## RESPONSE OF COTTON GROWTH AND PRODUCTIVITY TO APPLICATION OF POTASSIUM AND ZINC UNDER NORMAL AND LATE SOWING DATES

Emara, M. A. A.

Agron. Res. Section, Cotton Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

#### ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out in Sakha Agric. Res. Sta., Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt during two growing seasons (2007 and 2008) using the Egyptian cotton cultivar Giza 86 (G. barbadense, L.), to study the response of cotton growth and productivity to application of potassium and zinc under two planting dates. The experimental design was split-plot with four replications. The main-plots were assigned to two planting dates, i.e., normal planting date on March 30th and late planting date on April 30th. The sub-plots included six potassium and zinc fertilization treatments i.e., two soil application levels (24 and 48 kg K2O/fed.) alone or with the foliar application of zinc at the level of 0.5 g Zn SO4/liter. Potassium was also tried as foliar application at the level of 5 kg K2SO4/fed. alone or with the abovementioned level of zinc. Soil added potassium was applied at thinning, while foliar added one was partly sprayed at the beginning of flowering and two weeks later. Zinc was sprayed once at beginning of flowering. The results indicated that sowing date gave significant effects on all growth parameters; no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight, no. of plants/fed. at harvest, seed index and seed cotton yield/fed., upper half mean length, micronaire reading, reflectance and yellowness in favour of early planting. Sowing date did not exhibit any significant on lint %, uniformity index, fiber strength and fiber elongation % in both seasons. Foliar application of potassium along with the foliar application of zinc gave a significant increase in plant height at harvest, no. of nodes/plant, no. of sympodia/plant, no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight, seed index and seed cotton yield (ken./fed.) as compared with the other fertilization treatments. The efficiency use of heat units by cotton plants increased in favour of normal sowing rather than in late sowings. The foliar application of potassium and zinc treatments did not exhibit any significant effect on all fiber quality traits in both seasons. It could be concluded that the foliar application of 5 Kg K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/fed. sprayed partly twice + foliar application of 0.5 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/L once at beginning of flowering stage could be recommended to increase the yield and its components under normal or late sowing dates., for Giza 86 variety, under Sakha region condition at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate.

**Keywords:** Cotton, Sowing dates, Heat units, Potassium, Zinc, Foliar application, Growth, Productivity, Fiber quality.

## INTRODUCTION

Cotton is considered one of the most important fiber crops all over the world. It has long been established that planting date exerts profound effects on cotton growth and productivity. Similarly, efficient fertilization management is important for maximizing cotton yield. Nutrient elements must be sufficient enough in the growing environment of the plant to obtain high quality and more yield. Both plant growth and yield are negatively affected by deficiency of nutrient elements and lint quality is decreased as well. To achieve efficient fertilization management, nutrient, recommendations should be continuously updated to fit changes in soil fertility, climatic conditions, newly released cultivars and planting date. It is well-recognized that delay in cotton planting usually gives plants with vigorous vegetative growth, reduced fruiting efficiency and decreased yield. It seems logic that efficient fertilization management could help in decreasing such adverse effects of delaying cotton planting date. Under local conditions, results of many recent studies indicated that the application of potassium and/or zinc enhances the fruiting efficiency and yield of cotton plant.

In Egypt, sowing date is considered the most important factor among the different factors which influence growth and yield. Planting cotton before end of March leads to the formation of first fruiting branche at lower node, increasing both no. of fruiting branches, no. of flowers and no. of open bolls/plant therefore, increasing the lint %, yield, grade and guality of cotton fiber. Planting date management not only has a large effect on crop growth, development, and yield but it also impacts insect pest management at the end of the season and picking early. Many investigations showed that early sowing had a favorable effect on yield of seed cotton compared with late sowing. Many workers studied the effect of sowing date on cotton plant such as El-Sayed (2005), Emara et al. (2006) found that early sowing significantly increased no. of sympodia/plant and no. of fruiting branches/plant. Makram et al. (2001), El-Sayed (2005), Emara et al. (2006), Elayan et al. (2006) and El-Shahawy and Hamoda (2011) mentioned that early sowing date significantly exceeded late sowing in yield and yield components. Makram et al. (2001), Emara et al. (2006) and El-Shahawy and Hamoda (2011) indicated that early sowing date significantly increased lint % and seed index. While, Makram et al. (2001), El-Sayed (2005), Emara et al. (2006) and El-Shahawy and Hamoda (2011) cleared that plant height, no. of nodes/plant, internode length, position of first sympodium and no. of sympodia/plant were increased in favour of late sowing. Makram et al. (2001) and Emara et al. (2006) cleared that they added that early sowing harvested the highest amount of heat units and increased the efficiency use of cotton plants to thermal units. Emara et al. (2006) revealed that late sowing on April caused an increase in boll weight and seed index. Concerning the fiber quality, Abd El-Karim (2003), mentioned that early sowing was significantly superior than late sowing to fiber length, uniformity ratio, fiber strength and micronaire reading. However, El-Shahawy and Hamoda (2011) indicated that all fiber properties studied were improved due to in early planting.

Potassium is an essential macro-element required in large amounts for normal plant growth and development. Potassium is an important nutrient that has favorable effects on the metabolism of nucleic acids, proteins, vitamins and growth substances. Furthermore, Potassium plays important roles in the translocation of photsynthates, sugars and activation of many enzymes required from sources to sinks (Cakmak *et al.*, 1994; Marschner, 1997; Bednarz and Oosterhuis, 1999 and Morteza *et al.*, 2005). However, Pettigrew (1999) indicated that the elevated carbohydrate concentrations remaining in source tissue, such as leaves, appear to be part of the overall effect of potassium deficiency in reducing the amount of photosynthate available for reproductive sinks and thereby producing changes in the yield

٥١.

and quality of cotton. Many studies have shown increased yield and quality in response to potassium fertilization as reported by Gormus (2002), Keshavarz *et al.*, (2004), Makhdum *et al.* (2005), Sawan (2006), Sawan *et al.* (2006a), and El-Sayed *et al.* (2007). Information available on potassium requirements of cotton plants showed better response to moderate rate of application potassium, i.e., 24 - 48 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. as indicated by Khalifa and Abou-Zaid (2002), El-Shazly *et al.*, (2003), El-Masri *et al.*, (2005), Hamed (2006) and Abou-Zaid *et al.*, (2009).

Zinc is an indispensable element for healthy life plants. It has important functions in protein and carbohydrate metabolism of plants. Furthermore, zinc is an element which directly affects yield and quality because of its function such as its activity in biological membrane stability, enzyme activation ability, protein metabolism, photosynthetic carbon metabolism and Indole Acetic Acid metabolism (Marschner, 1997 and Oktay et al., 1998). The metabolic functions of zinc are mainly based on its role as a structural constituent or regulatory co-factor of enzyme systems involved in several key physiological pathways including; photosynthesis, sugar formation, growth regulation and disease resistance, (Rengel, 2007 and Alloway, 2008). Moreover, its deficiency has been reported to cause reduction in dry matter production of many crop plants, (Price, 1970; Wang and Jin, 2005 and El-Fouly 2006). Several workers documented favorable responses of cotton growth, productivity and fiber quality to foliar application with zinc, Ratinavel et al. (1991), Zeng (1996), Elwan et al. (2002), El-Masri (2005), Suresh and Kumar (2005), Sawan et al. (2006b), El-Menshawi and El-sayed (2007), Mamatha (2007), Kassem et al. (2009), Abdel-Aal et al. (2011), Ali et al. (2011) and Lale and Emine. (2011).

Therefore, the main goal of this investigation was to study the effects of potassium and/or zinc application on cotton growth and yield of normal and late planted cotton under the environmental conditions of Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out in Sakha Agric. Res. Sta., Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt during two growing seasons (2007 and 2008) to study the response of cotton growth and productivity to soil and foliar potassium and zinc application under normal and late sowing dates, using the Egyptian cotton cultivar Giza 86 (*G. barbadense* L.,). The experimental design was a split plot with four replications where the sowing dates were allocated to the main plots and the sub-plots included potassium and zinc application treatments as follows:

#### A- Sowing date (Main-plots):

- 1) Normal sowing date on March 30<sup>th</sup>.
- 2) Late sowing date on April 30<sup>th</sup>.

## B- Potassium and Zinc application (Sub-plots):

- 1) T1- Soil application of 24 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. at thinning.
- 2) T2- Soil application of 48 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. at thinning.

- **3) T3-** Soil application of 24 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. at thinning + One foliar application of 0.5 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/L, at beginning of flowering.
- 4) T4- Soil application of 48 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. at thinning + One foliar application of 0.5 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/L, at beginning of flowering.
- **5) T5-** Foliar application of 5 kg K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/fed. sprayed partly twice at beginning of flowering and two weeks later.
- **6) T6-** Foliar application of 5 kg K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/fed. sprayed partly twice + One foliar application of 0.5 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/L, the fore mentioned dates of application.

Potassium and zinc were foliar added using sprayer in low volume of 200 liter/fed.

The size of each plot was  $26 \text{ m}^2$  (including nine ridges each of 0.65 m wide x 5 m long). Distance between hills was 25 cm. Seedlings were thinned as two plants per hill at five weeks of sowing. Phosphorus in the form of ordinary superphosphate (15.5% P<sub>2</sub>0<sub>5</sub>) was applied through land preparation at the rate of 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>0<sub>5</sub>/fed. Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) was applied in two equal doses (30+30 Kg N/fed.), i.e., the first dose after thinning and before the second irrigation, the second dose before third irrigation. Potassium fertilizer as potassium sulfate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) at the levels of 24 or 48 Kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. was side-dressed in one dose before the second irrigation, whereas the foliar potassium application was sprayed twice i.e. at beginning of flowering and two weeks later. Zinc in the form of zinc sulphate (36% Zn) was foliar applied once at the beginning flowering stage. The other standard agricultural practices were followed throughout the growing seasons. The preceding crop was Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.,) in both seasons.

Some soil properties were determined according to the method described by Page *et al.* (1982) and are presented in Table (1). In both seasons, the soil texture was clay, low content of organic matter, low calcium carbonate and non-saline. The available amounts of macro elements were low for nitrogen, high for phosphorus and medium for potassium. Regarding, available amounts of micro-nutrients, Fe, Mn and Cu were of high levels in the soil, while Zn a low content amount. The concentration of zinc in soil solution falls with increasing pH (above 7), Cardozier (1957). This performance was done to avoid any nutrition factor interfered with the treatments used.

In both seasons, five representative hills (10 plants/plot) were taken at random in order to study the following traits; Plant height at harvest (cm), no. of nodes/plant, internode length (cm), position of first sympodium, no. of sympodia/plant, no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight (g), lint percentage and seed index (g). The yield of seed cotton in kentars/fed. was estimated from the 6 inner ridges, (One Kentar = 157.5kg.). The number of established plants at harvest was counted and calculated/fed. in thousands. The fiber properties i.e., upper half mean length (U.H.M), uniformity index (U.I), fiber strength (g/tex.), fiber elongation percentage, micronaire reading, reflectance (Rd), yellowness (+b). measured by HVI apparatus according to

(A.S.T.M.1986) that included in the fibers technology laboratory at Cotton Research Institute, Giza.

Table (1): Some soil properties of the experimental sites at Sakha in 2007 and 2008 seasons.

		Soil properties												
Seasons		Organic		EC	Ca CO.	Available element (ppm)								
	Texture	Matter (%)	рН	(m mhos/ cm.)	(%)	Ν	Р	к	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu		
2007	Clay	1.53	8.23	3.25	1.52	27.7	23.1	231	11.9	9.8	0.79	3.84		
2008	Clay	1.47	8.18	3.99	1.77	29.4	26.8	259	11.4	11.1	0.74	3.71		

The climatic records were maximum and minimum air temperatures (°C) were recorded in 30-days intervals through the cotton growing season (March - October), in 2007 and 2008 seasons for Sakha Agricultural Research Station. Also, the amounts of heat units (HU) were calculated in 30-days intervals for both seasons are shown in Table (2). Heat units (HU) according to Young *et al.* (1980) equation as follows:

Daily (HU) = mean daily min. and max. temperatures - K (Zero growth = 12.8°C).

Table (2): Mean of 30-day intervals, air temperatures and heat units (HU)for Sakha Agricultural Research Station in 2007 and 2008seasons.

			2007		2008						
Intervals	Ai temper °(	ir atures C	Heat	units	A tempe	ir ratures C	Heat units				
	Min.	Max.	Normal sowing	Late sowing	MIN.	MAX.	Normal sowing	Late sowing			
FROM 30/3 TO 28/4.	14.94	26.16	232.55	-	14.54	27.85	251.85	-			
FROM 29/4 TO 28/5.	15.76	30.15	304.70	307.70	14.74	29.29	276.50	281.55			
FROM 29/5 TO 27/6.	16.55	31.14	331.35	333.50	15.12	31.67	317.85	316.50			
FROM 28/6 TO 27/7.	15.95	32.25	339.00	339.90	15.77	31.63	327.00	329.45			
FROM 28/7 TO 26/8.	18.97	32.99	395.50	395.65	18.23	33.02	384.75	387.55			
FROM 27/8 TO 25/9.	19.80	31.61	387.25	383.55	19.33	32.57	394.45	393.30			
FROM 26/9 TO 17/10.	19.90	30.31	270.85	259.80	19.83	30.21	207.75	194.15			

This constant was used as the temperature below which cotton plants do not develop, (Zero growth).

Total heats were summed over the growth period. The efficiency use of heat units through the growing. The efficiency use of thermal heat units by cotton plants estimated by the following equation referred by (Makram *et al.* 2001);

Total amount of heat units through the whole season

Efficiency use of (HU) = ----- (HU/boll).

Number of open bolls/plant

The obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis according to the procedures outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1980) using M Stat-C microcomputer program for split plot design. L.S.D. values at 5% level of significance were used to compare between treatments means.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of application potassium and zinc under the two sowing dates on growth parameters, yield, yield components, efficiency use of heat units and fiber properties of the cotton Giza 86 is shown in tables (3) to (6).

# A- Effect of sowing dates:

## A-1- Growth parameters:

Data in Table (3) show that sowing date had a significant effect on all growth parameters; plant height at harvest, position of first sympodium, no. of sympodia/plant, no. of nodes/plant and internode length in 2007 and 2008 seasons, respectively. It is evident that late sowing date produced taller plants with longer internode length compared with the normal sowing date.

 Table (3): Cotton growth attributes as affected by the application of potassium and zinc under normal and late sowing dates during 2007 and 2008 seasons.

Characters		Plant height at harvest (cm)		First sympodial position (Node)		No. of sympodia/ plant		No nodes	. of s/plant	Internode length (cm)	
Seasons Treatments											
Sowing dates (A)	K & Zn (B)	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008
	T1	148.33	158.33	6.90	6.96	13.2	15.0	19.1	19.9	7.77	7.96
	T2	147.00	158.33	6.63	6.90	14.2	16.4	19.9	21.3	7.39	7.43
Normal (30 March)	Т3	148.66	150.66	6.93	6.96	14.3	15.3	20.3	20.3	7.32	7.42
	T4	150.00	158.33	6.96	7.00	14.3	16.5	20.2	21.5	7.43	7.36
	T5	148.66	161.66	7.10	7.03	14.8	16.5	20.9	21.6	7.11	7.48
Т6		152.66	163.50	7.06	7.10	14.9	16.8	20.9	21.8	7.30	7.50
MEA	N	149.22	158.47	6.93	6.99	14.3	16.1	20.2	21.1	7.39	7.53
	T1	160.33	160.33	7.90	7.73	12.6	12.7	19.5	18.4	8.22	8.71
	T2	161.33	161.66	7.93	7.20	13.1	12.8	20.1	18.0	8.03	8.98
Late	Т3	162.33	168.33	7.43	7.90	13.2	13.0	20.0	18.9	8.12	8.91
(30 April)	T4	163.00	167.00	7.70	7.93	13.2	13.6	19.6	19.5	8.32	8.56
	T5	163.66	166.00	7.86	7.90	13.1	13.4	20.0	19.3	8.18	8.60
	T6	164.00	169.50	7.63	7.43	13.4	14.4	20.4	19.8	8.04	8.56
MEA	N	162.44	165.47	7.74	7.68	18,1	13.3	19.9	19.0	8.15	8.72
	T1	154.17	159.33	7.40	7.35	12.9	13.8	19.3	19.2	8.00	8.30
Conoral	T2	154.33	160.00	7.28	7.05	13.7	14.6	20.0	19.7	7.71	8.12
mean of	Т3	155.50	159.50	7.18	7.43	13.8	14.2	20.1	19.6	7.74	8.14
(B)	T4	156.16	162.67	7.33	7.46	13.7	15.0	19.9	20.5	7.86	8.23
	T5	156.16	163.83	7.48	7.46	13.9	14.9	20.4	20.4	7.69	8.03
	T6	158.33	166.50	7.35	7.26	14.1	15.6	20.6	20.8	7.65	8.00
ISDat	Α	1.86	3.68	0.16	0.37	0.97	0.63	0.23	0.95	0.64	0.44
5% for	В	1.22	3.80	N.S	N.S	0.53	0.55	0.49	0.69	0.28	0.36
5% 101	AXB	2.30	5.38	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S

Plant height was increased by delaying the date of sowing due to on increment of internode length in both seasons as compared to early sowing

and these results might be due to fluctuations of climatic conditions and the increment of air and soil temperature at late sowing.

The effect was confirmed during the two studied seasons where plants sown at normal date showed their position of first sympodium at a lower node than that of late sowing date in both seasons. This effect may be due to the balance between vegetative and fruiting growth, which occurred under the earlier date, than late one.

Normal date plants carried highest no. of sympodia/plant with higher no. of nodes/plant than that obtained from late sown ones in both seasons. Number of sympodia/plant and no. of nodes/plant which considered as vegetative-fruiting character was significantly increased in favour of early sowing.

Similar results were obtained by El-Sayed (2005), Emara *et al.* (2006) and El-Shahawy and Hamoda (2011) for plant height, internode length, position of first sympodium, El-Sayed (2005) and Emara *et al.* (2006) for no. of sympodia/plant and no. of nodes/plant.

#### A-2- Yield and yield components:

Data in Table (4) cleared that no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight, seed index and seed cotton yield/fed. were significantly increased due to the normal sowing date in both seasons. This sowing date produced heavier bolls (2.63 and 2.42 g), and higher no. of open bolls/plant (14.21 and 17.73) with heavier seed index (10.33 and 10.48 g) than late sowing date (2.07 and 2.26 g), (12.31 and 14.31), (10.26 and 10.22 g) in 2007 and 2008 seasons, respectively. This could be attributed to the increase in the number of sympodia/plant and the well-built plants which were shorter and had lower fruiting node than the late sown plants which were etiolated. This in turn might have had increased the amounts of available photosynthates for boll development and hence increased boll weight and as well the seed index.

The earlier sowing date surpassed the later sowing date in the percentages of the increase of seed cotton yield/fed. owing to early sowing date were 34.37 and 29.29% for first and second seasons, respectively. The seed cotton yield/fed. was increased in favor of early sowing as a result of increasing no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight, seed index and optimum no. of plants/fed. at harvest. This might be due to the increase of thermal units which had achieved by early sowing.

But, the highest value of no. of plants/fed. at harvest (50.452 and 50.628) were obtained from late sowing date in 2007 and 2008 seasons, respectively. While, the lowest values (48.194 and 47.057) were obtained from early sowing date in both seasons. Sowing dates did not reflect any significant effect on lint % in both seasons. The decrease in the no. of harvested plants/fed. recorded for the normal sowing date was not reflected in the seed cotton yield/fed. which was increased. This clearly indicates that the increase in the no. of open bolls/plant and boll weight did compensate the decrease in plant population at harvest. The decrease of plant population due to the normal sowing date could be attributed to low seedling emergence which needs further investigate. Form the above mentioned results it could suggested that, normal sowing maximized the efficiency use of heat units

#### Emara, M. A. A.

early in season by inducing early balance between vegetative growth and fruiting development (Table 5). Consequently, normal sowing produced the highest number of buds, total bolls and early open bolls in the season, as compared to late sowing because most of the heat units were consumed in vegetative growth.

Table	(4):	Cotton	yield	and	yield	comp	onents	s as	affected	by	the
		applica	ation o	of pot	tassiur	n and	zinc ι	under	normal	and	late
		sowing	g dates	s duri	ng 200	7 and	2008 s	easoi	าร		

		No	. of	Dellu		No. of	plants	Li	nt	Cood		Seed of	cotton
Characters		ope	ned	BOIL M		(10	00	perce	ntage	Seed	index	yie	eld
		bolls	plant	(	<i>a)</i>	plants	s/fed.)	(%	<b>6)</b>	(9	3)	(Kentar/fed.)	
Sea	sons												
Treatme	nts												
Sowing	Κ&	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008
dates	Zn												
(A)	(B)												
	T1	13.50	16.83	2.46	2.30	48.000	27,788	38.73	38.53	1.,10	۱۰,٤٣	8.13	9.26
Normal	T2	14.40	17.10	2.60	2.50	48.800	٤٧,٤٠٠	38.36	38.46	۱۰,۲۸	۱۰,٤٤	8.54	9.40
(30	T3	14.20	17.93	2.63	2.36	49.033	٤٧,١٣٣	38.56	38.16	۱۰,۳۳	۱۰,٤٣	8.17	9.53
(30 March)	T4	14.33	17.86	2.70	2.50	48.667	٤٧,٣٦٧	38.13	38.43	۱۰,٤۰	۱۰,٤٦	8.85	9.43
mai ony	T5	14.43	17.73	2.66	2.33	47.00	27,727	38.36	37.60	۱۰,٤۰	1.,07	8.95	9.23
T6		14.43	18.93	2.73	2.56	47.667	٤٧,٠٦٧	38.66	38.56	۱۰,٤٣	۱۰,٦٠	8.96	9.56
MEAN		14.21	17.73	2.63	2.42	48.194	٤٧, . ٥٧	38.47	38.29	10.33	۱۰,٤٨	8.60	٩, ٤ ٠
	T1	11.70	12.90	2.00	2.20	50.333	٥٠,٦٦٧	38.26	38.43	۱۰,۲۰	1.,.٣	6.11	7.10
Lata	T2	12.50	13.16	2.00	2.30	50.600	01,988	38.06	38.56	۱۰,۲۳	۱۰,۱۳	6.52	7.10
Late	Т3	12.30	14.36	2.10	2.26	50.333	0., 2	38.43	38.00	۱۰,۲٦	۱۰,۱٦	6.22	7.00
(SU April)	T4	12.10	14.00	2.10	2.30	50.333	01,722	37.86	38.46	۱۰,۲۸	1.,٣٣	6.56	7.20
~~,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	T5	12.50	15.23	2.03	2.20	50.477	01,.88	37.93	38.43	1.,٣.	1.,77	6.39	7.33
	T6	12.80	16.23	2.20	2.30	50.633	٥٠,٠٠٠	38.03	37.96	1.,٣٣	1.,77	6.63	7.90
ME/	٨N	12.31	14.31	2.07	2.26	50.452	0.,778	38.10	38.31	10.26	1.,77	6.40	۷,۳۷
	T1	12.60	14.86	2.23	2.25	49.167	٤٨,٦٥.	38.50	38.48	10.18	10.23	7.12	8.18
Conoral	T2	13.45	15.13	2.30	2.40	49.700	29,177	38.21	38.51	10.26	10.29	7.53	8.25
General	Т3	13.25	16.15	2.36	2.31	49.683	٤٨,٧٦٧	38.50	38.08	10.30	10.30	7.19	8.26
of (B)	T4	13.21	15.93	2.40	2.40	49.500	٤٩,٠٥٠	38.00	38.45	10.34	10.40	7.70	8.31
	T5	13.46	16.48	2.35	2.26	48.738	٤٨,٨٨٨	38.15	38.01	10.35	10.43	7.67	8.28
	<b>T</b> 6	13.61	17.58	2.46	2.43	49.150	٤٨,٥٣٣	38.35	38.26	10.38	10.48	7.79	8.73
	Α	0.25	0.90	0.04	0.04	0.572	1.372	N.S	N.S	۰,۰۳	0.25	0.39	0.47
L.S.D at 5% for	В	0.48	0.85	0.09	0.11	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	۰,۰۹	0.09	0.30	0.36
570101	AXB	0.86	1.12	0.19	0.24	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S

Table (5): The effect of sowing date on total heat units and efficiency use of heat units per boll of cotton plant in during 2007 and 2008 seasons.

Characters	Total h	eat units	Efficienc heat ur (HU/	y use of iits/boll boll)	No. of days from sowing to picking (days)			
Sowing date	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008		
Normal sowing	2261.20	2160.15	159.13	121.83	202	197		
Late sowing	2020.10	1902.50	164.10	132.95	171	166		

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Makram *et al.* (2001), Emara *et al.* (2006) and El-Shahawy and Hamoda (2011) for yield and yield components.

The data in Table (5) cleared that normal sowing caused a decrease in the values of heat unit efficiency for producing one open boll that means the increase in efficiency use of thermal air units. This could be achieved by sowing cotton in the suitable time. Finally, it is important to measure the efficiency use of heat units in cotton production, in order to maximize the use of the inputs in cotton fields by using the equation.

## A-3- Fiber properties:

Results presented in Table (6) show that the sowing date had an insignificant effect on uniformity index, fiber strength and fiber elongation % under study in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Table	(6):	Cotton	fiber	pro	operti	ies as	s af	fected	by	the	applicati	on	of
		potassi	ium a	nd	zinc	unde	r no	ormal	and	late	sowing	dat	es
		during	2007	and	2008	seas	ons						

		I	Fiber I paran	Lengtl neters	n ,	Fib	er bur	ndle tii	nsel	Micro	nairo		Col	our	
Charact	ers	Uppe	r half	Unifo	ormity	Fil	oer nath	Fil	per	read	ding	Reflec	tance	Yellov	vness
		(m	m)	(9	6) 6)	(a/tex)		(%)				(Rd %)		(+b)	
Seas	ons	<b>,</b>		'	-,	(3-			-7						
Treatme	nts														
Sowing	K &	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008
dates	Zn														
(A)	<b>(B)</b>														
	T1	34.2	33.8	89.7	88.3	٤٣,٤	44.9	6.96	7.13	٤,٧٦	٤,٤٦	۷٥,٧	77.8	٩,٤٦	8.46
Normal	T2	34.4	33.5	88.0	86.8	٤٢,٢	43.9	6.46	7.13	٤,٦٣	٤,٧٠	۷٥,٢	77.7	٩,٢٦	8.50
(30	Т3	33.9	33.6	88.4	87.5	٤٢,٩	43.4	6.66	7.36	٤,٨٣	٤,٧٠	٧٦,٣	77.1	٩,٤٣	8.46
March)	Т4	34.9	33.7	88.4	87.5	٤٢,٠	43.0	6.90	7.26	٤,٨٣	٤,٥٠	٧٦,٢	77.0	٩,٣٦	8.63
,	T5	34.2	33.6	88.4	87.6	٤٣,٨	42.0	6.90	7.23	٤,٨٠	٤,٧٣	٧٥,٤	76.7	۸,۸۰	8.73
16		33.8	33.5	88.3	86.8	٤٣,٣	44.6	6.66	7.36	٤,٩٣	٤,٨٦	٧٥,٤	77.4	۸,۷۰	8.30
MEAN		٣٤,٢	33.6	88.5	87.4	٤٣,٩	43.6	6.76	7.25	٤,٨٠	1,77	۷۵,۷	۷۷,۳	۹,۱۷	۸,۵۱
	T1	33.5	32.7	88.3	87.5	٤٢,١	43.6	6.56	7.53	٤,•٦	٤,٣٠	٧٤,٠	75.3	9.13	8.03
Late	T2	33.1	32.3	88.6	87.3	21,2	42.8	6.80	7.50	٤,٣٣	٤,٣٠	٧٣,٩	75.8	8.93	8.00
(30	T3	34.3	32.5	89.7	87.9	29,7	45.5	6.53	7.23	٤,٤٠	٤,٢٠	٧٤,٥	75.2	9.10	8.06
April)	Т4	33.7	32.8	89.7	87.2	٤٢,٠	42.4	6.80	7.20	٤,٣٠	2,17	٧٤,٤	76.4	8.10	7.96
• •	T5	32.9	32.6	88.5	88.1	٤١,١	43.9	6.70	7.10	2,87	2,27	٧٢,٦	76.2	8.13	7.96
	16	33.5	32.8	88.0	87.9	29,1	45.2	6.80	7.10	2,27	٤,٥٦	۷۳,۸	75.6	8.80	8.03
MEA	N	۲۲,۵	32.6	88.8	87.6	٤١,٧	43.9	6.70	7.27	2,57	\$,77	٧٤,٠	<b>Υ</b> δ,Υ	۸,۷۰	۸,۰۱
	T1	33.8	33.2	89.0	87.9	٤٢,٧	44.3	6.76	7.33	٤,٤١	٤,٣٨	٧٤,٨	76.6	9.30	8.25
General	12	33.7	32.9	88.3	87.1	٤١,٨	43.3	6.63	7.31	٤,٤٨	٤,٥٠	٧٤,٦	76.7	9.10	8.25
mean of	13	34.1	33.0	89.0	87.7	21,1	44.4	6.60	7.30	2,71	2,20	Y0,2	76.1	9.27	8.26
(B)	14	34.3	33.2	89.0	87.4	21,.	42.7	6.85	7.23	2,07	2,57	۷٥,٢	/6./	8.73	8.30
. ,	15	33.5	33.1	88.4	87.8	27,2	43.0	6.80	7.16	2,01	2,01	72,0	76.4	8.47	8.35
	16	33.6	33.1	88.2	87.3	21,1	44.9	6.73	7.23	2,7.	2,71	72,1	/6.5	8.75	8.17
	A	0.32	0.47	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	0.14	۰,۳٤ NG	•,^1	1.31	0.26	•,**
L.S.D at	В	N.5	N.5	N.5	N.S	N.5	N.5	N.S	N.5	N.5	N.5	N.5	N.5	N.5	N.5
5% IOF	B	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S

But, upper half mean length, micronaire reading, reflectance and yellowness were significantly affected by sowing dates in both seasons. The normal

sowing date gave the highest values of upper half mean length (34.2 and 33.6 mm), micronaire reading (4.80 and 4.66), reflectance (75.7 and 77.3%) and yellowness (9.17 and 8.51%) in the first and second seasons, respectively as compared with late sowing date. This increase could be due to the fact that early sowing date help the fibers to have high maturity. The obtained results are in close agreement with those reported by Abd El-Karim (2003) for fiber length and micronaire reading. But are was in contrast with those reported by El-Shahawy and Hamoda (2011) who found sowing date had insignificant effect on fiber properties under study.

#### B- Effect of potassium and zinc application:

#### **B-1- Growth parameters:**

Data present in Table (3) reveal that all treatments of potassium and zinc application had significant effects on plant height, internode length, no. of sympodia/plant and no. of nodes/plant in 2007 and 2008 seasons, respectively.

The tallest plants were produced from foliar application of 5 kg K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/fed. sprayed partly twice + One foliar application of 0.5 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/L, at beginning of flowering, while the shortest plants was produced from soil application of 24 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. at thinning in both seasons. But the tallest internode length was produced from soil application of 24 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. at thinning, while the internode length was produced from foliar application of 5 kg K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/fed. sprayed partly twice + One foliar application of 0.5 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/L, at beginning of flowering in both seasons. The increase in plant height due to zinc application may be due to application of K and Zn might have helped in vigorous root growth and formation of chlorophyll, resulting in higher photosynthesis. Soil application of micronutrients increased the uptake of N, P and K significantly, which in turn might have helped in better growth of the cotton. Likewise, Zn is a growth promoting element required for the synthesis of the amino acid tryptophan, the precursor for the biosynthesis of the natural auxin IAA responsible for stem elongation, (Alloway, 2008 and Oosterhuis et al., 1991).

However, the highest values of no. of sympodia/plant (14.1 and 15.6) and no. of nodes/plant (20.6 and 20.8) were obtained from foliar application of 5 kg K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/fed. sprayed partly twice + One foliar application of 0.5 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/L, at beginning of flowering in the first and second seasons, respectively. Reversely, the lowest values were obtained from soil application of 24 Kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. at sowing in both seasons. This beneficial effect might be due to interaction effect of K and Zn and their role in the synthesis of IAA, metabolism of auxins and chlorophyll. The tested treatments had insignificant effect on position of first sympodium.

Though the soil of the experimental site had relatively high available potassium and zinc contents, the response of cotton plants to their addition could probably be attributed to higher needs by these plants and/or unbalanced soil nutrient content. The high P, Fe, Cu and Mn contents might have had affected Zn uptake by cotton plants due to a possible antagonism.

This could account for the response of cotton plant to both potassium and zinc when were given as foliar application. This response was more

pronounced as plants are in their maximum growth and high needs with the commence of flowering.

#### B-2- Yield and yield components:

Results shown in Table (4) indicate that the potassium and zinc application treatments exerted significant increase effects on no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight and seed index in both seasons, where the highest no. of open bolls/plant was recorded. This might be due to the hormonal balance in the plant system brought about by these nutrients resulting in less shedding of squares and young bolls/plant. The increase of boll weight might be due to accelerated mobility of photosynthates from source to sink as influenced by the application of potassium and zinc. This could account for the increase of seed index which was increased due to translocation of photosynthates to the site of storage organ., were obtained from foliar application of 5 kg K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/fed. sprayed partly twice + One foliar application of 0.5 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/L, at beginning of flowering in both seasons. The lowest values no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight and seed index were obtained from soil application of 24 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed. at thinning in both seasons.

Application of 5 kg  $K_2SO_4$ /fed. sprayed partly twice + One foliar application of 0.5 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/L, at beginning of flowering. significantly increased seed cotton yield/fed. which amounted to 7.79 and 8.73 ken./fed. (9.41 and 6.72%), compared to soil application of 24 kg  $K_2O$ /fed. at thinning which yielded 7.12 and 8.18 ken./fed. These increases could be due to favorable effects of this nutrient on yield components, i.e., no. of open bolls/plant, boll weight and seed index. This application did not exert any insignificant effect on no. of plants/fed. at harvest, seed index and lint % in both seasons.

Such improvements in yield and its components due to potassium and zinc foliar supply could be a result of their effects on fundamental metabolic activities which may be positively reflected on boll set as expressed in the no. of open bolls/plant as well as boll development as expressed in boll weight, seed index and finally the seed cotton yield/fed. The positive effect of potassium on boll weight may be due to that potassium plays a great role in photosynthates translocation from the source leaves (Ashley and Goodson, 1972). Zinc is required in the synthesis of tryptophan, which is a precursor of IAA (Alloway, 2008 and Oosterhuis *et al.*, 1991), a hormone that inhibits abscission of squares and young bolls. This nutrient also has a favorable effect on the photosynthetic activity of leaves and plant metabolism which might account for higher accumulation of metabolites in reproductive organs. **B-3- Fiber properties:** 

As seen in Table (6), the tested treatments did not exhibit any significant effect on all fiber quality traits in both seasons. The obtained results are in close agreement with those reported by Abou-Zaid *et al.*, (2009).

#### C- Effect of the interaction:

The interaction between sowing date and application potassium and zinc treatments are presented in Tables (3, 4 and 6). Generally, the data indicate that this interaction gave a significant effect on plant height, no. of open bolls/plant and boll weight in both seasons. This clearly indicates that

#### Emara, M. A. A.

the main effects of planting date and fertilization treatments masked any interacting effect between them regarding the seed cotton yield/fed. and all the fiber quality attributes.

#### CONCLUSION

Finally on the light of the obtained results, it could be concluded that the foliar application of 5 Kg  $K_2SO_4$ /fed. sprayed partly twice + One foliar application of 0.5 g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/L, at beginning of flowering. once at beginning flowering stage could be recommended to increase the yield and its components under normal or late sowing date., for Giza 86 variety, under Sakha region condition at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate.

### REFERENCES

- Abd El-Karim, A.B. (2003). Effect of some environmental conditions on yield, seed fiber quality of some Egyptian cotton cultivar. M. Sc Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt. pp: 72-75.
- Abdel-Aal, S; M. Ibrahim; A. Ali; G. Wahdan; O. Ali and Y. Ata Allah. (2011). Effect of foliar application of growth regulators, macro and micronutrients on abscission, yield and technological characters of Egyptian cotton. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 36(5): 1277-1304.
- Abou-Zaid, M.K.; M.A. Emara and S.A. Hamoda. (2009). Future of Egyptian cotton production in the newly reclaimed desert land of Egypt: 10-Cotton response to soil, foliar potassium application and Potassium Dissolving Bacteria (KDB). J. Adv. Agric. Res. (Fac. Agric. Saba Basha). 14(3): 589-603.
- Ali, L.; M. Ali and M. Qamar. (2011). Effect of foliar application of zinc and boron on seed cotton yield and economics in cotton-wheat cropping pattern. J. Agric. Res., 49(2): 173–180.
- Alloway, B.J. (2008). Zinc in soils and crop nutrition. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., International Zinc Association, Brussels, Belgium, pp: 135.
- A.S.T.M. (1986). American Society for Testing and Materials. D-4605., Vol. 07, No 1, Easton, MD, USA.
- Ashley, D.A. and R.D. Goodson. (1972). Effect of time and plant potassium status on C<sup>14</sup> labeled photosynthate movement in cotton. Crop Sci., 12(5): 686-690.
- Bednarz, C.W. and D.M. Oosterhuis. (1999). Physiological changes associated with potassium deficiency in cotton. J. Plant Nutr., 22: 303 313.
- Cakmak, I.; C. Hengeler and H. Marschner. (1994). Partitioning of shoot and root dry matter and carbohydrates in bean plants suffering from phosphorus, potassium and magnesium deficiency. J. Exp. Botany. 45, 1245-1250.
- Cardozier, H. (1957). Growing cotton. Mc-Graw hill book library of congress catalog. Card No. 56-889, pp: 115-116.
- Elayan, E. Sohair; A.A. Abd El-Hafeez; H.Y. Awad and S.A. Hamoda. (2006). Effect of light and heat units on earliness, yield and fiber characters of cotton varieties. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31(7): 4107-4118.

- El-Fouly, M.M. (2006). Micronutrients in soils and their roles in plant, animal and human health. Proc. 12<sup>th</sup> AFA International, Fertilizer Forum, 6-8 February, Cairo, Egypt.
- El-Masri, M.F. (2005). The prospective requirements of Zinc and manganese for cotton under soil Zn and Mn deficiency. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30 (9): 4969-4978.
- El-Masri, M.F.; W.M. El-Shazly and K.A. Ziadah. (2005). Respones of Giza 88 cotton cultivar to foliar spraying with boron, potassium or a bioregulator SGA-1. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30 (10): 5739-5755.
- El-Menshawi, M.E. and E.A. El-Sayed. (2007). Some trails for increasing cotton yield by foliar application of some micronutrients. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32(1): 1-9.
- El-Sayed, E.A. (2005). Effect of sowing and thinning dates on growth and yield of cotton. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 30(1): 41-48.
- EI-Sayed, E.A.; M.E. EI-Menshawi and R.R. Abd EI-Malik. (2007). Effect of different fertilizer doses (NPK) on the yield, yield components and some chemical constituents of the hybrid cotton Giza 89 X 86. Egypt J. of Appl. Sci., 21(4A): 153–166.
- El-Shahawy, M.I. and S.A. Hamoda. (2011). The proper agricultural management practices for the new promising hybrid cotton (Giza 77 x Pima S<sup>6</sup>). J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 2(11): 1551-1561.
- El-Shazly, W.M.; R.M. Khalifa and O.A. Nofal. (2003). Response of cotton Giza 89 cultivar to foliar spray with boron, potassium or a bioregulator SGA-1. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 18(4B): 676-699.
- Elwan, I. M.; H.Z. Mohamed and S.E. Omran. (2002). Response of cotton plants to phosphatic and zinc fertilization. Annals Agric. Sci., Cairo. 47(3): 1159-1178.
- Emara, M.A.; Olfat H. El-Bagoury; A.M. El-Marakby and E.A. Makram. (2006). The effect of planting date in relation to heat unit requirements on growth, yield and some fiber properties of cotton. Res. Bulletin, Ain Shams Univ., pp: 1-10.
- Gormus, O. (2002). Effect of rate and time of potassium application on cotton yield and quality in Turkey. J. Agron. Crop. Sci., 188 (6): 382-388.
- Hamed, (2006). Response of cotton cultivar Giza 90 to nitrogen and potassium levels. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop., 26(2): 253-264.
- Kassem, M.A.; M.A. Emara and S.A. Hamoda. (2009). Growth and productivity of Giza 80 cotton cultivar as affected by foliar feeding with boron and zinc. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 34(2): 967-975.
- Keshavarz, P.; M. Norihoseini and M. Malakouti. (2004). Effect of soil salinity on K critical level for cotton and its response to sources and rate of K fertilizers. IPI regional workshop on Potassium and Fertigation development in West Asia and North Africa; 24-28 Nov., Robat, Morocco. pp: 8.
- Khalifa, H.E. and M.K. Abou-Zaid. (2002). Cotton production as affected by irrigation intervals, nitrogen and potassium fertilization in the newly reclaimed soil of West Nubaria region. J. Adv. Agric. Res., 7(2): 315 328.

- Lale, E. and Y. Emine. (2011). The effect of Zinc application methods on seed cotton yield, lint and seed quality of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in east Mediterranean region of Turkey. African J. of Biotechnology. 15 August, 10(44): 8782-8789.
- Makhdum, M.; M. Ashraf and H. Pervez. (2005). Effect of potassium fertilization on potential fruiting positions in field grown cotton. Pak. J. Bot., 37(3): 635-649.
- Makram, E.A.; H.A. Abd El-Aal; A.A. Darwish and W.M. El-Shazly. (2001). Air thermal units in relation to growth and development of cotton plants through different sowing dates. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 26(3): 659-671.
- Marschner, H. (1997). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Institue of Plant Nutrition, Univ., of Honheim, Germany, Academic Press Inc. San Diego, CA-USA, 92101, pp: 362-363.
- Morteza, M.; A. Slaton, E. Evans, J. McConnell, M. Fred and C. Kennedy. (2005). Effect of potassium fertilization on cotton yield and petiole potassium. Summaries of Arkansas Cotton Res., pp: 74-78.
- Oktay, M.; H. Colakoglu and H. Hakererler. (1998). Zn in Plant. 1<sup>st</sup> National Congress of Zinc, 12-16 May 1997, Eskisehir-Turkey, Proceedings, pp: 31-45.
- Oosterhuis, D.; K. Hake and C. Burmester. (1991). Leaf feeding insects and mites. Cotton Physiol. Today. 2: 1-7.
- Page, A.L.; R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney. (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2: Chemical and microbiological properties. Amer. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Pettigrew, W.T. (1999). Potassium deficiency increases specific leaf weights of leaf glucose levels in field- grown cotton. Agron. J. 91, 962-968.
- Price. (1970). Molecular Approaches to Plant Physiology. Mc-Grow Hill Book Co., New York, pp: 338.
- Ratinavel, K.; C. Dharmalingam and S. Paneer. (1999). Effect of micronutrients on the productivity and quality of cotton seed CV. TCB 20 (*Gassipium barbadense* L.). Madras Agric. J. 86 (416): 313-316.
- Rengel, Z. (2007). Role of Zinc in plant physiology. Proc. Zinc International Conf., Improving crop production and human health, 24-25 May. Istanbul, Turkey.
- Sawan, Z.M. (2006). Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.,) yield as affected by nitrogen fertilization and foliar application of potassium and mepiquat chloride. Communications in Biometry and Crop Sci., 1(2): 99 – 105.
- Sawan, Z.M.; M.H. Mahmoud and El-Guibali H. Amal. (2006a). Response of yield, yield components, and fiber properties of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.,) to nitrogen fertilization and foliar applied potassium and mepiquat chloride. J. of Cotton Sci., 10: 224 – 234.
- Sawan, Z.M.; S.A. Hafez, A.E. Basyony and R.A. Abou-El-Ela. (2006b). Cottonseed, protein, oil yields, and oil properties as influenced by potassium fertilization and foliar application of zinc and phosphorus. World J. of Agric. Sci., 2(1): 66-74.

- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran. (1980). Statistical Methods. 6<sup>th</sup> Ed. Iowa State Univ., U.S.A. pp: 225-269.
- Soog, P. (1940). Relationship between zinc and auxin in the growth of higher plants. Amer. J. Bot., 22: 939-951.
- Suresh, S. and S. Kumar. (2005). Magnesium and zinc effects on yield and nutrients uptake by cotton. Adv. Pl. Sci., 18 (1): 249-252.
- Wang, H. and Y. Jin. (2005). Photosynthetic rate, chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and lipid peroxidation of maize leaves as affected by zinc deficiency. Photosynthetica, 43: 591-596.
- Young, E.F.; R.M. Teyler and H.D. Petarson. (1980). Day-degree units and time in relation to vegetative development and fruiting for three cultivars of cotton. Crop Sci., 20: 370-375.
- Zeng, Q. F. (1996). Researches on the effect of zinc applied to calcareous soil in cotton field. China Cotton., 23 (11): 21.

أستجابة نمو وأنتاجية القطن لإضافة البوتاسيوم والزنك تحت مواعيد الزراعة المعتادة والمتأخرة

مصطفى عطية احمد عمارة

قسم بحوَّث المعاملات الزراعية – معهد بحوث القطن – مركز البحوث الزراعية - جيزة – مصر

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا – محافظة كفر الشيخ – مصر خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٧ و ٢٠٠٨ وذلك بهدف دراسة إستجابة نمو وأنتاجية صنف القطن المصري جيزة ٨٦ لإضافة البوتاسيوم سواء أرضي بمعدلات ٢٤ أو ٤٨ كجم بومألفدان أو رش النباتات بسلفات البوتاسيوم بمعدل ٥ كجم/فدان مرتين، ورش سلفات الزنك بمعدل ٥، جم/لتر ماء مرة واحدة وذلك تحت ظروف الزراعة المعتادة (٣٠ مارس) والمتأخرة (٣٠ أبريل). وكانت مواعيد ألرش هي عند بداية التزهير وبعده بإسبوعين، وكان التصميم التجريبي المستخدم هو القطع المنشقة في أربع مكررات حيث وضع ميعادي الزراعة في القطع الرئيسية ووضعت معاملات إضافة البوتاسيوم والزنك في القطع الشقية وتضمنت معاملات التسميد الست معاملات إضافة واحدة أو التسميد التالي وم عدم المقومة وتضمنت معاملات التسميد الست معاملات اضافة البوتاسيوم والزنك ميوم المعتادي وتضمنت معاملات التسميد المت معاملات إضافة واحدة أو التسميد التالي ورقياً بأستخدام مستوي ٥ كجم سلفات الزنك مستوي ٥,٠ جم/لتر ماء مرة واحدة أو التسميد التالي ورقياً بأستخدام السقو الرش بسلفات الزنك مستوي ٥,٠ جم/لتر ماء مرة واحدة أو التسميد التالي ورقياً بأستخدام السابق الإشارة إليه.

وتتلخص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها فيما يلى:

- 1) أدت الزراعة المعتادة في ٣٠ مارس الي زيادة كل من عدد العقد/النبات، عدد الأفرع الثمرية/نبات، عدد اللوز المتفتح/نبات، متوسط وزن اللوزة، معامل البذرة ومحصول القطن الزهر بالقنطار/فدان، وكذلك بعض صفات التيلة مثل متوسط طول التيلة، الميكرونير، نسبة الانعكاس في اللون و درجة الاصفرار مقارنة بالزراعة المتأخرة في ٣٠ أبريل.
- ٢) لم تؤثر مواعيد الزراعة معنوياً على كلاً من النسبة المنوية للتيلة، معامل الانتظام، المتانة والاستطالة.
- ٣) كان لمعاملات إضافة البوتاسيوم والزنك تأثيراً معنوياً علي معظم صفات النمو تحت الدارسة عدا موقع أول فرع ثمري، وكذلك المحصول ومكوناته عدا صفتي عدد النباتات/الفدان والنسبة المئوية للتيلة، وقد تفوقت معنوياً معاملة رش النباتات بسلفات البوتاسيوم بمعدل ٥ كجم/فدان مرتين + رش الزنك بمعدل ٥,٥ جم/لتر ماء مرة واحدة بالمقارنة بباقي المعاملات تحت الدراسة.

0 7 7

#### Emara, M. A. A.

- ٤) لم تظهر معاملات إضافة البوتاسيوم والزنك أي تأثيراً معنوياً على كل صفات التيلة تحت الدراسة.
- لم يظهر التفاعل بين ميعادي الزراعة ومعاملات أضافة البوتاسيوم والزنك أي تثأيرات معنوية علي الصفات تحت الدراسة عدا صفات أرتفاع النباتات عند الحصاد، عدد اللوز المتفتح/نبات ومتوسط وزن اللوزة.

التوصية: من النتائج السابقة يمكن التوصية بعدم تأخير زراعة صنف القطن جيزة ٨٦ عن ٣٠ مارس مع التسميد ورقياً بأستخدام سلفات البوتاسيوم بمعدل ٥ كجم/فدان علي رشتين الاولي مع بداية مرحلة التزهير والثانية بعد ١٥ يوم من الاولي بالإضافة للرش بسلفات الزنك مرة واحدة بمعدل ٥,٥ جم/لتر ماء أيضاً عند بداية التزهير حيث أعطت هذه المعاملة أعلى محصول للقطن الزهر/فدان وأعلي أنتاجية دون التأثير علي صفات الجودة بالمقارنة بباقي المعاملات تحت الدراسة خلال موسمي النمو تحت ظروف منطقة سخا – محافظة كفر الشيخ - مصر.

قام بتحكيم البحث

أ.د / أحمد أبو النجا قنديل
 كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة
 أ.د / أحمد أنور عبد الجليل
 كلية الزراعة – جامعة الزقازيق