PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON CLOVE BASIL PLANT Abdou, M. A. H.¹; M. Y. A. Abdalla²; A. A. Hegazy² and Zeinab S. A. Marzok²

1 Hort. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ.

2 Veget. and Flor. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ.

ABSTRACT

This experiment was conducted at the Floriculture Nursery at the Experimental Farm and the Laboratory of Floriculture, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ. during the two successive seasons of 2010 and 2011 to study the effect of FYM (Farmyard manure), bio. and / or salicylic acid, as well as, mineral NPK fertilization treatments on vegetative growth, oil production and chemical composition of clove basil plant.

The obtained results indicated that the application of FYM significantly increased plant height (cm), number of branches / plant, herb fresh weight in each cut (g / plant / cut), herb fresh weight in each season (g / plant / season) and total herb fresh weight per feddan for each season (ton. / fed. / season), essential oil (%), essential oil yield / plant / cut (ml) and per plant / season (ml), as well as per fed. / season (liter), content of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids (mg / g. fresh weight) in the fresh leaves, as well as, the percentages of N, P and K in the dry herb. The superiority in all previous traits was for treatment of FYM at high level (45 m³ / fed.) as compared with the other levels including the control.

The plants treated with mineral NPK or a mixture of effective microorganisms (E.M.) and phosphorein (Phos.) plus salicylic acid gave the best results of all tested parameters in both cuts of the two growing seasons. Regarding the percentage of essential oil in the fresh herb, the treatment of E.M. + Phos. + Sal. was more effective than the other treatments.

The interaction between the main-plots (FYM treatments) and sub-plots (bio, Salicylic acid and NPK treatments) had significant effect on the previous parameters. The highest values, in most cases, were obtained due to the high level of FYM (45 m^3 / fed.) in combination with mineral NPK and E.M. + Phos. + Sal.

INTRODUCTION

The clove basil plant (*Ocimum gratissimum*, L.) belonging to Family Lamiaceae is an aromatic, perennial herb. It is used commonly for flavoring many food products, general tonic, anti – diarrhea agent. The leaf oil when mixed with alcohol is applied as a lotion for skin infection and taken internally for bronchitis. The dried leaves are snuffed to alleviate headaches and fever among other uses (Iwu, 1993). Leaves extract used for urinary tract, wound, skin and antibacterial and antifungal. Essential oil is an important insect repellent, it applied against fever, inflammations of the throat, ears or eyes, stomach pain, influenza, diarrhea and skin diseases. It is being tested as an antibiotic and antioxidant activities (Biasi *et al.*, 2009).

Many authors studied the effect of organic manure fertilization treatments on growth, essential oil (percent and yield) and chemical composition of several plant species as Jacoub (1999); El–Gendy *et al.* (2001); Kandeel *et al.* (2002); Mohsen (2002) on sweet basil plants; El–

Ghadban *et al.* (2003) and El–Sanafawy (2007) on *Majorana hortensis*; Heikal (2005) on *Thymus vulgaris*; El–Maadawy (2007) on *Tagetes erecta*; El–Leithy *et al.* (2007) on *Origanum syriacum*; Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants and Abdou *et al.* (2009a) and (2009d) on caraway and fennel plants, respectively who concluded that organic fertilization treatments significantly increased vegetative growth traits, volatile oil parameters and chemical composition compared with control.

The beneficial effects of bio-fertilizer treatments on vegetative growth traits, essential oil and chemical composition of some aromatic plants were obtained by Youssef *et al.* (2004) on sage plants; Abdou *et al.* (2004a; 2004b and 2009d) on fennel plants; El–Leithy *et al.* (2007) on *Origanum syriacum*; El–Maadawy (2007) on *Tagetes erecta*; Erika *et al.* (2008) on marjoram plants, El–Shora (2009) and Abd El–Hadi (2009) on *Mentha* spp.; Abdou *et al.* (2009a) on caraway plants. They found that bio-fertilization treatments (N₂ – fixing bacteria and / or phosphate dissolving bacteria) led to an increment in vegetative growth parameters, essential oil (percent and yield), as well as, chemical constituents (chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids contents and N, P and K % in the leaves of plants).

The effect of salicylic acid was investigated on several medicinal and aromatic plants, it was found that the vegetative growth traits, oil (percent and yield) and some chemical composition increased due to salicylic acid application on some various plants, such as *Tagetes minuta* (Ali, 2004); caraway plants (Al–Shareif, 2006 and Abdou *et al.*, 2009a);coriander plants (Ayat, 2007); sweet basil and marjoram plants (Abd El–Lateef, 2007) and geranium plants (Ibrahim, 2010).

Many research worker gained best growth, yield, oil percentage, oil yield and chemical constituents for several aromatic plants when mineral NPK was used, such as Khafaga *et al.* (2000); Mohsen (2002); Singh *et al.* (2004); Abd El–Lateef (2007); El–Sanafawy (2007) and Rao *et al.* (2007) on sweet basil; Mahfouz (2003) and El–Hindi and El–Boraie (2005) on marjoram plants; Shala (2007) on sage plants; Abdelaziz (2007) on rosemary plants; Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants; Ardelan *et al.* (2010) on *Satureja hortensis* and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium plants.

This study was designed to study the effect of using FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid, as well as, mineral NPK on the some vegetative growth characteristics, essential oil percent and yield and chemical composition of clove basil plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out during the two growing seasons of 2010 and 2011 at the Floriculture Nursery and the Laboratory of Floriculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Minia University to study the response of clove basil plants to FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid, as well as, mineral NPK fertilization treatments. The seedlings of clove basil plants at the stage of 4 - 5 leaves and 12 - 13 cm in height were planted in the experimental field on the middle of March in both seasons.

The layout of this experiment was split plot design with three replicates. The experimental unit (plot) was 2 x 2 m and containing 5 rows, 40 cm apart, and the seedlings were cultivated in hills, 40 cm apart, therefore, each plot contained 25 plants. Farmyard manure levels (0, 25, 35 and 45 m³/fed.) were assigned to the main-plots and seven treatments (control, phosphorein, E.M., E.M. + phosphorein, salicylic acid, E.M. + phosphorein + salicylic acid and mineral NPK) occupied the sub-plots. The physical and chemical analyses of the used soil in both seasons are shown in Table (A). Farmyard manure (FYM) was obtained from a private animal farm and added during preparing the soil to cultivation in the two experimental seasons. The chemical analysis of FYM was done according Black *et al.* (1965) and is shown in Table (B).

Fresh and active two biofertilizers were used in this research. Phosphorein (Phos.), which containing phosphate dissolving bacteria, was obtained from Ministry of Agric., while E.M. (Effective microorganisms) was obtained from Laboratory of Bio., Dept. of Genetics, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ. The biofertilizers were applied either separately or in a mixture twice to the soil around each plant at 5 kg / fed. of Phos. and 50 ml / plant of E.M. (1 ml contains 10^7 cells of bacteria). The first dose was added after 2 weeks from transplanting and the second one after 2 weeks from the first cut in both seasons and then plants were irrigated immediately. Salicylic acid (Sal.) at 150 ppm was applied as a foliar spry twice also at the same schedule mentioned in the biofertilizers treatments (the plants were sprayed till run off).

Sail properties	Val	ue
Soil properties	2010	2011
Sand %	28.20	28.98
Silt %	30.70	29.87
Clay %	41.10	41.15
Soil type	Clay loam	Clay loam
Organic matter %	1.62	1.54
Ca Co₃ %	2.09	2.11
pH (1:2.5)	7.82	7.75
E. C. (m mhos / cm)	1.04	1.08
Total N %	0.08	0.06
Available P %	15.12	15.67
Exch. K⁺ mg/100 g	2.11	2.85
Exch. Ca ⁺⁺ mg/100 g	31.74	31.12
Exch. Na⁺ mg/100 g	2.40	2.51
Fe	8.54	8.23
DTPA Cu	2.06	2.01
Ext. ppm Zn	2.75	2.87
Mn	8.26	8.11

Table (A): Physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil at 0–30 cm depth in 2010 and 2011 seasons

Chemical fertilizer was used as a mixture of ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N), calcium superphosphate (15.5 % P_2O_5) and potassium sulphate (48 % K_2O) at the rates of 300, 250 and 150 kg / fed. respectively, and added in two equal doses, also at the same schedule mentioned in the treatments of

Abdou, M. A. H. et al.

biofertilizers and / or Salicylic acid. All other agricultural practices were carried out as prevailing in the region.

Table (B): Chemical analysis of the applied farmyard manure in 2010 and 2011 seasons

Content of FYM	First season (2010)	Second season (2011)				
Organic matter %	27.0	27.50				
Carbon %	15.70	15.81				
Total N %	0.82	0.91				
C / N ratio	19.15	17.37				
Humidity %	8.01	7.89				
P %	0.25	0.28				
К %	1.09	1.18				
Fe ppm	980.3	838.5				
Zn ppm	274.2	271.10				
Mn ppm	225.4	234.10				
pH.	7.44	7.38				
E. C. (m. mhose /cm)	1.08	1.06				

The plants were harvested twice, the first cut was done on 10th of July and the second cut was done on October 13th in the two growing seasons. The following data were recorded during both seasons:

- Vegetative growth characters: Plant height (cm), number of branches per plant and herb fresh weight (g / plant) were determined for each cut. In addition, total herb fresh weight (g / plant) and (ton / fed.) for each season were calculated.
- Essential oil determination: Essential oil % in fresh herb, according to British Pharmaocopeia (1963), and essential oil yield (ml / plant) were determined for each cut. Moreover, essential oil yield (ml / plant) and (liter / fed.) for each season were calculated.
- Chemical analysis: For each cut, chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids (mg / g fresh weight) in the fresh leaves were determined according to Moran (1982). Nitrogen % was determined by using the modified micro kjeldahl method as described by Wilde *et al.* (1985). P % was estimated according to Chapman and Pratt (1975), while K % was determined using flame photometer method according to Cottenie *et al.* (1982). All data were tabulated and statistically analyzed according to MSTAT–C (1986) and the L.S.D. test at 5 % was followed to compare between the means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on vegetative growth :

Data in Tables (1, 2, 3 and 4) indicated that plant height, number of branches / plant / cut, herb fresh weight / plant / cut and per plant / season, as well as, per fed. / season were significantly increased due to all farmyard manure (FYM) treatments over control (without FYM) in both cuts and in the two growing seasons. The highest values for the five characters were obtained when FYM was added at the rate of 45 m^3 / fed. (FYM₃). In addition, significant differences were also detected between the four levels of FYM for the five previous characters, except, between FYM₃ and FYM₂ for herb fresh

weight / plant / cut in the second cut during second season, it was not significant. The superiority of the treatments of FYM in enhancing the vegetative growth may be attributed to the mode of action of organic manure on the physical and chemical soil characters. FYM can improve soil, water and plant relations through modifying bulk density, total porosity and soil water retention (Abd El-Moez *et al.*, 1999). Also, organic materials are degraded in the soil and consequently the nutrients became available which leading to increase the plant growth (Saha *et al.*, 1995). These results are in agreement with those of El-Gendy *et al.* (2001) and Mohsen (2002) on sweet basil; El-Maadawy (2007) on *Tagetes erecta*; El-Leithy *et al.* (2007) on *Origanum syriacum* and Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants.

It is evident from data in Tables (1, 2, 3 and 4) that all six used treatments (sub-plots) significantly increased vegetative growth traits in comparison with the control (without any treatment) in both cuts during the two growing seasons. The highest values were obtained due to the treatment of mineral NPK fertilization followed by the mixture of biofertilizers (E.M. + Phos.) plus salicylic acid without significant differences between them.

The superiority of NPK treatment and the treatment of biofertilizers + salicylic acid in promoting the vegetative growth may be attributed to the increase of N, P and K in root zone from chemical fertilizers. Effective microorganisms (E.M.) increase soil available N, consequently increase formation of metabolites which encourage the plant growth (Sperenat, 1990). Furthermore, the phosphate solublizing bacteria (phosphorein) has enormous potential to solubilize about 50 - 60 % of fixed phosphorus in the soil by secreting organic acids within a short time (Vyas and Vyas, 1994). While, salicylic acid has direct involvement in plant growth (Gorddon et al., 1997). The increase in vegetative growth due to mineral NPK was deduced by Singh et al. (2004) on sweet basil; El-Hindi and El-Boraie (2005) on Majorana hortensis and Ardelan et al. (2010) on Satureja hortensis. Meanwhile, the role of biofertilizers in increasing vegetative growth traits was also stated by Abdou et al. (2009a) and (2009c) and (2009d) on caraway, guar and fennel plants, respectively. In this respect, Ali (2004) on Tagetes minuta; Al-Shareif (2006) on caraway and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium proved that salicylic acid treatment increased all vegetative growth traits.

The interaction between main-plot and sub-plot was significant for the five studied characters of vegetative growth in the two cuts during both seasons. The best interaction treatments were obtained due to $FYM_3 + NPK$ or $FYM_3 + E.M. + Phos. + Sal.$ (for plant height and number of branches / plant / cut) and FYM_3 with NPK or E.M. + Phos. + Sal. or FYM_2 with NPK (for fresh weight of herb / plant / cut), as well as, FYM_3 or FYM_2 in combination with NPK or E.M. + Phos. + Sal. for fresh weight of herb / plant / season and per feddan / season (Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4). In general the best vegetative growth for all treatments was obtained in the second cut in comparison with first cut in the two growing seasons. This may be due to more decomposition of organic materials at the end of the seasons.

Abdou, M. A. H. et al.

ar	na sec	ona a	uring	2010	anu zu	IT Sea	asons			
					Plant hei	ight (cn	n)			
Treatments				F	irst seas	on (201	10)			
meannenits			First cu	ıt			S	cut	Jt	
	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A
Control	36.03	42.55	47.05	50.55	44.05	37.55	44.15	48.55	51.75	45.50
Phos.	40.28	47.06	51.70	54.70	48.44	41.55	48.36	53.30	55.55	49.69
E.M.	41.55	48.61	52.25	56.10	49.63	42.75	50.01	55.46	57.05	51.32
Phos. + E.M.	42.93	50.22	54.00	57.74	51.22	44.06	51.73	57.31	58.85	52.99
Sal.	43.65	50.95	54.81	58.35	51.94	44.65	52.35	58.02	59.36	53.60
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	46.55	54.88	58.33	61.75	55.38	47.28	56.37	61.55	62.95	57.04
NPK	47.28	55.65	59.05	62.15	56.03	48.15	57.05	62.10	64.18	57.87
Mean (B)	42.61	49.99	53.88	57.33		43.71	51.43	56.61	58.53	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.94	E	3: 1.30	A	B: 2.66	A: 0.63	В	: 0.99	A	AB: 1.98
				Se	cond sea	ason (2	011)			
Control	37.18	43.78	48.49	52.20	45.41	38.30	44.80	49.65	53.50	46.56
Phos.	41.11	47.65	52.25	55.60	49.15	41.00	48.30	52.15	56.82	49.57
E.M.	42.35	49.05	53.95	56.95	50.58	42.68	50.00	54.18	58.67	51.38
Phos. + E.M.	43.70	50.75	55.75	58.86	52.27	44.65	51.65	56.09	60.62	53.25
Sal.	44.30	51.37	56.66	59.48	52.95	45.15	52.25	56.86	61.05	53.83
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	47.28	55.48	60.47	63.67	56.73	48.35	56.05	60.95	65.86	57.80
NPK	49.15	56.15	60.98	64.08	57.59	49.55	56.85	61.55	66.25	58.55
Mean (B)	43.58	50.60		58.69		44.24				
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.87		3: 1.03		AB: 2.06			0.87		AB: 1.74
Phos. = phosph	orein	E.M	V. = Eff	ective r	nicroorg	anisms	s S	al. = sa	licvlic a	acid
									,	

Table (1): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on plant height of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second during 2010 and 2011 seasons

Table (2): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments
on number of branches of Ocimum gratissimum, L. plant in
the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

				<u> </u>					
		First cu						cut	
			Fi	rst seas	on (20	10)			
FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A
9.35	10.15	10.75	11.05	10.33	10.25	11.10	11.81	12.22	11.35
9.87	10.70	11.33	11.45	10.84	10.65	11.55	12.44	12.65	11.82
10.10	11.05	11.73	11.90	11.20	10.97	11.95	12.95	13.16	12.26
10.57	11.70	12.48	12.70	11.86	11.52	12.65	13.75	14.01	12.98
10.68	11.85	12.66	12.91	12.03	11.70	12.76	13.86	14.12	13.11
12.05	13.05	13.46	14.06	13.16	12.69	13.77	14.99	15.08	14.14
12.40	13.45	13.55	14.16	13.39	13.09	14.17	15.09	15.11	14.37
10.71	11.71	12.28	12.61		11.55	12.56	13.56	13.76	
A: 0.12	2 B:0	.29	AB: 0	.57	A: 0.18 B: 0.24 AB: 0.4				
			Sec	ond sea	ason (2	2011)			
9.65	10.53	11.04	11.29	10.63	10.63	11.55	12.30	12.75	11.81
10.06	11.00	11.59	11.70	11.09	11.08	12.06	12.85		12.29
	11.00 11.25		11.70 12.17			12.06 12.66		13.17	-
10.31		12.02		11.44	11.43		13.47	13.17	12.85
10.31 10.66	11.25 11.95	12.02 12.83	12.17	11.44 12.12	11.43 12.05	12.66 13.48	13.47 14.38	13.17 13.84	12.85
10.31 10.66 10.79	11.25 11.95 12.08	12.02 12.83 12.98	12.17 13.02	11.44 12.12 12.26	11.43 12.05 12.21	12.66 13.48 13.63	13.47 14.38 14.46	13.17 13.84 14.75 14.87	12.85 13.67 13.79
10.31 10.66 10.79 11.63	11.25 11.95 12.08 12.99	12.02 12.83 12.98 13.83	12.17 13.02 13.18 14.19	11.44 12.12 12.26	11.43 12.05 12.21 13.26	12.66 13.48 13.63 14.59	13.47 14.38 14.46 15.25	13.17 13.84 14.75 14.87	12.85 13.67 13.79 14.69
10.31 10.66 10.79 11.63 12.73	11.25 11.95 12.08 12.99 13.70	12.02 12.83 12.98 13.83 13.80	12.17 13.02 13.18 14.19	11.44 12.12 12.26 13.16 13.58	11.43 12.05 12.21 13.26	12.66 13.48 13.63 14.59 15.11	13.47 14.38 14.46 15.25 15.35	13.17 13.84 14.75 14.87 15.65 15.84	12.85 13.67 13.79 14.69
10.31 10.66 10.79 11.63 12.73	11.25 11.95 12.08 12.99 13.70 11.93	12.02 12.83 12.98 13.83 13.80 12.59	12.17 13.02 13.18 14.19 14.40 12.85	11.44 12.12 12.26 13.16 13.58	11.43 12.05 12.21 13.26 14.12	12.66 13.48 13.63 14.59 15.11 13.30	13.47 14.38 14.46 15.25 15.35	13.17 13.84 14.75 14.87 15.65 15.84 14.41	12.85 13.67 13.79 14.69
	FYM₀ 9.35 9.87 10.10 10.57 10.68 12.05 12.40 10.71 A: 0.12	FYM₀ FYM₁ 9.35 10.15 9.87 10.70 10.10 11.05 10.57 11.70 10.68 11.85 12.05 13.05 12.40 13.45 10.71 11.71 A: 0.12 B: 0	FYM₀ FYM₁ FYM₂ 9.35 10.15 10.75 9.87 10.70 11.33 10.10 11.05 11.73 10.57 11.70 12.48 10.68 11.85 12.66 12.05 13.05 13.46 12.40 13.45 13.55 10.71 11.71 12.28 A: 0.12 B: 0.29	Numb First cut First cut First cut FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 9.35 10.15 10.75 11.05 9.87 10.70 11.33 11.45 10.10 11.05 11.73 11.90 10.57 11.70 12.48 12.70 10.68 11.85 12.66 12.91 12.05 13.05 13.46 14.06 12.40 13.45 13.55 14.16 10.71 12.28 12.61 AB: 0 A: 0.12 B: 0.29 AB: 0 Sec	Number of bra First cut First seas FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A 9.35 10.15 10.75 11.05 10.33 9.87 10.70 11.33 11.45 10.84 10.10 11.05 11.73 11.90 11.20 10.57 11.70 12.48 12.70 11.86 10.68 11.85 12.66 12.91 12.03 12.05 13.05 13.46 14.06 13.16 12.40 13.45 13.55 14.16 13.39 10.71 11.71 12.28 12.61 A: 0.12 B: 0.29 AB: 0.57	Number of branches First cut First cut First season (20 FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A FYM0 9.35 10.15 10.75 11.05 10.33 10.25 9.87 10.70 11.33 11.45 10.84 10.65 10.10 11.05 11.73 11.90 11.20 10.97 10.57 11.70 12.48 12.70 11.86 11.52 10.68 11.85 12.66 12.91 12.03 11.70 12.05 13.05 13.46 14.06 13.16 12.69 12.40 13.45 13.55 14.16 13.39 13.09 10.71 11.71 12.28 12.61 11.55 A: 0.12 B: 0.29 AB: 0.57 A: 0.18	Number of branches / plant First cut Second season (2010) First season (2010) FYM₀ FYM₁ FYM₂ FYM₃ Mean A FYM₀ FYM₁ 9.35 10.15 10.75 11.05 10.33 10.25 11.10 9.87 10.70 11.33 11.45 10.84 10.65 11.55 10.10 11.05 11.73 11.90 11.20 10.97 11.95 10.57 11.70 12.48 12.70 11.86 11.52 12.65 10.68 11.85 12.66 12.91 12.03 11.70 12.76 12.05 13.05 13.46 14.06 13.16 12.69 13.77 12.40 13.45 13.55 14.16 13.39 13.09 14.17 10.71 11.71 12.28 12.61 11.55 12.56 A: 0.12 B: 0.29 AB: 0.57 A: 0.18 B Second season (2011)	Number of branches / plant First cut Second of the second	Number of branches / plant First cut Second cut First season (2010) FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ FYM ₃ Mean A FYM ₀ FYM ₁ FYM ₂ FYM ₃ 9.35 10.15 10.75 11.05 10.33 10.25 11.10 11.81 12.22 9.87 10.70 11.33 11.45 10.84 10.65 11.55 12.44 12.65 10.10 11.05 11.73 11.90 11.20 10.97 11.95 12.95 13.16 10.57 11.70 12.48 12.70 11.86 11.52 12.65 13.75 14.01 10.68 11.85 12.66 12.91 12.03 11.70 12.76 13.86 14.12 12.05 13.05 13.46 14.06 13.16 12.69 13.77 14.99 15.08 12.40 13.45 13.55 14.16 13.39 13.09 14.17 15.09 15.11 10.71 11.71 12.28 12.61 <td< th=""></td<>

1456

	St une	1 3000			ng 201				115	
				Fresh v	veight of	i herb (g	g / plan	t)		
Treatments			First cu	ıt			S	econd	cut	
Treatments				F	irst seas	on (201	10)			
	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A
Control	140.07	167.84	196.76	227.79	183.12	165.33	195.28	225.38	253.91	209.98
Phos.	160.26	191.83	223.15	251.42	206.67	183.17	217.86	250.14	273.49	231.17
E.M.	177.96	220.3	254.80	280.42	233.37	201.51	248.58	282.73	302.96	258.95
Phos. + E.M.	207.07	254.83	292.17	314.97	267.26	225.9	284.49	320.24	338.93	292.39
Sal.	219.25	267.15	305.84	327.10	279.84	235.07	295.19	329.80	346.47	301.63
Phos. + E.M. + Sal.	260.48	311.72	356.54	371.16	324.98	285.27	340.88	380.27	387.76	348.55
NPK	278.96	327.79	374.16	383.97	341.22	299.06	356.59	398.57	400.95	363.79
Mean (B)	206.29	248.78	286.20	308.12		227.90	277.02	312.45	329.21	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 13.7	5 B:	23.0		AB: 46	A: 11.1	0 B:2	1.05	AB:	42.10
				Sec	ond sea	son (20	11)			
Control	152.44	182.30	211.99	244.91	197.91	182.65	214.55	245.96	280.99	231.04
Phos.	170.07	206.53	237.99	267.25	220.46	202.99	238.28	273.14	301.28	253.92
E.M.	188.00	236.55	270.78	293.49	247.21	224.26	271.73	305.89	324.47	281.59
Phos. + E.M.	213.21	272.27	308.15	324.3	279.48	248.93	309.27	346.28	352.80	314.32
Sal.	225.72	282.82	320.10	332.62	290.32	262.29	318.97	358.73	362.37	325.59
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	265.19	330.80	372.19	377.77	336.49	302.71	367.27	411.41	414.73	374.03
NPK	287.70	345.73	384.49	389.60	351.88	320.47	384.03	429.01	424.60	389.53
Mean (B)	214.62	265.29	300.81	318.56		249.19	300.59	338.63	351.61	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 10.6	7 B:1	9.58	AB: 38	.32	A: 14.4	2 B:1	8.6		AB: 37.2
Phos. = phospho	rein	E.I	И. = Eff	ective r	nicroorg	anisms	s S	al. = sa	licylic a	acid

Table (3): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on herb fresh weight of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

Table (4): Effect of FYM, bio. and / or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on total herb fresh weight per plant and feddan per season of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant during 2010 and 2011 seasons

3(easons	5								
		First	season	(2010)			Second	d seaso	n (2011)
Treatments		Tota	al fresh	weight	of herb	/ plant /	' seaso	n (g / p	lant)	
	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A
Control	305.40	363.12	422.14	481.70	393.09	335.09	396.85	457.95	525.90	428.95
Phos.	343.43	409.69	473.29	524.91	437.83	373.06	444.81	511.10	568.53	474.38
E.M.	379.47	468.87	537.53	583.38	492.31	412.26	508.28	576.67	617.96	528.79
Phos. + E.M.	433.97	539.32	612.41	653.90	559.90	462.14	581.54	654.43	677.1	593.80
Sal.	454.32	562.35	635.64	673.57	585.97	488.01	601.79	678.83	694.99	615.91
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	545.75	652.60	736.81	758.92	673.52	565.90	698.07	783.60	788.16	708.93
NPK	578.02	684.38	772.73	784.92	705.01	606.58	729.96	813.5	814.20	741.06
Mean (B)	434.34	525.76	598.65	637.33		463.29	565.9	639.44	669.55	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 22.0	4 B:	31.51	AE	8: 63.02	A: 29.9	3 B	: 32.18	Α	B: 64.36
		Tot	al fresh	weight	of herb	/ fed. / :	season	(ton / f	ed.)	
Control	7.64	9.08	10.58	12.04	9.84	8.38	9.92	11.45	13.15	10.72
Phos.	8.14	10.24	11.83	13.12	10.83	9.33	11.12	12.78	14.21	11.86
E.M.	9.49	11.72	13.44	14.59	12.31	10.31	12.71	14.37	15.45	13.21
Phos. + E.M.	10.82	13.48	15.31	16.35	13.99	11.45	14.54	16.36	16.93	14.82
Sal.	11.36	14.06	15.89	16.84	14.54	12.20	15.04	16.97	17.37	15.40
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	13.64	16.32	18.42	18.97	16.84	14.15	17.45	19.59	19.70	17.72
NPK	14.45	17.11	19.32	19.62	17.63	15.16	18.25	20.34	20.36	18.53
Mean (B)	10.79	13.14	14.97	15.93		11.57	14.15	15.98	16.74	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.93	B: 0	.80	A	B: 1.60	A: 0.71	B: ().83	1	AB: 1.66
Phos. = phospho	orein	E.N	$\Lambda = Eff$	ective m	icroorga	anisms	S	al. = sa	licylic a	ncid

1457

Effect on essential oil productivity :

The obtained results in Tables (5, 6 and 7) indicated that FYM as organic fertilizer at the three levels (25, 35 and 45 m³ / fed.) significantly increased essential oil percentage and essential oil yield (ml / plant either / cut or / season and liter / fed. / season) in clove basil fresh herb over those of control plants in the two cuts during both seasons. The highest values were obtained from the high level of FYM (45 m³ / fed.). In general, the values of essential oil % and oil yield / plant / cut in the second cut of both seasons were higher than those in the first cut. This may be due to the direct effect of FYM or indirect effect of environmental conditions, especially temperature and light period on the physiological and biochemical processes in the plants consequently oil percentage that reflect on oil yield. In agreement with these results were those found by Jacoub (1999) and Mohsen (2002) on sweet basil and Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants.

Data in the same Tables indicated also that the treatments of bio. and / or Sal., as well as, NPK significantly increased oil % and oil yield (per plant / cut, per plant / season and per fed./ season) in the two growing seasons compared with control (without any addition). Concerning the essential oil %, the obtained data showed that the treatment of E.M. + Phos. + Sal. was more effective than other treatments. This treatment gave the highest values of essential oil % followed by Sal. treatment then mineral NPK without significant differences among them.

IN	the fi	i st and						2011	0000	2113
				E	Essentia	l oil (%))			
Treatments			First cu	ıt			S	econd	cut	
meatments				Fi	rst seas	on (201				
	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A
Control	0.68	0.71	0.75	0.77	0.727	0.69	0.73	0.76	0.79	0.743
Phos.	0.69	0.72	0.77	0.79	0.743	0.71	0.77	0.79	0.81	0.771
E.M.	0.71	0.74	0.80	0.81	0.765	0.73	0.79	0.83	0.85	0.800
Phos. + E.M.	0.74	0.75	0.80	0.83	0.780	0.73	0.80	0.85	0.86	0.810
Sal.	0.76	0.79	0.85	0.87	0.818	0.78	0.85	0.91	0.92	0.845
Phos.+ E.M. + Sal.	0.77	0.80	0.86	0.87	0.825	0.79	0.87	0.91	0.93	0.865
NPK	0.75	0.78	0.83	0.84	0.800	0.76	0.82	0.89	0.91	0.876
Mean (B)	0.729	0.755	0.809	0.826		0.742	0.804	0.849	0.867	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01	6 B	: 0.028	A	B: 0.056	A: 0.01	2 B:	0.035	A	B: 0.070
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01	6 B	: 0.028		B: 0.056 ond sea			0.035	A	B: 0.070
L.S.D. at 5 % Control	A: 0.01 0.69	6 B	0.76					0.035	AI 0.82	3: 0.070 0.778
				Sec	ond sea	son (20)11)		-	
Control	0.69	0.72	0.76	Sec 0.78	ond sea 0.738	son (20 0.72	011) 0.77	0.80	0.82	0.778
Control Phos.	0.69 0.71	0.72 0.75	0.76 0.79	Sec 0.78 0.80	ond sea 0.738 0.763	son (20 0.72 0.75	0.77 0.80	0.80 0.84	0.82 0.87	0.778 0.815
Control Phos. E.M.	0.69 0.71 0.73	0.72 0.75 0.78	0.76 0.79 0.80	Sec 0.78 0.80 0.82	ond sea 0.738 0.763 0.783	son (20 0.72 0.75 0.75	0.77 0.80 0.81	0.80 0.84 0.85	0.82 0.87 0.89	0.778 0.815 0.825
Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M.	0.69 0.71 0.73 0.74	0.72 0.75 0.78 0.81	0.76 0.79 0.80 0.82	Sec 0.78 0.80 0.82 0.84	ond sea 0.738 0.763 0.783 0.803	son (20 0.72 0.75 0.75 0.76	0.77 0.80 0.81 0.83	0.80 0.84 0.85 0.86	0.82 0.87 0.89 0.92	0.778 0.815 0.825 0.843
Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal.	0.69 0.71 0.73 0.74 0.77	0.72 0.75 0.78 0.81 0.84	0.76 0.79 0.80 0.82 0.86	Sec 0.78 0.80 0.82 0.84 0.88	ond sea 0.738 0.763 0.783 0.803 0.838	son (20 0.72 0.75 0.75 0.76 0.79	0.77 0.80 0.81 0.83 0.88	0.80 0.84 0.85 0.86 0.92	0.82 0.87 0.89 0.92 0.98	0.778 0.815 0.825 0.843 0.893
Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M. + Sal. NPK Mean (B)	0.69 0.71 0.73 0.74 0.77 0.77 0.76 0.739	0.72 0.75 0.78 0.81 0.84 0.85 0.82 0.796	0.76 0.79 0.80 0.82 0.86 0.87	Sec 0.78 0.80 0.82 0.84 0.88	ond sea 0.738 0.763 0.783 0.803 0.838 0.843	son (20 0.72 0.75 0.75 0.76 0.79 0.81	0.77 0.80 0.81 0.83 0.88 0.88	0.80 0.84 0.85 0.86 0.92 0.94	0.82 0.87 0.89 0.92 0.98 0.99	0.778 0.815 0.825 0.843 0.893 0.908
Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M. + Sal. NPK Mean (B)	0.69 0.71 0.73 0.74 0.77 0.77 0.76 0.739 A: 0.01	0.72 0.75 0.78 0.81 0.84 0.85 0.82 0.796 5 B: 0	0.76 0.79 0.80 0.82 0.86 0.87 0.84 0.820 0.027	Sec 0.78 0.80 0.82 0.84 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.85 0.836 AB:	ond sea 0.738 0.763 0.783 0.803 0.838 0.843 0.817	son (20 0.72 0.75 0.75 0.76 0.79 0.81 0.78 0.767 A: 0.03	0.77 0.80 0.81 0.83 0.88 0.89 0.86 0.835 3 B:	0.80 0.84 0.85 0.86 0.92 0.94 0.88	0.82 0.87 0.89 0.92 0.98 0.99 0.95 0.917 AB	0.778 0.815 0.825 0.843 0.893 0.908 0.868

Table (5): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on essential oil percentage of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

Table (6): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments
on essential oil yield (ml/plant) of Ocimum gratissimum L.
plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011
seasons

Essential oil yield (ml / plant) First cut Second ct First season (2010) FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 Control 0.95 1.19 1.48 1.75 1.34 1.14 1.43 1.94 Phos. 1.11 1.38 1.72 1.99 1.55 1.30 1.68 1.98 E.M. 1.26 1.63 2.04 2.27 1.80 1.47 1.96 2.35 Phos. + E.M. 1.53 1.91 2.34 2.61 2.10 1.65 2.28 2.72 Sal. 1.67 2.11 2.60 2.85 2.31 1.83 2.51 3.00 Phos.+ E.M. + Sal. 2.01 2.49 3.07 3.23 2.70 2.25 2.97 3.46 NPK 2.09 2.56 3.11 3.23 2.75 2.27 2.92 3.55 Mean (B) 1.52	FYM ₃ 2.01 2.22 2.58 2.91 3.19 3.61	Mean A 1.63 1.79 2.09 2.39 2.63 3.07 3.10
First season (2010) FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 Control 0.95 1.19 1.48 1.75 1.34 1.14 1.43 1.94 Phos. 1.11 1.38 1.72 1.99 1.55 1.30 1.68 1.98 E.M. 1.26 1.63 2.04 2.27 1.80 1.47 1.96 2.35 Phos. + E.M. 1.53 1.91 2.34 2.61 2.10 1.65 2.28 2.72 Sal. 1.67 2.11 2.60 2.85 2.31 1.83 2.51 3.00 Phos.+ E.M. + Sal. 2.01 2.49 3.07 3.23 2.70 2.25 2.97 3.46 NPK 2.09 2.56 3.11 3.23 2.75 2.27 2.92 3.55 Mean (B) 1.52 1.90 2.33 2.56 1.70 2.25 2.71 L.S.D. at 5 % </th <th>FYM₃ 2.01 2.22 2.58 2.91 3.19 3.61</th> <th>1.63 1.79 2.09 2.39 2.63 3.07</th>	FYM ₃ 2.01 2.22 2.58 2.91 3.19 3.61	1.63 1.79 2.09 2.39 2.63 3.07
First season (2010) FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 Control 0.95 1.19 1.48 1.75 1.34 1.14 1.43 1.94 Phos. 1.11 1.38 1.72 1.99 1.55 1.30 1.68 1.98 E.M. 1.26 1.63 2.04 2.27 1.80 1.47 1.96 2.35 Phos. + E.M. 1.53 1.91 2.34 2.61 2.10 1.65 2.28 2.72 Sal. 1.67 2.11 2.60 2.85 2.31 1.83 2.51 3.00 Phos.+ E.M. + Sal. 2.01 2.49 3.07 3.23 2.76 2.25 2.97 3.46 NPK 2.09 2.56 3.11 3.23 2.75 2.27 2.92 3.55 Mean (B) 1.52 1.90 2.33 2.56 1.70 2.25 2.71 L.S.D. at 5 % </th <td>2.01 2.22 2.58 2.91 3.19 3.61</td> <td>1.63 1.79 2.09 2.39 2.63 3.07</td>	2.01 2.22 2.58 2.91 3.19 3.61	1.63 1.79 2.09 2.39 2.63 3.07
Control 0.95 1.19 1.48 1.75 1.34 1.14 1.43 1.94 Phos. 1.11 1.38 1.72 1.99 1.55 1.30 1.68 1.98 E.M. 1.26 1.63 2.04 2.27 1.80 1.47 1.96 2.35 Phos. + E.M. 1.53 1.91 2.34 2.61 2.10 1.65 2.28 2.72 Sal. 1.67 2.11 2.60 2.85 2.31 1.83 2.51 3.00 Phos.+ E.M. + Sal. 2.01 2.49 3.07 3.23 2.70 2.25 2.97 3.46 NPK 2.09 2.56 3.11 3.23 2.75 2.27 2.92 3.55 Mean (B) 1.52 1.90 2.33 2.56 1.70 2.25 2.71 L.S.D. at 5 % A:0020 AB: 0.024 AB: 0.048 A: 0.014 B: 0.024 Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91	2.01 2.22 2.58 2.91 3.19 3.61	1.63 1.79 2.09 2.39 2.63 3.07
Phos. 1.11 1.38 1.72 1.99 1.55 1.30 1.68 1.98 E.M. 1.26 1.63 2.04 2.27 1.80 1.47 1.96 2.35 Phos. + E.M. 1.53 1.91 2.34 2.61 2.10 1.65 2.28 2.72 Sal. 1.67 2.11 2.60 2.85 2.31 1.83 2.51 3.00 Phos. + E.M. + Sal. 2.01 2.49 3.07 3.23 2.70 2.25 2.97 3.46 NPK 2.09 2.56 3.11 3.23 2.75 2.27 2.92 3.55 Mean (B) 1.52 1.90 2.33 2.56 1.70 2.25 2.71 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.020 AB: 0.024 AB: 0.048 A: 0.014 B: 0.024 Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91 1.47 1.32 1.65 1.97 Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 <th>2.22 2.58 2.91 3.19 3.61</th> <th>1.79 2.09 2.39 2.63 3.07</th>	2.22 2.58 2.91 3.19 3.61	1.79 2.09 2.39 2.63 3.07
E.M. 1.26 1.63 2.04 2.27 1.80 1.47 1.96 2.35 Phos. + E.M. 1.53 1.91 2.34 2.61 2.10 1.65 2.28 2.72 Sal. 1.67 2.11 2.60 2.85 2.31 1.83 2.51 3.00 Phos. + E.M. + Sal. 2.01 2.49 3.07 3.23 2.70 2.25 2.97 3.46 NPK 2.09 2.56 3.11 3.23 2.75 2.27 2.92 3.55 Mean (B) 1.52 1.90 2.33 2.56 1.70 2.25 2.71 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.020 AB: 0.024 AB: 0.048 A: 0.014 B: 0.024 Second season (2011) Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91 1.47 1.32 1.65 1.97 Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 1.69 1.52 1.91 2.29 E.M. 1.37 </th <th>2.58 2.91 3.19 3.61</th> <th>2.09 2.39 2.63 3.07</th>	2.58 2.91 3.19 3.61	2.09 2.39 2.63 3.07
Phos. + E.M. 1.53 1.91 2.34 2.61 2.10 1.65 2.28 2.72 Sal. 1.67 2.11 2.60 2.85 2.31 1.83 2.51 3.00 Phos. + E.M. + Sal. 2.01 2.49 3.07 3.23 2.70 2.25 2.97 3.46 NPK 2.09 2.56 3.11 3.23 2.75 2.27 2.92 3.55 Mean (B) 1.52 1.90 2.33 2.56 1.70 2.25 2.71 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.020 AB: 0.024 AB: 0.048 A: 0.014 B: 0.024 Second season (2011) Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91 1.47 1.32 1.65 1.97 Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 1.69 1.52 1.91 2.29 E.M. 1.37 1.85 2.17 2.41 1.95 1.68 2.20 2.60 Phos. 1.21<	2.91 3.19 3.61	2.39 2.63 3.07
Sal. 1.67 2.11 2.60 2.85 2.31 1.83 2.51 3.00 Phos.+ E.M. + Sal. 2.01 2.49 3.07 3.23 2.70 2.25 2.97 3.46 NPK 2.09 2.56 3.11 3.23 2.75 2.27 2.92 3.55 Mean (B) 1.52 1.90 2.33 2.56 1.70 2.25 2.71 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.020 AB: 0.024 AB: 0.048 A: 0.014 B: 0.024 Second season (2011) Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91 1.47 1.32 1.65 1.97 Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 1.69 1.52 1.91 2.29 E.M. 1.37 1.85 2.17 2.41 1.95 1.68 2.20 2.60 Phos. 1.58 2.21 2.53 2.72 2.26 1.89 2.57 2.98 Sal. 1.74 <td< th=""><th>3.19 3.61</th><th>2.63 3.07</th></td<>	3.19 3.61	2.63 3.07
Phos.+ E.M. + Sal. 2.01 2.49 3.07 3.23 2.70 2.25 2.97 3.46 NPK 2.09 2.56 3.11 3.23 2.75 2.27 2.92 3.55 Mean (B) 1.52 1.90 2.33 2.56 1.70 2.25 2.71 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.020 AB: 0.024 AB: 0.048 A: 0.014 B: 0.024 Second season (2011) Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91 1.47 1.32 1.65 1.97 Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 1.69 1.52 1.91 2.29 E.M. 1.37 1.85 2.17 2.41 1.95 1.68 2.20 2.60 Phos. + E.M. 1.58 2.21 2.53 2.72 2.26 1.89 2.57 2.98 Sal. 1.74 2.38 2.75 2.93 2.45 2.07 2.81 3.30	3.61	3.07
NPK 2.09 2.56 3.11 3.23 2.75 2.27 2.92 3.55 Mean (B) 1.52 1.90 2.33 2.56 1.70 2.25 2.71 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.020 AB: 0.024 AB: 0.048 A: 0.014 B: 0.024 Second season (2011) Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91 1.47 1.32 1.65 1.97 Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 1.69 1.52 1.91 2.29 E.M. 1.37 1.85 2.17 2.41 1.95 1.68 2.20 2.60 Phos. + E.M. 1.58 2.21 2.53 2.72 2.26 1.89 2.57 2.98 Sal. 1.74 2.38 2.75 2.93 2.45 2.07 2.81 3.30		
Mean (B) 1.52 1.90 2.33 2.56 1.70 2.25 2.71 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.020 AB: 0.024 AB: 0.048 A: 0.014 B: 0.024 Second season (2011) Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91 1.47 1.32 1.65 1.97 Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 1.69 1.52 1.91 2.29 E.M. 1.37 1.85 2.17 2.41 1.95 1.68 2.20 2.60 Phos. + E.M. 1.58 2.21 2.53 2.72 2.26 1.89 2.57 2.98 Sal. 1.74 2.38 2.75 2.93 2.45 2.07 2.81 3.30	0.05	3 10
L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.020 AB: 0.024 AB: 0.048 A: 0.014 B: 0.024 Second season (2011) Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91 1.47 1.32 1.65 1.97 Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 1.69 1.52 1.91 2.29 E.M. 1.37 1.85 2.17 2.41 1.95 1.68 2.20 2.60 Phos. + E.M. 1.58 2.21 2.53 2.72 2.26 1.89 2.57 2.98 Sal. 1.74 2.38 2.75 2.93 2.45 2.07 2.81 3.30	3.65	5.10
Second season (2011) Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91 1.47 1.32 1.65 1.97 Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 1.69 1.52 1.91 2.29 E.M. 1.37 1.85 2.17 2.41 1.95 1.68 2.20 2.60 Phos. + E.M. 1.58 2.21 2.53 2.72 2.26 1.89 2.57 2.98 Sal. 1.74 2.38 2.75 2.93 2.45 2.07 2.81 3.30	2.88	
Control 1.05 1.31 1.61 1.91 1.47 1.32 1.65 1.97 Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 1.69 1.52 1.91 2.29 E.M. 1.37 1.85 2.17 2.41 1.95 1.68 2.20 2.60 Phos. + E.M. 1.58 2.21 2.53 2.72 2.26 1.89 2.57 2.98 Sal. 1.74 2.38 2.75 2.93 2.45 2.07 2.81 3.30	AB:	: 0.048
Phos. 1.21 1.55 1.88 2.14 1.69 1.52 1.91 2.29 E.M. 1.37 1.85 2.17 2.41 1.95 1.68 2.20 2.60 Phos. + E.M. 1.58 2.21 2.53 2.72 2.26 1.89 2.57 2.98 Sal. 1.74 2.38 2.75 2.93 2.45 2.07 2.81 3.30		
E.M. 1.37 1.85 2.17 2.41 1.95 1.68 2.20 2.60 Phos. + E.M. 1.58 2.21 2.53 2.72 2.26 1.89 2.57 2.98 Sal. 1.74 2.38 2.75 2.93 2.45 2.07 2.81 3.30	2.30	1.81
Phos. + E.M. 1.58 2.21 2.53 2.72 2.26 1.89 2.57 2.98 Sal. 1.74 2.38 2.75 2.93 2.45 2.07 2.81 3.30	2.62	2.09
Sal. 1.74 2.38 2.75 2.93 2.45 2.07 2.81 3.30	2.89	2.34
	3.25	2.67
	3.55	2.93
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 2.04 2.81 3.24 3.32 2.85 2.45 3.27 3.87	4.11	3.42
NPK 2.19 2.84 3.23 3.31 2.89 2.50 3.30 3.78		3.40
Mean (B) 1.60 2.13 2.49 2.68 1.92 2.53 2.97	4.03	
L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.018 B: 0.028 AB: 0.056 A: 0.020 B: 0.016		
Phos. = phosphorein E.M. = Effective microorganisms Sal. = sal	4.03 3.25	0.032

Table (7): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on essential oil yield per plant (ml) and feddan (litre) per season of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant during 2010 and 2011 seasons

000	30113									
		First	season	(2010)			Secon	d seaso	on (201 ⁻	1)
Treatments			Ess	ential oi	l yield /	plant / s	season	(ml)		
	FYM ₀	FYM₁	FYM ₂	FYM₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A
Control	2.09	2.62	3.42	3.76	2.97	2.37	2.96	3.58	4.21	3.28
Phos.	2.41	3.06	3.70	4.21	3.35	2.73	3.46	4.17	4.76	3.78
E.M.	2.73	3.59	4.39	4.85	3.89	3.05	4.05	4.77	5.30	4.29
Phos. + E.M.	3.18	4.19	5.06	5.52	4.49	3.47	4.78	5.51	5.97	4.93
Sal.	3.50	4.62	5.60	6.04	4.94	3.81	5.19	6.05	6.48	5.38
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	4.26	5.46	6.53	6.84	5.77	4.49	6.08	7.11	7.43	6.28
NPK	4.36	5.48	6.66	6.88	5.85	4.69	6.14	7.01	7.34	6.30
Mean (B)	3.22	4.15	5.05	5.44		3.52	4.67	5.46	5.93	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.03	B:	0.04	A	B: 0.08	A: 0.04		B: 0.05	Α	B: 0.010
				Essent	ial oil yie	eld / fec	I. (liter)			
Control	52.25	65.50	85.50	94.00	74.31	59.25	74.00	89.50	105.25	82.00
Phos.	60.25	76.50	92.50	105.25	83.63	68.25	86.50	104.25	119.00	94.50
E.M.	68.25	89.75	109.75	121.25	97.25	76.25	101.25	119.25	132.50	107.31
Phos. + E.M.	79.50	104.75	126.50	138.00		86.75	119.50	137.75	149.25	123.31
Sal.	87.50	115.50	140.00	151.00	123.50	95.25	129.75	151.25	162.00	134.56
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	106.50	136.50	163.25	171.00	144.31	112.25	152.00	177.75	185.75	156.94
NPK	109.00	137.00	166.50	172.00	146.13	117.25	153.50	175.25	183.50	157.38
Mean (B)	80.46	103.64	126.29	136.07		87.89	116.64	136.43	148.18	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 2.33	В	: 1.75	AE	3: 3.50	A: 2.74	В	: 1.18	Α	B: 2.36
Phos. = phospho	orein	E.N	/. = Effe	ective m	nicroorga	anisms	S	al. = sa	licylic a	acid

¹⁴⁵⁹

Regarding essential oil yield (per plant / cut or per plant / season and / fed. / season), the treatment of mineral NPK followed by the treatment of E.M. and Phos. plus Sal. gave significantly the highest values of oil yield in both seasons, in comparison with the other treatments.

The improvement in the essential oil % in the fresh herb as a result of application the bio-fertilization with salicylic acid could be explained through the interpretations of Youssef *et al.* (2004) on sage and El–Shora (2009) on spearmint plants, who demonstrated that the growth hormones producing by microorganisms improved essential oil content and yield. Moreover, Ibrahim (2010) on geranium plants stated that salicylic acid and its chemical derivatives (acetylsalicylic acid) have been reported to enhance the productivity of some secondary metabolites, also it enhance vegetative growth of plants and consequently reflected on the productivity of oil.

The role of mineral NPK in promoting essential oil yield was reported by Mahfouz (2003) on marjoram plants; Abd El–Lateef (2007); Rao *et al.* (2007) on *Ocimum basilicum* and Ardelan *et al.* (2010) on *Satureja hortensis.* While, the increase of oil yield as a result of used biofertilizers, was also reported by Youssef *et al.* (2004) on sage plants; Erika *et al.* (2008) on marjoram plants and El–Shora (2009) on *Mentha piperita.* In the meantime, Abdou *et al.* (2009a) on caraway plants and Abd El–Lateef (2007) on sweet basil and marjoram plants proved that the essential oil yield / plant for three cutting increased about two fold on a fresh weight with salicylic acid at 10^{-4} M in case of basil and 10^{-3} M in marjoram relative to untreated control.

The interaction between main-plot (FYM) and sub-plot (bio and/or salicylic acid, as well as, NPK fertilization) was significant in both cuts in the two seasons for essential oil % or essential oil yield (per plant / cut, per plant / season and per fed./ season) as illustrated in Tables (5, 6 and 7). The interaction treatment of FYM₃ x E.M. + Phos. + Sal. resulted the highest essential oil percentages, while the highest values of essential oil yield (per plant / cut, per plant / cut, per plant / season and per feddan / season) were obtained due to adding FYM at the highest level (45 m³ / fed.) in combination with mineral NPK or E.M. + Phos. + Sal.

Effect on chemical constituent :

Photosynthetic pigments :

Data in Tables (8, 9 and 10) indicated that all three FYM treatments significantly improved the contents of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids (mg / g fresh weight) in the fresh leaves of clove basil plants in the two cuts during both experimental seasons over those of the untreated control plants. Among such three FYM fertilization treatments, the high level (45 m³ / fed.) gave the highest values in both cuts during the two seasons. Similar results were obtained by Kandeel *et al.* (2002) on sweet basil plants; Sakr (2005) on *Cassia acutifolia*; Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants and Abdou *et al.* (2009d) on fennel plants.

Table (8): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on chlorophyll a content (mg/g fresh weight) in the leaves of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

	l 🗌		Chloro	phyll a	content	(mg / g	fresh v	weight)		
Treatments			First cu	ıt			S	econd	cut	
Treatments				Fi	rst seas	on (201	0)			
	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A
Control	2.104	2.116	2.140	2.161	2.130	2.318	2.354	2.378	2.390	2.360
Phos.	2.139	2.162	2.188	2.203	2.173	2.343	2.381	2.413	2.423	2.390
E.M.	2.151	2.177	2.203	2.221	2.188	2.355	2.396	2.425	2.436	2.403
Phos. + E.M.	2.166	2.195	2.221	2.239	2.205	2.375	2.420	2.445	2.457	2.424
Sal.	2.184	2.216	2.245	2.266	2.228	2.404	2.451	2.474	2.485	2.454
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	2.205	2.240	2.271	2.291	2.252	2.425	2.476	2.495	2.516	2.478
NPK	2.219	2.255	2.287	2.305	2.267	2.441	2.494	2.521	2.528	2.496
Mean (B)	2.167	2.194	2.222	2.241		2.380	2.425	2.450	2.462	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.02	2 B: ().026	AB	: 0.052	A: 0.02	4 E	3: 0.027	΄ Α	B: 0.054
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.02	2 B: (0.026		: 0.052 ond sea			3: 0.027	΄ Α	B: 0.054
L.S.D. at 5 % Control	A: 0.02	2 B: 0 2.304	2.335	Sec			011)	3: 0.027 2.467	2.491	B: 0.054 2.442
				Sec	ond sea	son (20)11) 2.434	2.467		
Control	2.256	2.304	2.335	Sec 2.358	ond sea 2.313	son (20 2.382	2.434 2.489	2.467	2.491 2.518	2.442
Control Phos.	2.256 2.282	2.304 2.342	2.335 2.371	Sec 2.358 2.392	ond sea 2.313 2.347	son (20 2.382 2.413	0 11) 2.434 2.489	2.467 2.505 2.525	2.491 2.518	2.442 2.481
Control Phos. E.M.	2.256 2.282 2.295	2.304 2.342 2.360	2.335 2.371 2.387	Sec 2.358 2.392 2.407	ond sea 2.313 2.347 2.362	son (20 2.382 2.413 2.431	2.434 2.489 2.510 2.528	2.467 2.505 2.525 2.544	2.491 2.518 2.539	2.442 2.481 2.502
Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M.	2.256 2.282 2.295 2.306	2.304 2.342 2.360 2.376	2.335 2.371 2.387 2.401	Sec 2.358 2.392 2.407 2.421	ond sea 2.313 2.347 2.362 2.376	son (20 2.382 2.413 2.431 2.447	2.434 2.489 2.510 2.528	2.467 2.505 2.525 2.544 2.584	2.491 2.518 2.539 2.561	2.442 2.481 2.502 2.521
Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal.	2.256 2.282 2.295 2.306 2.330	2.304 2.342 2.360 2.376 2.412	2.335 2.371 2.387 2.401 2.435	Sec 2.358 2.392 2.407 2.421 2.455	ond sea 2.313 2.347 2.362 2.376 2.408	son (20 2.382 2.413 2.431 2.447 2.479	2.434 2.489 2.510 2.528 2.569	2.467 2.505 2.525 2.544 2.584 2.609	2.491 2.518 2.539 2.561 2.603	2.442 2.481 2.502 2.521 2.559
Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	2.256 2.282 2.295 2.306 2.330 2.348	2.304 2.342 2.360 2.376 2.412 2.431	2.335 2.371 2.387 2.401 2.435 2.456	Sec 2.358 2.392 2.407 2.421 2.455 2.476 2.487	ond sea 2.313 2.347 2.362 2.376 2.408 2.428	son (20 2.382 2.413 2.431 2.447 2.479 2.501	2.434 2.489 2.510 2.528 2.569 2.594	2.467 2.505 2.525 2.544 2.584 2.609 2.615	2.491 2.518 2.539 2.561 2.603 2.623	2.442 2.481 2.502 2.521 2.559 2.582
Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK Mean (B)	2.256 2.282 2.295 2.306 2.330 2.348 2.360	2.304 2.342 2.360 2.376 2.412 2.431 2.447 2.382	2.335 2.371 2.387 2.401 2.435 2.456 2.468	Sec 2.358 2.392 2.407 2.421 2.455 2.476 2.487 2.428	ond sea 2.313 2.347 2.362 2.376 2.408 2.428	son (20 2.382 2.413 2.431 2.447 2.479 2.501 2.501 2.513 2.452	2.434 2.489 2.510 2.528 2.569 2.594 2.608 2.533	2.467 2.505 2.525 2.544 2.584 2.609 2.615	2.491 2.518 2.539 2.561 2.603 2.623 2.628 2.566	2.442 2.481 2.502 2.521 2.559 2.582

Table (9): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on chlorophyll b content (mg/g fresh weight) in the leaves of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

Phos. 0.700 0.712 0.722 0.730 0.716 0.768 0.782 0.791 0.796 0.784 E.M. 0.708 0.714 0.727 0.738 0.722 0.774 0.791 0.798 0.801 0.791 Phos. + E.M. 0.714 0.723 0.734 0.742 0.728 0.782 0.791 0.798 0.801 0.791 Phos. + E.M. 0.714 0.723 0.734 0.742 0.737 0.794 0.810 0.817 0.807 0.799 Sal. 0.721 0.731 0.743 0.752 0.737 0.794 0.810 0.818 0.818 0.810 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.729 0.740 0.753 0.761 0.761 0.801 0.819 0.824 0.818 NPK 0.736 0.746 0.755 0.743 0.783 0.800 0.808 0.809 0.824 LS.D. at 5 % A: 0.008 B: 0.016 AB: N.S A: 0.016 B: 0.017 <th>44</th> <th>ing 20</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th><u> </u></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	44	ing 20					<u> </u>								
First season (2010) FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A Control 0.691 0.696 0.705 0.714 0.702 0.757 0.772 0.781 0.787 0.774 Phos. 0.700 0.712 0.722 0.730 0.716 0.782 0.791 0.796 0.784 E.M. 0.708 0.716 0.727 0.738 0.722 0.774 0.791 0.798 0.801 0.799 Phos. + E.M. 0.714 0.723 0.734 0.722 0.737 0.794 0.810 0.818 0.801 0.799 Sal. 0.721 0.731 0.743 0.752 0.737 0.794 0.810 0.818 0.818 0.810 0.818 0.818 0.810 0.818 0.818 0.810 0.818 0.818 0.810 0.812 0.833 0.829 0.824															
FIRST Season (2010) FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A FYM0 FYM1 FYM2 FYM3 Mean A Control 0.691 0.696 0.705 0.714 0.702 0.757 0.772 0.781 0.774 Phos. 0.700 0.712 0.722 0.730 0.716 0.768 0.782 0.791 0.796 0.784 E.M. 0.708 0.716 0.722 0.730 0.716 0.782 0.791 0.798 0.801 0.791 Phos. + E.M. 0.714 0.723 0.734 0.742 0.737 0.794 0.810 0.818 0.810 0.819 0.827 0.824 0.810 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.729 0.740 0.753 0.761 0.761 0.807 0.824 0.818 0.819 0.824 0.824 Mean (B) 0.714 0.723 0.735 0.743 0.783 0.800 0.808 0.809 0.824 L.S.D. at 5	Trootmonto			First cu	Jt		Second cut								
Control 0.691 0.696 0.705 0.714 0.702 0.757 0.772 0.781 0.787 0.774 Phos. 0.700 0.712 0.722 0.730 0.716 0.768 0.782 0.791 0.796 0.784 E.M. 0.708 0.716 0.727 0.738 0.722 0.774 0.791 0.798 0.801 0.791 Phos. + E.M. 0.714 0.723 0.734 0.742 0.728 0.799 0.807 0.807 0.799 Sal. 0.721 0.731 0.743 0.725 0.737 0.794 0.810 0.818 0.810 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.729 0.740 0.753 0.761 0.794 0.807 0.824 0.818 0.818 0.818 NPK 0.736 0.746 0.758 0.765 0.751 0.807 0.826 0.833 0.829 0.824 Mean (B) 0.714 0.723 0.735 0.743 0.783 0.800 <th>Treatments</th> <th></th> <th colspan="13"></th>	Treatments														
Phos. 0.700 0.712 0.722 0.730 0.716 0.768 0.782 0.791 0.796 0.784 E.M. 0.708 0.714 0.727 0.738 0.722 0.774 0.791 0.798 0.801 0.791 Phos. + E.M. 0.714 0.723 0.734 0.742 0.728 0.782 0.799 0.807 0.807 0.791 Sal. 0.721 0.731 0.743 0.752 0.737 0.794 0.810 0.818 0.818 0.810 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.729 0.740 0.753 0.761 0.7746 0.801 0.819 0.824 0.818 0.819 0.824 Mean (B) 0.714 0.723 0.735 0.743 0.783 0.800 0.808 0.809 0.824 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.008 B: 0.016 AB: N.S A: 0.016 B: 0.017 AB: N.S Phos. 0.742 0.764 0.777 0.783 0.801 0.813 0.817<		FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A				
E.M. 0.708 0.716 0.727 0.738 0.722 0.774 0.791 0.798 0.801 0.791 Phos. + E.M. 0.714 0.723 0.734 0.742 0.728 0.782 0.799 0.807 0.807 0.799 Sal. 0.721 0.731 0.743 0.752 0.737 0.794 0.810 0.818 0.818 0.810 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.729 0.740 0.753 0.765 0.751 0.807 0.827 0.824 0.818 NPK 0.736 0.746 0.753 0.765 0.751 0.807 0.826 0.833 0.829 0.824 0.818 NPK 0.736 0.746 0.753 0.765 0.771 0.807 0.826 0.833 0.829 0.824 0.818 Mean (B) 0.714 0.723 0.735 0.743 0.807 0.800 0.808 0.809 0.826 0.833 0.829 0.824 0.818 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.008 B: 0.016 AB: N.S A: 0.016 B: 0.017 AB: N.S	Control	0.691	0.696	0.705	0.714	0.702	0.757	0.772	0.781	0.787	0.774				
Phos. + E.M. 0.714 0.723 0.734 0.742 0.728 0.782 0.799 0.807 0.807 0.799 Sal. 0.721 0.731 0.743 0.752 0.737 0.794 0.810 0.818 0.818 0.810 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.729 0.740 0.753 0.761 0.746 0.801 0.819 0.827 0.824 0.818 0.818 0.810 NPK 0.736 0.746 0.753 0.761 0.746 0.801 0.819 0.827 0.824 0.818 0.818 0.819 Mean (B) 0.714 0.723 0.735 0.743 0.807 0.800 0.808 0.809 0.824 Ls.D. at 5 % A: 0.008 B: 0.016 AB: N.S A: 0.016 B: 0.017 AB: N.S Second season (2011) Control 0.730 0.750 0.761 0.767 0.783 0.801 0.813 0.817 0.803 Phos. 0.742 0.774 0.777	Phos.	0.700	0.712	0.722	0.730	0.716	0.768	0.782	0.791	0.796	0.784				
Sal. 0.721 0.731 0.743 0.752 0.737 0.794 0.810 0.818 0.818 0.810 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.729 0.740 0.753 0.761 0.746 0.801 0.819 0.827 0.824 0.818 NPK 0.736 0.746 0.753 0.761 0.746 0.807 0.826 0.833 0.829 0.824 Mean (B) 0.714 0.723 0.735 0.743 0.763 0.807 0.826 0.833 0.829 0.824 Ls.D. at 5 % A: 0.008 B: 0.016 AB: N.S A: 0.016 B: 0.017 AB: N.S Second season (2011) Second season (2011) Second season (2011) 0.840 0.822 Control 0.730 0.770 0.764 0.776 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.840 0.822 E.M. 0.742 0.764 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.840 0.829 Phos. + E.M.	E.M.	0.708	0.716	0.727	0.738	0.722	0.774	0.791	0.798	0.801	0.791				
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.729 0.740 0.753 0.761 0.746 0.801 0.819 0.827 0.824 0.818 NPK 0.736 0.746 0.758 0.765 0.751 0.807 0.826 0.833 0.829 0.824 Mean (B) 0.714 0.723 0.735 0.743 0.783 0.800 0.808 0.809 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.008 B: 0.016 AB: N.S A: 0.016 B: 0.017 AB: N.S Second season (2011) Second season (2011) Second season (2011) 0.840 0.822 0.841 0.822 Phos. 0.742 0.764 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.840 0.822 E.M. 0.742 0.764 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.825 0.836 0.847 0.829 Phos. 10.778 0.786 0.791 0.777 0.841 0.837 0.841 0.855 0.837 Sal. 0.754 0.778 <	Phos. + E.M.	0.714		0.734	0.742	0.728	0.782	0.799	0.807	0.807	0.799				
NPK 0.736 0.746 0.758 0.765 0.751 0.807 0.826 0.833 0.829 0.824 Mean (B) 0.714 0.723 0.735 0.743 0.783 0.800 0.808 0.809 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.008 B: 0.016 AB: N.S A: 0.016 B: 0.017 AB: N.S Second season (2011) Second season (2011) 0.813 0.817 0.803 Phos. 0.742 0.764 0.774 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.840 0.822 E.M. 0.742 0.774 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.840 0.822 E.M. 0.748 0.771 0.779 0.783 0.770 0.808 0.825 0.836 0.847 0.829 Phos. + E.M. 0.754 0.778 0.786 0.791 0.777 0.814 0.837 0.841 0.855 0.837 Sal. 0.765 0.794 0.801	Sal.	0.721	0.731			0.737	0.794	0.810	0.818	0.818	0.810				
Mean (B) 0.714 0.723 0.735 0.743 0.783 0.800 0.808 0.809 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.008 B: 0.016 AB: N.S A: 0.016 B: 0.017 AB: N.S Control 0.730 0.750 0.761 0.767 0.752 0.783 0.801 0.817 AB: N.S Phos. 0.742 0.764 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.847 0.822 E.M. 0.748 0.771 0.778 0.770 0.808 0.825 0.836 0.847 0.829 Phos. + E.M. 0.754 0.778 0.783 0.770 0.814 0.837 0.841 0.855 0.837 Sal. 0.755 0.794 0.801 0.812 0.793 0.821 0.849 0.855 0.837 Sal. 0.771 0.802 0.809 0.818 0.800 0.833 0.865 0.879 0.850 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.771 0.802	Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.		0.740	0.753	0.761	0.746	0.801	0.819	0.827	0.824	0.818				
L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.008 B: 0.016 AB: N.S A: 0.016 B: 0.017 AB: N.S Second season (2011) Control 0.730 0.750 0.761 0.767 0.752 0.783 0.801 0.813 0.817 0.803 Phos. 0.742 0.764 0.774 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.840 0.822 E.M. 0.748 0.771 0.779 0.783 0.770 0.808 0.825 0.836 0.847 0.822 E.M. 0.754 0.778 0.786 0.771 0.808 0.825 0.836 0.847 0.829 Phos. + E.M. 0.754 0.778 0.786 0.791 0.777 0.814 0.837 0.841 0.855 0.837 Sal. 0.765 0.794 0.809 0.818 0.800 0.830 0.855 0.857 NPK 0.777 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.835 0.845 0.866 Mean (B) <t< th=""><th>NPK</th><th>0.736</th><th>0.746</th><th>0.758</th><th>0.765</th><th>0.751</th><th>0.807</th><th>0.826</th><th>0.833</th><th>0.829</th><th>0.824</th></t<>	NPK	0.736	0.746	0.758	0.765	0.751	0.807	0.826	0.833	0.829	0.824				
Second season (2011) Control 0.730 0.750 0.761 0.767 0.752 0.783 0.801 0.813 0.817 0.803 Phos. 0.742 0.764 0.774 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.847 0.803 Phos. 0.742 0.764 0.774 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.840 0.822 E.M. 0.748 0.771 0.779 0.783 0.777 0.844 0.825 0.836 0.847 0.829 Phos. + E.M. 0.754 0.778 0.786 0.791 0.777 0.814 0.837 0.841 0.855 0.837 Sal. 0.765 0.794 0.801 0.812 0.793 0.821 0.823 0.853 0.856 0.879 0.857 Sal. 0.777 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.805 0.834 0.853 0.865 0.879 0.857 NPK	Mean (B)	0.714	0.723	0.735	0.743		0.783	0.800	0.808	0.809					
Control 0.730 0.750 0.761 0.767 0.752 0.783 0.801 0.813 0.817 0.803 Phos. 0.742 0.764 0.774 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.840 0.822 E.M. 0.748 0.771 0.779 0.783 0.770 0.808 0.825 0.836 0.847 0.829 Phos. + E.M. 0.754 0.778 0.786 0.791 0.777 0.814 0.837 0.841 0.855 0.837 Sal. 0.765 0.794 0.801 0.812 0.793 0.821 0.849 0.858 0.871 0.857 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.777 0.808 0.818 0.800 0.830 0.849 0.858 0.879 0.857 NPK 0.777 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.805 0.834 0.859 0.869 0.884 0.862 Mean (B) 0.755 0.781 0.789 0.795 0.812 <th></th>															
Phos. 0.742 0.764 0.774 0.777 0.764 0.796 0.820 0.831 0.840 0.822 E.M. 0.748 0.771 0.779 0.783 0.770 0.808 0.825 0.836 0.847 0.829 Phos. + E.M. 0.754 0.778 0.786 0.791 0.777 0.814 0.837 0.841 0.825 0.836 0.847 0.829 Phos. + E.M. 0.754 0.778 0.786 0.791 0.777 0.814 0.837 0.841 0.855 0.837 Sal. 0.765 0.794 0.801 0.812 0.793 0.821 0.849 0.858 0.871 0.850 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.771 0.802 0.809 0.818 0.800 0.830 0.853 0.865 0.879 0.857 NPK 0.777 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.805 0.834 0.859 0.869 0.884 0.862 Mean (B) 0.755 0.7	L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00				3: N.S					AB: N.S				
E.M. 0.748 0.771 0.779 0.783 0.770 0.808 0.825 0.836 0.847 0.829 Phos. + E.M. 0.754 0.778 0.786 0.791 0.777 0.814 0.837 0.841 0.855 0.837 Sal. 0.765 0.794 0.801 0.812 0.793 0.821 0.849 0.858 0.871 0.859 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.771 0.802 0.809 0.818 0.800 0.830 0.853 0.865 0.879 0.857 NPK 0.777 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.805 0.834 0.869 0.862 Mean (B) 0.755 0.781 0.789 0.795 0.812 0.835 0.845 0.856 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.012 B: 0.015 AB: N.S A: 0.010 B: 0.012 AB: 0.024	L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00		0.016	AE		A: 0.01	6 B			AB: N.S				
Phos. + E.M. 0.754 0.778 0.786 0.791 0.777 0.814 0.837 0.841 0.855 0.837 Sal. 0.765 0.794 0.801 0.812 0.793 0.821 0.849 0.858 0.871 0.850 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.771 0.802 0.809 0.818 0.800 0.830 0.853 0.865 0.879 0.857 NPK 0.777 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.805 0.834 0.859 0.869 0.862 Mean (B) 0.755 0.781 0.789 0.795 0.812 0.812 0.835 0.845 0.856 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.012 B: 0.015 AB: N.S A: 0.010 B: 0.012 AB: 0.24	L.S.D. at 5 % Control		8 B: (0.016	AE Sec	ond sea	A: 0.01 son (20	6 B 011)	: 0.017		-				
Sal. 0.765 0.794 0.801 0.812 0.793 0.821 0.849 0.858 0.871 0.850 Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.771 0.802 0.809 0.818 0.800 0.830 0.853 0.855 0.879 0.857 NPK 0.777 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.805 0.834 0.859 0.869 0.884 0.862 Mean (B) 0.755 0.781 0.789 0.795 0.812 0.835 0.845 0.856 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.012 B: 0.015 AB: N.S A: 0.010 B: 0.012 AB: 0.24	Control Phos.	0.730	8 B: 0	0.761	AE Sec 0.767	ond sea 0.752	A: 0.01 son (20 0.783	6 B 011) 0.801	0.813	0.817	0.803				
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. 0.771 0.802 0.809 0.818 0.800 0.830 0.853 0.865 0.879 0.857 NPK 0.777 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.805 0.834 0.859 0.869 0.884 0.862 Mean (B) 0.755 0.781 0.789 0.795 0.812 0.835 0.845 0.856 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.012 B: 0.015 AB: N.S A: 0.010 B: 0.012 AB: 0.24	Control Phos. E.M.	0.730	8 B: 0 0.750 0.764	0.761 0.761 0.774 0.779	AE Sec 0.767 0.777 0.783	ond sea 0.752 0.764	A: 0.01 son (20 0.783 0.796	6 B 011) 0.801 0.820	0.813 0.831	0.817 0.840	0.803				
NPK 0.777 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.805 0.834 0.859 0.869 0.884 0.862 Mean (B) 0.755 0.781 0.789 0.795 0.812 0.835 0.845 0.856 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.012 B: 0.015 AB: N.S A: 0.010 B: 0.012 AB: 0.24	Control Phos.	0.730 0.742 0.748 0.754	8 B: (0.750 0.764 0.771	0.761 0.761 0.774 0.779	AE Sec 0.767 0.777 0.783	ond sea 0.752 0.764 0.770	A: 0.01 son (20 0.783 0.796 0.808	6 B 011) 0.801 0.820 0.825	0.813 0.831 0.836	0.817 0.840 0.847	0.803 0.822 0.829				
Mean (B) 0.755 0.781 0.789 0.795 0.812 0.835 0.845 0.856 L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.012 B: 0.015 AB: N.S A: 0.010 B: 0.012 AB: 0.024	Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal.	0.730 0.742 0.748 0.754 0.765	8 B: 0 0.750 0.764 0.771 0.778 0.794	0.761 0.774 0.779 0.786 0.801	AE Sec 0.767 0.777 0.783 0.791 0.812	ond sea 0.752 0.764 0.770 0.777 0.793	A: 0.01 son (20 0.783 0.796 0.808 0.814 0.821	6 B 011) 0.801 0.820 0.825 0.837 0.849	0.813 0.831 0.836 0.841 0.858	0.817 0.840 0.847 0.855 0.871	0.803 0.822 0.829 0.837 0.850				
L.S.D. at 5 % A: 0.012 B: 0.015 AB: N.S A: 0.010 B: 0.012 AB: 0.024	Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	0.730 0.742 0.748 0.754 0.765	8 B: 0 0.750 0.764 0.771 0.778 0.794 0.802	0.761 0.774 0.779 0.786 0.801 0.809	AE Sec 0.767 0.777 0.783 0.791 0.812 0.818	ond sea 0.752 0.764 0.770 0.777 0.793 0.800	A: 0.01 son (20 0.783 0.796 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.830	6 B 011) 0.801 0.820 0.825 0.837 0.849 0.853	0.813 0.831 0.836 0.841 0.858 0.865	0.817 0.840 0.847 0.855 0.871 0.879	0.803 0.822 0.829 0.837 0.850 0.857				
	Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK	0.730 0.742 0.748 0.754 0.765 0.771 0.777	8 B: (0.750 0.764 0.771 0.778 0.794 0.802 0.808	0.761 0.774 0.779 0.786 0.801 0.809 0.814	AE Sec 0.767 0.777 0.783 0.791 0.812 0.818 0.821	ond sea 0.752 0.764 0.770 0.777 0.793 0.800	A: 0.01 son (20 0.783 0.796 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.830	6 B 011) 0.801 0.820 0.825 0.837 0.849 0.853 0.859	0.813 0.831 0.836 0.841 0.858 0.865 0.869	0.817 0.840 0.847 0.855 0.871 0.879 0.884	0.803 0.822 0.829 0.837 0.850 0.857				
	Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK Mean (B)	0.730 0.742 0.748 0.754 0.765 0.771 0.777 0.755	8 B: (0.750 0.764 0.771 0.778 0.794 0.802 0.808 0.781	0.761 0.774 0.779 0.786 0.801 0.809 0.814 0.789	AE Sec 0.767 0.777 0.783 0.791 0.812 0.818 0.821 0.821 0.795	ond sea 0.752 0.764 0.770 0.777 0.793 0.800 0.805	A: 0.01 son (20 0.783 0.796 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.830 0.834 0.834 0.812	6 B 0.801 0.820 0.825 0.837 0.849 0.853 0.859 0.835	0.813 0.831 0.836 0.841 0.858 0.865 0.869 0.845	0.817 0.840 0.847 0.855 0.871 0.879 0.884 0.856	0.803 0.822 0.829 0.837 0.850 0.857 0.862				
Phos. = phosphorein E.M. = Effective microorganisms Sal. = salicylic acid	Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK	0.730 0.742 0.748 0.754 0.765 0.771 0.777 0.755	8 B: (0.750 0.764 0.771 0.778 0.794 0.802 0.808 0.781	0.761 0.774 0.779 0.786 0.801 0.809 0.814 0.789	AE Sec 0.767 0.777 0.783 0.791 0.812 0.818 0.821 0.821 0.795	ond sea 0.752 0.764 0.770 0.777 0.793 0.800 0.805	A: 0.01 son (20 0.783 0.796 0.808 0.814 0.821 0.830 0.834 0.834 0.812	6 B 0.801 0.820 0.825 0.837 0.849 0.853 0.859 0.835	0.813 0.831 0.836 0.841 0.858 0.865 0.869 0.845	0.817 0.840 0.847 0.855 0.871 0.879 0.884 0.856	0.803 0.822 0.829 0.837 0.850 0.857 0.862				

1461

Table (10): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments
on carotenoids content (mg/g fresh weight) in the leaves of
Ocimum gratissimum L. plant in the first and second cut
during 2010 and 2011 seasons

		Carotenoids content (mg / g fresh weight)										
Treatments			First cu	ıt		Second cut						
Treatments		First season (2010)										
	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A		
Control	0.721	0.731	0.744	0.745	0.735	0.739	0.776	0.798	0.812	0.781		
Phos.	0.731	0.743	0.760	0.761	0.749	0.750	0.784	0.812	0.824	0.793		
E.M.	0.736	0.754	0.765	0.768	0.756	0.756	0.787	0.813	0.827	0.796		
Phos. + E.M.	0.742	0.760	0.770	0.775	0.762	0.770	0.792	0.816	0.828	0.802		
Sal.	0.748	0.767	0.780	0.783	0.770	0.786	0.805	0.829	0.839	0.815		
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	0.755	0.774	0.788	0.793	0.778	0.799	0.807	0.830	0.841	0.819		
NPK	0.761	0.780	0.791	0.797	0.782	0.807	0.810	0.832	0.843	0.823		
Mean (B)	0.742	0.758	0.771	0.775		0.772	0.794	0.819	0.831			
		0.100	0.771	0.115								
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00		B: 0.01		AB: N.S			: 0.008		AB: N.S		
	-		-	2	AB: N.S cond sea	A: 0.01	4 B			AB: N.S		
	-	9 0.778	-	2		A: 0.01 ason (2 0.820	4 B	0.852		0.846		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00	9	B: 0.01	2 Se	cond sea	A: 0.01 ason (2	4 В 011)	: 0.008				
L.S.D. at 5 % Control Phos. E.M.	A: 0.00 0.767	9 0.778	B: 0.01	2 Se 0.802	cond sea 0.785	A: 0.01 ason (2 0.820	4 B 011) 0.841	0.852	0.872	0.846		
L.S.D. at 5 % Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M.	A: 0.00 0.767 0.773	9 0.778 0.790	B: 0.01 0.794 0.808	2 0.802 0.817 0.822 0.836	cond sea 0.785 0.797	A: 0.01 ason (2 0.820 0.833	4 B 011) 0.841 0.862	0.852 0.874	0.872 0.881	0.846 0.863		
L.S.D. at 5 % Control Phos. E.M.	A: 0.00 0.767 0.773 0.779	9 0.778 0.790 0.801	B: 0.01 0.794 0.808 0.815	2 5e 0.802 0.817 0.822 0.836 0.847	cond sea 0.785 0.797 0.804 0.813 0.826	A: 0.01 ason (2 0.820 0.833 0.840	4 B 011) 0.841 0.862 0.869	0.852 0.874 0.881	0.872 0.881 0.888	0.846 0.863 0.869		
L.S.D. at 5 % Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	A: 0.00 0.767 0.773 0.779 0.785	9 0.778 0.790 0.801 0.809	B: 0.01 0.794 0.808 0.815 0.822	2 0.802 0.817 0.822 0.836 0.847 0.858	cond sea 0.785 0.797 0.804 0.813	A: 0.01 ason (2 0.820 0.833 0.840 0.852	4 B 011) 0.841 0.862 0.869 0.872 0.889 0.894 0.894	0.852 0.874 0.881 0.887	0.872 0.881 0.888 0.895 0.909 0.916	0.846 0.863 0.869 0.876		
L.S.D. at 5 % Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK	A: 0.00 0.767 0.773 0.779 0.785 0.797 0.804 0.805	9 0.778 0.790 0.801 0.809 0.824 0.830 0.831	B: 0.01 0.794 0.808 0.815 0.822 0.836 0.842 0.841	2 0.802 0.817 0.822 0.836 0.847 0.858 0.861	cond sea 0.785 0.797 0.804 0.813 0.826	A: 0.01 ason (2 0.820 0.833 0.840 0.852 0.864 0.873 0.876	4 B 011) 0.841 0.862 0.869 0.872 0.889 0.889 0.894 0.894 0.899	0.852 0.874 0.881 0.887 0.899 0.907 0.914	0.872 0.881 0.888 0.895 0.909 0.916 0.918	0.846 0.863 0.869 0.876 0.890		
L.S.D. at 5 % Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK Mean (B)	A: 0.00 0.767 0.773 0.779 0.785 0.797 0.804 0.805 0.787	9 0.778 0.790 0.801 0.809 0.824 0.830 0.831 0.809	B: 0.01 0.794 0.808 0.815 0.822 0.836 0.842 0.841 0.823	2 0.802 0.817 0.822 0.836 0.847 0.858 0.861 0.834	cond sea 0.785 0.797 0.804 0.813 0.826 0.834 0.834	A: 0.01 ason (2 0.820 0.833 0.840 0.852 0.864 0.873 0.876 0.851	4 B 011) 0.841 0.862 0.869 0.872 0.889 0.894 0.894 0.899 0.875	0.852 0.874 0.881 0.887 0.899 0.907 0.914 0.888	0.872 0.881 0.888 0.895 0.909 0.916 0.918 0.897	0.846 0.863 0.869 0.876 0.890 0.897 0.902		
L.S.D. at 5 % Control Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK Mean (B)	A: 0.00 0.767 0.773 0.779 0.785 0.797 0.804 0.805	9 0.778 0.790 0.801 0.809 0.824 0.830 0.831 0.831 0.809 2 B	B: 0.01 0.794 0.808 0.815 0.822 0.836 0.842 0.841 0.823 0.841 0.823	2 Se 0.802 0.817 0.822 0.836 0.847 0.858 0.861 0.834	cond sea 0.785 0.797 0.804 0.813 0.826 0.834	A: 0.01 ason (2 0.820 0.833 0.840 0.852 0.864 0.873 0.876 0.871 A: 0.01	4 B 011) 0.841 0.862 0.869 0.872 0.889 0.894 0.899 0.894 0.899 0.875 1	0.852 0.874 0.881 0.887 0.899 0.907 0.914	0.872 0.881 0.888 0.895 0.909 0.916 0.918 0.897	0.846 0.863 0.869 0.876 0.890 0.897		

It is evident from data in Tables (8, 9 and 10) that all six tested treatments of bio. and / or salicylic acid, as well as, NPK significantly increased chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids contents over control treatment in the two cuts during the two growing seasons, except the treatment of phosphorein during second cut of first season for chlorophyll b, as there was no significant difference. The highest values of chlorophyll a were obtained from treatments of mineral NPK followed by E.M. + Phos. + Sal. While, the maximum contents of chlorophyll b and carotenoids in both cuts and in both seasons were obtained due to the treatments of NPK followed by E.M. + Phos. + Sal. then Sal. without significant differences among them.

The greatest effect of NPK on increasing photosynthetic pigments was recorded by Khafaga *et al.* (2000) and Mohsen (2002) on sweet basil plants; Shala (2007) on *Salvia officinalis*; Abdalla (2009) on coriander and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium plants. Biofertilization treatments were effective in increasing pigments contents as reported by Abdou *et al.* (2004a) and (2004b) on fennel plants; Abd El–Hadi *et al.* (2009) on *Mentha* spp. and Ashour (2010) on jojoba plants. Moreover, Al–Shareif (2006) and Abdou *et al.* (2009a) on caraway plants; Ayat (2007) on coriander plants; Abd El–Lateef (2007) on sweet basil and marjoram plants and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium plants pointed out that salicylic acid treatments enhanced the photosynthetic pigments in the fresh leaves of plants.

The interaction between main-plot and sub-plot (A x B) was significant in both cuts, in both seasons for chlorophyll a, it was also significant, only in the second cut during the second season for chlorophyll b, while, it was not significant for carotenoids in all cases. The highest values of

chlorophyll a were obtained from the interaction treatment of $FYM_3 + NPK$, while adding FYM_3 in combination with NPK followed by E.M. + Phos. + Sal. then Sal. or adding FYM_2 with NPK gave the highest values of chlorophyll b. **N**, **P** and **K** %:

Data in Tables (11, 12 and 13) indicated that fertilizing clove basil plants with FYM at three levels of 25, 35 and 45 m³ / fed. significantly led to enhance the percentages of the three elements of N, P and K in the dry herb of plants in comparison with the control plants (without fertilizers). The highest values were obtained from the treatment of high level of FYM (45 m³ / fed.).

The promoting effect of organic manure was found by many authors such as, El–Ghadban *et al.* (2003) and El–Sanafawy (2007) on marjoram plants; Heikal (2005) on *Thymus vulgaris*; Abdalla (2009) on coriander plants and Abdou *et al.* (2009a), (2009b) and (2009d) on caraway, borage and fennel plants.

Data in Tables (11, 12 and 13) also indicated that all six tested treatments (Phos., E.M., E.M. + Phos., Sal., E.M. + Phos. + Sal. and NPK) significantly increased N, P and K % in the dry herb of plants over the control in both cuts during the two growing seasons. The highest values of N and K % were obtained due to the treatments of NPK followed by the mixture of the two biofertilizers plus salicylic acid. Regarding the phosphorus percentage, significantly highest values were found in the dry herb of plants which fertilized by NPK, biofertilizers plus salicylic acid, the mixture of biofertilizers only and phosphorein.

Table (11): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on nitrogen % in dry herb of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

	seaso	115												
		Nitrogen %												
Trestressute			First cu	ıt		Second cut								
Treatments		First season (2010)												
	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A				
Control	1.621	1.632	1.651	1.674	1.645	1.725	1.742	1.797	1.828	1.773				
Phos.	1.652	1.673	1.682	1.695	1.676	1.756	1.785	1.835	1.857	1.808				
E.M.	1.744	1.785	1.805	1.818	1.788	1.821	1.859	1.914	1.945	1.885				
Phos. + E.M.	1.755	1.796	1.825	1.833	1.802	1.854	1.898	1.958	1.967	1.919				
Sal.	1.713	1.744	1.766	1.797	1.755	1.864	1.910	1.965	1.981	1.930				
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	1.786	1.837	1.867	1.878	1.842	1.897	1.956	1.978	1.985	1.954				
NPK	1.807	1.858	1.871	1.883	1.855	1.921	1.979	1.981	1.988	1.967				
Mean (B)	1.725	1.761	1.781	1.797		1.732	1.876	1.918	1.936					
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01	4 I	3: 0.015		AB: N.S			0.019		AB: N.S				
							(2011)							
					Second	season	(2011)							
Control	1.673	1.687	1.724	1.751	Secona 1.709	season 1.724	1.748	1.779	1.792	1.761				
Phos.	1.673 1.704	1.687 1.729	1.724 1.759				(- /	1.779 1.805	1.792 1.818	1.761 1.793				
Phos. E.M.	1.704 1.792	1.729 1.822	1.759 1.855	1.751 1.776 1.891	1.709 1.742 1.840	1.724 1.757 1.856	1.748 1.791 1.887	1.805 1.910	1.818 1.934	1.793 1.897				
Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M.	1.704 1.792 1.799	1.729 1.822 1.842	1.759 1.855 1.882	1.751 1.776	1.709 1.742 1.840 1.856	1.724 1.757 1.856 1.867	1.748 1.791	1.805 1.910 1.937	1.818 1.934 1.941	1.793 1.897 1.911				
Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal.	1.704 1.792 1.799 1.810	1.729 1.822 1.842 1.853	1.759 1.855 1.882 1.895	1.751 1.776 1.891 1.899 1.907	1.709 1.742 1.840 1.856 1.866	1.724 1.757 1.856 1.867 1.880	1.748 1.791 1.887 1.897 1.908	1.805 1.910 1.937 1.955	1.818 1.934 1.941 1.963	1.793 1.897 1.911 1.927				
Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	1.704 1.792 1.799 1.810 1.841	1.729 1.822 1.842	1.759 1.855 1.882 1.895 1.923	1.751 1.776 1.891 1.899 1.907 1.932	1.709 1.742 1.840 1.856	1.724 1.757 1.856 1.867 1.880 1.897	1.748 1.791 1.887 1.897 1.908 1.957	1.805 1.910 1.937 1.955 1.978	1.818 1.934 1.941	1.793 1.897 1.911				
Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK	1.704 1.792 1.799 1.810 1.841 1.864	1.729 1.822 1.842 1.853 1.897 1.919	1.759 1.855 1.882 1.895 1.923 1.928	1.751 1.776 1.891 1.899 1.907	1.709 1.742 1.840 1.856 1.866	1.724 1.757 1.856 1.867 1.880 1.897 1.934	1.748 1.791 1.887 1.897 1.908 1.957 1.969	1.805 1.910 1.937 1.955 1.978 1.988	1.818 1.934 1.941 1.963	1.793 1.897 1.911 1.927				
Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK Mean (B)	1.704 1.792 1.799 1.810 1.841 1.864 1.783	1.729 1.822 1.842 1.853 1.897 1.919 1.821	1.759 1.855 1.882 1.895 1.923 1.928 1.852	1.751 1.776 1.891 1.899 1.907 1.932 1.936 1.870	1.709 1.742 1.840 1.856 1.866 1.898 1.912	1.724 1.757 1.856 1.867 1.880 1.897 1.934 1.845	1.748 1.791 1.887 1.897 1.908 1.957 1.969 1.880	1.805 1.910 1.937 1.955 1.978 1.988 1.907	1.818 1.934 1.941 1.963 1.988 1.999 1.919	1.793 1.897 1.911 1.927 1.955 1.973				
Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK	1.704 1.792 1.799 1.810 1.841 1.864	1.729 1.822 1.842 1.853 1.897 1.919 1.821	1.759 1.855 1.882 1.895 1.923 1.928	1.751 1.776 1.891 1.899 1.907 1.932 1.936 1.870	1.709 1.742 1.840 1.856 1.866 1.898	1.724 1.757 1.856 1.867 1.880 1.897 1.934 1.845	1.748 1.791 1.887 1.897 1.908 1.957 1.969 1.880	1.805 1.910 1.937 1.955 1.978 1.988	1.818 1.934 1.941 1.963 1.988 1.999 1.919	1.793 1.897 1.911 1.927 1.955				
Phos. E.M. Phos. + E.M. Sal. Phos.+E.M.+ Sal. NPK Mean (B)	1.704 1.792 1.799 1.810 1.841 1.864 1.783 A: 0.01	1.729 1.822 1.842 1.853 1.897 1.919 1.821 5 B:	1.759 1.855 1.882 1.895 1.923 1.928 1.852 0.018	1.751 1.776 1.891 1.899 1.907 1.932 1.936 1.870 Al	1.709 1.742 1.840 1.856 1.866 1.898 1.912	1.724 1.757 1.856 1.867 1.880 1.897 1.934 1.934 1.845 A: 0.01	1.748 1.791 1.887 1.897 1.908 1.957 1.969 1.880 2 B:	1.805 1.910 1.937 1.955 1.978 1.988 1.907	1.818 1.934 1.941 1.963 1.988 1.999 1.919 Al	1.793 1.897 1.911 1.927 1.955 1.973 3: 0.030				

1463

Table (12): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on phosphorus % in dry herb of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

	5ea50	115											
		Phosphorus %											
Treatments	First cut Second cut												
Treatments		First season (2010)											
	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A			
Control	0.101	0.116	0.127	0.139	0.121	0.131	0.145	0.157	0.166	0.150			
Phos.	0.152	0.164	0.175	0.189	0.170	0.184	0.199	0.212	0.222	0.204			
E.M.	0.143	0.155	0.168	0.176	0.161	0.175	0.189	0.201	0.210	0.194			
Phos. + E.M.	0.159	0.173	0.187	0.198	0.179	0.192	0.208	0.222	0.233	0.214			
Sal.	0.124	0.141	0.152	0.168	0.146	0.158	0.172	0.185	0.194	0.177			
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	0.162	0.171	0.189	0.197	0.180	0.197	0.214	0.229	0.241	0.220			
NPK	0.169	0.178	0.189	0.199	0.184	0.204	0.221	0.236	0.248	0.227			
Mean (B)	0.144	0.157	0.170	0.181		0.177	0.193	0.206	0.216				
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01	1 B	: 0.021	AE	3: 0.042	A: 0.01	3 B	: 0.024	Α	B: 0.048			
				Se	cond sea	ason (2	011)						
Control	0.112	0.124	0.137	0.150	0.131	0.140	0.150	0.161	0.172	0.156			
Phos.	0.163	0.176	0.190	0.205	0.184	0.194	0.220	0.226	0.233	0.218			
E.M.	0.154	0.166	0.179	0.192	0.173	0.184	0.194	0.205	0.216	0.200			
Phos. + E.M.	0.172	0.186	0.201	0.217	0.194	0.203	0.216	0.230	0.245	0.224			
Sal.	0.135	0.147	0.160	0.173	0.154	0.167	0.177	0.188	0.200	0.183			
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	0.176	0.191	0.207	0.224	0.200	0.212	0.228	0.245	0.263	0.237			
	0 4 0 0	0.198	0.214	0.231	0.207	0.219	0.235	0.252	0.271	0.244			
NPK	0.183												
Mean (B)	0.156	0.170	0.184	0.199		0.188	0.203	0.215	0.229	-			
		0.170		0.199	3: 0.046		0.203		0.229	B: 0.054			
Mean (B)	0.156 A: 0.01	0.170 3 B	0.184	0.199 AE	3: 0.046 nicroorg	A: 0.01	0.203 2 I	0.215	0.229 7 A	B: 0.054			

Table (13): Effect of FYM, bio. and/or salicylic acid and NPK treatments on potassium % in dry herb of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. plant in the first and second cut during 2010 and 2011 seasons

					Potass	sium %						
Treatmente		First cut Second cut										
Treatments		First season (2010)										
	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A	FYM ₀	FYM ₁	FYM ₂	FYM ₃	Mean A		
Control	1.222	1.235	1.240	1.250	1.237	1.231	1.243	1.249	1.257	1.245		
Phos.	1.253	1.256	1.255	1.260	1.256	1.264	1.268	1.277	1.286	1.274		
E.M.	1.258	1.275	1.280	1.288	1.275	1.270	1.288	1.296	1.306	1.290		
Phos. + E.M.	1.302	1.332	1.338	1.344	1.329	1.315	1.346	1.358	1.369	1.347		
Sal.	1.344	1.345	1.349	1.353	1.348	1.358	1.359	1.369	1.381	1.367		
Phos.+E.M.+ Sal.	1.356	1.375	1.380	1.389	1.375	1.371	1.390	1.402	1.416	1.395		
NPK	1.362	1.385	1.384	1.396	1.382	1.377	1.400	1.413	1.426	1.404		
Mean (B)	1.300	1.315	1.318	1.326		1.312	1.328	1.338	1.349			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00	7 B:	0.011	AB	8: N.S	A: 0.00	9 B:	0.015	Α	B: 0.030		
				Se	cond sea	ason (2	011)					
Control	1.227	1.239	1.245	1.254	1.241	1.238	1.251	1.267	1.279	1.259		
Phos.	1.259	1.262	1.266	1.283	1.268	1.271	1.275	1.285	1.298	1.282		
E.M.	1.264	1.282	1.290	1.307	1.286	1.275	1.296	1.305	1.319	1.299		
Phos. + E.M.	1.309	1.339	1.351	1.367	1.342	1.320	1.354	1.377	1.392	1.361		
Sal.	1.351	1.352	1.365	1.377	1.361	1.362	1.366	1.382	1.398	1.377		
Phos.+E.M. + Sal.	1.364	1.383	1.398	1.408	1.388	1.376	1.398	1.413	1.428	1.404		
NPK	1.370	1.393	1.407	1.416	1.397	1.384	1.408	1.418	1.433	1.411		
Mean (B)	1.306	1.321	1.332	1.345		1.318	1.335	1.350	1.364			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.01	0 B:	0.014	A	3: 0.028	A: 0.01	2 B:	0.016	A	B: 0.032		
Phos. = phospho	rein	E.M	И. = Eff	ective r	nicroorg	anisms	s S	al. = sa	licylic	acid		

1464

Many authors came to similar results that NPK fertilization augmented N, P and K % in leaves and herb of different plants such as, *Ocimum basilicum* (Mohsen, 2002 and El–Sanafawy, 2007) and *Rosmarinus officinalis* (Abdelaziz *et al.*, 2007). While, the roles of biofertilizers in promoting N, P and K % were also reported by many authors on different plants such as borage (Hafez, 2003 and Abdou *et al.*, 2009b); *Origanum syriacum* (El–Leithy *et al.*, 2007); *Tagetes erecta* (El–Maadawy, 2007); guar (Abdou *et al.*, 2009c) and moghat plants (Hussain, 2011). The effect of salicylic acid on N, P and K % was also disclosed by Al–Shareif (2006) and Abdou *et al.* (2009a) on *Carum carvi*; Ayat (2007) on coriander plants and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium plant.

The interaction between FYM and bio. and / or Sal., as well as, NPK was significant for N % (only in the two cuts during second season), and also for P % (in the two cuts during both seasons), as well as, it was significant in the second cut of the first season and in the two cuts of the second one for K %. The highest values of N % were obtained from adding any level of FYM with NPK, the high and medium level of FYM with biofertilizers plus salicylic acid. The highest values of P % were obtained due to the interaction treatments of FYM₃ + NPK, FYM₃ + biofertilizers + Sal. and FYM₃ + biofertilizers, as well as, FYM₃ + Phos. (in the second season). While, fertilized plants with any level of FYM with NPK or using the high or medium levels of FYM in combination with E.M. + Phos. + Sal. led to maximum K % in the dry herb of *Ocimum gratissimum* plants.

Finally, it could be recommended to supply clove basil plants with FYM at 45 or 35 m³ / fed. in combination with mineral NPK fertilization (300 kg / fed of ammonium nitrate + 250 kg / fed. of calcium superphosphate + 150 kg / fed. of potassium sulphate) for the traditional cultivation system. On the other hand, for bio-organic cultivation system (clean agriculture). It could be recommended, economically and environmentally, to adding FYM at 45 m³ / fed. to the soil of clove basil plants and treating the plants with a mixture of E.M. + phosphorein + salicylic acid at 150 ppm.

REFERENCES

- Abdalla, M. Y. A. (2009). Effect of organic, bio–and mineral fertilization on growth, yield, oil productivity and chemical constituents of coriander plant. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 34 (5): 5195–5208.
- Abdelaziz, M.; R. Pokluda and M. Abdelwahab (2007). Influence of compost, microorganisms and NPK fertilizer upon growth, chemical composition and essential oil production of *Rosmarinus officinalis*, L. Not – Bot – Hort. Agrobot. Cluj, 2007 volume 35, Issue 1 Print ISSN 0255 – 965 x; Electronic ISSN 1842–4309, p. 86–90.
- Abd El–Hadi, N. I. M.; H. K. Abo El–Ala and W. M. Abd El–Azim (2009). Response of some *Mentha* species to plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB) isolated from soil rhizosphere. Australian J. of Basic and Applied Sciences, 3 (4): 4437–4448.

- Abd El–Lateef, F. G. (2007). Effect of salicylic acid on the growth, metabolic activities and oil content of basil and marjoram. Inter. J. of Agric. and Bio., 1560 8530 / 2007 / 09 2 294 301.
- Abd El–Moez, M. R.; A. L .Saleh and Sh. A. H. Wanas (1999). Influence of some organic compost on yield, nutrients uptake and consumptive use of fennel and coriander plants and some oil properties. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 24 (10): 6237–6253.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; EI A. A.Sayed; F. S. Badran and R M.Salah EI Deen (2004a). Effect of planting density and chemical and biofertilization on vegetative growth, yield and chemical composition of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Miller). 1- Effect of planting density and some chemical (nofatrin) and biochemical (biogein) fertilizers. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 42 (4): 1907–1927.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; A. A. El–Sayed; F. S. Badran and R.M. Salah El–Deen (2004b). Effect of planting density and chemical and biofertilization on vegetative growth, yield and chemical composition of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Miller). II- Effect of NPK chemical fertilization and biofertilization treatments. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 42 (4): 1928–1937.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; F. A. Attia; E. T. Ahmed and L. Abd El-Naeem (2009a). Response of caraway plants to some organic, antioxidants and biofertilization treatments. The 4th Inter. Enveron. Conf., Mansoura Univ. on Environmental and Healthy Safety, 28–29 October, 2009.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; M. K. Aly; K. A. Zaki; A. A. Sadek and R. El-Husseiny (2009b). Response of borage plants to some organic and biofertilization treatments. The 5th Inter. of Sustain, Agric. and Develop., Fac. of Agric., Fayoum Univ., 21 – 23 December.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; F. A. Attia; R. A. Taha and A. Shehata (2009c). Physiological studies on guar plants. The 5th Inter. of Sustain, Agric. and Develop., Fac. of Agric., Fayoum Univ., 21–23 December.
- Abdou, M. A. H.; F. A. Attia; R. A. Taha and C. Tanious (2009d). Effect of some organic, biofertilization and antioxidant treatments on fennel plants. The 5th Inter. of Sustain, Agric. and Develop., Fac. of Agric., Fayoum Univ., 21–23 December.
- Al–Shareif, A. M. O. (2006). Response of caraway plants grown in sandy soil under drip irrigation system to some biofertilization and antioxidant treatments. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ.
- Ali, A. F. (2004). The benefits of using some natural sources of phosphate and salicylic acid on *Tagetes minuta*, L. plants. Minia J. of Agric. Res. Develop., 24 (4): 621–648.
- Ardelan, A.; K. Morteza; J. Katayon; F. Omidreza; T. Enayatollah and K. Ahmad (2010). Effect of fertilizer on yield, essential oil composition, total phanolic content and antioxidant activity in *Satureja hortensis*, L. (Lamiaceae) cultivated in Iran. J. of Medicinal Plants Res., 4 (1): 033– 040.
- Ashour, R. M. I. (2010). Response of jojoba plants to some organic and biofertilization treatments. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ.
- Ayat, A. (2007). Effect of fertilization with macro, micro nutrients and antioxidants on coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*, L.) plants grown in new reclaimed land. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ.

- Biasi, L. A.; E. M. Machado; A. P. Kowalski; D. Signor; M. A. Alves; F. I. Lima; C. Deschamps; L. C. Cocco and A. Scheer (2009). Organic fertilization in the production, yield and chemical composition of basil chemotype eugenol. Horticultura Brasilieira. 27 (1): 35–39. [J. article. ISSN : 0102 – 0536].
- Black, C. A.; D. D. Evans; L. E. Evsminger; J. L. White and F. E. Clark (1965). Methods of Soils Analysis. Amercian Society of Agronomy. Inc. Publ. Madison, Wisconsin, USA, p. 1162–1168.
- British Pharmacopoeia (1963). Determination of volatile oil drugs. The Pharmaceutical Press, London.
- Chapman, H. D. and P. F. Pratt (1975). Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water. Calif Univ. Division of Agric. Sci., 172 17.
- Cottenie, A.; M. Verloo; M. Velghe and R. Camerlynck (1982). Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil. Laboratory of Analytical and Agro chemistry. State Univ. Ghent, Belgium.
- El–Gendy, S. A.; A. M. Hosni; S. S. Ahmed and R. M. Saber (2001). Sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) productivity under different organic fertilization and inter-plant spacing levels in a newly reclaimed land in Egypt. Ann. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., 46 (1): 319–338.
- El–Ghadban, E. A. E.; A. M. Ghallab and A. F. Abdel–Wahab (2003). Effect of some organic fertilizer and biofertilization on growth, yield and chemical composition of marjoram plants under newly reclaimed soil conditions. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 28 (9): 6957–6973.
- El–Hindi, K. M. and E. A. El–Boraie (2005). Effect of some biofertilizers on the growth, essential oil and chemical composition of marjoram plants. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 30 (12): 7912–7928.
- El–Leithy, A. S.; S. H. M. El–Hanafy; G. E. Ahmed; M. A. Etman and M. Y. M.
 C. Ali (2007). Effect of compost, bio-fertilizer and active dry yeast on Origanum syriacum, L. plants under Sinia conditions. J. Product. & Dev., 12 (1): 153–171.
- El–Maadawy, E. I. (2007). Response of summer annual flowering plants to chemical, organic and bio-fertilization treatments. II- African marigold (*Tagetes erecta*, L.) plants. J. Product. & Dev., 12 (1): 173–199.
- El–Sanafawy, S. E .A. (2007). Effect of some fertilization treatments on Ocimum basilicum and Origanum majoranum. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Kafr El–Sheikh, Tanta Univ., Egypt.
- El–Shora, S. H. A. (2009). Physiological studies on *Mentha* spp. (fertilizationpost harvest treatments). M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univ.
- Erika, B.; C. B .Pablo; Z. Julio and G. Walter (2008). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria improve growth and essential oil yield in *Origanum majorana*, L. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 36: 766–771.
- Hafez, Y. A. (2003). Effects of sow spacings, nitrogenous and biofertilization treatments on growth, yield and chemical composition of *Borago* officinalis, L. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Fayoum, Cairo Univ.
- Heikal, A. M. (2005). Effect of organic and biofertilization on the growth production and composition of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*, L.) plants. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ. Egypt.

- Hussain, M. A. (2011). Effect of chemical, organic and biofertilization treatments on moghat (*Glossostemon bruguieri*, Desf.) plants. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Ibrahim, T. I. E. (2010). Physiological studies on geranium plants. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Iwu, M. M. (1993). Handbook of African Medicinal Plants. CRC Press Inc. Boca Raton, Floridamm.
- Jacoub, R.W. (1999). Effect of some organic and non-organic fertilizers on growth, oil yield and chemical composition of *Ocimum basilicum*, L. and *Thymus vulgaris*, L. plants. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Kandeel, A. M.; N. S. Abou–Taleb and A. A. Sadek (2002). Effect of biofertilizers on the growth, volatile oil yield and chemical composition of *Ocimum basilicum* L. plant. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 47 (1): 351–371.
- Khafaga, E. R.; A. M. Abed; R. A. Medani and R. A. Agamy (2000). Botanical characters and yield and components of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum*, L.) as affected by treatment with some fertilizers and growth regulators. Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor, 38 (4): 1983– 2018.
- Mahfouz, S. A. S. (2003). Effect of biofertilization on growth and oil production of marjoram (*Majorana hortensis*, Moench) plant. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Mohsen, M. M. A. (2002). Sweet basil herb and oil production as affected by chemical and organic fertilization. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ. Egypt.
- Moran, R. (1982). Formula determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N–N –dimethyl–Formamide. Plant Physiol., 69: 1376-1381.
- MSTAT–C (1986). A microcomputer program for the design management and analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments (version 4.0), Michigan State Univ., U.S.A.
- Rao, E. V.; K. Puttanna; R. S. Rao and S. Ramesh (2007). Nitrogen and potassium nutrition of French basil. (*Ocimum basilicum*, Lina.). J. of Spices and Aromatic (rops, 16 (2): 99–105. [J. article ISSN: 0971 3328].
- Saha, N.; A. C. Das and D. C. Mukherjee (1995). Effect of decomposition of organic matter on activities of microorganisms and availability of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur in soil. J. of the Indian Society of Soil Science, 43: 210–215.
- Sakr, W. R. A. S. (2005). Effect of organic and biofertilization on growth and active constituents production of senna plants. Ph. D. Diss., Fac. of Agric. Cairo Univ.
- Shala, A. Y. E. (2007). Physiological studies on sage plant. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Kafr El–Sheikh Univ.
- Singh, K.; P. Singh; S. Beg; D. Kumar and D. Patra (2004). Effect of NPK fertilizers on growth, oil yield and quality of French basil (*Ocimum basilicum*, L.). J. of Spices and Aromatic Crops, 13 (1): 52–54.
- Sperenat, M. (1990). Nitrogen Fixing Organisms. P. S. Chapman and Hall, London.

Wilde, S. A.; R. P. Covey; J. C. Lyer and G. K. Voigt (1985). Soil and Plant Analysis for Tree Culture. Oxford IBH. Publishing Co., New Delhi, India.

Vyas, S. C. and A. C. Vyas (1994). Potential of biofertilizers in crop production in Indian Agriculture. In: Organic Farming – Indore Madlya Pradesh, pp. 19–26.

Youssef, A. A.; A. E. Edris and A. M. Gomaa (2004). A comparative study between some plant growth microorganisms on growth and essential oil composition of *Salvia officinalis* L. plants. Ann. of Agric. Sci., Cairo, 44 (1): 229–311.

در اسات فسيولوجية على نبات الريحان القرنفلى محمود عبدالهادى حسن عبده'، محمد يونس على عبد الله'، أحمد عبد العال حجازى' و زينب سلطان أحمد مرزوق'

١- قسم البساتين، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنيا

٢- قسم الخضر والزينة، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنصورة

أجرى هذا البحث فى مشتل ومعمل الزينة بكلية الزراعة جامعة المنيا خلال موسمى الزراعة ٢٠١٠ و ٢٠١١ لدراسة تأثير التسميد البلدى والسماد الحيوى و/أو حمض السالساليك وكذلك التسميد المعدنى (NPK) على النمو الخضرى وإنتاج الزيت والتركيب الكيماوى لنبات الريحان القرنفلى.

- ولقد أوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها الآتى:
- أدت إضافة السماد البلدى إلى زيادة معنوية فى ارتفاع النبات (سم)، عدد الأفرع / نبات، الوزن الطازج للعشب فى الموسم (جم / نبات / موسم)، الوزن الطازج للعشب فى الموسم (جم / نبات / موسم)، الوزن الطازج للعشب فى الموسم (جم / نبات / موسم)، الوزن الطازج للعشب فى الموسم (جم / نبات / موسم)، الوزن الطازج العشب فى الموية للزيت الطيار، محصول الطازج الكلى للعشب فى الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / موسم)، النون موسم)، النسبة المئوية للزيت الطيار الموسم (من / فدان / موسم)، النسبة المئوية للزيت الطيار، محصول الزيت الطيار للنبات فى الموسم (لميلتر / نبات / حشة)، محصول الزيت الطيار للنبات فى الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / موسم)، المحصول الزيت الطيار للنبات فى الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / موسم)، المحصول الزيت الطيار للنبات فى الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / حشة)، محصول الذيت الطيار للنبات فى الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / موسم)، المحصول الكلى للزيت الطيار فى الموسم الفدان (طن / فدان / موسم)، وموسم)، الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / حشة)، محصول الذيت الطيار للنبات فى الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / حشة)، محصول الزيت الطيار للنبات فى الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / حشة)، محصول الزيت الطيار للنبات فى الموسم (مليلتر / نبات / موسم)، المحصول الكلى للزيت الطيار فى الموسم الفدان (لنر / فدان / موسم) الفدان (لتر / فدان)، محتوى الأور اق من كلور فيل أ ، ب والكاروتينويدات (مجم / جم وزن طازج) وكذلك النسبة المنوية لكل من النيتر وجين، الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم فى العشب الجاف. ولقد كان التفوق فى كل الخصائص السابق ذكرها للمعاملة بالمستوى العالى من السماد البلدى (٥٥ م / فدان) مقارنة بالمعاملات الأخرى.
- أعطت النباتات المعاملة بالتسميد المعدنى (NPK) أو خليط الكائنات الدقيقة النشطة (.E.M) والفوسفورين مع حمض السالساليك أفضل النتائج فى جميع القياسات المدروسة فى الحشتين خلال موسمى النمو. فيما يتعلق بالنسبة المئوية للزيت فى العشب الطازج، فلقد كانت المعاملة بخليط الحيوى + حمض السالساليك أكثر فاعلية من المعاملات الأخرى.
- كانت معاملات التفاعل بين العامل الرئيسي (السماد البلدي) والعامل الثانوي (التسميد الحيوي والمعدني وحمض السالساليك) الأكثر فاعلية على نتائج القياسات. حيث سجلت أعلى القيم في معظم القياسات محل الدراسة نتيجة استخدام المستوى العالى من السماد البلدى (٤٥ م٢ / فدان) مع التسميد المعدني (NPK) أو خليط السماد الحيوى + حمض السالساليك.
- فى ظل نظام الزراعة التقليدية، فإنه يمكن التوصية بتسميد نباتات الريحان القرنفلي بسماد الحيوان بمعدل
 ٤٥ أو ٣٥ م7 / فدان مع السماد المعدني (٣٠٠ كجم / فدان نترات الأمونيوم + ٢٥٠ كجم / فدان كالسيوم
 سوبر فوسفات + ١٥٠ كجم / فدان سلفات البوتاسيوم).
- أما فيما يتعلق بالزراعة الحيوية العضوية (الزراعة النظيفة)، فإنه من الناحية الاقتصادية والبيئية يمكن التوصية بإضافة السماد الحيواني عند ٤٥ م٢ / فدان للتربة مع معاملة النباتات بخليط من الفوسفورين (٥ كجم / فدان) + E.M. (٥٠ مليلتر / نبات) ورشها بحمض السالساليك بتركيز ١٥٠ جزء في المليون للحصول على أفضل النتائج.
 - قام بتحكيم البحث
 - أ.د / حسين على احمد
 - د / محمد كمال عبد العال

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنيا