ROOTING OF JUJUBE (*Ziziphus jujuba* MILL) LI VARIETY CUTTINGS, USING SOME ROOT PROMOTING MICRO-ORGANISMS AND PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS Sawarsan, Madlen, R.¹; M. A. Ahmed¹ and M. N. Omar² ¹Hoticulture Research Institute, Giza, Egypt. ²Soils, Water and Environment Res. Inst., ARC, Giza, Egypt

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted at the experimental nursery of the Horticulture Research Institute at Giza, Egypt to study the effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and plant growth regulators on rooting of jujube cuttings during 2008 and 2009 seasons. Sub-terminal cuttings were taken on mid April from mature 15- years old trees of jujube (Ziziphus Jujuba Mill) Li variety (difficult to root). Rooting treatments included inoculation with Bacillus polymyx, Bacillus circulance, Bacillus megaterium, Bacillus pasteruii, Pseudomans florescence or mixed inoculants from previous PGPR strains or yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisae). In addition, Indole-3-Butyric Acid (IBA) and Naphthalene Acetic Acid (NAA) were also tested at concentration of 1000 and 2000 ppm as compared with untreated (control). Data showed that the effect of Bacillus megaterium as PGPR resulted in the highest significant rooting percentage (60% and 50%). After eight months of transplanting, bacterial strain (Bacillus megaterium) followed by Pseudomans strain surpassed the other treatments in survival percentage, average number of roots/transplant, stem and root length, number of leaves, number of branches/transplant as well as leaves, stem and root fresh and dry weight (g). On the contrary, the lowest significant effect of treatments was found as a result of NAA at 1000 ppm and control during the two seasons of study. Histological studies revealed that, the callus originated from the cambial and phloem parenchyma cells below the cork cells, from these protrusions the adventitious roots were developed. The new roots established their connections with the vascular tissue of the cutting treated with Bacillus megaterium. Generally, it can be concluded that inoculation jujube (Li variety) sub-terminal cuttings with Bacillus megaterium or Pseudomans florescence can promote root formation as well as increase survival percentage and enhance vegetative growth of the produced transplants.

Keywords: PGPR, Rooting Jujube, Growth regulators, Anatomical studies.

INTRODUCTION

The Jujube (*Ziziphus Jujuba* Mill) belongs to the genus Zizuphus that belongs to Rhamnaceae family. The genus includes about 40 species of plants in tropical and subtropical regions of the northern hemisphere (Lyrene, 1979) of which the species *Zizuphus jujube* Mill is the most important in terms of distribution and economic significance. Li is an early ripening variety and is one of the most commonly available cultivar. Jujube fruit contains potassium, phosphorus, manganese and calcium as the major minerals. There are also high amounts of sodium, zinc, iron and copper. Jujube contains vitamin C about 20 times the amount of that of citrus fruits, riboflavin and thiamine (Jin-Wei *et al.*, 2007) and 18 of the 24 amino acids. The water extract of jujube

Sawarsan, Madlen, R. et al.

fruit inhibits tumor cells and may have useful compounds for medicinal use (Ki-Yeon et al., 2010). The Jujube trees can withstand a wide range of temperatures, tolerance of marginal land thrive and grow well under semi-arid zone (Reddy et al., 1998). Few researches are available concerning propagation of jujube by cutting (Hatta et al., 1996). Jujube propagation as stem cuttings is limited and very difficult to root even with the application of indole butyric acid (Yan-Ting & King, 2001). Stem cutting is the most simple and economical method particularly in horticulture, for mass production within a short time. Application of growth substances such as Naphthalene Acetic Acid (NAA) and Indole-3- Butyric Acid (IBA) as a growth regulators are typically the principal and commercially which can be applied. They have positive effect on rooting, specially cuttings which are considered hard to root (Ercili & Guleryz, 1999 and Delker et al., 2008). Biofertilizers are considered group of organisms plays an important role in soil biofertility, because of their capability for producing hormones, amino acids and vitamins (Omar et al., 1991). Biofertlizers are products containing living cells of different types of microorganisms, which have an ability to convert nutritionally important elements from unavailable to available form through biological processes (Vessey, 2003). A promising trend for increasing the efficiency of biofertilizers is the use of different mixtures of bio preparations as nitrogen fixers, phosphate- and silicate-solubilizers (Wu et al., 2005). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are naturally occurring soil bacteria that are able to aggressively colonize plant roots and stimulate plant growth when applied to roots, tubers or seeds (Kloepper et al., 2004). Some of the other reported benefits of using PGPR include the ability to control soil-borne fungi, enhance plant survival and induce systemic resistance to foliar pathogens (Liu et al., 1995). PGPB use different mechanisms of action to improve plant growth and health, which could be active either simultaneously or sequentially at different stages of plant growth (Arshad & Frankenberger, 1998). Some bacteria have been found to stimulate plant growth through their 1-aminocyclopropane-1carboxylate (ACC)-deaminase activities (Shaharoona et al., 2006). ACCdeaminase lowers ethylene levels in plants by converting ACC into aketobutyrate and ammonia, which is in contrast to activity of the enzyme ACC-synthase or ACC-oxidase, the latter are known to promote ethylene synthesis. Since higher concentrations of ethylene have been reported to inhibit root growth and nodulation (Arshad & Frankenberger, 2002), the bacteria containing ACC-deaminase may completely or partially eliminate potential inhibitory effects of higher ethylene concentrations in plants (Glick et al., 1998). In addition, microbial activities can be made more efficient by maintaining high bacterial populations in the rhizosphere of a plant throughout the life cycle (Cheuk et al., 2003). The direct growth promoting mechanisms by PGPR are as follows i) nitrogen fixation, ii) solubilization of phosphorus, iii) sequestering of iron by production of siderophores, iv) production of phytohormones such as auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins, and v) lowering of ethylene concentration (Banerjee, et al., 2006). The use of PGPR offers an attractive way to replace chemical fertilizer and supplements most of the isolates result in a significant increase in plant height, root length, and dry matter production of shoot and root of plants (Kloepper et al., 2004).

Yeast (*Saccharomyces cervicisae*) is considered as a new promising biofertiltzer for many crops. The positive effects of applying yeast could be due to one or more of the merits. It contains some natural growth regulators, i.e. auxin (IAA) (Moor, 1979) and cytokinins (Cks) (Ferguson *et al.*, 1987) and it was also found to encourage the uptake of various nutrients (Vilsmeier and Amberger, 1988). In addition, it contains some important nutrients as N, P and K and some common amino acids (Abou-Zaid, 1984). This evaluation may be essential to examine some growth regulators and plant growth promoting rhizobactria on rooting ability of jujube (Li variety) semi hardwood cuttings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was conducted through two seasons of 2008 and 2009 on jujube trees (Ziziphus Jujube Mill) Li variety grown in the Experimental orchard station of Horticulture Research Institute at Giza, Egypt. Productive and nearly uniform trees similar in their vigour, disease free and subjected to the same horticulture practices were carefully selected and devoted as a source for the required cuttings under mist. This work was performed to study the effect of some root promoting micro-organisms as Bacillus polymyxa (BP), Bacillus circulans (BC), Bacillus megaterium (BM), Bacillus pasteruii BPa, Pseudomonas florescence (PF), or their mixture, Yeast (SV) and plant growth regulators (IBA or NAA) and control on rooting ability of jujube Li variety (hard rooting) cuttings. Both experimental seasons started at April 15th. Sub-terminal cuttings were prepared from one year old branches. Cuttings were about 10 cm in length and 1 – 1.5 cm in diameter and with 4 – 6 buds. The basal cut was made just below a nod and all leaves were removed, except two leaves left at the cutting top. The basal end of cuttings to about 2 cm was quickly dipped for 10 seconds just before planting in two different concentrations (1000 or 2000 ppm) of either indole butyric acid (IBA) or naphthalene acetic acid (NAA). In each PGPR strain and yeast treatments cuttings were inoculated for a period of one hour.

Origin and preparation of the microorganisms for inoculation:

Bacillus polymyx, Bacillus circulance, Bacillus megaterium, Bacillus pasteruii, Pseudomans, Saccharomyces cerevisae, yeast were provided from the Microbiology Dept. Soil & Water Environment Res. Instit. Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt. Commercial preparation of the active dry yeast has the following composition as shown in Table (1).

Table (1)	: Composition	of the dry matter	of yeast
-----------	---------------	-------------------	----------

Constituents	Protein	Fats	Glycogen	Cellulose, gum, etc.	Ash
Percentage	52.41	1.72	30.25	6.88	8.74

Modified Ashby S[,] media (Abdel-Malek and Ishac, 1968) and semisolid malate (Dobereiner, 1978) were incubated at 30 C^{\circ} and 32 C^{\circ} for 7 days. Also, Bunt and Rovira medium (1955) modified by Abdel-Hafez (1966)

Sawarsan, Madlen, R. et al.

was used. One liter from each of the previously prepared bacterial strain was diluted with one liter of water. Then all treated cuttings were planted in plastic flats containing vermiculite and perlite (1:1). Each treatment was replicated three times and each replicate represented by 25 cuttings. Planted flats were directly kept under intermittent mist for 16 weeks. Misting was applied according to seasonal and daily weather conditions, within a range of 5-15 seconds ON and 2, 5.5 min OFF. Inoculations with all the tested microorganisms were applied after planting every two weeks till the time of transplanting.

The tested treatments were arranged as follow:

T1. Untreated (dipping in water)

T2. Inoculated with Bacillus polymyxa (BP)

T3. Inoculated with *Bacillus circulans* (BC)

T4. Inoculated with Bacillus megaterium (BM)

T5. Inoculated with *Bacillus pasteruii* (BPa)

T6. Inoculated with Pseudomonas florescence (PF)

T7. Inoculated with Mixed inoculants (BP + BC + PF + BM + Bpa)

T8. Inoculated with Yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisae) (SV)

T9. Indole butyric acid (IBA) with 1000 ppm

T10. Indole butyric acid (IBA) with 2000 ppm

T11. Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) with 1000 ppm

T12. Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) with 2000 ppm

This experiment was terminated at mid September during both seasons of the study. The following measurements and determinations were recorded:

I. Rooting and vegetative growth of cuttings:

1-Rooting (%)

2-Average root length (cm)

3- Average number of roots/cutting

4- Stem length (cm)

5-Number of leaves/cutting

6-Number of sprouted shoots/cutting

Rooted cuttings were transplanted into black plastic bags 15 cm in diameter (one rooted cutting/bag) containing a mixture of peatmoss and sand (1:2).

II. Survival of transplants:

Eight months after transplanting the following measurements were recorded:

1. Survival percentage: It was estimated on the number of rooted cuttings that remained alive eight months later from recording the rooting measurements

2. Average root length (cm)

3. Average number of roots/ transplant

4. Stem length (cm)

5. Number of leaves / transplant

6. Number of branches/ transplant

7. Leaves, stem and root fresh weight (g)

8. Leaves, stem and root dry weight (g)

III. Histological studies

Histological studies were carried out on jujube sub-terminal cuttings in 2008 and 2009 seasons. Samples of two cuttings per each treatment were periodically taken at one week interval from planting date, till root formation, i.e. The basal portion (5cm) of jujube cutting was used for anatomical studies. Softening samples were soaked in tap water for two days before preparation of section. Sections of about 18-20 microns in thickness were prepared by using a sledage microtome. The sections were stained by the Safranine-Pecro-Amilye-Blue Method (Johansen, 1940). Sections were dehydrated, cleared xylol and mounted in canda balsam. Then sections were microscopically examined and photographed.

Statistical analysis

Experiments conducted in this study followed a Complete Randomized Design. The obtained data were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980). Differences between treatments were compared by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I. Rooting and vegetative growth of cuttings:

• Rooting %

Data presented in Table 1 and Figures 1-6 indicated that, all applications of (PGPR) except for mixed inoculants recorded the highest significant values of rooted cuttings percentage in both seasons compared with control and other treatments. Inoculated jujube cuttings with Bacillus megaterium had the highest significant increment (60% & 50%) followed by inoculation with Pseudomonas florescence (40% & 40%) in both seasons. On the other hand, NAA at 1000 or mixed inoculants and control didn't produce any roots (zero %), the rest treatments were in between in both seasons. These results are in accordance with observations of Strobel and Nachmias, (1985) on Pruus amygdalus, and Mcafee et al., (1993) on Pinus spp. They found that A. rhizogenes is capable of improving propagation of jujube cuttings and quality of the subsequent root system. The ability of A. rhizogenes to infect and produce hairy roots in host plant tissue, however, is very specific depending on the strains of the bacterium and state of the host plants. Huang et al. (1991) suggested that compatibility between A. rhizogenes and host plants. T-DNA, phytohormona production and juvenility of the host tissues are important factors in inoculation success and hairy root production.

• Average Root length (cm)

Data in Table, 1 also show that the highest significantly values of root length (cm) was obtained when jujube cuttings inoculated with yeast following by *Pseudomonas florescence* and *Bacillus polymyxa* in the first season, in the second one the highest values were achieved when jujube cuttings inoculated with *Pseudomonas florescence* following by *Bacillus polymyxa* and *Bacillus megaterium*. However, not any roots were recorded with the untreated (control) cuttings or treated with NAA at 1000 or mixed

inoculants, where the other treatments were in-between, this was true in both seasons of study.



Figures (1-6): A comparison between rooting ability of treated cuttings with some plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and control (untreated) of jujube (Li variety).



These results were confirmed with those obtained by Cleyet-Marel *et al.* (2001), they found that the plant response to PGPR is obviously a very complex phenomenon resulting from the combination of mechanisms which affect several aspects of mineral nutrition and root development. Another feature that has been reported for PGPR is the production of phytohormones, which could affect root development directly. The nutritional capacity and developmental control are very much dependent on each other because they both affect growth rate of the plant. The positive effects of PGPR on plant growth are always correlated with remarkable changes in root morphology, namely increased lateral root length and root hair number and length (Bertrand *et al.*, 2000).

Average number of roots

It could also be concluded from the obtained results in Table 1 that number of roots ranged from 4.25 & 5.00 in inoculated jujube cuttings with *Pseudomonas florescence* and *Bacillus pasteruii* and reached zero roots when the cuttings were treated with NAA at 1000 or mixed inoculants and control in both seasons. The enhancement of plant growth due to inculcation with asymbiotic N-fixers could be attributed to the capability of these organisms to produce growth regulators such as auxins, cytokinines and gibberillin which affect the production of root biomass and nutrients uptake (EI-Ghinbihi &Fetouh, 2001 and Abou EI-Khashab, 2002).

Table	1.	Effect of	some	plant	growth	pro	moting	rhizob	acteria	and
		growth r	egulat	ors on	rooting	pe	rcentage	, root	length	(cm)
		and num	ber of	roots a	fter four	mo	onths of	plantir	ng juju	be (Li
		variety)	sub-te	erminal	cutting	gs	during	2008	and	2009
		seasons				-	_			

Treatments	Rooting (%)		Root I (c	length m)	Number of roots/cutting			
	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009		
Control	0.00 e	0.00 g	0.00 h	0.00 g	0.00 e	0.00 d		
Bacillus polymyxa	40.00 b	30.00 c	27.4 b	28.00 b	3.00 c	4.00 b		
Bacillus circulans	40.00 b	25.00 d	24.75 c	17.00 f	3.80 ab	1.00 c		
Bacillus megaterium	60.00 a	50.00 a	24.75 c	28.00 b	3.20 c	5.00 a		
Bacillus pasteruii	21.00 d	22.00 e	22.50 d	24.00 c	4.25 a	5.00 a		
Pseudomonas florescence	40.00 b	40.00 b	27.70 b	32.00 a	4.10 a	5.00 a		
Mixed inoculants	0.00 e	0.00 g	0.00 h	0.00 g	0.00 e	0.00 d		
Yeast	30.00 c	18.00 f	28.75 a	20.00 e	3.25 bc	1.00 c		
IBA (1000 ppm)	1.00 e	1.00 g	20.00 e	22.00 d	1.00 d	1.00 c		
IBA (2000 ppm)	2.00 e	2.00 g	16.00 f	18.00 f	1.00 d	1.00 c		
NAA (1000 ppm)	0.00 e	0.00 g	0.00 h	0.00 g	0.00 e	0.00 d		
NAA (2000 ppm)	1.00 e	1.00 g	15.00 g	17.00 f	1.00 d	1.00 c		

Values have the same letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different at 5% level using Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

• Stem length

The overall trends in the effects of tested treatments on stem length are presented in Table 2. An increase in stem length was significantly observed in the jujube cuttings inoculated with (PGPR), the lowest values recorded in control, mixed inoculants and NAA at 1000 ppm in both seasons,

Sawarsan, Madlen, R. et al.

while in the second one the proper treatments were the inoculated cutting with *Bacillus megaterium* and *Bacillus pasteriuuu* followed by inoculated with *Pseudomonas florescence*. The other treatments were in between. The aforementioned results agree with those reported by Kloepper *et al.* (2004), they found that, inoculation with PGPR offers an attractive way to replace chemical fertilizers, pesticides, and supplements; most of the isolates result in a significant increase in plant height.

Table	2.	Effect of some plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and
		growth regulators on stem length (cm), number of
		leaves/cutting and number of sprouted shoots/cutting of
		jujube (Li variety) sub-terminal cuttings during 2008 and 2009
		seasons.

	Stom lon	Stom longth (om)		er of	Number of sprouted		
Treatments	Stem length (cm)		leaves/	cutting	shoots/cutting		
	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	
Control	0.00 e	0.00 f	0.00 h	0.00 g	0.00 d	0.00 c	
Bacillus polymyxa	16.6 c	15.0 d	3.33de	5.00 c	1.00 c	1.00 b	
Bacillus circulans	18.0 b	14.0 e	3.30de	2.00 f	2.00 a	1.00 b	
Bacillus megaterium	17.6bc	18.0 a	7.00a	9.00 a	1.00 c	3.00 a	
Bacillus pasteruii	20.7 a	18.0 a	3.66 d	3.00 e	1.00 c	1.00 b	
Pseudomonas florescence	19.8 a	17.0 b	5.62 b	8.00 b	1.00 c	3.00 a	
Mixed inoculants	0.00e	0.00 f	0.00 h	0.00 g	0.00 d	0.00 c	
Yeast	15.0 d	15.0 d	4.66 c	3.00 e	1.50 b	1.00 b	
IBA (1000 ppm)	15.0 d	16.0 c	3.00ef	4.00 d	1.00 c	1.00 b	
IBA (2000 ppm)	15.0 d	16.0 c	2.00 g	3.00 e	1.00 c	1.00 b	
NAA (1000 ppm)	0.00 e	0.00 f	0.00 h	0.00 g	0.00 d	0.00 c	
NAA (2000 ppm)	15.0 d	17.0 b	2.00 g	3.00 e	1.00 c	1.00 b	
Values have the same left	or(c) in the		alumn are	not cignif	icontly diff	propt of E0/	

Values have the same letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different at 5% level using Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Number of leaves/cutting

Data presented in Table 2 also showed that the greatest values of number of leaves/cutting (7.00 & 9.00) were obtained by inoculated jujube cuttings with *Bacillus megaterium* following by inoculated with *Pseudomonas florescence* (5.62 & 8.00) in both seasons, respectively. The lowest values were found as a result of control or mixed inoculants and treatment with NAA at 1000 ppm (0.00 & 0.00), the other treatments were in between.

Number of sprouted shoots/cutting

In this respect, jujube cuttings inoculated with *Bacillus circulans* (1st season) and Bacillus megaterium & *Pseudomonas* (2nd season) were the proper treatments. While, the lowest values (0.00 & 0.00) were found as a result of control, mixed inoculants and treatment with NAA at 1000 ppm, the rest treatments were in-between. Similar results were reported by Arshad & Frankenberger (1998), they recorded that the soil bacteria influencing the plant growth positively by any metabolic process are referred to as plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB). PGPB use different mechanisms of action to improve plant growth and health, which could be active either simultaneously or sequentially at different stages of plant.

II. Survival of transplants:

Data concerning survival (%), vegetative growth of transplants and leaves, stem & roots fresh and dry weight after eight months of transplanting are presented in Tables (3, 4, 5 & 6).

• Survival (%)

Data in Table 3 showed that inoculated jujube cuttings with *Bacillus megaterium* treatment following by inoculated with *Pseudomonas florescence* treatment gave the highest values in this respect (70%, 71% & 65%, 64%), respectively, in both seasons. The lowest percentages were found as a result of control, mixed inoculants and treatment with NAA at 1000 ppm as they recorded 0.00%, the rest treatments were in between. Benefits of using PGPR include the ability to control soil-borne fungi, enhance plant survival, and induce systemic resistance to foliar pathogens (Liu *et al.*, 1995).

• Average root length (cm)

The highest values of root length after eight months from transplanting were recorded by inoculated cuttings with *Bacillus megaterium* (35.00, 37.00 cm) in both seasons as well as the inoculated cuttings with *Bacillus polymyxa* in the second season only (37.00 cm). The minimum values were recorded from control, mixed inoculants and NAA at 1000 ppm in both seasons where the cutting didn't have any roots. The rest treatments were in between.

• Average number of roots /transplant

Number of roots/transplant after eight months was affected significantly by the tested treatments. The most stimulus effect were recorded by inoculated jujube cuttings with *Bacillus megaterium* (12.00, 12.00) in both seasons following by *Pseudomonas florescence, Bacillus circulans* and, *Bacillus polymyxa,* they had the same value (10.00) in the first season. Control, mixed inoculants and NAA at 1000 ppm took the other way around as they didn't have the ability to produce any roots in both seasons. The rest treatments were in between.

• Stem length (cm)

Stem length (cm) was significantly increased in all inoculated cuttings with (PGPR) strains than control or mixed inoculants and plant growth regulators in both seasons Table, 4. The cuttings inoculated with *Bacillus megaterium* caused a significant increase in stem length after eight months in both seasons (29.00, 28.00 cm) as well as the inoculated with *Bacillus pasteruiiin* in the second one (28.00 cm). On the contrary, the mixed inoculation and growth regulators treatments as well as the untreated cuttings didn't show any increase in transplant stem length.

Number of leaves/transplant

It is interesting to note in Table 4 that, all cuttings inoculated with (PGPR) strains significantly improved this parameter compared with control or mixed inoculants and plant growth regulators in both seasons. The highest values were achieved when cuttings were inoculated with *Bacillus megaterium* (41and 35) in both seasons, respectively.

• Number of branches/transplant

Table 4 indicated that, number of branches/transplant increased significantly as a result of inoculation with all PGPR strains when they were added solely. On the other hand, PGPR mix, control and NAA 1000 ppm treatments didn't significantly have any effect on number of branches. Where, the rest treatments were in-between in the two seasons of study. PGPR, root-colonizing bacteria are known to influence plant growth by various direct or indirect mechanisms. Several chemical changes in soil are associated with PGPR (Joseph *et al.*, 2007). The stimulus effect of bio-fertilizer application may be attributed to the prompting effect on the parameters of plant growth which are enable to absorb more minerals by root system (Adam, 2002).

• Leaves , stem and root fresh weight (g)

Data presented in Table 5 indicated that leaves, stem and root fresh weight (g) increments were significantly more pronounced by inoculation with *Bacillus megaterium* following by *Bacillus polymyxa, Bacillus circulans* and *Pseudomonas florescence* in both seasons expect for stem fresh weight in second season, where the differences were in insignificant between them . Generally, there were insignificant differences between both IBA concentrations (1000 & 2000 ppm) and the higher concentration of NAA (2000 ppm).

Table 3. Effect of some plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and growth regulators on survival (%), root length (cm) and number of roots after eight months of transplanting of jujube (Li variety) sub-terminal cuttings during 2008 and 2009 seasons.

	Su	Survival (%)		oot length	Average number of roots/transplant		
Treatments				m)			
	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	
Control	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 i	0.00d	0.00 g	0.00d	
Bacillus polymyxa	60.00 c	59.00 c	33.00 b	37.00 a	10.00 b	11.00 a	
Bacillus circulans	60.00 c	59.00c	32.00 bc	34.00 b	10.00 b	11.00 a	
Bacillus megaterium	70.00 a	71.00 a	35.00 a	37.00 a	12.00 a	12.00a	
Bacillus pasteruii	50.00 d	50.00 d	29.00 e	34.00 b	7.00 d	8.00 b	
Pseudomonas florescence	65.00 b	64.00 b	31.00 cd	34.00 b	10.00 b	11.00 a	
Mixed inoculants	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 i	0.00 d	0.00 g	0.00 d	
Yeast	50.00 d	50.00 d	30.00 de	34.00 b	8.00 c	8.00 b	
IBA (1000 ppm)	20.00 e	15.00 e	25.00 f	30.00 c	5.00 e	4.00 c	
IBA (2000 ppm)	20.00 e	18.00 e	20.00 g	29.00 c	3.00 f	4.00 c	
NAA (1000 ppm)	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 i	0.00 d	0.00 g	0.00 d	
NAA (2000 ppm)	18.00 e	18.00 e	17.00 h	29.00 c	3.00 f	4.00 c	

Values have the same letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different at 5% level using Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Table 4. Effect of some plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and growth regulators on stem length (cm), number of leaves/ transplant and number of branches/ transplant after eight months from transplanting of jujube (Li variety) sub-terminal cuttings during 2008 and 2009 seasons.

Treatments	Stem le	ngth (cm)	Number of leaves/transplant		Number of branches/ transplant		
	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	
Control	0.00 e	0.00e	0.00 i	0.00 f	0.00 d	0.00 d	
Bacillus polymyxa	24.60b	25.00b	28.00b	31.00b	3.00 a	3.00 a	
Bacillus circulans	24.00b	25.00b	24.00cd	28.00c	3.00 a	2.00 b	
Bacillus megaterium	29.00a	28.00a	41.00a	35.00a	3.00 a	3.00 a	
Bacillus pasteruii	22.00c	28.00a	21.00 e	22.00d	2.00 b	2.00 b	
Pseudomonas florescence	24.00b	25.00b	25.00 c	27.00c	3.00 a	3.00 a	
Mixed inoculants	0.00 e	0.00e	0.00 i	0.00 f	0.00 d	0.00 d	
Yeast	22.00c	23.00c	23.00d	22.00d	2.00b	2.00 b	
IBA (1000 ppm)	19.00d	19.00d	18.00 f	17.00e	1.00 c	1.00 c	
IBA (2000 ppm)	19.00d	20.00d	12.00 h	17.00e	1.00 c	1.00 c	
NAA (1000 ppm)	0.00 e	0.00e	0.00 i	0.00f	0.00 d	0.00 d	
NAA (2000 ppm)	19.00d	19.00d	15.00 g	17.00e	1.00 c	1.00 c	

Values have the same letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different at 5% level using Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

 Table 5. Effect of some plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and growth regulators on leaves, stem and root, fresh weight (g) after eight months from transplanting of jujube (Li variety) sub-terminal cuttings during 2008 and 2009 seasons.

Fresh weight (g)						
	2008		2009			
Leaves	Stem	Root	Leaves	Stem	Root	
0.00 e	0.00 e	0.00 d	0.00 e	0.00 d	0.00 d	
1.83 b	4.21 b	2.69 b	1.75 b	5.90 a	2.80 b	
1.80 b	4.18 b	2.50 b	1.70 b	5.92 a	2.75 b	
2.30 a	6.11 a	3.17 a	2.15 a	5.95 a	3.00 a	
1.40 c	3.00 c	1.00 c	1.38 c	3.00 b	1.55 c	
1.82 b	4.17 b	2.41 b	1.70 b	5.89 a	2.70 b	
0.00 e	0.00 e	0.00 d	0.00 e	0.00 d	0.00 d	
1.50 c	3.20 c	1.00 c	1.40 c	3.00 b	1.50 c	
1.01 d	2.05 d	0.94 c	0.95 d	2.50 c	1.50 c	
0.92 d	2.00 d	0.90 c	0.90 d	2.40 c	1.53 c	
0.00 e	0.00 e	0.00 d	0.00 e	0.00 d	0.00 d	
1.00 d	2.00 d	0.69 c	0.95 d	2.40 c	1.45 c	
	Leaves 0.00 e 1.83 b 1.80 b 2.30 a 1.40 c 1.82 b 0.00 e 1.50 c 1.01 d 0.92 d 0.00 e 1.00 d	2008 Leaves Stem 0.00 e 0.00 e 1.83 b 4.21 b 1.80 b 4.18 b 2.30 a 6.11 a 1.40 c 3.00 c 1.82 b 4.17 b 0.00 e 0.00 e 1.50 c 3.20 c 1.01 d 2.05 d 0.92 d 2.00 d 0.00 e 0.00 e	Fresh v 2008 Root 0.00 e 0.00 e 0.00 d 1.83 b 4.21 b 2.69 b 1.80 b 4.18 b 2.50 b 2.30 a 6.11 a 3.17 a 1.40 c 3.00 c 1.00 c 1.82 b 4.17 b 2.41 b 0.00 e 0.00 e 0.00 d 1.50 c 3.20 c 1.00 c 1.01 d 2.05 d 0.94 c 0.92 d 2.00 d 0.90 c 0.00 e 0.00 e 0.00 d	Fresh weight (g) 2008 Fresh weight (g) Leaves Stem Root Leaves 0.00 e 0.00 e 0.00 d 0.00 e 1.83 b 4.21 b 2.69 b 1.75 b 1.80 b 4.18 b 2.50 b 1.70 b 2.30 a 6.11 a 3.17 a 2.15 a 1.40 c 3.00 c 1.00 c 1.38 c 1.82 b 4.17 b 2.41 b 1.70 b 0.00 e 0.00 e 0.00 d 0.00 e 1.82 b 4.17 b 2.41 b 1.70 b 0.00 c 0.00 d 0.00 e 1.40 c 1.50 c 3.20 c 1.00 c 1.40 c 1.01 d 2.05 d 0.94 c 0.95 d 0.92 d 2.00 d 0.90 c 0.90 d 0.00 e 0.00 e 0.00 d 0.00 e 1.00 d 2.00 d 0.69 c 0.95 d	Fresh weight (g) 2008 2009 Leaves Stem Root Leaves Stem 0.00 e 0.00 e 0.00 d 0.00 e 0.00 d 1.83 b 4.21 b 2.69 b 1.75 b 5.90 a 1.80 b 4.18 b 2.50 b 1.70 b 5.92 a 2.30 a 6.11 a 3.17 a 2.15 a 5.95 a 1.40 c 3.00 c 1.00 c 1.38 c 3.00 b 1.82 b 4.17 b 2.41 b 1.70 b 5.89 a 0.00 e 0.00 e 0.00 d 0.00 e 0.00 d 1.50 c 3.20 c 1.00 c 1.40 c 3.00 b 1.01 d 2.05 d 0.94 c 0.95 d 2.50 c 0.92 d 2.00 d 0.90 c 0.90 d 2.40 c 0.00 e 0.00 e 0.00 d 0.00 d 2.40 c	

Values have the same letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different at 5% level using Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Table	6.	Effect of some plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and	d
		growth regulators on leaves, stem and root, dry weight (g	J)
		after eight months from transplanting of jujube (Li variety	/)
		sub-terminal cuttings during 2008 and 2009 seasons.	-

	Dry weight (g)						
Trootmonto		2008		2009			
Treatments	Leaves	Stem	Root	Leaves	Stem	Root	
Control	0.00 f	0.00 g	0.00 g	0.00 d	0.00 f	0.00 d	
Bacillus polymyxa	0.40 b	2.59 c	1.17 b	0.35 b	2.50 b	1.00 b	
Bacillus circulans	0.37 bc	2.60 c	1.15 b	0.33 b	2.25 c	1.00 b	
Bacillus megaterium	0.67 a	3.46 a	1.44 a	0.50 a	3.30 a	1.30 a	
Bacillus pasteruii	0.30 d	2.15 d	0.70 c	0.22 c	1.95 d	0.94 b	
Pseudomonas florescence	0.35 c	2.65 b	1.13 b	0.31 b	2.55 b	1.00 b	
Mixed inoculants	0.00 f	0.00 g	0.00 f	0.00 d	0.00 f	0.00 d	
Yeast	0.33 cd	2.12 d	0.73 c	0.30 b	1.90 d	0.95 b	
IBA (1000 ppm)	0.25 e	1.10 e	0.50 d	0.20 c	0.95 e	0.40 c	
IBA (2000 ppm)	0.24 e	1.00 f	0.33 f	0.20 c	0.91 e	0.30 c	
NAA (1000 ppm)	0.00 f	0.00 g	0.00 g	0.00 d	0.00 f	0.00 d	
NAA (2000 ppm)	0.20 e	1.07 e	0.48 de	0.20 c	0.93 e	0.35 c	
Jaluas have the same let	tor(c) in th	o camo c	olumn aro	not signific	antly diffo	ront at 5%	

Values have the same letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different at 5% level using Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

• Leaf, stem and root dry weight (g)

It could be concluded from the obtained results in Table 6 that cuttings inoculated with *Bacillus megaterium* had significantly appositive effect on leaf, stem and root dry weight (g) in both seasons as compared to other treatments. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are naturally occurring soil bacteria that are able to aggressively colonize plant roots and stimulate plant growth when applied to roots, tubers or seeds Weller (1988). The aforementioned results agree with Kloepper *et al.* (2004), they found that, the use of PGPR offers an attractive way to replace chemical fertilizer, pesticides, and supplements; most of the isolates result in a significant increase in dry matter prod uction of shoot and root of plants. Generally, it can be concluded from the previous results that, inoculation jujube (Li variety) sub-terminal cuttings with *Bacillus megaterium* or *Pseudomans* can promote root formation as well as increase survival (%) and enhance vegetative growth of the produced transplants.

• Histological studies

The stem of jujube (Figs, 7 & 8) has a well differentiation periderm. The vascular tissues are continuous ring of secondary xylem and secondary phloem. The secondary xylem consists of solitary wide vessels, fibres and few pareuchynatous cells. The secondary phloem has elements, companion cells and parenchyma. Between the vascular tissues i.e, the xylem and phloem a narrow vascular embroil zone, which was bordered by remains of the primary phloem and cortex. The primary phloem has wide strands of fibers. That was separated with narrow parenchyma zones which prevents the emergence of adventitious roots. The primary xylem is observed lining the wide pith which is composed of large parenchyma cells. Some of these cells have dark contents, which observed in the outer part of the pith which called the (medullary sheath). The anatomical structure of jujube stem cutting is

nearly closed to jojoba (Simmondsia chinensis) as provably mentioned by Sayed et al., (2010). In most plants adventitious roots are originated in the vicinity of differentiating vascular tissue. These places are root close to xylem, phloem and facilitates rapid establishment of vascular connection (Satoo, 1956). Biofertilizers are considered to be the alternate source of fertilizers to meet the nutrient requirements of crops and to bridge the future gaps. This group of organisms seems to play an important role in soil biofertility, because of their capability for producing hormones, amino acids and vitamins (Omar, et al., 1991). The direct growth promoting mechanisms by PGPR are as follows i) nitrogen fixation ii) solubilization of phosphorus iii) sequestering of iron by production of siderophores iv) production of phytohormones such as auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins and v) lowering of ethylene concentration (Glick et al., 1999). There has been much research interest in PGPR and there is now an increasing number of PGPR being commercialized for crops (Banerjee, et al., 2006). The emergence of adventitious roots was observed about eight weeks after cuttings were grown. The transverse sections in some rooted cuttings revealed the development of few callus cells occurred on the destroyed parts of the cuttings (Fig, 9). The callus cells were proliferated and form small protrusions and originated from the cambial and phloem parenchyma cells below the cork cells from these protrusions the adventitious roots were developed. Sooner or later the new roots established their connections with the vascular tissue of the cutting treated by Bacillus megaterium (Fig, 10).



Fig (8)







Fig (9): A cross section in jujube plant stem cutting showing the development of few callus cells.



Fig (10): Across section in jujube plant stem cutting showing the well development root.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Hafez, A. M. (1966). Some studies on acid production microorganisms in soil and rhizophere with special reference to phosphate dissolves. Ph. D. Thesis, Botany Dept., Fac. Of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Abdel-Malek, Y. and Ishac, Y. (1968). Evaluation of methods used in counting of Azotobacter. Appl. Bact., 31:267-275.
- Abou- El Khashab, A. M. (2002). Growth and chemical constituents of some olive cultivars as affected by biofertilizers and different water regimes. Egypt. Agric. Res. NRC, 1(2) :243-265.
- Abou-Zaid, M. (1984). Biochemical studies on fooder yeast. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo. Univ. Egypt.
- Adam, S.M. (2002). Growth and productivity of Vicia faba plants as influenced by some different bio and chemical nitrogen fertilizer. Egypt. J. Hort, 29: 83-98
- Arshad, M. and Frankenberger, WT. (1998). Plant growth regulating substances in the rhizosphere: microbial production and functions. Adv. Agron., 62: 45-151.
- Arshad, M. and Frankenberger, W.T. (2002): Ethylene: agricultural sources and applications. Kluwer, Academic Publishers. New York, USA. 342 pp.
- Banerjee, M.R.; Yesmin, L. and Vessey, J. K. (2006). Plant-Growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers and biopesticides. In: Rai MK (ed) Handbook of Microbial Biofertilizers. Haworth, press. New York.
- Bertrand, H.; Plassard, C.; Pinochet, X.; Touraine, B.; Normand, P. and Cleyet-Marel, JC. (2000). Stimulation of the ionic transport system in *Brassica napus* by a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium (*Achromobacter sp.*). Canadian Journal of Microbiology, 46, 229-236.
- Bunt, J. S. and Rovira, A. D. (1955). Microbiological studies of some subantractic of some substance soils. Soil. Sci., 6:114-128.
- Cheuk, W.; Lo, K.V.; Branion, R. M. R. and Fraser, B. (2003). Benefits of sustainable waste management in the vegetable greenhouse industry. Journal of Environmental Sciences and Health, 38: 855-863.
- Cleyet-Marel, J-C.; Larcher, M.; Bertrand, H.; Rapior, S. and Pinochet, X. (2001). Plant growth enhancement by rhizobacteria. In: Morot- Gaudry J-F, ed. Nitrogen assimilation by plants. Physiological, Biochemical and Molecular Aspects. En®eld (NH, USA).
- Delker, C.; Raschke, A. and Quint, M. (2008). Auxin dynamics: the dazzling complexity of a small molecule's message. Planta, 227: 929-941.
- Dobereiner, J. (1978). Influence of the environmental factor on the occurrence of S. *lipoferum* in soil and roots. Ecol. Bull. Stockholm, 26:343-352.
- El-Ghinbihi, F. H. and Fetouh, A. A. (2001). Response of some potato cultivars to biofertilizers and different mineral nitrogen levels. Zagazig. Agric. Res., 28(1) 133-162.

- Ercili, S. and Guleryz, M. (1999). A study of the propagation of the hardwood cuttings of some rose hips. Tr. J. Agriculture and Forestry, 23 (Supplement 2): 305-310.
- Ferguson, J. J.; Avigne, W. T.; Alen, L. H. and Koch, K. E. (1987). Growth of Co₂ enriched sour orange seedling treated with gibberellic acid and cytokinins. Proc. Florida State Hort. Soc., 99:37-39.
- Glick, B.R.; Patten, C.L.; Holguin, G. and Penrose, D.M. (1999). Biochemical and Genetic Mechanisms Used by Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria. Imperial College Press, London, United Kingdom.
- Glick, B.R.; Penrose, D.M. and Li, J. (1998). A model for the lowering of plant ethylene concentrations by plant growth promoting bacteria. J. Theor. Biol. 190: 63–68.
- Hatta, M.; Bey, C.; Garts, S. and Diner, A. (1996). Indication of roots on jujube softwood cutting using *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, Hortscience. 6:881-886.
- Huang, Y.; Diner, AM. and Karnosky, DF. (1991). *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*medided genetic transformation and regeneration of a conifer:Larix deciduas. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol., 27: 201-207.
- Jin-Wei Li, Liu-Ping Fan, Shao-Dong Ding, Xiao-Lin Ding (2007). Nutritional composition of five cultivars of chinese jujube Food Chemistry, Vol.103, Issue 2:454-460.
- Johansen, D. A. (1940): "Plant Micro Technique". 5th ed. McGraw. Hill Book Company, Inc. New York, pp:523.
- Joseph, B.; Patra, R.R; and Lawrence, R. (2007): Characterization of plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria associated with chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). International Journal of Plant Production, 1 (Suppl 2): 141-152.
- Ki-Yeon Yoo, Hua Li, In Koo Hwang, Jung Hoon Choi, Choong Hyun Lee, Dae Young Kwon, Shi Yong Ryu, Young Sup Kim, Il-Jun Kang, Hyung-Cheul Shin, Moo-Ho Won (2010)."Zizyphus Attenuates Ischemic Damage in the Gerbil Hippocampus via Its Antioxidant Effect", J. of Medicinal Food. June: 557-563.
- Kloepper J. W.; Reddy, S. M.; Rodreguez-Kabana, R.; Kenney, D. S.; Kokalis- Burelle, N. and Ochoa, N. M. (2004). Application for rhizobacteria in transplant production and yield enhancement. Acta Horticulturae, 631: 217-229.
- Liu, L.; Kloepper, J.W. and Tuzun, S. (1995). Induction of systemic resistance in cucumber against Fusarium wilt by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Phytopatholgy 85, 695–698.
- Lyrene, P. M. (1979). The jujube tree (*Ziziphus jujube* Mill). Fruit, 33: 100-104.
- Mcafee, B. J.; White, E. E.; Pelcher, L. E. and Lapp, M. S. (1993). Root indication in pine (Pinus) and larch (Larix) spp. Using *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture, 34: 53-62.
- Moor, T. C. (1979). Biochemistry and Physiology of Plant Hormines. Pub. By Springer, Verlage, New York. USA.

- Omar, M.N.A.; Hegazy; M. H.; El-Aziz, R. A. A.; Soliman, M. S. M. A. and Sobh , M.M. (1991). Effect of inoculation with rhizobacteria on yield of wheat under graded level of nitrogen fertilization. Annals of Agricultural Science Cairo, 36: 99-104.
- Reddy, B.G.; Patil, D. R.; Kulkarni, N. G. and Patil, S. G. (1998). Economic performance of selected ber varieties. Karnataka, Agric. Sci., 11 (2): 538-539.
- Satoo, S. (1956). Anatomical studies on the rooting of cuttings in conferous species. Bull. Tokyo, Univ. Forests.
- Sayed, W.; El-Taweel, A. and Madlen, R. (2010). Studies on vegetative propagation of jojoba. J. Plant, Mansoura, Univ. Vol. 1 (12): 1605-1623.
- Shaharoona, B., R. Bibi, M. Arshad, Z.A. Zahir and Zia-ul-Hassan. (2006). 1minocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC)-deaminase rhizobacteria extenuates ACC-induced classical triple response in etiolated pea seedlings. Pak. J. Bot., 38: 1491-1499.
- Snedecor, G. A. and Cochran, W. D. (1980).Statistical Methods. Oxford and J. B. H. Bub Com. 7th Editions.
- Strobel, G. A. and Nachmias, A. (1985). *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* promotes the initial growth of bare rootstock almond. J. General Microbiology, 131:1245-1249.
- Vessey, J. K. (2003). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant Soil,55: 571-586.
- Vilsmeier, K. and Amberger (1988). The uptake and metabolism of N-labeled cyanamide by grapevine cuttings vitis. 27 (4) 223-228.
- Weller, D. M. (1988).Biological control of soil borne plant pathogens in the rhizospher with bacteria. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 26:379-407.
- Wu, S. C.; Z, H. Cao, Z. G. Li, K. C. Cheung and Wong, M. H. (2005).Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth : A greenhouse trial. Geoderma, 125:155-166.
- Yan-Ting, Y. and King, X. (2001).Experiment on soft wood cuttings of jujube. China Fruit, (5): 53-54.

تجذير عقل العناب (Ziziphus Jujuba Mill) صنف لى بأستخدام بعض الكائنات الدقيقة المشجعة للتجذير ومنظمات النمو النباتية مدلين راشد سورسن ، محمد أبوالوفا أحمد و محمد نبيل عمر معهد بحوث البساتين- مركز البحوث الزراعية معهد بحوث الاراضى- مركز البحوث الزراعية

اجريت هذة الدراسة في معهد بحوث البساتين بالجيزة – مصر وذلك لدراسة تأثير بعض الكائنات الدقيقة المشجعة للتجذير ومنظمات النمو على تجذير العقل تحت الطرفية في منتصف شهر ابريل والمأخوذة من افرع عمر سنة من أشجار العناب (عمرها ١٥ سنة) صنف لي الصعب التجذير بالعقلة خلال موسمي الدراسة ٢٠٠٨-٢٠٠٩. وقد تم نقع قواعد العقل بالمعاملات الآتيه:

بكتريا بأسلس بيستيريو - مخلوط من انواع البكتريا السابقة وخميرة سلالة سكاروميسز بالسلس ميجتيريم -بكتريا بأسلس بيستيريو - مخلوط من انواع البكتريا السابقة وخميرة سلالة سكاروميسز بالاضافة الى المعاملة بمنظمات النمو مثل: اندول بيوتريك اسيد و نفثالين استيك اسيد بتركيز ١٠٠٠ – ٢٠٠٠ جزء فى المليون مقارنة بالكنترول (عقل تم نقعها فى الماء). ومن أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها إن نقع قواعد العقلة ببكتريا باسلس ميجتيريم أعطى أعلى نسبة تجذير فى الموسمين على التوالى (٥٠ %-٢٠٥). بعد ثمانية الشهر من الزراعة بكتريا باسلس ميجتيريم يليها بزيدومنس فلورسينس اعطت اعلى نسبة بقاء وكذلك متوسط عدد الجذور /شتلة وطول الساق والجذر و عدد الاور اق/شتلة والوزن الطازج والجاف للجذر والساق والاوراق. بينما معاملة الكنترول وخليط البكتريا ومعاملة نفثالين السيتك أسيد بتركيز ١٠٠٠ جزء فى المليون كانت الاقل خلال موسمي الدراسة. وقد أوضحت الدراسة التشريحية لقواعد العقل أن الجذور العرضية تنشأ من الكامبيوم وبر انشيمه اللحاء وذلك عند خروج الجذر. عموما يمكن التوصية باستخدام النقع ببكتريا باسلس ميجتيريم أو كاني معالمية ولك خروج الجذر. عموما يمكن التوصية باستخدام النقع ببكتريا باسلس ميجتيريم وذلك عند فلور سينس لتجذير العقل الساقي والطر فية للعناب صنف لى (فى منتيمه اللحاء وذلك عند خروج الجذر. عموما يمكن التوصية باستخدام النقع ببكتريا باسلس ميجتيريم أو باسلس بزيدومنس المور عنور عليم الحقل أن الجذور العرضية تنشأ من الكامبيوم وبر انشيمه اللحاء وذلك عند خروج الجذر. عموما يمكن التوصية باستخدام النقع والماني المان من الكامبيوم وبر انشيمه اللحاء وذلك عند خروج الجذير العقل الساقية تحت الطرفية للعناب صنف لى (فى منتصف شهر ابريل) وذلك

قام بتحكيم البحث

ا<u>.</u>د / لطيف جرجس سمعان

أد / صفيه عبد المنعم ابو طالب

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة مركز البحوث الزراعية