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#### Abstract

Field experiments were carried out during 2009 and 2010 seasons at three Field Crops Research Stations of (Ismaelia, Sids and New valley). Five different seeding rates were used i.e. $25,30,35,40$ and $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ and two row spacing i.e., 30 and 60 cm . Split plot design in three replications are used.

The results indicated that sowing at seeding rate of $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ with 30 cm row spacing significantly increased all agronomic characters over all cuts and recorded $42.64 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ and $8.49 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ for total fresh and dry yield, and considered as the best recommended treatment to cowpea under New valley conditions. At Ismaelia conditions, the higher yield was obtained from sowing at seeding rate $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ and row spacing of 30 and of 60 cm . Sowing at seeding rate $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ with row spacing 30 cm was a perfect treatment for increasing yield. Over two cuts of Sids conditions sowing at seeding rate $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ and row spacing 60 cm recorded the best result $15.63,9.65 \mathrm{t} /$ fed of fresh yield and $1.94,1.04 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{fed}^{-1}$ of dry yield for the first and second cuts.

The results showed insignificant regression of total fresh yield related to the control was recorded $R^{2}=0.2895, R^{2}=0.1888$ and $R^{2}=0.5822$ for New Valley, Ismaelia and Sids, respectively. Chemical composition recorded means of $26.3 \%$ soluble carbohydrate, $17.4 \%$ crude protein, $21.8 \%$ crude fiber and $13.0 \%$ ash. Increasing in seeding rates amplified the competition among plants for environmental factors.
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## INTRODUCTION

Cowpea is chiefly used as a grain crop, for animal fodder, or as a vegetable. It is quick growing, high yielding, with substantially rich biomass production, grows well with associated crops and is highly protein.

It grows well in a wide range of soil texture, from heavy clays, if well drained, to sand (Hector and Jody, 2002). Ndiaga (2000) concluded that cowpea cultivars with different plant morphology would require different optimum densities to express their full seed yield potential. It can also grow successfully as a relay inter-crop with cereal or cash crops in mid-August (Elawad, 2000).

Gill et al. (1977) reported that, growth parameters of cowpea such as plant height, lateral branches and trifoliate leaves increased with increased spacing from 20 cm through 30 cm to 45 cm . Yadav (2003) reported plant height significantly incresed with 30 cm row spacing than at 45 and 60 cm spacing. Biswas et al. (1997) and Tsigewoin et al. (2003) indicated that lower seeding rate produced higher number of branches, leaves per plant and yield per plot. Yadav (2003) observed significant interaction between row spacing's and seeding rates on grain yield. The row spacing of 30 cm with $20 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ seed rate was significantly superior to 15 kg seeds/ ha but at wider spacing,
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the effect of seed rate was insignificant. The specific objectives of this were aimed to:
1-Study performance of cowpea under different seeding rates and row spacing, and
2-To compare the patterns of growth and development of plants grown under different locations in Egypt and their effects on forage and dry yield.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were carried out during 2009 and 2010 seasons at Field Crops Research Institute Stations of (Ismaelia, Sids and New valley). Five different seeding rates were investigated with $25,30,35,40$ and 45 kg fed ${ }^{-1}$ and two spacings rows, 30 and 60 cm , using the local variety (Buff) cowpea.

The experiment was arranged in a split design in three replications. The seeding rate treatments were distributed at random in the main plots, while the row spaces were treated as sub- plots. Each plot consisted of ten or five rows separated by 30 or 60 cm and 2 m long. Seeds were drilled sowing in each row according to seeding rates (25, 30, 35, 40 and $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed})$. The plots were separated by border rows consisting of two ridges along each of the plot length and width.

Plant stand establishment recordings were made after two weeks from sowing date. The agronomical observation on plant height, number of tillers, stem leaves ratio (SLR\%), forage and dry yield were recorded for two (Sids) or three cuts (New valley and Ismaelia), each cut was after 50-60 days from the previous one. Optimum cultural practices were followed as recommended through the growing season.

Growth characteristics were measured per plant and per plot for fresh and dry forage yield. Observations were recorded on 10 plants chosen at random from each plot. Samples of dry matter were analyzed chemically for crude protein\%, carbohydrates\%, fiber\% and ash\%.

Data for the two growing seasons were statistically analyzed according to Steel and Torrie (1984) procedure using SAS software due to absence of significant variance homogeneity. In addition differences between means were tested for significance using Duncan multiple ranges test (Duncan 1955). Table 1 presents data of soil texture, temperature and relative humidity of three locations
Table 1: Means of stations, soil texture, degrees of temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and relative humidity\% (over the two growing seasons 2009 and 2010).

| ForageCropsStation | Soil texture | pH | Organic mater | Means of temperature and relative humidity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | April |  | May |  | June |  | July |  | August |  |
|  |  |  |  | T | RH\% | T | RH\% | T | RH\% | T | RH\% | T | RH\% |
| Ismael | Sandy | 7.97 | 0.75 | 21.6 | 58.5 | 23.1 | 57.0 | 28.3 | 58. | 28.7 | 61.0 | 28.1 | 63.3 |
| Sids | Clay | 7.60 | 1.98 | 24.2 | 55.0 | 25.5 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 49. | 30.4 | 58. | 9.3 | 60.8 |
| New Valley | Sandy loam | 8.0 | 0.86 | 36.0 | 26.3 | 35.8 | 26.3 | 40.7 | 21.8 | 41.3 | 26.0 | 39.8 | 28.0 |

T: temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{RH} \%$ : relative humidity\%
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New valley is located at the south western desert of Egypt with latitude $25-27^{\circ}$ and longitude $30-32^{\circ}$. Sandy loam texture of soil is suitable for growing many of the forage field crops, especially alfalfa. Ismaelia is located at the North eastern of Egypt, west coast of Swis Canal with latitude 30-36 and longitude $32-14^{\circ}$. Sandy texture of soil is suitable for growing of the forage field crops. Sids area is located at latitude 29-04ㅇ and longitude 31$06^{\circ}$. Its clay, old soil, suited all of the forage field crops. Sids station recorded the highest cowpea production over all agricultural research stations. Sids area is located at latitude $29-04^{\circ}$ and longitude 31-06. Its clay, old soil, suited all of the forage field crops. Sids station recorded the highest cowpea production over all agricultural research stations.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## New valley

Data of Table 2 reported that seeding rate of $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ with row spacing 30 cm showed tallest plants which were $(94.75 \mathrm{~cm}, 89.00 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 82.75 cm ) for the first, second and third cuts respectively, with insignificant differences with the sowing rate $30 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed of 30 cm spacing. Spacing row of 30 cm increased plant height over row spacing of 60 cm at all seeding rates and all cuts.

Increasing row spacing reduced number of tillers per plant when sowing with the low seeding rates $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ and 30 cm row spacing (2.50, 2.75 and 1.75 tiller/plant for the first, second and third cuts respectively). Conversely sowing at seeding rate of $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ produced the greatest number of tillers for 60 cm row spacing $3.75,3.50$
and 2.75 tiller per plant for the first, second and third cut, followed by sowing of 40 and $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ rates.

The leaf stem ratio (LSR\%) declined with the increasing seeding rates (Table 2) and insignificant differences for this character were found between the first and third cuts. High LSR\% was produced (52.71 \%) from sowing seeding rate at ( $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ ) with spacing row 30 cm in second cut followed by ( $45.18 \%$ ) produced from for sowing at seeding rate of $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ with using the same row spacing (Table 2).

The highest fresh t/fed was recorded from using row spacing of 30 cm and using seeding rate at $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ which were (18.89, 14.44, 9.30 and $42.64 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ for the first, second, third and total yield). The best dry yield in first, second, third and total yield (3.58, 2.66, 2.25 and $8.49 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$, respectively) were recorded for the same seeding rate and spacing row ( 35 $\mathrm{kg} /$ fed and 30 cm ). (Table 3) The results indicated that higher fresh and dry yield from sowing using row spacing of 60 cm at seeding rate $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ (16.81, 11.94, 8.05 and 36.81 t/fed for fresh yield and 3.15, 2.34, 2.03and 7.52 t /fed for dry yield in the first, second, third and total yield, respectively). The results reported that fresh and dry yield significantly affected by interaction between row spacings and sowing seed rates over cuts except for the third cut. Table 3 showed significantly affected by row spacing for total fresh and dry yield by using 25, 35 and $40 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed seeding rates. The sowing
rate of $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ at spacing row 30 cm indicated superior fresh and dry yield at the first, second and total yield over all seeding rates.

Table 2: Means of plant height, number of tillers and stem leaves ratio per plant of cuts three of cowpea under different seeding rates and row spacings combined of two seasons (2009 and 2010) at New valley.

| Seeding rate | Row spacing | Plant height cm |  |  | No. tillers |  |  | Leaf stem ratio\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 3 | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 3 | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 3 |
| $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 73.00cd | 71.75ef | 59.00c | 2.50b | 2.75b | 1.75b | 37.47 | 46.02 | 41.68 |
|  | 30 cm | 79.50c | 77.25c | 50.75d | 1.50 cd | 2.25b | 1.50c | 42.62 | 40.15 | 35.40 |
|  | Mean | 76.25C | 74.50C | 54.88C | 2.00 BC | 2.50 AB | 1.63B | 40.04 | 43.09A | 38.54 |
| $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 87.50ab | 80.25bc | 69.50b | 1.50cd | 1.50 cd | 1.50c | 38.40 | 37.94 | 38.67 |
|  | 30 cm | 87.75ab | 83.25b | 70.25b | 1.25d | 1.25d | 1.25c | 35.42 | 36.00 | 30.34 |
|  | Mean | 87.63A | 81.75AB | 69.88AB | 1.38C | 1.38C | 1.38C | 36.91 | 36.97C | 34.50 |
| $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 76.75c | 75.25d | 71.75ab | 3.75a | 3.50a | 2.75a | 35.51 | 41.84 | 29.20 |
|  | 30 cm | 83.75b | 79.50c | 72.5ab | 3.50a | 3.50a | 2.50a | 42.15 | 45.18 | 29.98 |
|  | Mean | 80.25B | 77.38B | 72.13A | 3.63A | 3.50A | 2.63A | 38.83 | 43.51A | 29.59 |
| $40 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 86.75b | 80.75bc | 56.75cd | 3.00b | 2.50b | 2.50a | 35.56 | 41.43 | 34.12 |
|  | 30 cm | 91.75a | 86.5a | 61.25bc | 2.50b | 2.50b | 2.50a | 32.85 | 39.09 | 29.34 |
|  | Mean | 89.25A | 83.63A | 59.00C | 2.75B | 2.50AB | 2.50A | 34.20 | 40.26B | 31.73 |
| $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 72.00d | 69.00f | 55.00cd | 2.00c | 1.75c | 2.00 b | 38.10 | 39.30 | 30.64 |
|  | 30 cm | 94.75a | 89.00a | 82.75a | 3.00b | 2.75b | 2.50a | 39.94 | 52.71 | 32.03 |
|  | Mean | 83.38AB | 79.00B | 68.88B | 2.50B | 2.25B | 2.25A | 39.02 | 46.01A | 31.33 |
| Over all rates | 60 cm | 83.75 | 79.40 | 67.95A | 2.55 | 2.40 | 2.10 | 37.01 | 41.31 | 3.14A |
|  | 30 cm | 82.95 | 79.10 | 61.95B | 2.35 | 2.45 | 2.05 | 38.60 | 42.63 | 1.14B |
|  | Mean | 83.35 | 79.25 | 64.95 | 2.42 | 2.42 | 2.08 | 37.80 | 41.97 | 33.14 |
| Interaction(F. test) |  | ** | ** |  |  | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | ** |  |

Means in each column followed by similar letters are not significantly different at $5 \%$ level.
**,*, n.s.: high, significant and insignificant differences at 5\% level, respectively.
Generally, increasing seeding rates amplified the competition among plants for soil, temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and relative humidity\%. The lower relative humidity $26.3 \%$ with the higher temperature $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sowing may help seedlings for better growth and may have effect on the interaction between soil moisture and plant recovery after cutting (Table 1), thus, a facility to compete and vigour. Furthermore, the narrow row spacing i.e. 30 cm , effect on plant to grow as isolated units for most of their early life and interfered less with each other than at large spaces, 60 cm , this might explain the significant effects of seeding rats on most of the characters measured in this study. So, sowing using seeding rate of $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ at narrow row spacing ( 30 cm ) may be increase the competition between plants with high temperature causing by increase plant density in low unite area. This may affected the interaction between plants and environmental factors.

Table 3: Means of fresh, dry and total yield of three cuts of cowpea under different seeding rates and two row spacing combined of two seasons (2009 and 2010) at New valley.

| Seeding rate | Row spacing | Fresh yield t/fed |  |  | Dry yield t/fed |  |  | Total cuts t/fed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 3 | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 3 | Forage | Dry |
| 25 kg/fed | 60 cm | 7.65e | 7.91c | 4.16d | 1.92c | 1.31c | 1.03 | 19.74 e | 4.25c |
|  | 30 cm | 12.08d | 9.86b | 5.14c | 2.39b | 2.08b | 1.48 | 27.08d | 5.95bc |
|  | Mean | 9.87D | 8.89C | 4.65C | 2.15C | 1.69B | 1.25 | 23.41C | 5.10C |
| $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 13.34c | 10.83b | 6.39c | 2.72a | 2.32b | 1.73 | 30.56c | 6.76b |
|  | 30 cm | 14.58b | 11.67a | 7.36b | 3.03a | 2.44a | 1.86 | 33.61 bc | 7.34a |
|  | Mean | 13.96BC | 11.25AB | 6.88B | 2.88A | 2.38A | 1.80 | 32.08B | 7.05B |
| $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 16.81ab | 11.94a 3 | 3.05ab | 3.15a | 2.34b | 2.03 | 36.81b | 7.52a |
|  | 30 cm | 18.89a | 14.44a | 9.30a | 3.58a | 2.66a | 2.25 | 42.64a | 8.49a |
|  | Mean | 17.84A | 13.19A | 8.68A | 3.36A | 2.50A | 2.14 | 39.72A | 8.00A |
| $40 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 12.64c | 10.00b | 7.22b | 2.30b | 2.60a | 1.74 | 29.86 | 6.64b |
|  | 30 cm | 14.16c | 11.25a | 7.64b | 2.70a | 2.23 b | 2.05 | 33.06bc | 6.97b |
|  | Mean | 13.40C | 10.63B | 7.43A | 2.50B | 2.41A | 1.89 | 31.46B | 6.81 |
| $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 13.72c | 11.28a | 7.14bc | 2.73a | 2.43a | 1.84 | 32.14 C | 7.41a |
|  | 30 cm | 16.71ab | 7.09c | 7.50b | 3.03a | 2.47a | 1.64 | 31.29c | 7.30a |
|  | Mean | 15.22B | 9.18BC | 7.32AB | 2.68B | 2.69A | 1.85 | 31.52B | 7.22A |
| Over all rates | 60 cm | 11.35B | 3.97 | 1.56 | 2.38B | 1.32 | 0.87 | 16.88B | 4.57B |
|  | 30 cm | 16.30A | 2.43 | 1.99 | 3.06A | 1.70 | 1.10 | 20.72A | 5.86A |
|  | Mean | 13.09 | 2.81 | 1.91 | 2.60 | 1.44 | 1.06 | 17.81 | 5.10 |
| Interaction (F. test) |  | ** | ** | * | ** | ** | n.s. | * | * |

**,*, n.s.: high, significant and. insignificant differences at 5\% level, respectively. Means in each column followed by similar letters are not significantly different at $5 \%$ level

## Ismaelia

Plant height showed decreases in all cuts and sowing with seeding rates of 30 cm row spacings compared with those sown at 60 cm row spacing, except for sowing rates at $25,40 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ and in the second cut with sowing at seeding rate of $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$. The tallest plants were produced for sowing at seeding rate of $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ and row spacing 30 cm , which were ( $85.75,79.25$ and 62.00 cm for the first, second and thirst cuts, respectively).

The results indicated that sowing at seeding rate at $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ recorded tallest plants when sown plant at 60 cm spaced row, over all cuts, with 85.00 , 83.00 and 65.75 cm for the first, second and thirst cuts, respectively as combined data of two seasons. Superior number of tillers was obtained from sowing rate of $40 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed and row spacing of 30 cm and 60 cm which were, $3.75,3.50,2.50$ and $3.5,3.5,2.5$ of first, second and third cuts, respectively. High percentage of stem leaves ratio was produced in the second cut of $25 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed seeding rate and sowing at row spacing of 60 cm which were 50.71 $\%$ and 45.96 at 30 cm . The second cut produced the highest percentage of SLR\% over all cuts and treatments, whereas the third cut was decreased and was similar to first one.

Concerning to fresh and dry yield/fed, the results in Table (5) clearly showed that fresh and dry yield/fed and their totals over cuts at Ismaelia location showed depressed compared with New valley conditions. This may be related to soil type, deficit of irrigation high percentage of RH (47.3 to $60.8 \%$ ) and weed competition. Sowing at seeding rate $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ at row
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spacing 30 cm produced the highest fresh yield of first, second and third cuts ( $6.60 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}, 5.93 \mathrm{t} /$ fed and $4.25 \mathrm{t} /$ fed, respectively) with high total fresh yield (16.78t/fed). The higher fresh yield produced from seeding rate $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ with the two row spacings ( 6.32 t /fed in first cut, $5.86 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ in second cut and 4.63 $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ in third cut) for 60 cm row spacing and ( $6.14 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ in first cut, $5.72 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ in second cut and $4.35 \mathrm{t} /$ fed in third cut) for row spacing of 30 cm .

In spite of higher yield by using seeding rate $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ with the two row spacing, we recommend using $25 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed seeding rate with 30 cm row spacing in Ismaelia location.

Table 4: Means of plant height, number of tillers and stem leaves ratio per plant of three cuts of cowpea under different seeding rates and two row spacings combined of two growing seasons (2009 and 2010) at Ismaelia.

| Seeding rate | Row spacing | Plant height cm |  |  | No. tillers |  |  | Stem leaves ratio\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 3 | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 3 | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 3 |
| 25 kg/fed | 60 cm | 72.25 | 52.25 | 63.25a | 2.50b | 2.00c | 1.25b | 39.25 | 50.71a | 36.81a |
|  | 30 cm | 85.75 | 79.25 | 62.00b | 3.00a | 3.25a | 2.50a | 38.84 | 45.96a | 38.38a |
|  | Mean | 79.00 | 65.75 | 62.63B | 2.75C | 2.63B | 1.88B | 39.05 | 48.34A | 37.60A |
| $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 75.25 | 73.75 | 64.75a | 3.00a | 2.50 b | 1.75b | 42.62 | 40.15b | 35.40a |
|  | 30 cm | 68.75 | 64.50 | 60.50b | 1.75bc | 2.25b | 1.50b | 38.4 | 37.94c | 38.67a |
|  | Mean | 72.00 | 69.13 | 62.63B | 2.38C | 2.38B | 1.63 | 40.51 | 39.05C | 37.03A |
| $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 67.75 | 58.75 | 51.50c | 1.75c | 1.75c | 1.00c | 35.42 | 36.00c | 30.34b |
|  | 30 cm | 54.50 | 68.00 | 50.25c | 2.50b | 2.00c | 1.25b | 35.51 | 41.84b | 29.20c |
|  | Mean | 61.13 | 63.38 | 50.88D | 2.13C | 1.88C | 1.13C | 35.46 | 38.92C | 29.77C |
| $40 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 72.75 | 66.75 | 53.50c | 3.75a | 3.50a | 2.50a | 42.15 | 45.18a | 29.98c |
|  | 30 cm | 76.25 | 72.00 | 60.00b | 3.50a | 3.50a | 2.50a | 35.56 | 41.43b | 34.12b |
|  | Mean | 74.50 | 69.38 | 56.75C | 3.63A | 3.50 A | 2.50A | 38.85 | 43.31B | 32.05B |
| $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 85.00 | 83.00 | 65.75a | 3.25a | 2.50b | 2.50a | 32.85 | 39.09b | 29.34c |
|  | 30 cm | 78.50 | 76.25 | 71.00a | 2.75b | 2.50b | 2.50a | 38.10 | 39.30b | 32.03b |
|  | Mean | 81.75 | 79.63 | 68.38A | 3.00B | 2.50B | 2.50A | 35.48 | 39.20C | 30.68B |
| Over all rates | 60 cm | 72.30 | 67.33 | 61.27 | 2.30 | 2.10 | 1.77 | 38.49 | 40.98 | 34.30 |
|  | 30 cm | 72.52 | 75.13 | 60.00 | 2.94 | 2.48 | 2.23 | 35.86 | 42.65 | 30.64 |
|  | Mean | 72.41 | 71.29 | 60.62 | 2.62 | 2.29 | 2.00 | 37.15 | 41.83 | 32.44 |
| Interaction (F. test) |  | n.s. | n.s. | * | n.s. | * | * | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. |

Means in each column followed by similar letters are not significantly different at $5 \%$ level *, n.s.: significant and. insignificant differences at $5 \%$ level, respectively.

Table 5: Means of fresh, dry and total yield of three cuts of cowpea under different seeding rates and two row spacings combined of two growing seasons (2009 and 2010) at Ismaelia.

| Seeding rate | Row spacing | Fresh yield t/fed |  |  | Dry yield t/fed |  |  | Total t/fed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 3 | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 3 | Forage | Dry |
| 25 kg/fed | 60 cm | 4.92b | 3.80bc | 2.64d | 0.91 | 2.11d | 1.47d | 11.36d | 4.49c |
|  | 30 cm | 6.60a | 5.93a | 4.25a | 1.24a | 3.29a | 2.36a | 16.78a | 6.89a |
|  | Mean | 5.76A | 4.87A | 3.45B | 1.07B | 2.70B | 1.92C | 14.07BC | 5.69B |
| $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 5.99a | 5.10a | 3.71ab | 1.11 a | 2.83ab | 2.06b | 14.79b | 6.01b |
|  | 30 cm | 4.55b | 4.21b | 3.07b | 0.99b | 2.34c | 1.71c | 11.83d | 5.03c |
|  | Mean | 5.27B | 4.65B | 3.39B | 1.05B | 2.58C | 1.88C | 13.31C | 5.52B |
| $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 3.81c | 3.00c | 1.83d | 0.71d | 1.67 e | 1.02e | 8.64e | 3.39d |
|  | 30 cm | 4.53b | 4.28b | 2.97c | 0.85c | 2.38c | 1.66c | 11.78d | 4.88 bc |
|  | Mean | 4.17C | 3.64C | 2.40C | 0.78C | 2.02D | 1.34D | 10.21D | 4.14C |
| $40 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 5.54a | 4.88b | 3.71ab | 1.03a | 2.71b | 2.06b | 14.13c | 5.80b |
|  | 30 cm | 5.86a | 5.55a | 4.07a | 1.14a | 3.09a | 2.26b | 15.49b | 6.49ab |
|  | Mean | 5.70A | 5.22A | 3.89AB | 1.08AB | 2.90B | 2.16B | 14.81B | 6.14A |
| $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ | 60 cm | 6.32a | 5.86a | 4.63a | 1.18a | 3.26a | 2.57a | 16.81a | 7.01a |
|  | 30 cm | 6.14a | 5.72a | 4.35a | 1.14a | 3.18a | 2.41a | 16.21a | 6.73a |
|  | Mean | 6.23A | 5.79A | 4.49A | 1.16A | 3.22A | 2.49A | 16.51A | 6.87A |
| Over all rates | 60 cm | 5.21 | 4.45 | 3.02 | 0.88 | 2.47 | 1.68B | 12.68B | 5.03 |
|  | 30 cm | 5.41 | 5.00 | 3.61 | 0.91 | 2.78 | 2.00A | 14.02A | 5.69 |
|  | Mean | 5.31 | 4.73 | 3.32 | 0.89 | 2.63 | 1.84 | 13.36 | 5.37 |
| Interaction (F. test) |  | ** | ** | ** | * | ** | ** | ** | ** |

Means in each column followed by similar letters are not significantly different at $5 \%$ level ** , *: high and significant differences at 5\% level, respectively.

## Sids

Regarding to Sids location, the results in Table (6) two cuts only were recorded. From sowing rates of 45 and $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ recorded tallest plants $(72.75 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 73.00 cm ) at 30 cm row spacing in the first and second cuts.

With respect to number of tillers/plant, the results clearly showed high depression under all treatments of the second cut. Great number of tillers (30.25 and 6.25) produced from sowing at $35 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{fed}^{-1}$ and using row spacing 30 cm in the first and second cuts, respectively. Differences among seeding rates in plant height were reported by Miller (1988) and Mohammed (1984). Increasing plant density decreased number of leaves per plant. These results are in agreement with the previous findings reported by many workers (Alege and Mustapha, 2007; Weber et al 1966; Mohammed, 1984). They showed that increased row spacing reduced the number of branches per plant. These results are in conformity with the findings of Yadav (2003) for plant height, lateral branches and number of trifoliate leaves in cowpea.

The results indicated that high fresh yield/fed produced from using 40 $\mathrm{kg} /$ fed not only in the first cut (14.51 and $15.35 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ ) for row spacing 30 and 60 cm , but also in the second cut ( 9.58 and12.15 t/fed) for both spacing comparing with seeding rate $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ at 60 cm row spacing, 14.10 and 7.85 $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$, for the same cuts. Increasing of fresh yield (t.fed ${ }^{-1}$ ) began with 35, 40 and $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ seeding rate. The treatment of $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ seeding rate with 60 cm spacing rows recorded the best result 15.63, $9.65 \mathrm{t} /$ fed of fresh yield and $1.94,1.04 \mathrm{t} /$ fed of dry yield for the first and second cuts, respectively.

Table 6: Means of plant height, number of tillers and leaf stem ratio per plant of three cuts of cowpea under different seeding rates and two row spacings combined of two growing seasons (2009 and 2010) at Sids.

| Seeding rate | ow pacing | Plant height cm |  | No. tillers |  | Stem leaves ratio\% |  | Fresh yield t/fed |  | Dry yield t/fed |  | Total cuts t/fed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 1 | Cut2 | Cut 1 | Cut | ut 1 | Cut 2 | Cut 1 | Cut 2 | Fres | Dry |
| $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed} \end{gathered}$ | 60 | 63.75 | 65.75b | 24.25b | 4.250 | 35.75 | 41.25 | 14.10c | 7.850 | 1.67 | 0.99 l | 21.94 | 26b |
|  | 30 cm | 64.75 | 66.00 b | 24.50b | 3.25c | 31.25 | 33.25 | 13.47c | 5.14 C | 1.68 | 0.620 | 18.61 | 29c |
|  | Mean | 64.25 | 65.88 A | 24.38AB | 3.75 | 33.50 | 37.25 | 13.78B | 6.49 [ | 1.67 | 0.81 | 2.28C | 2.48C |
| $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed} \end{gathered}$ | 60 cm | 67.75 | 62.00 b | 19.75c | 3.00c | 39.75 | 34.50 | 15.63a | 9.65b | 1.94 | 1.04b | 25.28 | 2.99a |
|  | 30 cm | 66.00 | 60.00c | 24.25b | 3.00c | 40.50 | 37.50 | 14.52b | 5.470 | 1.71 | 0.62 c | 19.9 | 33 c |
|  | Mean | 66.88 | 61.00 | 22.00 C | 3.000 | 40.13 | 36.00 | 15.07A | 7.56 | 1.82 | 0.830 | 22.63 | . |
| $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed} \end{gathered}$ | 60 c | 65.50 | 66.00 b | 22.75 b | 3.25c | 36.50 | 33.25 | 13.61c | 8.33 | 1.67 | 0.870 | 21.9 | 54b |
|  | 30 cm | 69.25 | 73.00a | 30.25a | 6.250 | 40.00 | 36.25 | 13.34c | 9.31 C | 1.58 | 1.14b | 22.64 | 2.72 a |
|  | Mean | 67.38 | 69.50 A | 26.50A | 4.75 | 38.25 | 34.75 | 13.47C | 8.82 ¢ | 1.63 | 1.01E | 22.29 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed} \end{gathered}$ | 60 cm | 64.75 | 62.50b | 24.50b | 6.25a | 36.50 | 36.50 | 14.51b | 9.58b | 1.78 | 1.07b | 24.10 | 85b |
|  | 30 cm | 62.25 | 71.75a | 22.75b | 3.25c | 33.00 | 32.25 | 15.352 | 12.15 | 1.89 | 1.40a | 27.50 | 3.2 |
|  | Mean | 63.50 | 67.13 A | 23.63B | 4.75A | 34.75 | 34.38 | 14.93A | 10.8 | 1.84 | 1.24 | 25.80 | 3.07A |
| $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed} \end{gathered}$ | 60 cm | 64.50 | 66.00 b | 25.50b | 3.50 b | 41.00 | 35.25 | 14.93b | 7.290 | 1.54 | 0.89 c | 22.23 | 2.42 b |
|  | 30 cm | 72.75 | 59.00c | 25.25 b | 3.75b | 35.75 | 32.50 | 15.97a | 8.89 | 1.92 | 1.04b | 24.86 | .96 |
|  | Mean | 68.63 | 62.50E | 25.38A | 3.63 E | 38.38 | 33.88 | 15.45A | 8.09 E | 1.73 | 0.96 E | 23.54 | .69B |
| Over all rates | 60 cm | 62.93 | 62.73 | 23.40 | 3.47 | 36.70 | 36.70 | 12.32 | 6.62 | 1.49 | 0.60 | 18.94 | 2.09 |
|  | 30 cm | 64.40 | 61.30 | 24.93 | 4.67 | 35.6 | 35.63 | 12.78 | 8.32 | 1.51 | 0.79 | 21.10 | 2.30 |
|  | Mean | 63.67 | 62.02 | 24.17 | 4.07 | 36.17 | 36.17 | 12.55 | 7.47 | 1.50 | 0.70 | 20.02 | 2.20 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Interaction(F. } \\ \text { test) } \end{gathered}$ |  | n.s. |  |  | * |  | n.s | n.s | n.s | n.s | n.s | n.s | n.s |

Means in each column followed by similar letters are not significantly different at $5 \%$ level *, ,n.s.: high, significant and. insignificant differences at $5 \%$ level, respectively.

Figure 1: Total fresh yield regression among the different seeding rate at three locations.

Insignificant regression of total fresh yield related to the control (seeding rate at $25 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed with 60 cm row spacing) were recorded $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ $0.2895, R^{2}=0.1888$ and $R^{2}=0.5822$ for New Valley, Ismaelia and Sids , respectively. Despite the difference in the number of cuts in Ismaelia (three cuts) and Sids (two cuts) the behavior of the yield was similar in the two locations. We can grow cowpea in the new reclaimed lands with the advantage of economic field crops in Sids location.

Regarding to chemical analysis of cowpea dry yield for all locations, the range of soluble carbohydrate varied from $25.5 \%$ to $26.8 \%$ with average mean $26.3 \%$. Sids location indicated higher percentage of crude protein (18.8\%). New Valley indicated high percentage of crude fiber and ash (22.5 and $13.5 \%$, respectively). Tarawali et al. ,1997, found that crude protein content in analyzed grain and leaves ranges from 22 to $30 \%$ on a dry weight. Tsigewoin and Agarwal (2000) reported that the medium seed rate of 40 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ for cowpea fodder was significantly superior to others in dry matter yield and crude protein yield. In Cuba, Santiesteban et al. (2002) observed that the chemical composition was not affected by sowing rate in cowpea.
Table 7: Chemical analysis of cowpea.

| Location |  | \% |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carbohydrates | Crude protein | Crude fiber | Ash |
| New Valley | 26.8 | 16.6 | 22.5 | 13.5 |
| Ismaelia | 25.5 | 16.9 | 21.6 | 12.9 |
| Sids | 26.6 | 18.8 | 21.3 | 12.6 |
| Mean | 26.3 | 17.4 | 21.8 | 13.0 |

It may be assumed that, increasing the plant population due to increased seeding rates will increase competition among plants for soil, moisture, nutrient and light. While, the low plant population density by decreasing seeding rate grew as isolated units for most of their early life and interfered less with each other than at higher densities. This might explain significant effects of seeding rates and increasing the number of plants in unit area, which will increase competition and affect the most of the parameters measured in each location. The fact that the semi prostrate growth habit of cowpea has a compensate ability at spacing row, seeding rate, within the row will allow for thus increased yield per unit area.

An advantage of narrow row spacing ( 30 cm ) is more equidistant plant spacing that leads to increased LSR, growth rate, dry matter accumulation, yield and quality.

## Conclusion

It could be concluded that soil texture and environmental factors interactions with seeding rate and row spacing affected plant morphology, yield and quality. Increasing seeding rate increased fresh and dry yield (t/fed). In New valley location, the highest yield per unit area produced from seeding rate $35 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed with 30 cm row spacing.

The seeding rate of $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ at 30 cm row spacing was recommended for Ismaelia location. At Sids location, seeding rate of $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ with 60 cm row spacing recorded highest fresh and dry yield/fed.
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تأثثير مدلات التقاوي والمسـافات بين السطور على محصول العلف والجودة للوبيـا العلف (.) (Vigna sinenses L.) منزر دعة في ثلاثة مواقع عزة خليل سالم، زينب محمد عبد النبي و ناصر محمد ناصر نصر قسم بحوث العلف، معهد المحاصيل الحقلية، مركز البحوث الزراعية


 بذور/فدان، كما تمت الزراعة علي مسافتين بين السطور هما • بَ و •7 سم. و تم تتفيذ التجارب في تصميم القطاعات المنشقة (مرة واحدة) في ثيلاث مكررات و كانت النتائج المتحصل عليها هي:


 العلف في الظروف البيئية لمنطقة الوادي الجديد.
r- أظهرت النتائج تحت ظروف الاسماعيليةً أن الزراعـة بمعدل التقاوي 0 ع كجم بذور / فدان و الزراعـة

 باستخدام معدل تقاوي Y Y كجم بذور/فـان مع مسافة . با سم بين السطور.



६- لم يظهر معامل الانحدار أي فروق معنوية بين معدلات التقاوي و المحصول الأخضر الكلي بـالطن للفدان في كل منطقة (علي حدة) حيث كانت النتائج كالنالي:
 منُطقة سدس (
هـ أوضحت نتـائج التحليـل الكيمـاوي لعينـة متجانسـة مـن لوبيـا العلف عدم وجود فروق معنويـة بـين نتـائج
المو اقع الثلاثة حيث كان المتوسط العام لنسبة الكربوهيبرات و البروتين الخـام و الألياف الخـام و الرمـاد
هي ها
نوصي هذه الار اسة للحصول علي أعلي إنتاجية من محصول العلف الأخضر من لوبيا العلف عند الزر اعة بمعدل هזّ كجم /فدان علي سطور •تّ سم و ذلك تحت الظروف البيئية للوادي الجديد.
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