Abd-Allah, M^{1*} and M.W.H. Daghash²

¹Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut 71524, Egypt.

²Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Assiut 71515, Egypt.

*Corresponding author. Muhtaram Abd-Allah Mohammed.

E-mail: mohtaram_a_m_e@yahoo.com, muhtaram@azhar.edu.eg. Tel: (+20) 01006957157

ABSTRACT

To determine effect of the administration of exogenous melatonin and photoperiod on initiating the lambing season and improving reproductive efficiency of sheep, a total of thirty-four multiparous, non-pregnant Ossimi ewes aged 3-5 years with average body weight 55.94±1.80 kg were used. After weaning and the starting of the breeding season, the ewes were divided into four groups; the 1st group (7 ewes) was exposed to natural daylight (NL) and severed as a control group, the 2^{nd} group (9 ewes) was exposed to 16-hour artificial lighting (AL), the 3^{rd} group (10 ewes) was exposed to natural daylight+18-mg/h melatonin (NL+MEL), while the 4th group (8 ewes) was exposed to 16-hour artificial lighting+18-mg/h melatonin (AL+MEL). The treatment of the ewes continued throughout the mating season and for three consecutive estrus cycles (60 days). Ewes were weighed before mating and after parturition. Reproductive traits were recorded after parturition. Results revealed that exogenous melatonin (induced by either natural daylight or artificial photoperiod) exhibited significantly ($P \le 0.05$) higher body weights (6.20±1.711 and 4.14±1.91kg for NL+MAL and AL+MEL groups more than the control group). Both of the melatonin treatment and the use of artificial light for 16 h insignificantly influenced reproductive parameters (percentages of fertility, conception rate, scanning, lambing rate, and fecundity rate of Ossimi ewes. Fertility and conception rates tended to be higher in (NL+MEL) and (AL+MEL) groups, while SP percentage, lambing and fecundity rates were higher in treated groups than control. The percentage of the number of services per conception (NSC) and abortion rate were insignificantly reduced in treated ewes as compared with the control. Both weaning rate and the survival rate was elevated ($P \ge 0.05$) in treated ewes than untreated ones. Lambing interval and days open were significantly lower ($P \le 0.05$) in AL+MEL group than AL and NL+MEL groups. The lambs' weight at birth, weaning, net gain, and average daily gain tended to be higher in (NL+MET) and (AL+MEL) groups than other groups with insignificant differences. It can be concluded that, stimulation of melatonin (induced by natural daylight and artificial photoperiod manipulation) might be a useful tool in improving reproductive performance and lamb production in Ossimi sheep. Keywords: Melatonin, photoperiod, productive and reproductive performance, Ossimi ewes.

INTRODUCTION

Seasonal breeding in animals is influenced by some exogenous (photoperiod, climate, nutrition, and management) in addition to endogenous (hormones, genotype) factors (Michael *et al.*, 2020). Productivity in various species of farm animals, especially sheep, is limited by seasonality. Seasonality is clearly reflected in detectable changes in behaviour, gametogenesis and hormone secretion (Egerszegi *et al.*, 2014). Melatonin is an indoleamine, which is synthesized from the essential amino acid, tryptophan (Reiter *et al.*, 2013). Its production is dependent on ambient illumination, with the release being suppressed by light. The suprachiasmatic nucleus which is the major circadian oscillator that receives light

input from the retina through the retinohypothalamic tract is the one that regulates the circadian melatonin production (Berson *et al.*, 2002). The pineal gland secretes melatonin hormone which has a controlling role on breeding season of ewes.

anestrus reduces Seasonal reproductive efficiency and hinders productivity. In adult ewes, melatonin implantation have been traditionally inserted around the time of the summer solstice in order to advance the breeding season (Haresign et al., 1990). It has been demonstrated that melatonin given by injection, oral administration or as vaginal or subcutaneous implantation can advance the breeding season in ewes. Melatonin also affects the ovaries through a luteotrophic action both in vitro (Egerszegi et al., 2014) and in vivo (Abecia et al., 2007). It enhances the ovulation rate by decreasing the atresia of medium and large follicles (Forcada et al., 2002a). Unlike European Northern sheep breeds. Mediterranean breeds have a short seasonal anestrus, especially when social (ram effects) or nutritional factors are appropriately managed (Lindsay, 1996). Mediterranean sheep breeds show an earlier onset of the breeding season, compared to genotypes located at higher latitudes, even when both are subjected to the same photoperiodic treatment (Martin et al., 1999). Therefore, melatonin implantation in commercial Mediterranean flocks are usually inserted at approximately the time of the spring equinox (Forcada et al., 1999).

Light and dark elicit contrasting effects on the secretion of melatonin from the pineal gland, such that the circulating melatonin is virtually absent during light exposure but rapidly increases upon exposure to darkness (Dahl *et al.*, 2000). The Pineal gland is able to measure day length and adjust secretion of melatonin, which inhibits the secretion of gonadotropic hormones, luteinizing hormone (LH), and follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) from the anterior pituitary by inhibiting the release of GnRH from the hypothalamus. The response to photoperiod or the relative duration of light and dark exposure within a day is the most commonly adopted environmental cue that alters the physiological responses as a result to any shift in their physical environment. The melatonin secretion drives differential secretion of other hormones to influence circadian and seasonal processes. Melatonin initiates a series of events resulting in the onset of the breeding season (Rosa and Bryant, 2003), which is mediated by photoperiod, directly influences reproductive function. The physiological reaction of organisms to the length of day or night is known as photoperiodicity (Wankhade et al., 2019). Therefore, the administration of melatonin, either orally (Robinson et al., 1992) or subcutaneously (Forcada et al., 2002), has been used to induce reproductive activity in ewes. There is an accumulation of evidence suggesting that the pattern of melatonin secretion. The present study was conducted to evaluate the effects of exogenous melatonin photoperiods different on under the reproductive responses and some productive traits of female Ossimi ewes under Upper Egypt conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the beginning of autumn season (September) of 2018 and continued until the summer (June/July) season 2019 at the research farm of Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut Branch, Assiut, Egypt. The aim of the research was designed to know the role of exogenous melatonin and photoperiods on the productive and reproductive traits of Ossimi ewes.

Experimental animals care and feeding

Thirty-four multiparous; non-pregnant Ossimi ewes aged 3-5 years, with average body weight of 55.94 ± 1.80 kg., were shorn, weighed, wormed, hooves trimmed and number paint branded prior to being randomly allotted to the treatments. The animals were clinically

healthy and free from reproductive disorders. All animals were housed in individual pens under a natural photoperiodic and thermoperiodic environment and had the same management throughout conditions, the experimental period. Throughout the experiment, the ewes were fed according to their physiological status. Beginning from the preparation of ewes for mating, to the end of the 4th month of pregnancy, all ewes were fed in accordance with NRC (1975), based on the seasonal feeds available, ewes were grazed Egyptian clover (Berseem) during winter and crop residues available besides the green maize (Darawa) during summer plus concentrate feed mixture at the rate of 1.25 kg/h/d which was gradually increased to 1.50 kg/h/d during late lactation periods. pregnancy and The concentrate pelleted diet contained 50 % ground corn, 16 % wheat bran, 17 % undecorticated cotton seed meal, 15% Soybean meal, 1.5% limestone and 0.5% salt (A concentrated feed mixture that provides 16% crude protein and 7.5 MJ net energy to animals). Wheat straw was provided to all ewes' ad libitum. Fresh water and mineralized salt blocks were freely available for animals all the time.

Experimental design

After weaning and at starting of the breeding season, ewes (n = 34) were divided into four groups: The 1^{st} group (7 ewes) was exposed to natural daylight (NL) and severed as a control group, the second one (9 ewes) was exposed to 16-hour artificial lighting (AL), the third group (10 ewes) was exposed to natural daylight + 18 mg/h melatonin (NL+MEL), while the fourth group (8 ewes) was exposed to 16-hour artificial lighting + 18 mg/h melatonin (AL+MEL). Animals were treated with melatonin (Solarbio Life Science[®], Beijing, China). The treatment of ewes continued throughout the mating season and for three consecutive estrus cycles (60 days). All ewes were placed upon observation to detect any

cases of estrus. Heat detection was performed daily by visual observation and using three fertile rams in good health condition, with an age of 2 to 3 years. Ewe lambs stand firmly to the ram considered to be in heat. Trans-rectal ultrasound scanning was performed to diagnose pregnancy. Ewes were weighed before mating and after parturition. The mating and lambing date for each ewe was recorded. The experiment lasted through mating, pregnancy, parturition, and weaning of lamb from treated ewes. The period of weaning was expanded up to 3 months.

Measures of Ossimi Reproductive Performance

The criteria used in the current study to evaluate the effects of exogenous melatonin under different photoperiods included fertility (number of ewes pregnant /number of ewes mated), scanning percentage (number of fetuses scanned/number of ewes mated), conception rate (number of ewes lambed/number of ewes mated), lambing percentage (number of lambs born/number of ewes mated), fecundity rate (number of lambs born/number of ewes lambed), weaning rate (number of lambs weaned/number of ewes mated), survival rate (number of lambs weaned/ number of lambs born alive), abortion rate (number of aborted ewes/number of ewes pregnant), abortion (defined as the termination of a pregnancy), lambing interval (defined as the number of days occurring between two successive parturition), and days open (defined as the interval from lambing to conception (i.e the number of days between parturition and the insemination that resulted in pregnancy). Reproductive traits were calculated according to Olivier (2014). A number of treated ewes and their offspring are shown in Table 1.

Statistical analysis

The data of the reproductive trials and the productive performance of ewes and their obtained lambs were estimated using the General Linear Models (GLM) procedure for analysis of variance. The model used was:

	Ewes treatments			
Items	NL	AL	NL+MEL	AL +MEL
No of ewes mated	7	9	10	8
No of pregnant ewes	6	8	9	8
No of ewes lambed	5	8	9	7
No of lambs born	8	14	15	12
No of aborted ewes	1	0	0	1
No of lambs born alive	7	14	15	11
No of lambs died	1	1	1	1
No of lambs weaned	6	13	14	10

 Table 1. Number of ewes treated with melatonin under different photoperiods and their obtained lambs

NL = Natural daylight (control group), AL = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod), NL+ MEL = Natural daylight + 18 mg/h melatonin, and AL+MEL = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod) + 18 mg/h melatonin.

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + e_{ij}$, where $Y_{ijk} =$ the trait of study, $\mu =$ the overall mean $\alpha_i =$ the effect of the ith treatment (i = NL, AL, NL+MEL, and AL+MEL) and e_{ij} as the experimental error. Duncan's multiple (1955) range tests were used to detect the differences among the treatments using the SPSS statistical package 22 (SPSS Institute, Chigaco, IL, USA). The data are presented as means \pm SE.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initial ewes' weight at mating, final weight at lambing and body weight changes are illustrated in Table 2. Results revealed that exogenous melatonin (induced by natural day light and artificial photoperiod manipulation) exhibited significantly ($P \le 0.05$) higher body weights changing by 6.20 ± 1.711 and 4.14 ± 1.91 for NL+MEL and AL+MEL groups than a control group (Table 2). The elevation of increased ewes' body weight in treated groups may be attributed to a high level of growth hormone in melatonin treatments, where Molik al. (2010)demonstrated et that the administration of exogenous melatonin in ewes lambing during shortening photoperiod caused a short term increase in the plasma growth hormone concentration. Interestingly, during this photoperiod, the plasma growth hormone concentration in the control group was almost 60% lower compared to the level recorded during the increasing photoperiod. Current results are in agreement with those of Solinska and Janicki (2004) and Rhind et al., (1991), who reported that melatonin treatment results in decreased growth hormone secretion during periods of long photoperiod. Additionally, Udala and Błaszczyk (1999) demonstrated that the administration of exogenous melatonin in sheep exposed to long day photoperiod decreased the blood GH levels. It can therefore be assumed that there is a very strong relationship between melatonin, prolactin and growth hormone, indicating that photoperiod induces a biochemical signal. Thus melatonin might also be able to modify the concentration of the other hormones involved in milk production in nursing ewes.

The effects of melatonin and artificial light on Ossimi ewes were illustrated in Tables 3,4 and 5. As shown in Table 3, the melatonin treatment and use of artificial light for 16 hours insignificantly influenced the percentages of fertility, conception rate, scanning percentage, lambing rate, and fecundity rate of Ossimi ewes. Fertility and conception rates tended to be numerically higher in (NL+MEL) and (AL+ MEL) groups, while SP percentage, lambing.

	Items			
Ewes treatments	Ewes initial weight	Ewes final weight	Body weight change	
NL(Control)	56.88 ± 4.13	56.63 ± 2.58	$-0.25 \pm 2.05^{\circ}$	
AL	58.11 ± 4.38	64.00 ± 3.68	5.89 ± 1.80^{a}	
NL+MEL	55.10 ± 2.55	61.30 ± 2.94	6.20 ± 1.71^{a}	
AL+MEL	53.29 ± 3.77	57.43 ± 3.17	4.14 ± 1.91^{ab}	
Total mean	55.94 ± 1.80	60.12 ± 1.59	4.18 ± 1.17	
Significance	ns	ns	**	

 Table 2. Effects of melatonin and photoperiod on initial, final and changes of Ossimi ewes body weights.

NL = Natural daylight (Control group), \overline{AL} = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod), NL+ MEL = Natural Daylight + 18 mg melatonin, and AL+MEL = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod) + 18 mg melatonin. ^{a,b}, Means of the same column in each item with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

and fecundity rates were higher in treated groups than the control

Data in Table 4, illustrates the percentage of the number of services per conception (NSC) were significantly (P<0.05) reduced in treated ewes as compared with a control. The abortion rate was not significantly affected by treatment, weaning rate and the survival rate were elevated ($P \ge 0.05$) in treated ewes than untreated ones. Lambing interval and days open were significantly ($P \le 0.05$) lower in AL+MEL group than in NL, AL and NL+MEL groups. Gestation length did not differ significantly ($P \le 0.05$) in Table (5), Melatonin treatment significantly ($P \le 0.05$) influenced the lambing interval and days open with the treatment under

natural or artificial lights. However, no significant effects for the treatment of the length of gestation period were found (Table 5). The previous results indicate that melatonin and artificial light seemed to affect some of the reproductive traits in the experimental ewes. These findings are in agreement with those reported by Maria et al. that melatonin able to (2017)advance reproductive activity in dairy sheep. Carcangiu et al., (2012) added that melatonin has improved reproductive efficiency in different sheep breeds. There is an accumulation of evidence suggesting that the pattern of melatonin secretion, which is mediated by photoperiod, directly influences reproductive function (Cevik et al., 2017).

	Items				
Ewes treatments	Fertility %	Conception rate	SP,%	Lambing rate	Fecundity rate
NL	85.71±0.14	71.43±0.18	128.6 ± 0.18	114.3±0.26	140.0 ± 0.24
AL	88.89±0.11	88.89±0.11	155.6 ± 0.22	155.6 ± 0.24	175.6 ± 0.24
NL+MEL	90.00±0.10	90.00±0.10	160.0 ± 0.16	150.0±0.22	150.0 ± 0.17
AL+MEL	100.0 ± 0.00	87.50 ± 0.12	150.0 ± 0.19	150.0±0.19	157.1±0.20
Total mean	91.18±0.05	85.29 ± 0.06	150.0 ± 0.01	144.1 ± 0.11	155.7±0.10
Significance	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

 Table 3. Effects of melatonin and photoperiod on percentages of fertility, conception rate,

 Scanning percentage (SP), lambing rate, and fecundity rate of Ossimi ewes.

NL= Natural daylight (Control), AL = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod), NL+ MEL = Natural daylight + 18 mg melatonin, and AL+MEL = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod) + 18 mg melatonin.

The authors also reported that, the highest sexual receptivity associated with high fertility and conception rates. Zarazaga *et al.*,

(2019) illustrated that the values for fertility and productivity were greater in melatonin

	Items			
Ewes treatments	NSC	Weaning rate	Abortion rate	Survival rate
NL	1.71±0.18	85.71±0.34 ^b	0.166±0.166	85.71±0.14
AL	1.67 ± 0.17	144.4 ± 0.24^{a}		92.86±0.07
NL+MEL	1.30 ± 0.15	140.0 ± 0.27^{a}		93.33±0.07
AL+MEL	1.25 ± 0.16	125.0±0.31 ^a	0.125 ± 0.125	90.91±0.09
Total mean	1.47 ± 0.087	126.5 ± 0.14	0.064 ± 0.045	91.49±0.04
Significance	*	ns	ns	ns

 Table 4. Effects of melatonin and photoperiod on number of service per conception (NSC), weaning, abortion percentages and survival rates of Ossimi ewes.

NL= Natural daylight (Control group), AL = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod), NL+ MEL = Natural daylight + 18 mg melatonin, and AL+MEL = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod) + 18 mg melatonin. NSC= number of service per conception. ^{a,b},Means of the same column in each item with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

 Table 5: Effects of melatonin and photoperiod on lambing interval, days open and gestation length of Ossimi ewes

	Items			
Ewes treatments	Lambing interval, day	Days open, day	Gestation length, day	
NL	274.67 ± 9.32^{ab}	123.14 ± 7.35^{ab}	149.00 ± 2.95	
AL	$278.13 \pm 6.57^{\ a}$	124.44 ± 5.69^a	151.63 ± 0.56	
NL+MEL	277.11 ± 5.18^{a}	$125.00\pm5.37^{\mathrm{a}}$	152.30 ± 2.48	
AL+MEL	259.25 ± 3.87 ^b	$108.89\pm3.75^{\mathrm{b}}$	150.38 ± 2.85	
Total mean	272.29 ± 3.22^{ab}	120.68 ± 2.90	151.00 ± 1.15	
Significance	*	*	ns	

NL= Natural daylight (Control group), AL = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod), NL+ MEL = Natural daylight + 18 mg melatonin, and AL+MEL = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod) + 18 mg melatonin. ^{a,b}, Means of the same column in each item with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

groups than in the control group. Mousa and Mohamed, (2011) showed that conception rates were significantly influenced by using light and melatonin treatments.

In addition, a significant effect of time with melatonin treatment on fertility, litter size and fecundity for the three breeds under study (Awassi, Awassi crosses, and Ile de France ewes) were reported by Bonev, (2012). The increase in fertility and litter size resulted from improving luteal function and embryonic survival, probably by inducing a uterine environment that is more conducive to pregnancy (Abecia *et al.*, 2007). The authors explained this by the effect of melatonin on the corpus luteum and its ability to increase progesterone levels during the luteal phase and to support embryo development (Abecia *et al.*, 2007 and Chemineau *et al.*, 2008). Melatonin also affects the ovaries through a luteotrophic action both in vitro (Egerszegi *et al.*, 2014) and in vivo (Abecia *et al.*, 2007). This enhances the ovulation rate by decreasing the atresia of medium and large follicles (Forcada *et al.*, 2002b).

Also, melatonin shows a low bloodconcentration during daylight and a high concentration during darkness, thus it can be considered as an organic informer of the annual photoperiodic trend (Carcangiu *et al.*, 2013). The differences in fertility rate found among treated and control ewes are mainly mediated by the direct effect of melatonin on the ovary (Yie *et al.*, 1995), as well as by its effect on gonadotropin secretion at pituitary-hypothalamic level (Viguié *et al.*, 1995). Cevik. *et al.*, (2017) showed that all the twinning rate, number of live offspring per ewe, and litter size were significantly higher in melatonin than in control ewes. In addition, the percentages of mated ewes that lambed and twinning rates were significantly higher in the group treated with melatonin than a control group (Bonev, 2012). As reported by Wellace et al., (1988) melatonin increased the progesterone level due to its luteotropic effects and this enhanced embryonic survival. Haresign et al., (1990) claimed that melatonin increases the ovulation rate. The researchers explained this by the effect of melatonin on corpus luteum and it's role on increasing the progesterone level during the luteal phase and supporting embryo development. In approaching addition. studies photoperiod manipulation in rabbits have generally shown a significant improvement in receptivity and fertility of does when the daylight length was artificially increased (Boyd, 1986).

Results in Table (6), show the means and standard errors of the growth performance of lambs for ewes treated with melatonin and artificial lighting. Data revealed that the lamb's weight at birth, weaning, net weight, and average daily gain tended to be higher in (NL+MET) and (AL+MEL) groups than other groups with insignificant differences. These results are in agreement with those recorded by Mousa and Mohamed, (2011) who reported that the largest kit's weight at birth and weaning was recorded in kits for does treated by melatonin. Gerencse'r et al., (2008), added that the use of melatonin decreased the pre-weaning mortality level of kits compared to other treatment groups associated with improved nursing behavior for does in treated groups than a control one. Misztal, et al., (2018), observed that sheep lambing during long days produces less milk and has shorter lactation than those naturally lambing during short days. They added that, the extended melatonin treatment in pregnant and lactating sheep causes an additional increase in prolactin which lead to increase in milk production in lactating ewes.

In this context, improved growth performance in lambs for treated ewes can be explained by Mousa and Mohamed. (2011), showed that feed intake and water consumption were significantly lower for rabbits kept under photoperiods 8, 10 and 12 HL than for those treated with melatonin and increased photoperiod (14 and 16 HL). This could be explained by light stimulation to the pineal function leading to increase in cortisol hormone in does expose to long daylight through activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and the consequent increase of plasma glucocorticoid concentrations. Other studies showed that, the photoperiod (PP) length is directly associated with the growth of calves and heifers, and also with the mammary tissue growth in case of heifers. The exposure of calves to long day photoperiod during growth phase yields larger, leaner animals at maturity (Wankhade et al., 2019). Varlyakov, (1999) reported that sunlight and ultraviolet radiation are beneficial for the health of large ruminants and increase their productivity. Hansen et al., (1983) added that increased photoperiod enhanced growth until the onset of sexual maturity.

	Items			
Ewes treatments	Birth weight (kg)	Weaning weight (kg)	Net gain (kg)	Average daily gain (g)
NL	4.102 ± 0.322	20.90 ± 1.92	16.80 ± 1.78	186.7 ± 19.86
AL	4.078 ± 0.343	20.33 ± 1.36	16.26 ± 1.34	180.6 ± 14.95
NL+MEL	3.973 ± 0.303	21.82 ± 1.83	17.85 ± 1.74	198.3 ± 19.34
AL+MEL	4.370 ± 0.277	22.03 ± 0.90	17.66 ± 0.73	196.3 ± 8.169
Total mean	4.127 ± 0.151	21.32 ± 0.746	17.19 ± 0.70	190.9 ± 7.809
Significance	ns	ns	ns	ns

 Table 6. Effect of melatonin and photoperiod on growth performance of lambs

NL = Natural daylight(Control group), AL= Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod), NL+ MEL = Natural daylight + 18 mg melatonin, and AL+MEL = Artificial lighting (16 hour photoperiod) + 18 mg melatonin.

CONCLUSION

It could be concluded from the current results that melatonin (induced by natural daylight and artificial photoperiod manipulation) could be a useful tool to improve ewes' reproductive performance and lamb production of Ossimi sheep.

REFERENCES

- Abecia J.A, Valares J.A, Forcada F, Palacin I, Martin S, Martino A. (2007). The effect of melatonin on the reproductive performance of three sheep breeds in Spain. Small Ruminant Res 69: 10-16. ages. Biol. Rhythm Res. 44, 908–915.
- Berson, D.M., Dunn, F.A. and Takao, M. (2002). Photo-transduction by retinal ganglion cells that set the circadian clock. *Science*, 295 (5557): 1070-1073.
- Bonev, G. (2012). Effect of melatonin treatment on fertility, fecundity, litter size and sex ratio in ewes. Agricultural Science And Technology, 4, (2): 113 – 116.
- Boyd I.L.(1986). Effect of day length on the breeding season in male rabbit. *Mammal Review*, 16(3-4):125–130.
- Carcangiu, V., Mura, M.C., Bini, P.P., Vacca, G.M., Daga, C., Luridiana, S., (2012). Can advance of first lambing induced by melatonin implants influence the next lambing time in Sarda breed sheep? *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*. 92(1): 67–71.
- Carcangiu, V., Mura, M.C., Parmeggiani, A., Piccione, G., Bini, P.P., Cosso, G., & Luridiana, S. (2013). Daily rhythm of blood melatonin concentrations in sheep of different ages. *Biological rhythm research*, 44(6): 908-915.
- Cevik. M , A. Kocyigit , C. Yilmazer. (2017). Effects of melatonin implantation on the fertility potentials of Kivircik and Charollais ewes and rams during the non-breeding season. *Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 20 (3): 501–506.
- Chemineau, P., Guillaume, D., Migaud, M., Thiery, J.C., Pellicer-Rubio, M.T., & Malpaux, B. (2008). Seasonality of reproduction in mammals: intimate regulatory mechanisms and practical implications. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals*, 43, 40-47.

- Dahl, G.E, Buchanan B.A, Tucker H.A. (2000). Photoperiodic effects on dairy cattle: A review. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 83(4): 885-893.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, *11*(1), 1-42.
- Egerszegi, I, Sarlos, P, Ratky, J, Solti, L, Faigl, V, Kulcsar, M, & Cseh, S. (2014). Effect of melatonin treatment on semen parameters and endocrine function in Black Racka rams out of the breeding season. *Small Ruminant Research*, *116* (2-3), 192-198.
- Forcada, F, Abecia, J.A, Lozano, J.M, Ferrer, L.M, & Lacasta, D.(1999). The effects on reproductive performance in the short and medium term of the combined use of exogenous melatonin and progestagen pessaries in ewes with a short seasonal anoestrous period. *Veterinary research communications*, 23 (4), 257-263.
- Forcada, F, Zúñiga, O, & Abecia, J.A.(2002a). The role of nutrition in the regulation of LH secretion during anestrus by the serotoninergic and dopaminergic systems in Mediterranean ewes treated with melatonin. *Theriogenology*, 58 (7), 1303-1313.
- Forcada, F, Abecia, J.A, Zúñiga, O, & Lozano, J.M.(2002b). Variation in the ability of melatonin implants inserted at two different times after the winter solstice to restore reproductive activity in reduced seasonality ewes. Australian journal of agricultural research, 53 (2), 167-173.
- Gerencse'r Zs, Matics Zs., Nagy I, Princz Z, Orova Z, Biro'-Ne'meth E, Radnai I and Szendri Zs. (2008). Effect of lighting program on the nursing behavior of rabbit does. 9th World Rabbit Congress, June 10–13, Verona, Italy.
- Hansen, P.J., Kamwanja, L.A., and Hauser, E.R. (1983). Photoperiod influences age at puberty of heifers. *Journal of animal science*, *57*(4), 985-992.
- Haresign, W., Peters, A. R., & Staples, L. D. (1990). The effect of melatonin implants on breeding activity and litter size in commercial sheep flocks in the UK. *Animal Science*, *50*(1), 111-121.
- Lindsay, D. R. (1996). Environment and reproductive behaviour. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 42(1-4), 1-12.

- Maria, C.M, Sebastiano L, Federico F, Maria V.D, Cinzia, Luisa P, Jože S, and Vincenzo C. (2017). Melatonin treatment in winter and spring and reproductive recovery in Sarda breed sheep. *Animal Reproduction Science 185* , 104–108.
- Martin, G. B., Tjondronegoro, S, Boukhliq, R, Blackberry, M. A, Briegel, J.R, Blache, D, & Adams, N.R. (1999). Determinants of the annual pattern of reproduction in mature male Merino and Suffolk sheep: modification of endogenous rhythms by photoperiod. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development*, 11(6), 355-366.
- D'Occhio, M.J. Ghuman, S.S. Neglia, G. Della Valle, G, Baruselli, P.S, Zicarelli, L, Visintin J.A., Sarkar, M, Campanile, G. (2020).and endogenous factors Exogenous in seasonality of reproduction in buffalo: A review. Theriogenology. Available online 23 January 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2020. 01.044Get rights and content
- Molik ,E, T. Misztal., K. Romanowicz., D.A. Zieba (2010). The effects of melatonin on prolactin and growth hormone secretion in ewes under different photoperiods, during the early postpartum period. *Small Ruminant Research*, 94 (1-3): 137–141.
- Mousa-Balabel, T.M & R.A. Mohamed. (2011). Effect of different photoperiods and melatonin treatment on rabbit reproductive performance. *Veterinary Quarterly*, 31(4): 165–171
- National Research Council (NRC, 1975). Nutrient requirements of domestic animals, No. 5. Nutrient requirements ofsheep. *Fifthedition. Nat. Acad. Sci., Nat. Res. Count., Washington DC.*
- Olivier, W.J.(2014). Calculation of reproduction parameters. Info pack ref. *AP2014/032 Grootfontein Agricultural Development Institute*. 2014.
- Wankhade, P.R., Diwakar, V.K., Talokar, A.J., Aderao, G.N., Miranda, C.D., & Gourkhede, D.P. (2019). Effect of photoperiod on the performances of Buffaloes: A review. *Journal* of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 7(1): 177-180.

- Reiter, R.J, Rosales-Corral, S.A, Manchester, L.C, & Tan, D. X. (2013). Peripheral reproductive organ health and melatonin: ready for prime time. *International journal of molecular sciences*, *14*(4), 7231-7272.
- Rhind, S.M, Bass, J, Doney, J.M, & Hunter, E.A. (1991). Effect of litter size on the milk production, blood metabolite profiles and endocrine status of ewes lambing in January and April. *Animal Science*, *53*(1), 71-80.
- Robinson, J.J, Wigzell, S, Aitken, R.P, Wallace, J.M., Ireland, S, & Robertson, I.S. (1992).
 Daily oral administration of melatonin from March onwards advances by 4 months the breeding season of ewes maintained under the ambient photoperiod at 57 N. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 27(2-3), 141-160.
- Rosa, H.J.D and Bryant M.J. (2003). Seasonality of reproduction in sheep. *Small Ruminant Research*. 48(3):155-171.
- Molik, E., Misztal, T., Romanowicz, K., & Zieba, D.A. (2010). The effects of melatonin on prolactin and growth hormone secretion in ewes under different photoperiods, during the early post-partum period. *Small ruminant research*, 94(1-3), 137-141.
- Solinska, J., Janicki, B., 2004. The effects of some factors on sheep reproduction. *Zesz. Nauk. Zoot. (in Polish)*, 244 (34): 35–42.
- Misztal, T., Molik, E., Nowakowski, M., & Marciniak, E. (2018). Milk yield, lactation parameters and prolactin secretion characteristics in sheep treated with melatonin implants during pregnancy and lactation in long-day conditions. *Livestock science*, 218, 58-64.
- Udala, J. and Błaszczyk, B.(1999). Chosen mechanisms which regulate seasonality of reproduction in sheep and goats. Med. Wet. 11, 733–736 (in Polish).
- Varlyakov, I. (1999). Cattle Behaviour. In:Animal Behaviour. Book of Ethology. KOTA, Stara Zagora, Pp. 59 90.
- Viguié, C., Caraty, A., Locatelli, A., Malpaux, B., (1995). Regulation of luteinizing hormonereleasing hormone (LHRH) secretion by melatonin in the ewe II. Changes in N-Methyl-D. Biol. Reprod. 52, 1156–1161.
- Wallace JM, Robinson JJ, Wigzell S and Aitken RP, (1988). Effect of melatonin on the

peripheral concentrations of LH and progesterone after oestrus, and on conception rate in ewes. Journal of Endocrinology, 1.

- Yie, S. M., Niles, L. P., & Younglai, E. V. (1995). Melatonin receptors on human granulosa cell membranes. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 80(5), 1747-1749.
- Zarazagaa, L.A., M.C. Gatica, H. Hernández, . Chemineau , J.A. Delgadillo , J.L. Guzmána. (2019). Photoperiod-treated bucks are equal to melatonin-treated bucks for inducing reproductive behaviour and physiological the "male effect" functions via in Mediterranean goats. Animal Reproduction Science, 202, 58-64.

دور الميلاتونين الخارجي وفترة الإضاءة على الأداء الإنتاجي والتناسلي للأغنام الأوسيمي

محترم عبدالله محمد ابراهيما و محمد وائل حسن دغش ٢ ا قسم الانتاج الحيواني - كلية الزراعة جامعة الأزهر فرع أسيوط ا قسم الانتاج الحيواني - كلية الزراعة جامعة أسيوط

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمحطة الانتاج الحيواني التابعة لقسم الانتاج الحيواني كلية الزراعة جامعة الاز هر فرع اسيوط. وتهدف الدراسة إلى معرفة تأثير الميلاتونين وفترة الاضاءة على الاداء الإنتاجي والتناسلي للنعاج الأوسيمي ومواليدها. حيث استخدم في الدراسة عدد ٣٤ نعجة أوسيمي متعددة الولادات وغير عشار تتراوح اعمار ها بين ٢-٥ سنوات وبمتوسط وزن جسم ٥٥,٩٤ ± ١،٨٠ كجم عقب الولادة والفطام قسمت النعاج عشوائيا الى اربعة مجاميع. وقد عرضت المجموعة الاولان وسمي متعددة الولادات وغير عشار تتراوح اعمار ها بين ٢-٥ سنوات وبمتوسط وزن جسم ٥٩,٤٤ ± ١،٨٠ كجم عقب الولادة والفطام قسمت النعاج عشوائيا الى اربعة مجاميع. وقد عرضت المجموعة الاولى (٧ نعاج)/ لضوء النهار العادي واستخدمت كمجموعة الاولى (٧ نعاج)/ لضوء النهار العادي واستخدمت كمجموعة ضابطة دون أي معاملة، أما المجموعة الثانية (٩ نعاج) فقد عرضت المجموعة الاولى (٧ نعاج)/ لضوء النهار العادي يوميا، كما عوملت المجموعة الثالثة (١٠ نعاج) بالميلاتونين بمعدل ١٨ مجم/للراس مع ضوء النهار الطبيعي بينما عرضت المجموعة الرابعة (٨ نعاج) لنوء النهار العادي يوميا، كما عوملت المجموعة الثالثة (١٠ نعاج) بالميلاتونين بمعدل ١٨ مجم/للراس مع ضوء النهار الطبيعي بينما عرضت المجموعة الرابعة (٨ نعاج) للرابعة (٨ نعاج) للميلاتونين بمعدل ١٨ مجم/للراس مع ضوء النهار الطبيعي بينما عرضت المجموعة الرابعة (٩ نعاج) بالميلاتونين بمعدل ١٨ مجم/للراس مع ضوء النهار الطبيعي بينما عرضت المجموعة الرابعة (٨ نعاج) للإضاءة الصناعية لمدة ١٦ ساعة بالإضافة الى المعاملة بالميلاتونين بمعدل ١٨ مجم/للراس. وقد إستمرت التجربة من بداية موسم التزاوج حتى الولادة والفطام، هذا وقد سجلت أوزان الجسم للنعاج عند بداية التجربة وبعد الولادة والفام، هذا وقد سجلت أوزان الجسم للنعاج عند بداية التجربة وبعد الولادة مرابعد مولية التغير في زوزان الجسم للنعاج عند بداية التجربة وبحد الولادة لمعرفة التغير في من بداية موسم التزاوج حتى الولادة والفطام، هذا وقد سجلت أوزان الجسم للنعاج عند بداية التجربة وبعد الولادة لمعرفة التغير في وزن الجسم كما سجلت اوزان الحملان بعد الولادة مرة كل اسبوعين. كما أنه تم تسجيل تاريخ التلقيح والاجمان والولادة لكل نعجة وعدد النعاج التي لعدت والتي ولدت وتم حساب جميع الصفات التناسية.

وقد أظهرت النتائج أن التغير في وزن الجسم للنعاج التي عوملت بالميلاتونين مع ضوء النهار الطبيعي او الصناعي كان أعلى معنويا (0.05) P) مقارنة بالمجموعة الضابطة. ولم تظهر المعاملة بالميلاتونين أو الاضاءة أي تأثير معنوي على صفات الخصوبة، ومعدل الحمل، وعدد الاجنة الممسوحة، ومعدل الولادات في النعاج الاوسيمي. على الرغم من عدم وجود تأثير معنوي للمعاملة الا أن تلك الصفات كانت أعلى رعما في المجموعة ومعدل الولادات في النعاج الاوسيمي. على الرغم من عدم وجود تأثير معنوي للمعاملة الا أن تلك الصفات كانت أعلى رقميا في المجموعة المعاملة مقارنة مع مجموعة الكنترول. هذا بالإضافة إلى أن عدد التلقيحات اللازمة تلك الصفات كانت أعلى رقميا في المجموعات المعاملة مقارنة مع مجموعة الكنترول. هذا بالإضافة إلى أن عدد التلقيحات اللازمة للاخصاب كانت معنويا (0.05) أقل في النعاج المعاملة بالميلاتونين والاضاءة عن النعاج الغير معاملة كما ان معدل الاجهاض للاخصاب كانت معنويا (0.05) أقل في النعاج المعاملة الميلاتونين والاضاءة عن النعاج الغير معاملة كما ان معدل الاجهاض كان أقل ولكن لم يظهر اى فروق معنوية بين المعاملة. وقد إتضح أن معدل الفطام كان أعلى رئي والاضاءة عن النعاج العاب الميلاتونين والاضاءة عن النعاج الغير معاملة كما ان معدل الاجهاض كان أقل ولكن لم يظهر اى فروق معنوية بين المعاملات. وقد إتضح أن معدل الفطام كان أعلى (0.05) معنويا كان معال المعاملات. وقد اتضح أن معدل الفطام كان أعلى (0.05) معنويا كان معدل البقاء مرتفعا (0.05) في النعاج المعاملة مقارنة بالمجموعة الضابطة. الفترة بين ولادتين وعدد الايام المفتوحة كان معنويا (0.05) معنويا (0.05) معنويا ولا معاملة مرتفعا ولي مرتفعا (0.05) في النعاج المعاملة مقارنة بالمجموعة الضابطة. الفترة بين ولادتين وعدد الايام المفتوحة كان معنويا (0.05) معنويا وزان معنويا وزان معار المعاملة مقارنة بالمجموعة الضابطة الفرم معن وعدد الايام المفتوحة كان معنويا ولا مرتفعا. والاق في أوران معنويا معاملة مقارنة بالمجموعة النعام ولم مرتفعا ولا معاملة بالإضاءة الصناعية مع مماليلاتونين عن المجموعة التي عوملت بالإضاءة الصناعية او الميلاتونين فقط ولم مرتفع والمحموعة المعاملة, أيضا فإن أوران الميلاد والفطام ومعدل النمو اليومي في الحملان لم تتأثر بالمعاملة.

مما سبق يمكن استنتاج أن المعاملة بالميلاتونين في ضوء النهار الطبيعي أو مع الإضاءة الصناعية يمكن أن يكون أحد العوامل الهامة لتحسين الأداء التناسلي للنعاج وإنتاج الضأن في الأغنام الأوسيمي.