

Audiological Profile in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders

¹Ali Abdel Dayem Ali, ²Shoura Youssef Darwish, ¹Ahmed Mohammed Ahmed Mahmoud,

¹Mohammed Moneer Mohammed Hassanain

¹E.N.T Department, Faculty of Medicine, ²Neurology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University
Corresponding author: Mohammed M.M. Hassanain, Mobile: 01005027660; Email: audiology_now2003@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Autism spectrum disorder is defined by the Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders V (DSM V) as a neurobehavioral disorder manifested by persistent deficits in social and communication interaction, deficits in developing, understanding and maintaining relationships, as well as abnormal and fixed interests and repetitive behavior. Symptoms must be present at early childhood and interfere with daily function. The majority of individuals with ASD demonstrate some degree of auditory dysfunction. The level and expression of this dysfunction ranges from deafness and increased thresholds to hyperacusis and difficulty listening with background noise.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to characterize the findings of audiological and electrophysiological hearing assessment in individuals with autism and to compare these findings to those obtained in typically developing individuals. **Subjects, Materials & Method:** Forty one Autistic children are divided into two groups:- group (1) Mild to moderate autism were seventeen children & group (2) Severe autism were twenty four children) were enrolled in this study (Whose ages were 2-6.5 years old) compared with ten typically developing matching peers. All Autistic children in the study had a definite medical diagnosis of Autism according to DSM-5 and based on the severity of symptomatology, ASD children were assessed and divided using CARS score (Childhood autism rating scale). Audiologic evaluation consisted of a case history, otoscopic examination, behavioral free field evaluation, acoustic immittance measures (Tympanogram and Acoustic reflexes), speech audiometry, measurement of distortion product otoacoustic emissions and auditory brain stem response.

Results: Our results support an association between ASD and higher DPOAEs S/N ratios at only 500 & 750 Hz. Moreover, ABR in ASD children showed a significant increase in waves III & V absolute latencies and I-III & I-V inter peak latencies (In both groups of ASD), with inter aural asymmetry as shortened right ear III-V & I-V inter peak latencies reflecting a more right ear advantage (mild to moderate group), in addition to a significant decrease in waves V/I amplitude ratio (Both ASD groups).

Conclusion: The OAE responses of children with ASD were highly significantly only at 500 Hz (Both groups) 750 Hz (Mild to moderate group). ASD children (either mild to moderate or severe) had a significant increase in ABR waves III & V peak absolute latencies and I-III & I-V inter peak latencies. Asymmetrical ABR findings also noted in children with ASD (mild to moderate group) as shortened right ear III-V & I-V inter peak latencies (more prominent right ear advantage). In ABR also the amplitude of peak I in response to 90 dB nHL click stimulation was greater than the amplitude of peak V significantly in both groups of ASD children than controls.

Keywords: Auditory Brainstem Response - Autism Spectrum Disorders - Acoustic Stapedial Reflex – Otoacoustic emissions - Cytosine, Guanine, Guanine.

INTRODUCTION

Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) represent a heterogeneous group of specific and non-specific symptoms characterized by pervasive manifestation of partial indicators and cardinal differences in the development of the child with ASD in comparison with the intact individual ⁽¹⁾. Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are highly heritable neuro-developmental disorders characterized by reduced social interactions, language impairment and repetitive or restricted interests and behaviors ⁽²⁾. Despite strong genetic etiology, diagnosis is based purely on behavioral criteria. However, individuals with ASD display marked phenotypic heterogeneity and frequent medical comorbidities, which have hindered advances in diagnosis and treatment development ⁽³⁾. A recent survey indicated that ASD

has an incidence of 1 in 110 (1:70 in males and 1:315 in females, although there is significant geographical variability ⁽⁴⁾).

There is an ample evidence of neuroanatomical dysmorphology in ASD, including differences in neuronal packing density, soma size and number and attenuated dendritic arbors ⁽⁵⁾. The majority of individuals with ASD demonstrate some degree of auditory dysfunction ⁽⁶⁾. The level and expression of this dysfunction ranges from deafness and increased thresholds to hyperacusis and difficulty listening with background noise ⁽⁷⁾.

It is known that children with autism and Asperger syndrome can have perception, attention and memory disorders and often times the presence

of peripheral and/or central hearing loss is suspected⁽⁸⁾.

Therefore, it is necessary to identify alterations in the peripheral and central auditory system in ASD patients, through subjective and objective tests in order to provide an accurate diagnosis and a more effective intervention.

AIM OF THE WORK

To study the audiological findings in autistic patients and to compare these findings with normal individuals. To correlate the audiological findings in autistic patients with the characteristics of the disease.

SUBJECTS, MATERIALS & METHOD

Subjects:-

A total number of fifty one children (102 ears) were enrolled in the present study. They were divided into three groups according to CARS scores (Childhood Autism Rating Scale) that evaluates 15 aspects of behavior⁽⁹⁾. Group (1) included seventeen mild to moderate autistic children (fifteen males and two females with CARS scores ranging between 30-36.5), Group (2) included twenty four severe autistic children (seventeen males and seven females with CARS scores 37 and above) while Group (3) included the controls who were ten children (five males and five females with CARS scores below 30). The CARS is a 15-item scale which aids in the identification of children with autism and which distinguishes them from other children with compromised development but without autism. Its importance is based on its ability to differentiate mild-to-moderate from severe autism⁽¹⁰⁾. CARS is brief and is appropriate for use with any child over the age of 2 years. It was developed over a 15-year period on the basis of 1,500 autistic children. The scale incorporates diagnostic criteria based on the work of Kanner (1943), Creak (1961), Rutter (1978) and Ritvo & Freeman (1978) and from the 1980 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-III)⁽⁹⁾. The scale evaluates behavior in 14 domains that are generally affected in autism, plus a single category for general impressions of autism⁽¹⁰⁾. These 15 items are as follows: relating to people, imitation, emotional response, body use, object use, adaptation to change, visual response, listening response, taste, smell, and touch response and use, fear or nervousness, verbal communication, non-verbal communication, activity level, level and consistency of intellectual response and, finally, general impressions. The scores assigned to each domain vary from 1 (within the limits of normality) to 4 (severe autistic symptoms). The total score varies from 15 to 60 and the cutoff point for autism

is 30. Scores between 30 and 36.5 indicates mild to moderate autism while, scores at 37 and above is considered severe autism⁽⁹⁾. For both groups (1) & (2) (mild to moderate and severe autism groups) selection criteria comprised the following: 1- Having a definite medical diagnosis of autism (According to DSM-V). 2- An age ranged from 2 to 6.5 years. 3- Absence of neurological diseases. 4- Normal middle ear function. While for the control group (Group 3), selection criteria comprised the following: 1- An age ranged from 2 to 6.5 years. 2- Normal healthy development. 3- No psychiatric, neurological, speech or hearing problems. 4- Normal middle ear functions.

All children were recruited and tested at the audiology unit, Al Hussein University Hospital during the period from October 2014 till May 2016. **The study was approved by the Ethics Board of Al-Azhar University.**

Equipment: Two-channel Audiometer Interacoustics model AC40 with headphones TDH 39 and bone vibrator B71. Acoustic Immittancemeter Interacoustics model AZ26 with 220 Hz probe tone. Evoked otoacoustic emissions model Celesta 503 cochlear emission analyzer of Madsen electronics. Auditory brain stem response (ABR) model IHS (Intelligent hearing systems). Sound treated room locally made.

Method:-All children were submitted to: Detailed history taking:- It included personal history, onset, course and duration of complaint, associated medical, prenatal, neonatal and postnatal problems. Special emphasis was done on diseases associated with hearing loss. Moreover, history of consanguineous marriage as well as family history of autism were highlighted, results of previous I.Q tests, EEG & MRI done before⁽¹¹⁾. Otological examination: The purpose was to exclude obstruction, infection, congenital malformations and other lesions in the external auditory canal. The TM was examined for perforation, drainage, otitis media and cholesteatoma. Immittancemetry:-This included tympanometry and acoustic reflex threshold measurements (ipsilateral and contralateral reflexes).

For the three groups, speech audiometry was done in the form of speech detection threshold (SDT) or speech reception threshold (SRT).

Auditory brain stem response (ABR):- The children were tested while sleeping either naturally or using sedation in the form of chloral hydrate in a dose of 0.5 cc/Kg. It was done using the IHS evoked potential system in a single-walled sound treated room.

Electrode montage: Two channel recordings consisted of positive recording from Fpz, negative

from ipsilateral mastoid, and a ground on the forehead. Inter-electrode impedance was minimized using alcohol and rough gauze and was typically no more than 5000 ohms. The electrodes used were silver cup electrodes after applying a conductive paste and attach the electrode firmly with tape.

Procedure and parameters: The stimuli were rarefaction acoustic clicks delivered through headphone at intensity levels of 90 dB nHL at a repetition rate of 21.1p/s. The response was filtered between 300 and 3000 Hz, amplified 100,000 times, recorded over 12 ms time window, and 1024 sweeps were averaged for each run. Detectability of waves I, III & V at 90 dB nHL as well as their absolute and inter peak latencies were measured until threshold of hearing was obtained (Wave V threshold). Testing was performed in 20 dB descending steps. A threshold was defined as the lowest intensity level at which a response could be detected.

Evoked otoacoustic emissions (Distortion product) model Celesta 503 cochlear emission analyzer of Madsen electronics:- The DPOAE tests were performed in a sound treated room using a Madsen pediatric OAE probe assembly (model Celesta 503) fitted to the ear canal. The acoustic stimuli were two continuous pure tones at the so-called primary frequencies of f1 and f2. The primary L1 and L2 levels were separately adjusted, and the frequency ratio of f2/f1 was fixed at 1.22. The levels of the stimuli were fixed at L1=65 and L2=55 dB SPL. The DPOAE measurement was evaluated when the generation of the 2f1–f2 DPOAE occurred by primaries with geometric mean frequencies of 0.5–8 kHz. The detection of the DPOAEs was based on the amplitudes being at least 3 dB above the average level of the noise floor sampled at several frequencies surrounding the emission frequency. The frequency-specific signal/noise ratios (SNRs) in both ears of the children were evaluated.

RESULTS

Table (1): Results of ipsilateral and contralateral acoustic reflex thresholds at different frequencies between groups:

Frequency in Hertz	Mild to moderate G1	Severe G2	Normal G3	P
Ipsilateral 500	93.33 ± 7.53	95.00 ± 5.98	98.33 ± 2.89	P1= 0.652 P2= 0.316 P3= 0.389
Ipsilateral 1000	96.25 ± 3.06	97.19 ± 4.90	97.50 ± 5.24	P1= 0.689 P2= 0.625 P3= 0.911
Ipsilateral 2000	93.50 ± 3.35	97.00 ± 6.75	97.50 ± 6.12	P1= 0.300 P2= 0.226 P3= 0.884
Ipsilateral 4000	90.0 ± 00	82.50 ± 3.54	90.00 ± .00	P1= 0.333 P2= 1.0 P3= 0.095
Frequency in Hertz	Mild to moderate G1	Severe G2	Normal G3	P
Contralateral 500	108.33 ± 2.89	102.00 ± 5.70	105.00 ± 00	P1= 0.130 P2= 0.423 P3= 0.656
Contralateral 1000	105.00 ± 5.00	100.71 ± 4.50	105.00 ± 5.00	P1= 0.217 P2= 1.0 P3= 0.217
Contralateral 2000	102.50 ± 3.54	100.94 ± 4.21	102.50 ± 6.45	P1= 0.645 P2= 1.0 P3= 0.620
Contralateral 4000	95.00 ± 00	91.67 ± 2.89	93.33 ± 2.89	P1= 0.423 P2= 0.667 P3= 0.519

Table (2): Comparison between DPOAE S/N ratio levels at each frequency between groups:

Frequency in Hertz	Mild to moderate (N=17)	severe (N=24)	Normal (N=10)	P
500	.06 ± 7.91	1.65 ± 6.80	-6.85 ± 6.61	P1= 0.488 P2=0.019* P3=0.003*
750	5.97 ± 7.07	3.52 ± 7.34	-.05 ± 6.13	P1=0.278 P2=0.037* P3=0.184
1000	6.91 ± 8.37	4.75 ± 8.02	2.40 ± 4.05	P1=0.372 P2=0.141 P3=0.413
1500	13.53 ± 5.09	11.29 ± 6.19	12.45 ± 3.80	P1=0.201 P2=0.622 P3=0.575
2000	13.85 ± 4.91	13.52± 4.52	11.00 ± 4.92	P1=0.712 P2=0.164 P3=0.316
3000	21.24 ± 6.57	19.90 ± 7.29	19.45 ± 8.35	P1=0.564 P2=0.541 P3=0.871
4000	19.53 ± 6.28	20.77 ± 5.10	20.90 ± 4.34	P1=0.472 P2=0.527 P3=0.950
6000	10.18 ± 6.42	12.13 ± 3.46	13.10 ± 2.83	P1=0.186 P2=0.116 P3=0.574
8000	9.91 ± 8.31	12.38 ± 6.48	11.50 ± 4.98	P1=0.267 P2=0.567 P3=0.738

Table (3): Mean ABR waves inter peak latencies in ears of groups (1), (2) & (3):

Inter peak latencies (G1)	Right	Left	t	P
I-III	2.30 ± .16	2.30 ± .17	0.036	0.971
III-V	1.85± 0.17	1.94± 0.18	2.526	0.022*
I-V	4.14 ± .23	4.24 ± .26	3.112	0.007*
Inter peak latencies (G2)	Right	Left	t	P
I-III	2.34 ± .24	2.30 ± .20	1.328	0.197
III-V	1.86± 0.16	1.89± 0.15	0.583	0.566
I-V	4.21 ± .22	4.19 ± .26	.367	0.717
Inter peak latencies (G3)	Right	Left	t	P
I-III	2.13 ± .10	2.07 ± .16	1.063	0.315
III-V	1.80± 0.14	1.85± 0.18	1.354	0.209
I-V	3.93 ± .15	3.93 ± .14	0.098	0.924

Table (4): Comparison between ABR waves absolute & inter-peak latencies between groups:

ABR waves absolute latencies	Mild to moderate (G1)	severe G2)(Normal (G3)	P
I	1.67 ± .11	1.61 ± .15	1.64 ± .12	P1=0.160 P2=0.540 P3=0.583
III	3.97 ± .17	3.93 ± .20	3.74 ± .14	P1=0.584 P2=0.003* P3=0.006*
V	5.86 ± .23	5.81 ± .22	5.57 ± .15	P1=0.470 P2=0.001* P3=0.004*
ABR waves inter peak latencies	Mild to moderate (G1)	severe (G2)	Normal (G3)	P
I - III	2.30 ± .15	2.32 ± .21	2.10 ± .10	P1=0.650 P2=0.007* P3=0.002*
III - V	1.89 ± .16	1.87 ± .13	1.83 ± .15	P1=0.681 P2=0.251 P3=0.681
I - V	4.19 ± .24	4.20 ± .21	3.93 ± .11	P1=0.914 P2=0.003* P3=0.001*

Table (5): Comparison between ABR waves V/I amplitude ratio levels between groups:

V/I ratio	Mild to moderate (N=17) (G1)	severe (N=24) (G2)	Normal (N=10) (G3)	P
	1.05 ± .56	.94 ± .38	2.25 ± 2.08	P1=0.739 P2=0.004* P3=0.001*

DISCUSSION

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurobehavioral disorder comprised of social-communication and social interaction deficits, along with restricted, repetitive behavior (RRB), interests or activities, as is now defined in DSM 5⁽¹²⁾. The presence and intensity of these deficits are variable and constitute a heterogenic spectrum⁽¹³⁾.

As regard to ipsilateral and contralateral acoustic reflex thresholds at different frequencies, there was no statistical significant differences between right and left ears in each group as well as a none statistical significant differences between groups. This conclusion agree with that of Lukose *et al.*⁽¹⁴⁾ who also found that autistic subjects had lower ipsilateral acoustic reflex thresholds at only 500 and 1,000 Hz. However, this finding may be related to deficits in inhibitory neurotransmission. Moreover, there is evidence of

disrupted gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA) signaling in the autistic brain⁽¹⁵⁾.

The higher DPOAEs S/N ratio levels in the autism groups suggests that outer hair cell activation might be higher in children with autism, particularly at an early age, and this might make them more sensitive to auditory stimuli⁽¹⁶⁾.

In the present study, The significantly shorter IPLs in the right side, compared with the left side, found in group (1) was interpreted as an evidence for asymmetry at the lower level of the auditory system and coincide with the well-known cortical asymmetry supporting a right-ear advantage is a possible mechanism for asymmetry⁽¹⁷⁾.

Prolonged ABR waves absolute latencies III & V and inter peak latencies I-III & I-V, reflect a reduced synaptic efficiency in the auditory pathway in children with Autism, which may provide a

neurological basis for sensory reaction and linguistic disorder and show a disturbance in the brainstem of the auditory pathway and cortical/subcortical regions in these children ⁽¹⁸⁾.

The underlying neuropathology that may account for the ABR prolongation in ASD remains unknown. A potential explanation is that prolonged wave V latencies are due to impaired progression rates of myelination of the auditory system in children with ASD, with some research pointing to marked delays ⁽¹⁹⁾. On the other hand, other researchers suggest that white matter development is accelerated in ASD ⁽²⁰⁾.

Extensive abnormalities in the ASD brainstem have been described, ranging from alterations in size to significant changes to its morphology. Malformations of the superior olivary complex of ASD brainstems have been described in many anatomical studies ⁽²¹⁾.

Disruption of the serotonergic system is also one of the best replicated findings in ASDs. Another possible explanation for prolonged wave V latency that infants who develop ASD exhibit transient abnormalities during early critical periods of development that normalize at later ages (e.g., early brain overgrowth) ⁽²²⁾.

In this study a statistically significant differences existed between groups (1) & (3) and especially between (2) & (3) as regard to waves V/I amplitude ratio reflecting marked wave I amplitude relative to wave V that was more evident in the severe autism group. This finding is in agreement with that observed by Santos *et al.* ⁽²³⁾ who found similar conclusion in their studies on autistic children compared to their controls.

A possible explanation for these findings could be due to abnormal functioning of the descending auditory system associated with the midbrain and/or the presence of other brain abnormalities, likely contribute to the hyperacusis and the abnormal reactions to sounds often observed in ASD children, though the exact underlying neuropathology that accounts for the ABR characteristics observed in ASD children remains unknown ⁽²³⁾.

In summary, audiologists play a major role in the early identification and appropriate referral of children with autistic disorder when a disparity between the child's hearing function and language level is evident, either with or without other social or developmental deficits.

The results of the current study introduced an evidence for the abnormal hearing process in the primary stages of the auditory system in the children with Autism characterized by physiological disorders in the auditory neural pathway. These results offer a promising direction for further research, as they suggest that using infant ABR recordings may be used not only

for clinical assessment of the patient's hearing status but also as a potential marker for early autism diagnosis.

CONCLUSION

The OAE responses of children with ASD were higher significantly only at 500 Hz (mild to moderate & severe groups) & 750 Hz (mild to moderate group) than control subjects explained by the overactive outer hair cells. In spite of within normal ABR wave V threshold, ASD children with either mild to moderate or severe degree had a significant increase in ABR waves III & V peak absolute latencies and I-III & I-V inter peak latencies in comparison with controls that suggest low brainstem auditory dysfunction. Asymmetrical ABR findings also noted in children with ASD (mild to moderate group) as shortened right ear III-V & I-V inter peak latencies (more prominent right ear advantage). In ABR also the amplitude of peak I in response to 90 dB nHL click stimulation was significantly greater than the amplitude of peak V in both groups of ASD children than controls.

REFERENCES

- 1- Vitásková K , Ríhová A (2012): The current role of speech-language therapists in the diagnosis and complex intervention in children with autism spectrum disorder in the Czech Republic. International Conference on Education and Educational Psychology (ICEEPSY 2012), Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences, 69: 1973 - 1982.
- 2- Gandal M, Edgar J, Ehrlichman R, Mehta M, Roberts T , Siegel S (2010): Validating Oscillations and Delayed Auditory Responses as Translational Biomarkers of Autism. *Biol Psychiatry*, 68(12): 1100-1106.
- 3- Geschwind D (2009): Advances in autism. *Annu Review Med.*, 60: 367-380.
- 4- IDEA (2008) :Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: Part B Child Count, <https://www.ideadata.org/PartBChildCount.asp>.
- 5- Schumann C , Amaral D (2006): Stereological analysis of amygdala neuron number in autism. *J. Neurosci.*, 26(29): 7674-7679.
- 6- Tomchek S , Dunn W (2007): Sensory processing in children with and without autism: a comparative study using the short sensory profile. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 61(2): 190-200.
- 7- Tharpe A, Bess F, Sladen D, Schissel H, Couch S , Schery T (2006): Auditory characteristics of children with autism. *Ear Hear*, 27(4): 430-441.
- 8- Matas G, Crivellaro I , Magliaro L (2009): Audiologic and electrophysiologic evaluation in children with psychiatric disorders. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngology*, 75(1): 130-138.
- 9- Schopler E, Reichler R , Renner B (1988): Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS), tenth edition. The Angeles: Western Psychological Services, Los Angeles, CA.
- 10-Magyar C , Pandolfi V (2007): Factor structure evaluation of the childhood autism rating scale. *J Autism Dev Disord.*, 37: 1787-1794

- 11-American Academy of Pediatrics (2007):** Principles and guidelines for early hearing detection and intervention programs. Joint Committee on Infant Hearing Pediatrics, 120(4): 898-921.
- 12-American Psychiatric Association (2013):** Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fifth edition. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- 13-Johnson C , Myers S (2007):** Identification and evaluation of children with autism spectrum disorders. Pediatrics, 120: 1183-1215.
- 14-Lukose R, Brown K, Barber C , Kulesza R (2013):** Quantification of the Stapedial Reflex Reveals Delayed Responses in Autism. Autism Res., 6(5): 344-353.
- 15-Oblak A, Gibbs T , Blatt G. (2011):** Reduced GABAA receptors and benzodiazepine binding sites in the posterior cingulate cortex and fusiform gyrus in autism. Brain Research, 1380: 218-228.
- 16-Taş M, Yılmaz Ş, Bulut E, Polat Z , Taş A (2017):** Otoacoustic Emissions in Young Children with Autism. J Int Adv Otol., 13(3): 327-332.
- 17-Sininger Y , Cone-Wesson B (2006):** Lateral asymmetry in the ABR of neonates: evidence and mechanisms. Hear Res., 212: 203-211.
- 18-Magliaro F, Scheuer C, Assumpção Júnior F , Matas C (2010):** Study of auditory evoked potentials in autism. Pro-Fono Scientific Update Magazine, 22(1): 31-6.
- 19-Perkins T, Stokes M, McGillivray J , Bittar R (2010):** Mirror neuron dysfunction in autism spectrum disorders. J Clin Neurosci., 17(10): 1239-1243.
- 20-Weinstein M, Ben-Sira L, Levy Y, Zachor D, Ben Itzhak E, Artzi M, Tarrasch R, Eksteine P, Hendler T, Ben Bashat D (2011):** Abnormal white matter integrity in young children with autism. Human Brain Mapping, 32: 534-543.
- 21-Randy J, Lukose R , Stevens L (2011):** Malformation of the human superior olive in autistic spectrum disorders. Brain Research, 1367: 360-371.
- 22-Amaral D, Schumann C , Nordahl C (2008):** Neuroanatomy of autism. Trends in Neurosciences, 31: 137-145.
- 23-Santos M, Marques C, Nóbrega Pinto A, Fernandes R, Coutinho M, Almeida E , Sousa C (2017):** Autism Spectrum Disorders and the Amplitude of Auditory Brainstem Response Wave I. Autism Res., 10(7): 1300-1305.