern Europe (Aksoy et al., 1998) and considered a common weed in winter cereals, vegetable and fruit gardens, tilled crops and fodder grasses (Aksoy et al., 1998). The methanol extract of the aerial parts of Capsella bursapastoris vielded fourteen bioactive compounds. These methyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranosyl compounds were disulfide, 10-methylsulphinyl-decanenitrile (Song et al., 2007), 11-methyl-sulphinyl-undecanenitrile, 1-O-(lauroyl) glycerol (Selenu et al., 2005), phytene-1,2-diol (Park et al., 5,6-epoxy-3-hydroxy-7-megastigmen, 2000), loliolide (Kuroda et al., 1975),  $\beta$ -sitosterol (Cha et al., 2017), 3hydroxy-1-propanone, 1-feruloyl-β-D-glucopyranoside, pinoresinol -4-O-β-D-glucopyranoside, luteolin, quercetin - $3-O-\beta-D-glucopyranoside,$ and luteolin 6-C-βglucopyranoside. Several biological studies were made on C. bursa - pastoris such as Bosbach et al., (1982), Green and Sweet (1972), Hurka and Haase (1982), Legizamon et al.,

(1980), Hurka *et al.*, (1976) and Neuffer and Hurka (1986). The present study is an attempt to throw further light on the biological aspects, autecology, nutritive value, phenols and flavonoids content, the antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of *C. bursa* – *pastoris*.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Ecological characters

### a.Vegetation Analysis

Twenty stands dominated by *Capsella bursa – pastoris* were studied in detail including total coverage, a list of species, families, life – span, life – forms, chorotype, phenological aspects and cover – abundance estimate of each species. Identification and nomenclature of the species were followed Boulos (1999 – 2005). Techniques of floristic analysis based on the methods given by Kent and Cocker (1992) and Muller – Dombois & Ellenberg (1974).

#### **b.** Habitat Conditions

Soil samples were collected from each stand at a depth of 20 Cm, air dried and sieved through a 2 mm sieve to remove gravel and debris. The procedure followed for estimating the physical and chemical variables were according to the methods recommended by Carter and Gregorich (2008), Margesin and Schinner (2005) and Baruah & Barthakur (1997).

Morphological characters were examined using fresh specimens of *C. bursa – pastoris* according to Foster and Gifford, 1974; Heywood, 1987.

Anatomical characters were investigated using cross – sections of stem, leaf and root were made as described by

Peacock and Bradbury (1973), then examined using light microscope and photographed. (Snow, 1963), then smeared in 45% acetic acid.

Pollen grains were prepared for light microscopy according to Erdtman, (1960). Germination experiments were conducted to fined out the effect of salinity, light and dark, temperature and amount of rainfall on the rate of seeds germination as described in Masoud (2004).

#### Phytochemical Investigation

The mean values of primary metabolites were investigated according to Harborne (1973), AOAC (1990) and Sadasivam & Manickam (2008). Air – dried samples of leaves, stems and roots of C. bursa – pastoris extracted with successive organic solvents in a soxhlet apparatus using Pet. Ether, ether, chloroform, acetone, ethyl alcohol and the residues weights determined.

The polyphenols content was determined using the method described by Sadasivam and Manickam (2008). The flavonoids were extracted and subjected to column chromatograph according to Kujala *et al.*, (2000). The antioxidant activity was investigated using free radical scavenging method (DPPH) as described by Liyana – Pathirana and Shahidi, (2005). The antimicrobial activity of ethanol, chloroform and acetone extracts of Capsella aerial parts was examined by filter paper disc assay (Murray *et al.*, 1998) using inculums of 106 bacterial and fungal cells/ml.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Morphology

Capsella is a small genus within the mustard family (Brassicaceae) and represented by a single species in Egypt C. bursa - pastoris (L.) Medik, Plate 1. It is known by its common name shepherd's purse because of its triangular flat fruit which are purse – like (Plate 2). Capsella shows various morphological variation. It has normal non – tuberous, much branched tap root (Plate 3).



Plate 1. Capsella bursa – psstoris plant with the lower pinnate partite foliage in rosette form and ascending branch Carring smaller leaves and white flowers. Capsella seedling in the left side.



Plate 2. Silicula (simple dry dehiscent fruit) of Capsella bursa – pastoris.



Plate 3. Root system of Capsella bursa – pastoris plant.

Stem is herbaceous, erect, rounded or slightly angled, bluish green, solid, 20 - 60 Cm long, and produces few long branches near the base. The two cotyledonary leaves are cordate, emarginate, glabrous and petiolate. The first three pairs of leaves are ovate of rosette form (seedling stage). The 4th leaf pair stage has the first appearance of dissected pinnate partite foliage leaves. After about 8 weeks from germination, the plant blossomed. The plant forms basal rosette of large deeply lobed leaves with scattered hairs. The peduncles carried sessile, sagitate, alternate, less lobed and half stem - embracing leaves. Flowers have 4 free petals, white, pale yellow or lilac and borne in loose elongated racemes. Fruit is simple dry dehiscent silicula  $5 - 10 \ge 4 - 8$ mm, obcordate - triangular, laterally compressed and beaked. Seeds are brown ellipsoid and wingless. The symptoms of the end of the life cycle begins four weeks after flowering and the dead parts attained yellowish colour. C. bursa - pastoris shows extensive variation in flowering time depending on how plants adapted localy, Hui - Run et al. (2012).

### Anatomy

The transverse section of Capsella stem shows that, it is circular in outline with single row of epidermal cells covered by a thin cuticle and 2 – layered collenchyma cells beneath the epidermis. The cortex of 4 – 6 layers of parenchyma cells surrounds the central cylinder and is delimited by easily distinguishable endodermis. The vascular bundles are open collateral and the intravascular cambium provides little secondary tissues, like most dicot herbs. The pith consists of polygonal parenchymatous cells (Plate 4a).

The leaf is bifacial type and has prominent midrib zone gives projection at the adaxial surface (Plate 4b). The cross section of the root reveals that, has normal secondary thickening, characterized by ruptured epidermis and covered by multilayered periderm. A complete cylinder of secondary xylem is formed under the cambium ring. The root is diarch and has very narrow pith (Plate 4c). These results are coincide with Akosy *et al.*, 1999.

### Karyotype

Chromosomes investigation in the root tips revealed no abnormalities and has 2n = 16 (Plate 5). This confirms the earlier reports of Svensson, (1983).

## Palynology

Pollen grains of C. bursa – pastoris are isopolar, trizonocolpate, radiosymmetrical, subprolate with sinuapeturate (Plate 6). This in accordance with Svensson (1983).



Plate 4. Light microscopy of transverse sections in C. bursa-pastoris stem(a), Leaf(b) and root (C).



Plate 5. Chromosomes of C. bursa – pastoris 2n = 16.



Plate 6. Macrophotographs of Pollen grains of C. bursa – pastoris.

### Taxonomy

Taxonomically, according to Angiosperm phylogeny group (APG, 2009 and Al-Shehbaz *et al.* 2006).

Bras	sica	aceae	comprised	eight	tribes	and	C.	bursa	_
-									

pastoris has the following systematic position:										
Kingdom	Plantae – plantes									
Subkingdom	Viridiplantae – green plants									
Infrakingdom	Streptophyta – land plants									
Superdivision	Embryophyta – Embryonic plants									
Division	Tracheophyta – vascular plants									
Subdivision	Spermatophytaseed plants, phanerogames									
Class	Magnoliopsida									
Superorder	Rosanae									
Order	Brassicales									
Family	Brassicaceae-mustards, crucifers									
Tribe	Camelineae									
Genus	Capsella									
Species	Capsella bursa – pastoris (L.) Medik									

#### Biogeography

C. bursa – pastoris is native to eastern Europe and Considered a common weed in cold climates, where it is regarded as an archaeophyte in north America, China and north Africa. Being found in a broad range of conditions and mostly confined to man – made habitats and characterized by strong colonizing ability (Koch and Kiefer, 2006; Williamson, 1997 and Holm *et al.*, 1979). It was included in list of economically important weeds in Bulgaria, Neuffer (1989). (In Egypt, it is common winter – spring annual weed in Nile Delta and Isthamic Desert (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Map of Egypt showing the phytogeographical distribution of Capsella bursa - pastoris (●).

## Autecology

# Vegetation

## a) Analytical characters

One stand dominated by *C. bursa – pastoris* was studied in detail on 13 March 2019 at Mit Ghamr, South – West of El Dakahlyia. It is a waste previousty cultivated land and traversed by a water ditch that creats wet conditions. Table (1) gives the data collected from ten quadrats, 1 x 1 m each. *Capsella bursa – pastoris* is the most abundant species and has importance value of 116.75. The total cover of its growth is 4.23 m<sup>2</sup> (57.52 %). *Sonchus oleraceus, Chenopodium ambrosioides* and *Euphorbia peplus* are the most common associates have IV estimates of 45.59, 26.11 and 15.25, respectively. Other twelve associates are recorded having IV ranged between 2.37 and 14.66. The minimal area of this stand was 5m<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 2).

Table 1. Analytical characters of vegetation within a stand of Capsella bursa - pastoris L. community type in El Dakahlia Governorate.(10 quadrats, 1x1 m<sup>2</sup>),.

	Quadrates										DD	DC	DF											
Species		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	• KD 9/	КС 9/	КГ 9/.	IV
-	Ν	Α	Ν	Α	Ν	Α	Ν	Α	Ν	Α	Ν	Α	Ν	Α	Ν	Α	Ν	Α	Ν	Α	- 70	/0	70	
Capsella bursa –pastoris L	32	1.31	36	1.22	40	1.37	46	1.72	47	1.42	42	1.46	27	1.17	40	1.36	35	1.32	22	0.88	42.57	57.52	16.66	116.75
Sonchus oleraceus L.	-	-	180	1.10	36	0.29	-	-	-	-	68	0.37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32.94	7.65	5.0	45.59
Chenopodium ambrosioidies L.	2	0.21	-	-	1	0.07	2	0.24	8	0.35	3	0.23	2	0.19	2	0.20	2	0.22	3	0.18	2.90	8.21	15.0	26.11
Euphorbia peplus L.	-	-	-	-	25	0.10	5	0.02	-	-	24	0.12	-	-	-	-	10	0.04	-	-	7.42	1.21	6.66	15.25
Melilotus indicus L.	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	0.29	2	0.27	1	0.16	2	0.18	-	-	-	-	5	0.28	1.50	5.13	8.33	14.66
Polypogon monspeliensis L.	4	0.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	0.14	-	-	5	0.15	2	0.07	-	-	3	0.09	2.08	2.47	8.33	12.88
Anagallis arvensis L.	-	-	10	0.39	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	0.49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.48	3.82	3.33	10.63
Poa annua L.	-	-	-	-	2	0.04	3	0.05	-	-	3	0.09	11	0.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.20	1.39	6.66	10.25
Barassica toumefortii Gouan L	-	-	-	-	4	0.05	3	0.07	-	-	-	-	4	0.06	-	-	-	-	2	0.07	1.50	1.08	6.66	9.24
Cynodon dactylon L.	2	0.39	-	-	1	0.17	-	-	1	0.13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.46	3.0	5.0	8.46
Coronopus squamatus L.	2	0.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.07	-	0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.69	5.0	7.69
Conyolvulus arvensis L.	3	0.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	0.29	-	-	-	-	0.92	2.21	3.33	6.46
Rumex dentatus L.	-	-	2	0.21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	0.22	-	-	0.92	1.86	3.33	6.11
Mediago intertexta L.	-	-	3	0.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.58	0.56	3.33	4.47
Solanum nigrum L.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	0.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.34	0.52	1.66	2.52
Beta vulgaris L.	-	-	-	-	1	0.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.11	0.60	1.66	2.37
N - Number of individue	la A	- 0.00	o in	m2 /	۱ – <i>د</i>	moo E	)n -	Doloti	T T	Jongit	D D	C-Da	lativ	TO COT	01	DF _	Dol	lativo	Em	001101	NOT TV	- imm	ntono	o voluo

lative Density, RC=Relative Cover, RF = Relative Frequency, IV = importance value



Fig. 2. Species: area curve of a representative stand of C. bursa – pastoris community type.

b) Synthetic characters

Table (2) gives the floristic composition of twenty representative stands of C. bursa – pastoris community in El Dakahlyia district. The total plant cover ranged between 40 and 80 %. The plant cover is contributed mainly by Capsella, which is the only species recorded in all the stands (P = 100 %) and has the highest cover – abundance estimate. The species population of this community is formed mainly of herbaceous weeds and comprised 25 plant species (18 annuals, two biennials and 5 perennials). Of these species 20 are dicots and 5 are monocots. Cynodon dactylon (P = 90 %), Urtica urens, Rumex dentatus and Lamium amplexicaule (P = 80 %) are the most abundant associates. Convolvulus arvensis, Brassica tournefortii, Poa annua and Euphorbia peplus are common associates having presence estimates of 60 - 70 %. Less common associates include Polypogon monspeliensis, Anagallis arvensis and Solanum nigram (P = 30 - 50 %). Another 11 species having P = 5 - 5025 %.

Table 2. Floristic composition in twenty representative stands of Capsella bursa – pastoris community type in EL-Dakahlia Governorate. Cover- abundance estimate is according to a modified (1-5) Domin scale. Aspect of growth:

Emosion	Families	Life	Life	Floristic										s	stand	s									P 0/
species	rammes	span	form	ı categoris ·	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	/0
Capsellabursa-pastoris L	Brassicaceae	Ann	Th	COSM	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	5r	100
CynodondaetylonL	Poaceae	Per	G	PAN	-	3g	4g	3gr	3g	3g	3g	2g	2g	2g	2g	3g	3g	3g	3g	2g	2g	3g	3g	-	90
UnicaurensL	Unicaceae	Ann	Th	ME+IR-TR+ER-SR	4f	-	-	3f	-	3f	4f	4f	4f	4f	3f	3f	3f	3f	3f	-	3f	3f	3f	3f	80
RumexdentatusL	Polygonaceae	Ann	Th	ME+IR-TR+ER-SR	3g	4g	3g	4g	-	2g	4g	4g	3g	4g	4g	2g	2g	-	3g	-	-	3g	3g	3g	80
Lamium amplexicaule L	Lamiaceae	Ann	Th	ME+IR-TR+ER-SR	3f	4f	3f	3f	-	3f	-	4f	3f	2f	2f	2f	3f	3f	3f	-	2f	-	3f	3f	80
Convolutus arvensis L	Convolulaceae	Per	Н	COSM	3g	4f	4f	3g	3g	4g	-	-	-	2g	2g	1g	-	2g	-	3g	4f	3g	3f	-	70
Brassicatoumefortii Gouan	Brassicaceae	Ann	Th	ME+IR-TR+SA-SI	4r	3r	-	-	4r	4r	-	3f	3r	-	3f	-	-	-	-	4r	3r	3r	3f	4r	60
PoaannuaL	Poaceae	Ann	Th	COSM	2f	2f	-	-	-	-	2f	-	-	3f	3f	3f	2f	2f	3f	-	-	2f	2f	1f	60
EuphorbiapeplusL	Euphorbiaceae	Ann	Th	ME+IR-TR+ER-SR	-	1g	1g	1g	-	-	-	-	2g	1g	2g	2g	3g	3g	1g	-	2g	-	2g		60
PolypogonmonspeliensisL	Poaceae	Ann	Th	COSM	1g	2g	-	-	-	-	1g	-	-	1g	1g	1g	1g	2g	-	-	-	2g	-	2g	50
ChengradiumanbrosiaidesL	Chempediaceae	Bi	Th	COSM	4f	3f	1g	-	1f	1f	-	-	1f	-	-	-	-	-	-	1f	-	2f	2f	2f	45
AnagallisarvensisL	Primulaceae	Ann	Th	COSM	3f	-	-	-	-	-	1f	-	-	-	1f	1f	-	-	3f	-	-	2f	-	1f	35
SonchusoleraceusL	Asteraceae	Ann	Th	COSM	-	2f	-	-	-	-	2g	-	1f	1f	1f	-	-	1f	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
SolanumnignumL	Solanaceae	Ann	Th	COSM	-	2g	-	-	-	-	-	1f	1f	1f	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1f	1f	-	30
BetavulgarisL	Chempediaceae	Bi	Th	ME+IR-TR+ER-SR	-	-	-	1f	2f	1g	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1f	-	1f	1f	25
MelilotusindicusL	Fabaceae	Ann	Th	ME+IR-TR+SA-SI	-	-	-	-	-	-	1f	-	1f	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1f	-	1f	-	25
SisymbriumirioL	Brassicaceae	Ann	Th	ME+IR-TR+ER-SR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1g	1g	3g	2g	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
CichoriumpumilumL	Asteraceae	Ann	Th	ME+IR-TR	-	-	1g	1g	1g	1g	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
Oxaliscomia.lataL	Oxalidaceae	Per	Н	COSM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1f	-	1f	1f	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
ViciamonanthaL	Fabaceae	Ann	Th	ME+ER-SR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1f	-	-	1g	-	1f	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
SenecioaegyptiusL	Asteraceae	Ann	Th	ME+IR-TR+ER-SR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1f	-	-	-	1f	-	-	-	-	1f	-	15
ImperatacylindricaL	Poaceae	Per	Н	ME+PAL	-	-	-	-	1f	-	-	1g	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
CorenopussquarmatusL	Brassicaceae	Ann	Th	ER-SR+IR-TR+ME	1g	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1g	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
CypenisrotindusL	Cyperaceae	Per	G	PAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1g	-	-	-	-	5
Ammi majusL	Apiaceae	Ann	Th	ME+IR-TR+ER-SR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1g	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		5

g =in follage, F = flowering, r = fruiting, P = presence (%). Life span: Ann. = annual, Bi= biennial, per = perennial. Life forms: Th= therophytes, H = hemicryptophtes, G = geophytes, P = parasites. Floristic categories: COSM = cosmopolitan, PAL = palaeotropical, PAN =pantropical, ME= Mediterranean, ER-SR =Euro-Siberian, SA-SI= Saharo- sindian , IR-TR = Irano- Turanian and S-Z = Sudano- Zambezian .

The assemblage of this community belonging to 15 families, Brassicaceae and Poaceaea represented by four species each, followed by Asteraceae (3 species). Each of Chenopodiaceae and Fabaceae comprised 2 species. Another nine families were represented by single species. The floristic components belong to three life – forms: therophytes (81 %), geophytes (11 %) and hemicryptophytes (8 %) and related to six chorotypes with the majority of Mediterranean and Irano – Turanian (14 and 12 species, respectively).

### Habitat conditions

It is clear in Table (2) that, the soil supporting *C*. bursa – pastoris mostly alluvial soil built by the Nile. The fine and very fine sand particles constituted the highest fraction (63.45 %). The silt fraction was relatively high (mean = 25.24 %) and clay value (1.02 - 2.20 %). Capsella grows best in well-drained, moist soil, with low fertility, slightly alkaline with pH 7.1 – 8.8. Although it grows best in full sun, Also can grows in moderate shade.

### Seed Germination

The highest rate of seed germinati'on (94 % and 92 %) were achieved with distilled water (the control) and 0.02 M NaCl. The percentage of germination decline with increase of salinity level. The results give indication that Capsella is sensitive to salinity (Fig. 3). The seeds of Capsella had the capacity to germinate between  $25 - 40^{\circ}$  C. The optimum temperature for germination was  $35^{\circ}$  C, at which the percentage was 49% (Fig. 4). The maximum percentage of germination being 90 % in continuous light but comparable percentages were recorded in continuous darkness and alternating light and dark become 65 and 70 %, respectively (Fig. 5). It is notable that, germination started at 10 mm rainfall (34%). Germination rate varied from 48 to 56 % as the amount of rainfall increased from 15 to 30 mm (Fig. 6).



Figure 3. Seed germination of *C. bursa-pastoris* under different levels of salinity (5/4/2019-24/4/2019).



Figure 4. Seed germination of C. bursa-pastoris under different levels of temperature (2/5/2019-16/5/2019).



Figure 5. Seed germination of C. bursa-pastoris under different levels of light (16/4/2019-4/5/2019).



Figure 6. Seed germination of C. bursa-pastoris under different levels of rainfall (21/5/2019-9/6/2019).

The obtained results are coincide with those reported by Hurka and Haase (1982) and Neuffer and Bartelheim (1989) found that, germination behavior showed no relationship to the place of origin. *Capsella* has germination strategy includes broad temperature tolerance. and remain viable in soil for 10 or more year. The seeds coat maintain their germinability up to 20 % passing through the digestive system of animals.

# Phytochemical Analysis

# **Primary metabolites**

The proximate metabolic constituents of *C. bursa – pastoris* in Table (4). The moisture content, total ash, water soluble ash, fiber, crude protein, total lipid, total carbohydrate and total soluble sugars were 7.98, 5.67, 5.27, 12.55, 12.84, 1.67, 0.73 and 0.17 %, respectively. In turn the nutritive value of leaves was 99.05 Cal./100g.

### Secondary metabolites

The data in Table (5) revealed that the phenolic content of the stem was higher in ethyl alcohol, chloroform and acetone extracts (396.887, 80.221 and 69.244 mg/100g, respectively) than in the same extracts of the leaves (17.547, 24.095 and 36.230 mg /100g, respectively). The water extract leaves records higher phenols content (544.592 mg/100g) than the stem (361.9 mg/100g). Flavonoids content exhibit the same trend as phenols. The stem extracts contained 56.05, 14.87, 10.64 and 18.79 mg/100g, while leaves extracts records 3.13, 6.01, 8.94 and 46.13 mg/100g.

### Antioxidant activity

The extracts of *C. bursa* – *pastoris* showed variable degrees of antioxidant activity. The ethyl alcohol, chloroform and acetone extracts of the leaves (IC  $_{50\%} = 0.53$ , 2.72 and 4.87) were higher than in stems (IC = 0.02, 0.45 and 2.66, respectively). The water extract of stems has (IC  $_{50\%} = 2.85$ ) higher than leaves extract (IC  $_{50\%} = 0.09$ ), Table (5).

### **Antimicrobial Activity**

Table (6) revealed that, ethanol extracts of both stems and leaves showed inhibition effect against *Bacillus cereus*. Chloroform extract of the leaves inhibited *Klebsiella pneumoniae* while acetone extract exhibits activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bacillus cereus*.

*Capsella bursa – pastoris* exerted antimicrobial, anti–inflammatory, antioxidant, cardinovascular, anticancer, hepatoprotective and sedative activity (Al-Snafi, 2015). It is one of the plants commonly used in the traditional medicine for many purposes: (GimenezMartinez and Torijia – Isasa, 1999). The aerial parts contained a wide range of chemicals including flavonoids, polypepties, choline, histamine, tryamine, sterols, suforaphane and vitamins. The antimicrobial peptides (shepherin, phytoalexin and athionin isolates from the root of shepherd's purse.

In conclusion, *C. bursa-pastoris* that growing naturally at different habitats in the Nile Delta possesses a good nutritional value as food or fodder. In addition it has good phytochemical constituents with medicinal importance.

 Table 3. Analysis of soil samples collected, from ten representative stands of Capsella bursa-pastoris community type at El Dakahlia Governorate.

					Physic	al cha	acteris	tic					C	hemi	cal chr	ematis	tic			
Somplog			Mech	nanical	analys	is							Analysis of 1 : 5 Water extract							
No			Partic	les size	mm (	%)		M.C.	Por.	W.H.	Org.C	CaCO3	тсс	CT	SOL	COX	UC02			
140.	>	2.057-	1.003-	0.500-	0.211-	0.104-	<	%	%	С%	%	%	1.5.5	0/2	3 <b>0</b> 4	0/2	-0/	PH		
	2.057	1.003	0.500	0.211	0.104	0.053	0.053						70	70	70	70	70			
1.	0.65	1.34	6.35	25.10	36.15	27.18	1.33	9.84	42.23	49.42	0.08	3.50	0.40	0.01	0.24	0.0	0.122	8.3		
2.	0.85	2.04	5.23	26.54	37.59	24.11	2.15	8.06	41.38	45.89	0.05	4.20	0.20	0.04	0.12	0.0	0.152	8.2		
3.	0.68	1.86	5.27	24.90	38.08	25.34	2.20	2.39	45.50	47.66	0.07	4.65	0.30	0.03	0.16	0.0	0.272	7.1		
4.	0.43	1.47	5.60	23.71	39.65	26.60	1.27	5.55	47.06	43.25	1.02	5.60	0.30	0.03	0.20	0.0	0.122	7.3		
5.	0.95	1.22	6.55	25.18	39.14	24.33	1.60	6.56	44.83	43.27	0.04	4.55	0.30	0.02	0.23	0.0	0.152	7.1		
6.	0.98	2.06	5.78	24.34	37.58	26.76	1.02	7.43	48.20	46.11	0.08	3.50	0.10	0.01	0.16	0.0	0.091	7.6		
7.	0.55	1.20	6.31	23.62	38.22	26.29	2.12	5.74	46.28	48.18	0.09	7.21	0.30	0.01	0.20	0.0	0.183	8.2		
8.	0.80	1.27	5.55	26.12	39.45	24.11	1.88	4.25	42.95	44.35	1.03	3.12	0.40	0.03	0.28	0.0	0.244	8.4		
9.	0.91	1.89	6.25	27.17	39.35	22.14	1.18	2.82	43.80	49.12	0.99	4.75	0.30	0.01	0.12	0.0	0.213	8.5		
10.	0.35	1.97	6.15	26.13	36.53	25.62	1.28	6.96	45.76	47.65	0.06	4.80	0.20	0.04	0.16	0.0	0.061	8.8		
Mean	0.71	1.63	5.60	25.28	38.17	25.24	1.60	5.96	44.79	46.19	0.35	4.58	0.28	0.02	0.18	0.0	0.161	7.8		
S.E.	0.70	0.115	0.151	0.378	0.389	0.496	0.142	0.736	0.691	0.910	0.145	0.375	0.29	0.04	0.17	0.0	0.021	0.196		
M.C. = mc	oisture	Conter	nt, Por	. = poro	sity, W.	H.C. =v	vater –h	olding	capacity	, Org. (	C. =orga	nic carbo	n and T	.S.S. :	= total s	oluble	salt.			

### Table 4. The proximate primary metabolites of Capsella bursa – pastoris.

		Primary metabolites %												
	Moisture	Total ash	Water soluble Ash	Fiber	Protein	Lipids	Carbohydrate	Energy (Cal./100g)						
Leaves	8.60	6.50	5.79	13.33	17.25	3.00	0.76	99.05						
Stems	7.85	4.50	4.22	12.66	13.69	1.00	0.59	66.15						
Root	7.50	6.00	5.80	11.65	7.58	1.00	0.84	42.69						

### Table 5. The estimated secondary metabolites of Capsella bursa-pastoris.

	Plant parts												
Extracts		Stems		Leaves									
	Phenols	Flavonoids	Antioxidant (IC <sub>50</sub> )	Phenols	Flavonoids	Antioxidant (IC <sub>50</sub> %)							
Ethanol	396.88	56.05	0.022	17.54	3.13	0.529							
Chloroform	80.22	14.87	0.451	24.09	6.01	2.724							
Acetone	69.24	10.64	2.661	36.23	8.93	4.870							
Water	361.90	18.79	2.850	544.59	46.13	0.089							

#### Table 6. The inhibitory activity of different extracts of the plant parts against pathogens (inhibition zones in mm).

		Extracts											
Pathogens	Eth	anol	Chlo	oroform	Ac	etone							
	Stem	Leaf	Stem	Leaf	Stem	Leaf							
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	7 mm	-ve							
Bacillus cereus	7 mm	7 mm	-ve	-ve	7 mm	7 mm							
Escherichia coli	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve							
Staphylococcus aureus	-ve	7mm	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve							
Salmonella typhimurium	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve							
klebsiella pneumoniae	-ve	-ve	-ve	6.5mm	-ve	-ve							
Listeria monocytogenes	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve							
Staphylococcus epidermidis	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve							
Enterobacter cloacae	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve							
Candida albicans	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve							

### REFERENCES

- Aksoy, A.; Dixon, J. M.; and Hale, W. H. (1998). Capsella bursa-pastoris (L.) Medikus (Thlaspi bursapastoris L., Bursa bursa-pastoris (L.) Shull, Bursa pastoris (L.) Weber). J. Ecol, 86(1): 171-186.
- Aksoy, A.; Hale, W. H.; and Dixon, J. M. (1999). Towards a simplified taxonomy of *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medik. (Brassicaceae). *Watsonia*, 22(3): 243-250.
- Alizadeh, H.; Jafari, B.; and Babai, T. (2012). The study of antibacterial effect of *Capsella bursa-pastoris* on some of gram positive and gram negative bacteria. *J. Basic and Applied Scie. Res.* 2(7): 6940-6945.
- Al-Shehbaz, I. A.; Beilstein, M. A. and Kellogg, E. A. (2006). Systematics and phylogeny of the Brassicaceae (Cruciferae): *Plant Syst. and Evol.* 259 (2-4): 89-120.
- Al-Snafi, A. E. (2015). Bioactive components and pharmacological effects of Canna indica-An Overview. *Inter. J. Pharm. and toxicology*, 5(2): 71-75.
- AOAC. (1990). Official Methods of Analysis, 15<sup>Th</sup> Edition. Association of "Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, Virginia Modified.
- Baruah, T. C.; and Barthakur, H. P. (1997). A Textbook of Soil Analysis Vikas Publishing House. *New Delhi*. 334.
- Bosbach, K.; Hurka, H. and Haase, R. (1982). The soil seed bank of *Capsella bursa – pastoris*. Flora, German Republic, 172(1): 47 – 56.
- Boulos, L. (1999-2005). Flora of Egypt. Vols 1, 2, 3 and 4. Al Hadara Publishing, Cairo, Egypt.
- Carter, M. R.; and Gregorich, E. G. (2008). Soil sampling and methods of analysis. 2<sup>nd</sup>. 939-962.
- Cha, J.; Suh, W.; Lee, T.; Subedi, L.; Kim, S.; and Lee, K. (2017). Phenolic glycosides from *Capsella bursapastoris* (L.) Medik and their anti-inflammatory activity. *Molecules*, 22(6): 1023.
- Erdtman, G. (1960). The acetolysis method-a revised description. *Sven Bot Tidskr*, *54*, 516-564.
- Foster, A. S. and Gifford, J. E. M. (1974). Comparative morphology of vascular plants. W. H. Freeman Comp. San Francisco.
- Gimenez Martinez, J. and Torijia-Isasa, M. E. (1999). Nutritional composition of wild edible crucifer species, J. food biochem.23:283-294.
- Green, C. A. and Sweet, R. D. (1972). Shepherd's purse germination, flowering and response to herbicides. Proc. W. Sci. Soc. New York, 26: 93-98.
- Grosso, C; Vinholes, J; Silva, L. R; de Pinho, B. G; Gonçalves, R. F; Valentão, P; Jäger, A. K and Andrade, P. B. (2011). Chemical composition and biological screening of *Capsella bursa-pastoris*. *Brazilian J. of Pharm.* 21(4): 635-644.
- Heywood, V. (1987). Flowering plants of the world. Oxford Univ. Press. 185 – 187.
- Holm, L.; Pancho, J. V.; Herberger, J. P. and Plucknett, D. L. (1979). A geographical atlas of world weeds. John Wiley and Sons.

- Horborne, J. B. (1973). Phytochemical Methods, London. Chapman and Hall, Ltd. 49 – 188.
- Hui Run, H.; Peng-Cheng, Y.; Martin, L. and Xue-Jun, G. (2012). Flowering time and transcriptome variation in *Capsella bursa – pastoris* (Brassicaceae). New Phytologist, 194: 676-689.
- Hurka, h. and Haase, R. (1982). Seed ecology of *Capsella bursa-pastoris*. Dispersal mechanism and the soil bank. Flora, 172:35-46.
- Hurka, H; Poger, K.; Theo, R. and Klaus, W. (1976). The flowering behavior of *Capsella bursa-pastoris*. Plant Sys. Evol. 125(2): 87-95.
- Jahangir, M.; Kim, H. K.; Choi, Y. H.; and Verpoorte, R. (2009). Health- affecting compounds in Brassicaceae. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 8(2): 31-43.
- Kent, M. and Coker, P. (1992). Vegetation description and analysis: A practical approach; Belhaven Press. London, 363p.
- Koch, M. A., and Kiefer, C. (2006). Molecules and migration: biogeographical studies in cruciferous plants. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, 259(2-4): 121-142.
- Kuroda, K.; and Akao, M. (1975). Effect of Capsella bursa-pastoris on liver catalase activity in rats fed 3'-methyl-4-(dimethylamino) azobenzene. GANN Japanese J. Cancer Res. 66(4): 461-462.
- Liyana-Pathirana, C.; and Shahidi, F. (2005). Optimization of extraction of phenolic compounds from wheat using response surface methodology. *Food chemistry*, 93(1): 47-56.
- Margesin, R.; and Schinner, F. (2005). *Manual for soil* analysis-monitoring and assessing soil bioremediation (Vol. 5). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Masoud, G. F. (2004). Ecological studies of family Amaranthaceae in Egypt. M. Sci. Thesis, Fac. Sci.Mans. Univ., Egypt. 174pp.
- Mueller-Dombois, D. and Ellenberg, H. (1974). Aims and Methods of Vegetation Ecology. 1<sup>st</sup> Ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 570.
- Murray, R.; Rosenthal, S.; Kobayashi S. and Pfaller, A. (1998). Medical Microbiology. 3<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Louis: Mosby, P.161.
- Neuffer, B. (1989). Leaf morphology in *Capsella* (Cruciferae): dependency on environments and biological parameters. *Beitr. Biol. Pflanz*, 64: 39-54.
- Neuffer, B. and Hurka, H. (1986). Germination behavior in populations of *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (Cruciferae) pl. Syst. Evol. 161: 35-47.
- Neuffer, B.; and Bartelheim, S. (1989). Gen-ecology of *Capsella bursa-pastoris* from an altitudinal transsect in the Alps. *Oecologia*, *81*(4): 521-527.
- Park, C. J.; Park, C. B.; Hong, S. S.; Lee, H. S.; Lee, S. Y.; and Kim, S. C. (2000). Characterization and cDNA cloning of two glycine-and histidine-rich antimicrobial peptides from the roots of shepherd's purse, *Capsella bursa-pastoris*. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 44(2): 187-197.

### Abu Rayia, M. S. et al.

- Peacock, P. and Bradbury, S. (1973). Peacock's Elementary Microtechnique. 4 th Ed. Edwar Amold.
- Sadasivam, S. and Manickam, A. (2008). Biochemical methods. New Age international Prt. Limited. New Delhi, pp. 270.
- Selenu, M.; Carrus, F.; and Bonsignore, L. (2005). Phytochemical study on capsella bursa pastoris 1. Boll. Chim. Farm, 144: 66-78.
- Snow, R. (1963). Alcoholic hydrochloric acid-carmine as a stain for chromosomes in squash preparations. *Stain technology*, 38(1): 9-13.
- Song, N.; Xu, W.; Guan, H.; Liu, X.; Wang, Y.; and Nie, X. (2007). Several flavonoids from *Capsella bursapastoris* (L.) Medic. Asian J. Tradit. Med. 2, (6): 218-222.

- Svensson, S. (1983). Chromosome numbers and morphology in the *Capsella bursa-pastoris* complex (Brassicaceae) in Greece. Willdenowia 13: 267-276.
- Täckholm, V. (1974). Student' Flora of Egypt, 2nd ed. Cairo Univ. Cooper. Prin. Comp, 887pp.
- Williams, C. E. (1997). Potential valuable ecological functions of nonindigenous plants. In Assessment and management of plant invasions. Springer, New York, NY, 26-34.

البيئة الذاتية والأهمية الأقتصادية للفلورا العشبية بدلتا النيل – نبات كيس الراعي محمد سعد احمد ابوريه<sup>1</sup> ، محمد السيد أبوزياده<sup>2</sup> و شهد رجب الحلبوسي<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>كلية الزراعة – جامعة دمياط <sup>2</sup>كلية العلوم – جامعة المنصورة

شملت الدراسة التعرف على السمات الظاهرية والتشريحية والبنية الكروموسومية وحبوب اللقاح والخصائص البيئية (الصفات الكمية والوصفية لعشيرة نبات كيس الراعي) والظروف البيئية كذلك قياس نسبة التواجد (المدى الاجتماعي) والوفرة والصور الحياتية والمجموعات الفلورية.خصص جزء لتقدير الثوابت الأيضية والقيمة الغذائية والفينولات والفلافونيدات والكشف عن فاعلية المستخلص الكحولي والأسيتوني والكلوروفورم كمضادات أكمدة وميكروبية. خلصت الدراسة ان نبات كيس الراعي واسع الانتشار بدلتا النيل وله صورتان للحياة في الأولى يكون مفترشا وفي الثانية يكون قائما. يتميز الساق والجذر تشريحيا بالنمو الثراسة ان نبات كيس الراعي واسع الانتشار بدلتا النيل وله صورتان للحياة في الأولى يكون مفترشا وفي الثانية يكون قائما. يتميز الساق والجذر تشريحيا بالنمو الثانوي العادي والنسيج المتوسط بالورقة مميز جزء عمادي وأخر أسفنجي، ضم التركيب النوعي لعشيرة كيس الراعي خمس وعشرون نوعا نباتيا منها (18 نبات حولي، نباتان ثنائي الحول، خمسة نباتات معمرة) وكان نبات الحريق والحميض وفم السمكة أوفر النباتات المرافقة. وأيضاً النباتات قصيرة الاجل والأرضيات والسطحيات أكثر صور الحياة انتشار. وينتمي معظم النباتات للإلي والموسود وأيل النباتات المرافقة. وأيضاً النباتات قصيرة الأولى والأرضيات والسطحيات أكثر صور الحياة إنتشى معظم النباتات لإقليمي البحر المتوسط وإيران – الأناصول. أسفر الميما النباتات قصيرة الغائبة والأرضيات والسطحيات أكثر صور الحياة إنتشارا. وينتمي معظم النباتات لإقليمي البحر المتوسط وإيران – الأناصول. أسفر المسح الكيميائي أن القيمة الغذائية للأور الأور في 20,000 سعر حراري/ 100 جم. وأن مستخلصات الايثانول والكاوروفورم والأستون للسيقان أعلى تركيز للفينولات والفلافونيدات منها بالأوراق. كما أظهرت كياتها مع مرارات للكيمية والمولية والنباتات لإقليمي البحر المتوسولية الموسول المولي والفانون ألمي المنول الميرة والمولي والفرسين الأوراق 20,000 المسح الكيميائي أن القيمة الغذائية الطهرت كوات 20,000 سعر حراري/ 100 جم. وأن مستخلصات الايثانول والكاوروفورم والأستون السيقان أعلى تركيز الفينولات والفلافونيدات منها بالأوراق. كمان للورات المول المولي الموليقان أطرون كمان والمولين والفور للمارير