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#### Abstract

Growth, mortality and yield per recruit of Gilthead sea bream, Sparus aurata were studied from a small scale fishery of Bardawil lagoon. 2775 specimens ranged between 11.9 to 36.1 cm cm TL and varied from 22 to 651 g total weight, were collected during, 2010. The relationship between length and weight was estimated as $\mathrm{W}=0.0248^{*} \mathrm{~L}^{2,821 \mathrm{i}}$. The study showed that there are 6 age groups have been identified by reading the scales and growth rates were calculated in the corresponding lengths for different age groups in a manner back calculation as follows $17.74,23.25,27.6,31.44,32.85$ ad 34.19 at the end of the first year, the second, and sixth, respectively. Age was determined using scales reading technique for 900 individuals and the longevity of this species was found to be 6 years. Growth in length and growth in weight at the end of each year were calculated. The growth parameters of the von Bertalanffy equation were calculated as $\mathrm{Loo}^{=} 38.04 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{~K}=0.3381 \mathrm{yf}$ and to $=\sim 0.7933 \mathrm{yr}$, natural and fishing mortality rates were $0.8420 \mathrm{yr}{ }^{\prime}, 0.4551 \mathrm{yr} \sim$ and $0.3869 \mathrm{yr}^{{ }^{1}}$, respectively. The currently exploitation rate $(\mathrm{E}=0.4595)$ indicates that the stock of sea bream in the Bardawil lagoon under exploited. The length at first capture $L_{c}$ was estimated as 20 cm . The length ai first maturity $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of males and females were estimated as 20.0 and 24.5 respectively.
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## INTROUDACTION

Gilthead sea bream, Sparus aurata is economically a very important fish species in the Bardawil lagoon and in the general Mediterranean area. Gilthead sea bream (F. Sparidae) this family can be found in a wide variety of marine habitats, from rocky to sand bottoms, at depths between 0 to 500 m , although they are usually more common at less than 150 m deep (Abecasis et al, 2008). The gilthead sea bream, Sparus aurata is a one of the main target demersal species of the trammel gear fishery in the lagoon. About $97 \%$ of
the total catch of the lagoon come from the boats using the trammel nets.

In Bardawil lagoon, Sparus aurata is mainly exploited by two fishing techniques; trammel nets and hand line. The previous studies on the gilthead sea bream populations in the lagoon indicated that the exploited and fishing effort may have been above optimum levels for most demersal species (Bebars., 1986\&1992: Khalifa, 1995; Salem 2004; Khalil and Mehanna, 2006; Mehanna, 2006; Salem et al, 2008 and Salem 2011).

This work carried out to supplement some information about Age, growth, yield per recruit and exploitation rates of Sparus aurata in Bardawil lagoon that could be useful for management of this important species.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 1. Study Region:

The study was carried out in the Bardawil lagoon (Fig. 1).The lagoon covers an area of $693 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, in an arid area in the northern part of Sinai Peninsula, Egypt. It separated from the Mediterranean Sea by along narrow sandbar that varies in width between 100 m and 1 km .

The lagoon communicates with the Mediterranean Sea water by two artificial and one natural narrow channel.

The lagoon is considered as a natural depression with a depth of $0.5-3 \mathrm{~m}$.

## 2. Sampling:

Random samples (2775 specimens) were collected from well mixed catches during the fishing season 2010. For age determination, the scales were removed from the left side of each fish behind the tip of the pectoral fin for 900 specimens.
In the laboratory, the scales were cleaned and stored dry in envelopes for the subsequent study. Later on, scales were soaked overnight in $10 \%$ ammonia solution. 5-7 scales were placed between two glass slides, and examined by a projector with $33 \times$ magnifications.
On the clearest scale from each batch, the total scales radiuses as well as the radius of each annulus were measured to the nearest 0.01 cm .

## 3. Data Analysis:

The back-calculated total length at the end of each year was determined from scale measurements using Lea's, 1910 equation as $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{Sp}\right)$, where: $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}$ equals length of fish at age ( $x$ ), $L_{p}$ equals
the fish length at capture, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}$ equals the scale radius at annulus $x$ and $S_{p}$ equals total scale radius.

The relationship between length and weight was described by the potential equation ( $W=a * L^{b}$, Ricker, 1975), where W is the total weight $(\mathrm{g})$, and L is the total length $(\mathrm{cm})$, $a$ and $b$ are constants.

The calculated weight at the end of each year was estimated by applying length-weight equation. The von Bertalanfry growth equation (VBGE): $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ $\mathrm{La}>\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\prime \prime \mathrm{k}}\right.$ (tn V was used to describe growth in size, where $L_{t}$ is the length at age $t$, Loo the asymptotic length, $K$ the body growth coefficient and to the hypothetical age at which a fish would have zero length.

The values of Leo and K were estimated by plotting $L$, vs $L_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{i}$ using the Ford, 1933 - Walford, 1946 plot, while to was estimated by Gulland and Holt plot, 1959. For comparison of the growth parameters with previous studies, the growth performance index was calculated from the given by Munro and Pauly, 1983 as $\langle\mathfrak{£}\rangle^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ln} K+2 L n U$. To estimate the instantaneous rate of total mortality (Z) using Jakson 1939 The instantaneous rate of natural mortality (M) was obtained by Alverson and Camey (1975).

The fishing mortality ( F ) was estimated by subtracting the value of natural mortality from the total mortality as $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Z}$ M , while the exploitation rate $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Z}$.

The length at first maturity $\left(L_{m}\right)$ was determined by examination of gonads to determine the sex and the stage of maturity, where $50 \%$ of fish reach their sexual maturity was estimated by fitting the maturation curve between the percentage maturities offish corresponding to each length class interval. Then $L_{m}$ was estimated as the point on the X -axis corresponding to $50 \%$ point on the Y -axis.

The probability of capture was
estimated from length-converted catch curve, using the running average technique to determine L50 (Pauly, 1984b). The method of Gulland, 1969 was used to predict the yield per recruit as follows: $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} / \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{p}{ }^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{Tr}){ }^{*} \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{X} * \wedge$ (1/Zj _ $(3 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{K})+(3 \mathrm{~S} 2 / \mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{ZK})-(\mathrm{S} 3 / \mathrm{Z}+3 \mathrm{~K})]$

Where: $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{k}\left({ }^{(T c}{ }_{-}{ }^{\mathrm{T} 0)} \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{tO}=\mathrm{Von}, ~\right.}$ Bertalanfy growth parameter, Tc is age at first capture, Tr is age at recruitment, Wcc is asymptotic body weight, F is fishing mortality, M is a natural mortality and $Z=F+M$, is a total mortality.


Fig. (1): The Bardawil lagoon

## RESULTS AND DESICCATION

## 1. Age and growth

Scales reading for 900 individuals showed six age classes of Spams aurata in Bardawil lagoon during the fishing season 2010.

The percentage occurrence of these groups as $17.9,29.3,30.7,15.3,3.4,1.9$ and $1.4 \%$ for $0,1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 age groups respectively. This indicated that, the dominate of the young fish $(0,1$ and $2-$ group fish, illegal size) while the age group five and six the least age groups in the catch (1.9 and $1.4 \%$ ).

The maximum estimated age (6 years) for S. aurata in Bardawil lagoon was recorded by Khalifa, 1995. Age groups and growth in length (average back calculation lengths) were identified for $S$. aurata as 17.74, 23.25, 27.60, 31.44, 32.85 and 34.19 cm for ages $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$,
$4^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ years respectively. The growth rate of $S$. aurata is particularly high during the first year of life, especially in this study.

After the first year, the annual growth rate drops rapidly. Table (1): summarized the back-calculated lengths of the present study compared with the other studies for the same species The observe total length ranged from 11.9 to 36.1 cm and the observed total weight varied from 100 to 651 g .

The length - weight relationship Fig. (2) was described by the power equation as:
$\mathrm{W}=0.0248 * \mathrm{~L}^{2,89}$,the negative allometry was established as the value of $(b<3)$.

The differences in length-weight relationship might be interpreted as being due to differences in growth and morphometry between regions (Barnabe,

Table (1): The length at the end of life year of $S$. aurata given by different authors

| Region | sex | Age | N | Total length at the end of life year |  |  |  |  |  | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | years |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Bardawill lagoon | M | 1--2 | 106 | 16.39 | 18.85 |  |  |  |  | Bebars. 1986 |
| seasons 1985/86 | F | 1-3 | 148 | 16.97 | 2(i.15 | 22.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Bardawill lagoon, season 1986 | M +F | 1--3 | - | 19.5 | 23.67 | 26.89 |  |  |  | Ameran, 1992 |
| Bardawill lagoon, Season, 1986 | M+F 1 | 1--6 | - | 19.36 | 23.67 | 26.29 | 28.39 |  | 32. 16 | Khalifa. 1995 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { season } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{F}$ | 1--5 | 1826 | 19.36 | 23.83 | 2845 | 31.54 | 32.84 |  | Salem, 2004 |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Bardawill } & \\ \text { lagoon } & \text { season } \\ & 2001 \end{array}$ | M F | F 1--5 | 1835 | 20.2 | 25.2 | 27.6 | 29.8 | 32.3 |  |  |
| Port Said | M +F | F 1--4 | 1714 | 21.26 | 27.8 | 32.25 | 34.3 |  |  | Mehanna. 2007 |
| Bardawill lagoon, season, 2008 | M +F | F 1--4 | 3483 | 22.82 | 27.09 | 30.03 | 31.5 |  |  | Salem, 2010 |
| Bardawill lagoon | M +F | F 1--4 | 3262 | 2338 | 27.51 | 30.21 | 32.15 |  |  | Salem, 2011 |

## 2. Length at first maturity ( $L_{m}$ )

1976) and it is a practical index of the condition of fish, and varies over the year according to factors such as food availability, feeding rate, gonad development and spawning period (Bagenal and Tech, 1978).
Growth parameters of von Bertalanffy were calculated as $L o o=38.04 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{~K}=$ 0.34 year" $^{11}$ and to $=-0.793$ year and the obtained equation was $L$, $=38.04^{*}(l-e$ o. 34 (tu 793)^ Mcllwain et al., 2005 mentioned that the differences in growth parameters due to age, sex, maturity and sampling period for the same species. The value of growth performance index ( $\langle £\rangle^{\prime}$ ) was calculated as 6.19.

The results showed a low growth performance index than in the previous studies, may be due to the lower value of A Constant of length-weight relationship and growth parameters for 5. aurata in Bardawil lagoon were summarized in Table. (2).

The length at first maturity was estimated at 20.0 and 24.5 cm for male and female respectively (Fig. 3,4).

This result is close to that of Salem, 2004, 2011, for male while the length at first maturity is higher in this study, who observed that, the value of $L_{m}$ for $S$. aurata in the same lagoon as 20.6 and 22.5 cm for male and female respectively.

Anna et al, 2005 recorded that, in the Mediterranean Sea, the species is becoming mature at smaller lengths compared to the other regions.

## 3. Mortalities and exploitation rate:

Total mortality ( $Z$ ) was estimated as $0.842 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, while natural mortality (M) was estimated as $0.455 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ and the fishing mortality rate.

We find that the high natural mortality may be due to the presence of fishing craft shrimp (Alklsh) that destroy the very large


Fig.(2): Length - weight relationship of 5. aurata in Bardawil lagoon.
amounts of fish sea bream fry. These results indicated that, the natural mortality is increasing from year to year. This may be due to two factors:
1-shrimp trawl fishing, where the mortality of by catch either direct by capture the bream fmgerlings (very small mesh of the trawls used in this fishery) or indirect by accumulated the granules of clay and fine sand on gill lamellae of fingerlings (this method kill many fingerling of these species by increasing of turbidity in water).
2-Predators by the cormorant birds where it visit the lagoon in the critical seasons for the seabream fingerlings. The increasing of natural mortality from year to year linked with increasing of effort by shrimp trawl fishing and the fishing activity in most areas is mainly directed to juveniles on their nursery grounds according to the local fishermen. The higher natural mortality (. 455 year-1) in this study versus previous study indicates the stock of sea bream under abnormal position. These results are consistent with those results obtained from Salem et al 2008. (F) was 0.387 year- 1 .

From these results, the current exploitation rate ( $E=45.95 \%$ ) shows under exploited stock and safe according to Gulland, 1971), who suggested that the optimum exploitation rate for any fish
stock is about 0.5 at $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{M}$ and more recent, (Pauly, 1987) proposed a lower optimum F that equal to 0.4 M and (Patterson, 1992) reported that an exploitation rate of about 0.4 is safe for the stock.

## 4. Length at first capture $\left(L_{c}\right)$

The length at first capture (The length at which $50 \%$ of fishes retained by the gear is the mean selection length, $L c$ ) was estimated to be 20 cm , (Fig 5). In this study, the value of $L_{c}$ was equal of the length at first sexual maturity $\left(L_{m}\right)$ for male $(20.0 \mathrm{~cm})$ and smallest length at first sexual maturity for the female ( 24.5 cm ). From our results, the most of bream catch in Bardawil lagoon were below the length at first sexual maturity (immature fish), which must be having a chance to spawn 2-3 times before capture according to (Grandcourt et al., 2005).

## 5. The relative yield-per-recruit (Y7R) and biomass per-recruit ( $B / R$ ):

The formula of Gulland (1969) was used for the calculation of yield per recruit, as follows: $T / \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Fe}{ }_{\sim}^{(M(\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{Tr})]}$ Woo [ (1/Z) - (3S/(Z+K)) + ((3S2)/(Z+2K)) $\left.-\left(\left(S^{J}\right) /(Z+3 K)\right)\right]$ The input parameters used in the calculation were as follows in Table (5). As shown from the figure (6) there were clear that the curves starts at the origin where the yield per recruit was zero when the fishing mortality was zero.

## Mesabh, et al.

Table (2): Constant of length weight relationship and the growth parameters of $S$. aurata in Bardawill lagoon.

| Regions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (years) } \end{gathered}$ | N | Constants of length-weight relationship and growth parameters |  |  |  |  |  | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Egypt |  |  | a | b | Loo | K | to | $<\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ |  |
| Bardawil lagoon | 1-4 | 3262 | 0.025 | 2.813 | 36 | 0.39 | 1.68 | 0.22 | Salem, 2011 |
|  | 1-6 |  | 0.014 | 2.98 | 38 | 0.34 | 0.96 | . | Khalifa, 1995 |
|  | 1-5 | 1537 | 0.013 | 3.035 | 38.5 | 0.297 | 1.08 | - | Tharwate/tf/., 1998 |
|  | 1-4 | 1835 | 0.014 | 3.017 | 35.2 | 0.58 | 0.74 | 6.58 | Salem. 2004 |
| Port said | 1-4 | 1714 | 0.012 | 3.028 | 38 | 0.5 | -0.6 | - | Mehanna, 2007 |
|  | 1-4 | 1947 | 0.027 | 2.79 | 32.7 | 0.81 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.76 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | Salem etai. 2008 |
|  | 1-4 | 3483 | 0.030 | 2.76 | 34.2 | 0.48 | 0.78 | 6.33 | Salem. 2010 |
| Other regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thau (France) | 1-4 | 713 | 0.0226 | 2.SS(> | 62 | 0.221 | -0.077 | 6.745 | Lasserre \& Labourge. 1974. |
| Ebro (Spain) | 1-7 | 611 | $112 * 10^{7}$ | 3.055 | 62.1 | 0.171 | -0.63 | 6.494 | Suau and Lopez, 1976 |
| Mima <br> (Croatia) | 1-12 | 314 | 0.0112 | 3.052 | 59.8 | 0.153 | -1.71 | 6.303 | Kraljevic and Duleic. 1997 |
| Mellah <br> Lagoon (Algeria) | 1-7 | 370 | 0.0129 | 3.067 | 55.3 | 0.513 | -0.28 | 7.359 | Chaoui,e/a/.,2006 |



Fig (3): Length at first maturity for males of S. aurata in Bardawill lagoon 2010.


Fig (4): Length at first maturity for females of S. aurata in Bardawill lagoon 2010.


Fig (5): Length at frist capture of Sparus aurata in Bardawill lagoon 2010.

Table (5): Input parameters used in the calculation yield per recruit for $S$. aurata in Bardawill lagoon, 2010.

The parameters Season 2010

| The parameters | Season 2010 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Length infinity Lcc | 38.04 |
| Weight infinity $\mathrm{W}<\mathrm{x}>$ | 714.3 |
| Growth constant K | 0.3381 |
| Natural mortality M | 0.4551 |
| Fishing mortality F | 0.3869 |
| Total mortality 2 | 0.8420 |
| Mean age at recruitment Tr | 0.3161 |
| Mean age at first capture Tc | 1.4127 |
| Mean length at first capture $\mathrm{Lc}(\mathrm{cm})$ | 20.00 |
| Mean length at recruitment $\mathrm{Lr}(\mathrm{cm})$ | 11.9 |
| Theoretical age at length zero To | -0.7933 |
| Mean length $\mathrm{L}^{\%}$ | 21.59 |
| Exploitation rate E | 0.46 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}-}=$ | 25.2000 |

Then the yield per recruit increased rapidly as the fishing mortality increased and a maximum value of yield per recruit was reached, after which the yield per recruit decreased with further increasing in fishing mortality.

Table (6) also showed that the present fishing mortality ( $\mathrm{F}=0.38$ and age at first capture ( $\mathrm{Tc}=1.41$ ) gave a yield of 61.89 gram per recruit.


Fig (6): Y/R of S. aurata during 2010 a function of different fishing mortality and age at the first capture.

Table (6): Yield per recruit (Y/R) of S. aurata during 2010 a function of different fishing mortality and age at the first capture.

| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{c}}=\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{H . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{c}}=\mathbf{1 . 4 1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{c}}=\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}}=\mathbf{1 . 7 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 30.928 | 30.352 | 29.857 | 29.556 | 28.569 |
| 0.2 | 47.330 | 47.006 | 46.559 | 46.248 | 45.106 |
| 0.3 | 56.427 | 56.646 | 56.452 | 56.245 | 55.291 |
| 0.38 | 61.056 | 61.812 | 61.897 | 61.817 | 61.139 |
| 0.4 | 61.579 | 62.418 | 62.546 | 62.486 | 61.855 |
| 0.5 | 64.487 | 65.939 | 66.399 | 66.496 | 66.232 |
| 0.6 | 66.071 | 68.094 | 68.873 | 69.123 | 69.229 |
| 0.7 | 66.850 | 69.394 | 70.468 | 70.861 | 71.321 |
| 0.8 | 67.133 | 70.144 | 71.487 | 72.013 | 72.804 |
| 0.9 | 67.107 | 70.537 | 72.123 | 72.769 | 73.864 |
| 1 | 66.888 | 70.692 | 72.498 | 73.253 | 74.627 |
| 1.1 | 66.551 | 70.689 | 72.693 | 73.547 | 75.175 |
| 1.2 | 66.143 | 70.581 | 72.764 | 73.708 | 75.568 |
| 1.3 | 65.697 | 70.404 | 72.749 | 73.775 | 75.846 |
| 1.4 | 65.232 | 70.182 | 72.673 | 73.773 | 76.039 |
| 1.5 | 64.763 | 69.931 | 72.556 | 73.724 | 76.167 |
| 1.6 | 64.298 | 69.665 | 72.411 | 73.640 | 76.246 |
| 1.7 | 63.842 | 69.389 | 72.246 | 73.533 | 76.288 |
| 1.8 | 63.400 | 69.112 | 72.070 | 73.409 | 76.302 |
| 1.9 | 62.973 | 68.835 | 71.887 | 73.274 | 76.295 |
| 2 | 62.562 | 68.563 | 71.701 | 73.132 | 76.271 |

The results in season 2010 indicated that the maximum yield per recruit was obtained with a fishing mortality coefficient $\mathrm{F}=1.75$. It was also evident the increase of present fishing mortality coefficient $(\mathrm{F}=0.38)$ to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{F}-1.8)$ would be associated with negligible increase in the yield per recruit (73.30-61.89 $=11.41$ ). This means that increase of fishing mortality coefficient by about $17.56 \%$.

To investigate the variation in yield per recruit with changing of age at first capture $T_{c}$, which was closely related to the estimation of the optimum mesh size, in season 2010 the yield per recruit of $£$ aurata was calculated using $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.0,1.25$, 1.5 and 1.75 with the present age at first capture ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}-1.41$ ) and the results are given in table (6) and graphically represented by fig. (6). The results indicated that the maximum yield per recruit increased when the age at first capture increased.
This means that increase of age at first capture can be associated with increase of the maximum yield per recruit in spite of increasing of the fishing mortality (Table. $6)$.

## CONCLUSION

It may not be easy to achieve a balance between the low voltage and the social and economic needs of fishermen, but the application of the optimum mesh size may be not difficult in terms of increased Tc to 1.75 years, which achieved the highest yield in the current fishing effort.

## Recommendation:

We can recommend that, the current effort of $S$. aurata should be stabilized and if possible should be reduced. Attempts should be made to increase the length at first capture from 20 cm . to 25 cm . (change of current to optimum mesh size) to help escapement of immature fish that in turn may help recoup the fishery in
subsequent years.
If this is not carried out immediately, there is a possibility of damage to the S.aurata fishery in near future.

Must stop the use of shrimp fishing craft trawel (Alklsh) in Lake Bardawil because they destroy the very large numbers of sea bream fingerlings Fish.
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## Mesabh，et al．

## الملخص العربي

النمو والنقوق والإمداد لأسمـاكّ الانيس ببحيرة البردويل ،شمـال سينـاء مصر
محمد مصبح＇، جابر دسوقى إبراهيم حسنين ‘، محسن صالحّ، عطية على عمر ؛، ومحمد جابرْ
1．الهيئة العامة لتتمية الثروة السمكية
「ب．قسم الأسماكـ كلية الزراعة البيئية بالعريش－جامعة قناة السويس
「．قسم الإنتاج الحيوانى－كلية الزراعةــ جامعة الأزهر بالقاهرة
₹ \＆المعهز القومى لعلوم البحار
ه．مركز بحوث الأحياء المائية بالعباسية

تم إجر اء هذا البحث فى بحبرة البردويل لعمل در اسة على مخزون أسماك الدنيس Sparus aurata ووضع خطة علمية عملية لإدارة مصبد هذه الاسماك بيحيرة البردويل．تم تجميع YVVO عينة فى الفترة من أبريل حتى ديسمبر خلال موسم
 والوزن من خلال المعادلة النالية حسـاب W＝0．0248＊L 2.8219 ．W ． وأوضحت الدر اسة أن 7 مجمو عات عمرية بالبحيرة تم تحديدها عن طريق قر اءة القشور وحسبت معدلات النمو فى الاطوال المقابلة للمجمو عات العمرية المختلفة بطريقة الحساب
 الرابعة، الخامسة، السادسة على اللترتيب．قيم معاملات النمو لفون بيرتلانفى كانت كالنالي：الطول عند مـا لا نهاية＝


 صبد جائر للأسماكَ صغيرة الحجم ولذللك يجب رفع الطول عند بداية الصيد الى الجنسي o，¿؟（يتم ذلك باستخدام فتحات شبالك أكبر من الحالية ）وكذلك يجب وقف الصيد بحرفة كلسة الجمبري فى بحبرة البردويل التي تدمر كميات كبيرة من إصبعيات أسماك الدنيس．

الكلمـات الاسترشادية：النمو ، النقوق ، الإمداد، أسمالك الدنيس، بحيرة البردويل، الصيد الجائر．

أستاذ فسيولوجيا الأسماك المساعد، كلية العلوم الزر اعية البيئية بالعريش، جامعة قناة السويس، مصر．

