Isolation and Identification of *Arcobacter* species Recovered from Rabbits in Zagazig, Egypt

Iman I. A. Suelam

Diagnostic Laboratory, Veterinary Hospital, Zagazig University, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Although *Arcobacter* species is emerging foodborne pathogen, the role of rabbit as a source of Arcobacter infections is unknown. The present study was designed to study the isolation rate of *Arcobacter* species in rabbit and its drinking water. The molecular fingerprinting of 10 *Arcobacter*species isolates was carried out by ERIC-PCR technique. From 50 samples including 20 rabbit meat, 20 rabbit stool and 10 drinking waters, 36 (72%) *Arcobacter* species strains were isolated. Recovered isolates from the examined meat, stool and water samples were 15, 20 and 0 with the percentages of 75, 100 and 0, respectively. Concerning, *A. butzleri*, it was isolated from 2(10%) of meat samples and 3(15%) of stool samples. *A. skirrowii*was isolated from meat and stool with the frequency of 6(30%) and 8(40%), respectively. *A. cryaerophilus* was isolated from 7(35%) and 9(45%), respectively.

ERIC-PCR grouped the total examined 10 isolates of *Arcobacter* species based on the presence or absence of the major amplified bands (A-M) ranged from >1400 bp to 200 bp. The conservative common bands in all isolates were 300 and 400 bp bands. It was found that there are both intra-species and inter-species molecular diversity among the examined *Arcobacter* species clones. The circulating *Arcobacter* species clones in the tested rabbit farm have multiple genotypes (9/10). This may be attributed to the variant sources of infections.

Key words: Arcobacter, isolation, rabbit, ERIC-PCR, water, Aerotolerant.

INTRODUCTION considered Arcobacters are emerging potential food and waterborne pathogens.Arcobacter species are members of family Campylobacteriaceae, and cause a variety of diseases in human and animal. They have the ability to grow aerobically at 30°C which is a distinctive feature that differentiates Arcobacter species from

*Campylobacter*species (Gonzales The genus and Ferrus. *2011*). Arcobacter relatively is new. proposed by Vandamme and De Lev (1991), and encompasses a group of organisms known initially as aerotolerant campylobacters (Amare et al, 2011). The genus Arcobacter currently contains 10 species, of which seven may be considered emerging human food-

borne pathogens. A. butzleri, A. skirrowii, A. cryaerophilus, Α. cibarius, A. mytili, A. thereius, and *trophiarum* have all A. been isolated from foodstuffs, including meat, shellfish and water, or from the feces of livestock (Collado et al. 2009-a). A. butzleri, A. skirrowii and A.cryaerophilus have been isolated from human (Houf and Stephan, 2007), poultry products (Houf et al. 2005) and feces of healthy farm animals (Van Driessche et al. 2003). Furthermore, the majority of isolated Arcobacters belong to one of the three species A. butzleri, A.cryaerophilus orA. Skirrowii (Miller et al, 2009). Raw meat is considered source as а of Arcobacter infection in human (Gonzales and Ferrus, *2011*). Rabbit meat production is developing. In Egypt, rabbit meat represents 2.9% of the total meat consumption (Yamani, *1990*). Arcobacter species was previously studied in different sources other than rabbits in Egypt by *Mohamed* et al (2004) and Soliman (2006). Arcobacter species were isolated from rabbit meat in a prevalence of 10% in Spain (Collado et al, 2009b). Arcobacter species was recorded in the most fecally contaminated groundwater wells that provided potable water to the public (Fong et al, 2007). Different methods have been applied for distinguishing one strain of Arcobacter from another. for studying transmission routes or for tracing sources of outbreaks, including several PCR methods, one

enterobacterial of them the repetitive intergenic consensus (ERIC-PCR) (Houf et al, 2002). The most used typing technique has been the ERIC-PCR, which has been successfully applied for investigating outbreaks (Vandamme et al, 1993). The diversity of Arcobacter genetic species was previously studied using ERIC-PCR profiling (Collado et al, 2010).

The objective of this research was study the prevalence of to Arcobacter species in rabbit meat, feces and their drinking water. Moreover. genotypic most characterization of the isolated Arcobacter common species was carried out.

MATERIAL AND METHODS Sample collection

A total of 50 different samples were collected from apparently healthy rabbit farm of Faculty of Vet Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig in January 2012 including rabbit meat (n=20),feces (n=20) and drinking water (n=10). The samples were immediately transported to the laboratory in a cool box and processed within 2-4 h of sampling.

Isolation media

Arcobacter enrichment broth (AEB) was prepared using Arcobacter enrichment basal media (Oxoid, CM965, Hmpshire, UK) with cefoperazone-amphotericin-

teicoplanin (CAT) selective supplement (Oxoid, SR174E) as described previously (*Atabay et al*, 2002). Blood agar was prepared by adding 5% (v/v) defibrinated sheep blood in blood agar base.

Isolation procedures Samples preparation *Rabbit carcass samples*

Each carcass sample was rinsed with sterile distilled water by thorough shaking for approximately 1 min. One ml portion of well mixed samples was inoculated into 9 ml portion of AEB supplemented with CAT.

Fecal samples

Each sample (1 g) was homogenized in a sterile saline. One ml of each suspension was then inoculated into 9 ml of AEB containing CAT supplement.

Drinking water samples

Tap water samples (1 ml from each sample) were added to 9 ml of AEB containing the CAT supplement.

Method of isolation (Atabay et al, 2003)

Isolation of Arcobacter species by microfilter techniques using sterile, packaged filter-AC individually (Sartorius Goettingen, 82122-001-51) by picking up the membrane filter together with the yellow protective disc using sterile forceps, fixed onto the blood agar plates, then the protective disc was removed. 120 µl portions were from each previously taken enriched homogenate dropped onto this microfilter membrane (pore size 0.45μ) and were incubated aerobically at 30° C for one hour and was allowed to filter passively. The filter was removed and the plates were incubated aerobically at 30° C until visible colonies were obtained (up to 7 days).

Identification of presumptive Arcobacter isolates

Suspected colonies were picked and purified by subcultring onto blood agar (BA) and identified according to *Atabay et al (2003)*. Isolates were preserved for molecular characterization.

Extraction of genomic DNA

Arcobacter isolates were grown on BA at 30 °C for 48 -72 h under microaerophilic conditions. After incubation, one or two colonies of each strain grown on BA plate was suspended in 1 ml of sterile distilled water and centrifuged for 5 min at 13000 RPM and the supernatant was discarded. DNA extract were prepared by re-suspending the cell pellets in 1 ml of sterile distilled water and boiling the suspension for centrifugation, 10 min. the supernatant was used as DNA templates in PCR.

ERIC PCR technique

Ten isolated Arcobacter species genotyped using were enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus (ERIC) PCR technique with the protocol described by *Houf* et al (2002). ERIC-PCR was carried out in Department of genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University. The concentration of each DNA template was determined at A260 and adjusted to 25 ng µl-1. Each 50 µl PCR mixture was composed of 5 µl of 10X PCR buffer (Invitrogen), 5 U of Taq DNA polymerase, and a mixture of

each dNT	P at 0.2 1	mM. T	he prim	ers
ERIC 1R	and ERI	C 2 de	esigned	by
Versalovic	et al ((1991)	(Table	1)
were each	used at	concen	trations	of
25 pmol. '	The PCF	R consi	sted of	40
cycles of	94°C for	1 min	, 25°C	for
1 min, and	d 72°C f	or 2 m	in prior	to
cycling, s	samples	were	heated	at
94°C for 5	5 min. T	he PCI	R produ	cts
were	size	separa	ted	by

electrophoresis of 8 μ l portions of the reaction mixtures in ethidium bromide-stained 2% agarose gels with 1X TBE buffer for 2.5 h at 100 V. The DNA profiles were visualized by UV transillumination and photographed. Patterns with at least one different band were considered as different genotypes.

Table 1. Primers used in ERIC-PCR fingerprinting for Arcobacterspecies strains

Primer	Sequence 5' to 3'	Gene	Reference
ERIC	ATGTAAGCTCCTGGGGATTCAC	genome	[Versalovic et
1 R			al. (1991)]
ERIC 2	AAGTAAGTGACTGGGGTGAGCG	genome	[Versalovic et
			uı. (1991)]

RESULTS

The occurrence of Arcobacter species in the examined rabbit and drinking water samples are shown table 2. From 50 samples in including 20 rabbit meat, 20 rabbit stool and 10 drinking waters, a total number of 35 (72%) Arcobacter species strains were isolated. Recovered Arcobacter species isolates from the examined meat, stool and water samples were 15, 20 and 0 with the percentages of 75, respectively. 100 and 0. Concerning, A. butzleri, it was isolated from 2(10%) of meat and 3(15%) of stool samples samples. A. skirrowii was isolated from meat and stool with the frequency of 6(30%) and 8(40%), respectively. *A. cryaerophilus* was isolated from 7(35%) and 9(45%), respectively.

The reproducibility of ERIC-PCR the tested for 10 clones of Arcobacter species are shown in table 3 and figure 1. ERIC-PCR discriminate the examined 10 isolates of Arcobacter species based on the presence or absence of the major amplified bands (A-M) which ranged from >1400 bp to 200 bp. The molecular weights of the conservative bands in all isolates were 300 and 400 bp bands. It was found that there are both intraspecies and interspecies molecular diversity of examined strains.

Table (2): Occurrence of Arcobacter species in the examined rabbit farm samples.

Source (No)	Meat (20)		fecal (20)		Wate	er (10)	Total	
Species	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
A. butzleri	2	10	3	15	0	0	5	10
A. skirrowii	6	30	8	40	0	0	14	28
A. cryaerophilus	7	35	9	45	0	0	16	32
Total	15	75	20	100	0	0	35	70



Fig. 1: *ERIC- PCR fingerprints of the 10 Arcobacter species isolates. The lane numbers correspond to the isolate numbers shown in table 3. Lane 1 contains 100 bp marker. Lane 2 contains master mix without template DNAas a control.*

Table 3: ERIC-PCR product reproducibility of Arcobacter species isolatedfrom apparently healthy rabbits.

Amplicon	Band	No of isolate/No of lane in Fig. 1									
bp	code	1/3	2/4	3/5	4/6	5/7	6/8	7/9	8/10	9/11	10/12
>1400	Α	+					+				
1400	В			+	+	+	+	+	+		
1300	С			+			+				
1100	D			+							
1000	Е				+		+	+	+	+	+
900	F				+						
800	G				+	+				+	+
700	Н				+		+				
600	Ι		+		+						
500	J				+	+					
400	K	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
300	L	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
200	Μ			+						+	+
Genotype		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	9
Species		A. butzleri			A. skirrowii			A. cryaerophilus			

DISCUSSION

Due to the lack of available literature concerning the role of rabbit for as а source arcobacterioses, the present study was carried out to isolate and identify Arcobacter species from rabbit meat, stool and their drinking water. The isolation rate (75%) of Arcobacter species in rabbit meat in the present study is higher than that recorded in Spain (Collado et al, **2009-b**) which was (1/10)10%. The variation in distribution frequency may be due to hygienic status of the herd and slaughtering sanitary procedures. Difference in isolation rate of Arcobacter from examined rabbit samples may be attributed to several factors such as hygienic conditions during the processing and sensitivity of the isolation method used (Gude et al, 2005).

The genus Arcobacter has gained increased attention as an emergent waterborne and foodborne enteropathogen. A. butzleri, Α. crvaerophilus and A. skirrowii have been associated with gasterointestinal disease and bacteremia in humans and with abortion and diarrhea in animals (Ho et al, 2006).

A. butzleri is the most commonly isolated species and has been classified as a serious hazard to human health by **ICMSF** (2002).Contamination of rabbit carcasses with Arcobacters poses a risk for both human and animal's infection.The presence of Arcobacter in the feces of healthy

livestock at slaughter constitutes a significant risk of carcass and meat contamination (*De Smet et al*, 2010). Detection of several different Arcobacter strains may suggest multiple source of infection. In this study no Arcobacter was detected in drinking water. The obtained result agree with that of *Collado et al* (2010), *Diergaardt et al* (2004) and *Aydin et al* (2007) who could not find any Arcobacter in drinking water samples.

No Arcobacter were detected in drinking water samples examined may be due to proper disinfection practices as Arcobacter are sensitive to chlorine (Moreno et al. 2004). Nevertheless. water has а significant role in the transmission of Arcobacter species both to human and animals and it has been estimated that 63% of A. butzleri infection in humans is from the consumption of or contact with contaminated water (Mansfield and Forsythe, 2000).

Because of the biochemical inertness of Arcobacters. the applications of these tests are often differentiate not adequate to Arcobacter spp. properly at the species level (On et al, 1996). Therefore, DNA- based methods have been established for rapid and correct identification and/ or differentiation of Arcobacter spp. at the species level (Houf et al, 2000). The variant genotypes of the examined 10 clones of Arcobacter species were shown in table 3 and figure 1.Van Driessche et al (2004)

found that individual pig could excrete up to 7 A. butzleri, 10A. cryaerophilus and 6 A. skirrowii genotypes. Similar results were recorded by Van Driessche et al (2005) who recorded shedding of 6 A. crvaerophilus and 2 A. skirrowii genotypes in cows by using ERIC-PCR. Moreover, Houf et al (2008) characterized A. crvaerophilus and A. butzleri clones by ERIC-PCR and reproduced banding patterns genotypes ranged from 100-2072 bp. The extreme genetic diversity of Arcobacter species on the carcasses of the same flock can be explained by cross-contamination within one flock and from flocks of different farms Ho et al, 2008). The genetic diversity 90% in the present results is near to that found by Collado et al (2010) who recorded genetic diversity of Arcobacter species ranged from 11 to 58.6% for isolates of A. butzleri and from 43.2% to 100% for the isolates of A. cryaerophilus.It was found that ERIC-PCR fingerprinting profiling species of Arcobacter is reproducible and discriminative. It could help in tracing the sources of The infections. circulating Arcobacter strains are diverse as the detected genotypes are 9 clones per strains.Further 10 studies are needed to trace the sources of infection to different farms at the horizontal national level and hygiene strategy.

In conclusion, rabbit meat and stool may be a potential source of arcobacterioses in both human and animal niches. Further molecular epidemiological studies are needed to trace the different sources of Arcobacter infection at national level. ERIC-PCR is an efficient method to detect the molecular diversity of *Arcobacter* species.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is indebted to Dr. Ahmed Mansour, Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, for his kind help in PCR.

REFERENCES

Amare, L. B., A. A. Saleha, Zunita Z., Jalila A. and A. Hassan (2011): Prevalence of *Arcobacter* spp. on chicken meat at retail markets and in farm chicken in Selangor, Malaysia. Food control, 22:732-736.

Atabay, H.I., Bang D.D., Aydin F., Erdogan H.M., Madsen M. (2002): Discrimination of Arcobacterbutzleri isolates bv polymerase chain reaction-mediated DNA fingerprinting. Letters in Applied Microbiology 35, 141-145. Atabay, H.I., Avdin F., Houf K., Sahin M. and Vandamme P. (2003).The prevalence of Arcobacter spp. On chicken carcasses sold in retail markets in Turkey and identification of the isolates using SDS-PAGE. Int. J. Food Microbiol, 81 (1): 21-28.

Aydin, F., Gumussoy K.S., Atabay H.I., Ica T. and Abay S. (2007). Prevalence and distribution of *Arcobacter* species in various sources in Turkey and Molecular analysis of isolated strains by ERIC-PCR. J. Appl. Microbiol. 103(1): 27-35.

Collado I., Josep G. and Figueras M. J. (2009- b): Prevalence of Arcobacter in meat and in shellfish. Journal of Food Protection, 72(5): 1102-1106.

Collado, L., Kasimir G., Perez U., Bosch A., Pinto R., Saucedo G., Huguet J. M. and Figueras M.J. (2010): Occurrence and diversity of Arcobacter species along the River Liobregat Catchment at sewage effluents and in drinking treatment water plant. Water Research, 44: 3696-3702.

Collado, L., Cleenwerck I., Van Trappen S., De Vos P., and Figueras M. J. (2009-a): *Arcobacter mytili* sp. nov., an indoxyl acetate-hydrolysis-negative bacterium isolated from mussels. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol., 59: 1391-1396.

De Smet S., L. Zuttener De, Van Hende J. and Houf K. (2010): Arcobacter contamination on preand post- chilled bovine carcasses and in minced beef at retail. J. Appl. Microbiological, 108: 299-305.

Diergaardt, S. M., Venter S. N., Spreeth A., Theron J. and Brozel V. S. (2004): The occurrence of campylobacters in water sources in South Africa. Water Res., 38(10): 2589-2595.

Fong, **T.T.**, Mansfield L.S., Wilson D.L., Schwab D.J., Mollov S.L. and Rose J.B. (2007): microbiological Massive contamination groundwater associated with waterborne а

outbreak in Lake Erie, South Bass Island, Ohio. Environ Health Perspect., 115: 856–864.

Gonzales A. and Ferrus M. A. (2011): Study of *Arcobacter* spp. contamination in fresh lettuces detected by different cultural and molecular methods. Int. J. of Food Microbiolgy, 145: 311-314.

Gude A., Hillman T. J., Helps C.R., Allen V.M. and Corry J.E.L. (2005): Ecology of *Arcobacter* species in chicken rearing and processing, Lett. Appl. Microbiol., 41: 82-87.

Ho, H. T., Lipman L. J. and Gaastra W. (2006): Arcobacter, what is known and unknown about a potential foodborne zoonotic agent! Vet. Microbiol., 115: 1-13.

Ho, H.T.K., Lipman L.J.A., and Gassatra W. (2008): The introduction of *Arcobacter* species in poultry slaughterhouses. International Journal of Food Microbiology 125:223-229.

Houf, K. and Stephan R. (2007): Isolation and characterization of the emerging foodborne pathogen arcobacter from human stool. J. Microbiol. Methods, 68: 408-413.

Houf, K., Tutenel A., De Zutter L., Van Hoof J. and Vandamme **P.** (2000): Development of a multiplex PCR assay for the simultaneous detection and identification of Arcobacter butzleri, Arcobacter cryaerophilus and Arcobacter skirrowii. FEMS Microbiol Lett., 193: 89-94.

Houf, K., De Zutter L., Van Hoof J. and Vandamme P. (2002): Assessment of the genetic diversity among arcobacters isolated from poultry products by using two PCRbased typing methods. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 68(5): 2172-2178.

Houf, K., Smet S.D., Bare J. and Daminet S. (2008): Dogs as carriers of the emerging pathogen Arcobacter. Veterinary Microbiology, 130:208-213.

Houf, K., On W., Coenye T., Mast J., Van Hoof J. and Vandamme P. (2005): *Arcobactercibarius* sp., nov., isolated from broiler carcasses. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol., 55: 713-717.

ICMSF, International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods (2002): Microorganisms in food. 7. Microbiological testing in food safety management Kluwer Academic-Plenum Publishers, New York.

Mansfield, L.P. and Forsythe S.J. (2000): Arcobacter butzleri and Arcobacter cryaerophilus- newly emerging human pathogens. Reviews in Medical Microbiology, 11:161-170.

Miller, W. G., Wesley I.V., On S.L., Houf K., Megraud F., Wang G., Yee E., Srijan A. and C.J. Mason (2009): First multi-locus sequence typing scheme for *Arcobacter* spp. BMC Microbiol., 9: 196.

Mohamed, A.A., Mohamed M.E.M., and Gharieb A.A. (2004): Zoonotic Importance of Arcobacter species with reference to its Protein Profile.7th Scientific Conference of Faculty of Vet Med. Zagazig University, July 21-23, 2004 Sharm El Sheikh, South Sinai-Egypt.

Moreno, Y., Alonso J.L., Botella S., Ferrus M. A. and Hernandez J. (2004): Survival and injury of Arcobacter after artificial inoculation into drinking water. Res Microbiol 155, 726-730.

On, S.L., Holmes B., and Sackin M.J. (1996): A probability matrix for the identification of campylobacters, helicobacters and allied taxa. J Appl Bacteriol 81, 425-432.

Soliman, H. (2006): Prevalence of *Arcobacter* species in avian and human at Zagazig city. Master thesis, Bacteriology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.

Van Driessche, E., Houf K., Vangroenweghe F., De Zutter L. and Hoof J. V. (2005): Prevalence, enumeration and strain variation of *Arcobacter* species in the feces of healthy cattle in Belgium. Journal of Veterinary Microbiology, 105: 149-154.

Van Driessche, E., Houf K., Vangroenweghe F., Nollet N., De Zutter L. and Vandamme P. (2004): Occurrence and strain diversity of *Arcobacter* species isolated from healthy Belgian pigs. Research in Microbiology, 155: 662-666.

Van Driessche, E., Houf K., Van Hoof J., De Zutter L. and Vandamme P. (2003): Isolation of Arcobacter species from animal feces. FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 229: 243-248.

Vandamme, P. and De Ley J. (1991): Proposal for a new family, campylobacteraceae. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol., 41:451-455.

Vandamme, P., Giesendorf B.A., van Belkum A., Pierard D., Lauwers S., K. Kersters, Butzler J.P., Goossens H., and Quint W.G. (1993): Discrimination of epidemic and sporadic isolates of *Arcobacter butzleri* by polymerase chain reaction-mediated DNA fingerprinting. J Clin Microbiol., 31: 3317-3319.

Versalovic, J., Koeuth T., and Lupski J.R. (1991): Distribution of repetitive DNA sequences in eubacteria and application to fingerprinting of bacterial genomes. Nucleic Acids Res., 19: 6823-6831. Yamani, K.A.O. (1990): Breeds and prospects for research to improve rabbit meat production in Egypt. Options Mediterraneennesserie seminaires- No 8- 1990:67-73.

الملخص العربى

عزل وتصنيف انواع الأركوباكتر المعزولة من الأرانب في الزقازيق – مصر

ايمان ابراهيم عطية سويلم المستشفى البيطري التعليمي – كلية الطب البيطري – جامعة الزقازيق

على الرغم من ان نوع الاركوباكتر من المسببات المرضية المارقة، إلا أن دور الأرانب كمصدر للعدوى بالاركوباكتر غير معروف. وقد صممت الدراسة الحالية لدراسة معدل تواجد نوع الاركوباكتر فى الارانب ومياه الشرب لها. وكذلك ايضا عمل البصمة الجزيئية لعدد ١٠ معزولات لأنواع الاركوباكتر بواسطة تقنية تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل ERIC . وقد جمعت عدد ٥٠ عينة اشتملت على عدد ٢٠ لحوم ارانب وعدد ٢٠ عينة بعر ارانب وعدد ١٠ عينات مياه شرب. وقد تم عزل عدد ٢٠) معزولة من نوع الاركوباكتر. وكانت المعزولات من اللحوم والبعر والمياه بعدد ١٠) معز رانب قريبة ١٠٠، من على التوالى.

وبخصوص الاركوباكتر بوتزلارى فقد عزلت من عدد ٢(١٠٪) من عينات اللحوم و ٣(٥٠٪) من عينات البعر. أما الاركوباكتر سكيروى فقد عزلت من عينات اللحوم والبعر من عدد ٦(٣٠٪) و ٩ (٤٠٪) على التوالى بينما الاركوباكتر الكرايروفيليس فتم عزله من عدد ٧(٣٠٪) و ٩ (٤٥٪) على التوالى. وقد تم التمييز بين العشر معزولات الممثلة لنوع الاركوباكترمعتمدين على وجود أو غياب الحزم المضخمة الكبيرة (١-م) والتى تتراوح اوزانها الجزيئية بين أقل من ١٤٠٠ زوج قواعد الى ٢٠٠ زوج قواعد.

وقد كانت أكثر الحزم شيوعا وثباتا متر اوحة بين ٣٠٠- ٤٠٠ زوج قواعد. وقد وجد تنوع جينى داخل النوع وكذلك ايضا بين الأنواع التى تم فحصها من نسخ انواع الاركوباكتر. وقد وجد أن العترات السارية لأنواع الاركوباكتر فى مزرعة الأرانب التى تم الدراسة لها تمتلك العديد من الأنماط الجينية (١٠/٩). وقد يعزى ذلك التنوع الى تعدد مصادر العدوى.