# Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Some Quantitative Characters in Canola Oil (*Brassica napus* L.).

### M. M. Asfour

Environmental Studies and Research Institute, Minufiya University, Sadat City Branch, Minufiya, Egypt.

> **B** OTH GENOTYPIC and phenotypic correlation coefficient for some quantitative characters considered of yield and quality were studied in experimental farm, Environment Studies and Research Institute, Minufiya University at Sadat city, Minufiya Governorate, Egypt, during (2009/2010) and (2010/2011). Seven accessions of (Brassica napus L.) were studied. The results showed that a wide range of genetic variation existed among all studied traits over all varieties in both studied years. Genotypic correlation coefficient analysis revealed that seed yield per plant were positively and highly significant. correlated with number of siliquas per plant (0.99,0.95), number of seeds per siliquas (0.95,0.95), number of secondary branches per plant (0.87,0.96) and number of primary branches per plant (0.80, 0.96) in both seasons, respectively. However negative correlation with days to 50% flowering (-0.49, -0.29) in both seasons, respectively. Also non-significantly associated with oil content and 1000 seed weight in the second year were found. The path coefficient analysis showed the major role of indirect effects for almost studied characters on seed yield traits. So number of siliquas per plant followed number of seeds per siliqua, number of secondary branches and number of primary branches are the best criteria for yield improvement in rapeseed by selection program.

> Keywards: *Brassica napus*, Canola oil, Correlation coefficient, Path coefficient.

Canola (*Brassica napus* L.), is a recent growing crop in Egypt, but has a bright future and hopefully to contribute in reducing oil deficiency gap, where the degree of self sufficient ratio in edible plant oil declined from 25.6 % in 1980 to 12.3 % in 1996 (El-Tantawy & Soliman, 1999). This gap will be increase in next years mainly due to high population growth rate and increasing consumption from edible oil. To increase the yield, study of direct and indirect effects of yield components provides the basis for its successful breeding program. Hence the problem of increasing yield can be more effectively tackled on the basis of performance of yield components and selection for closely related characters (Choudhry *et al.*, 1986). Dhillon *et al.* (1990) observed that plant height had association with seed yield and secondary branches per plant. Chowdhury *et al.* 

M. M. ASFOUR

(1987) found that seed yield per plant (gm) was positively associated with number of siliquas per plant (siliquas) and protein content (gm). Singh & Singh (1995) studied the correlation between seed yield (gm) and other agronomic traits, who found that seed yield(gm) was positively correlated with 1000- seed weight (gm) and number of seeds per siliqua. Kandil et al. (1994) indicated that number of siliquas per plant possessed strongest association with seed yield per plant (gm) in Brassica napus. Lakshmamma et al. (1996) found that the best cultivars which gave the highest yield had carried the greatest number of siliquas per plant. Anil et al. (1998) reported that seed yield (gm) was positively correlated with both number of seeds per siliqua (seed) and number of siliquas per plant. Naazar et al. (2003) found that positive and significant correlation between seed yield (gm) and seed weigh (gm). Tunctürk & Çiftci (2007) studied the relationships between yield and its components, they found that positive correlation between seed yield (gm), number of branches (branch), number of siliquas per plant, number of seeds per siliqua and 1000 seed weight (gm). Sajid et al. (2008) showed that high significant correlation between seeds per siliqua and vield per hectare (k g). Also they found low correlation among different traits, however some of the related characters like days – to – flowering, pods per plant, plant height (cm), seed yield (gm) were highly significantly and positively correlated with each other.

Generally, correlation coefficients show relationships among independent characteristics and the degree of liner relation between these characteristics (Korkut *et al.*, 1993). As we know, the seed yield of rapeseed (gm) is the results of physiological and genetically effects, in other words, the direct selection can be the main policy for the rapeseed breeding program. According to the results that was reported by Bhatt(1973), indirect selection is not sufficient enough for seed yield breeding, and for this purpose, the direct and indirect selection must be done together. Ahmad *et al.* (2003) showed the quantitative value of direct and indirect effect through path coefficient analysis for yield performance. Several researchers studied the correlation between yield and yield components via path analysis as an index for selection of rapeseed improvement.

This investigation was conducted to determine the relationships between different agronomic traits within the different accessions of *Brassica napus* L. for obtaining the best index of selection.

## **Materials and Methods**

Experiments were conducted at the experimental farm of the Environmental Studies and Research Institute, Minufiya University, El-Sadat Branch, Minufiya Governorate, Egypt, during (2009/20010 & 2010/2011) winter seasons. Seven *Brassica napus* L., Lines; 5/09, 9/09, 21/09, 23/09, 28/09, 30/09 and 31/09. were used to investigate the relationship between yield and some yield components of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) using correlation coefficient analysis. Randomize complete block design with three replication were used, each plot comprised five rows, three meters long, with 70cm width and the seedling were later thinned to *Egypt. J. Agron*. **35**, No.1 (2013)

one plants per hill, the distance between hills 25cm. (Canola oil practices were applied as usual for the ordinary in the new reclaimed areas). Data were recorded on ten individual guarded plants for studied traits as follows: days to 50% flowering, plant height(cm), number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, number of siliquas per plant, number of seeds per siliqua, 1000 seed weight (gm), seed yield per plant (gm), oil percentage and protein percentage (gm).

TABLE 1. Origin and pedigree history of the used linens.

Origin	Pedigree and selection					
DRC, Siwa NBL <sup>#</sup>	Cresor/Duplo 18C-121Su-4Sw-15Sw-1Sw-0Sw					
DRC, Sudr NBL	C103/Sedo 2C103 9C-6Su-1Su-13Sw-2Sw-0Sw					
DRC, Mar NBL	T1×L1 Sel.21Maryot2005					
DRC, Mar NBL	T1×Serw-4 Sel.23Maryot2005					
DRC, Mar NBL	T2×L5 Sel.28Maryot2005					
DRC, Mar NBL	T1×T2 Sel.30Maryot2005					
DRC, Mar NBL	T1×L5 Sel.31Maryot2005					
	DRC, Siwa NBL <sup>#</sup> DRC, Sudr NBL DRC, Mar NBL DRC, Mar NBL DRC, Mar NBL DRC, Mar NBL					

# : Newly Bred Lines selected through Desert Research Center, Canola breeding program at Siwa, Ras-Sudr and Maryout Experimental Research Station, Egypt.

### Chemical analysis

Soxhlet extraction method was used to determined oil percentage as prescribed by A.O.C.S (1975). Crude protein content was estimated according to Cottenie *et al.* (1982).

#### Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance according to Steel & Torrie (1981). All possible correlation coefficients were computed following the statistical technique prescribed by Singh & Chaudhary (1979). Statistical significance of phenotypic correlation was determined by T-test as described by Steel & Torrie (1981).

The components of variance including error variance  $(\delta_e^2)$ , genotypic variance  $(\delta_g^2)$  and phenotypic variance  $(\delta_p^2)$ , were estimated, according to the following formula :

 $\delta_e^2 = M_e$   $\delta_g^2 = (M_g - M_e)/r$  $\delta_p^2 = \delta_g^2 + \delta_e^2$ 

The phenotypic and genotypic correlation between variable x and y ( $r_{(xy)p}$  and  $r_{(xy)g}$ ), were also estimated as following formula (Kwon & Torrie, 1964):  $r_{(xy)p} = \text{Cov}_{(x,y)p} / (\delta^2_{(x)p} \delta^2_{(y)p})^{1/2}$  $r_{(xy)g} = \text{Cov}_{(x,y)g} / (\delta^2_{(x)g} \delta^2_{(y)g})^{1/2}$ 

where  $\text{Cov}_{(x,y)p}$  and  $\text{Cov}_{(x,y)g}$  are phenotypic and genotypic covariance between variable x and y, respectively. Finally the path coefficient analysis  $(p_{ij})$  was done based on Dewey & Lu (1959):

 $r_{17} = P_{17} + r_{12}P_{27} + r_{13}P_{37} + r_{14}P_{47} + r_{15}P_{57} + r_{16}P_{67}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{r}_{27} &= \mathbf{r}_{12} \mathbf{P}_{17} + \mathbf{P}_{27} + \mathbf{r}_{23} \mathbf{P}_{37} + \mathbf{r}_{24} \mathbf{P}_{47} + \mathbf{r}_{25} \mathbf{P}_{57} + \mathbf{r}_{26} \mathbf{P}_{67} \\ \mathbf{r}_{37} &= \mathbf{r}_{13} \mathbf{P}_{17} + \mathbf{r}_{23} \mathbf{P}_{27} + \mathbf{P}_{37} + \mathbf{r}_{34} \mathbf{P}_{47} + \mathbf{r}_{35} \mathbf{P}_{57} + \mathbf{r}_{36} \mathbf{P}_{67} \\ \mathbf{r}_{47} &= \mathbf{r}_{14} \mathbf{P}_{17} + \mathbf{r}_{24} \mathbf{P}_{27} + \mathbf{r}_{34} \mathbf{P}_{37} + \mathbf{P}_{47} + \mathbf{r}_{45} \mathbf{P}_{57} + \mathbf{r}_{46} \mathbf{P}_{67} \\ \mathbf{r}_{57} &= \mathbf{r}_{15} \mathbf{P}_{17} + \mathbf{r}_{25} \mathbf{P}_{27} + \mathbf{r}_{35} \mathbf{P}_{37} + \mathbf{r}_{45} \mathbf{P}_{47} + \mathbf{P}_{57} + \mathbf{r}_{56} \mathbf{P}_{67} \\ \mathbf{r}_{67} &= \mathbf{r}_{16} \mathbf{P}_{17} + \mathbf{r}_{26} \mathbf{P}_{27} + \mathbf{r}_{36} \mathbf{P}_{37} + \mathbf{r}_{46} \mathbf{P}_{47} + \mathbf{r}_{56} \mathbf{P}_{57} + \mathbf{P}_{67} \end{split}$$

where six independed variables were(1) Plant height (cm), (2) Number of primary branches, (3) Number of secondary branches, (4) Number of siliquas per plant, (5) Number of seeds per siliqua and (6)1000 seed weight (gm) and dependent variable was seed yield (gm).

### Results

Significant defferances were obtaned among all genotypes for all studied traits in both studied seasons (Table 2).

Error n	nean square	Genotype r	nean square	Block mean square		
Y1	Y2	Y1	Y2	Y1	Y2	
0.11	0.71	42.54**	69.66**	0.01	0.54	
2.74	8.17	82.87**	176.27**	2.33	3.62	
0.11	1.07			0.82	0.10	
1.28	21.00			0.33	5.67	
202.47	6584.60	39827.29**	63284.83**	85.86	6142.07	
0.03	0.38	3.78**	3.50**	0.04	0.47	
0.01	0.03	0.19**	0.12**	0.01	0.06	
2.92	65.94	599.72**	660.45**	4.79	116.51	
0.90	0.54	7.71**	16.59**	2.41	0.17	
0.69	0.30	3.54**	5.18**	3.93	0.20	
	<b>Y1</b> 0.11 2.74 0.11 1.28 202.47 0.03 0.01 2.92 0.90	Y1         Y2           0.11         0.71           2.74         8.17           0.11         1.07           1.28         21.00           202.47         6584.60           0.03         0.38           0.01         0.03           2.92         65.94           0.90         0.54	Y1         Y2         Y1           0.11         0.71         42.54**           2.74         8.17         82.87**           0.11         1.07         7.65**           1.28         21.00         104.93**           202.47         6584.60         39827.29**           0.03         0.38         3.78**           0.01         0.03         0.19**           2.92         65.94         599.72**           0.90         0.54         7.71**	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Y1Y2Y1Y2Y1 $0.11$ $0.71$ $42.54^{**}$ $69.66^{**}$ $0.01$ $2.74$ $8.17$ $82.87^{**}$ $176.27^{**}$ $2.33$ $0.11$ $1.07$ $7.65^{**}$ $16.89^{**}$ $0.82$ $1.28$ $21.00$ $104.93^{**}$ $95.34^{**}$ $0.33$ $202.47$ $6584.60$ $39827.29^{**}$ $63284.83^{**}$ $85.86$ $0.03$ $0.38$ $3.78^{**}$ $3.50^{**}$ $0.04$ $0.01$ $0.03$ $0.19^{**}$ $0.12^{**}$ $0.01$ $2.92$ $65.94$ $599.72^{**}$ $660.45^{**}$ $4.79$ $0.90$ $0.54$ $7.71^{**}$ $16.59^{**}$ $2.41$	

TABLE 2. Lines mean squares for all studied traits in 2009/20010 and 2010/2011.

\*and \*\* Significant at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels respectively.

Correlation coefficient analysis is presented in Table 3. The data showed that genotypic correlation coefficient were higher than their respective phenotypic  $(r_g > r_p)$  for most studied traits in both seasons. Concerning of days to 50% flowering there were significant negative genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient with plant height (cm), number of siliquas per plant, number of seeds per siliqua and seed yield per plant (gm) in the second season. Also negative correlation with allmost studied traits in both studied seasons was found. Regarding to plant height (cm) possitive correelation coefficient was found significantly with most studied traits except 1000 seed weight (gm) and oil content (gm) were found in both studied seasons. Both number of primary branches and number of secondary branches showed positive significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient with most studied traits except 1000 seed weight (gm) and oil content (gm) in both studied seasons. On other hand number of siliquas per plant had positive genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient with most studied traits except days to 50% flowering, 1000 seed weight (gm) in the second season and oil percentage (gm) in both studied seasons. Also it had the highest positive significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient with seed yield per plant(gm) in both studied seasons.

Possitive correlation coefficient was found significantly in number of seeds per siliqua association with plant height (cm), number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, number of siliquas per plant, seed yield per plant (gm) and protein percentage (gm) in both studied seasons. Possitive correlation coefficient was found significantly in 1000 seed weight (gm) with number of siliquas per plant, number of seeds per siliqua and seed yield per plant(gm) in the first season only. Regarding to seed yield per plant(gm) possitive correlation coefficient was found significantly with almost studied traits except days to 50% flowering and oil percentage (gm). With respect oil percentage (gm) had nonsignificant correlation coefficient with any studied traits in both studied seasons.

TABLE 3. Genotype(rg) and phenotype(rp) correlation coefficients for all studied traits in 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 seasons.

traits in 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 seasons.												
Traits	Years		Days to	Plant	No. of	No. of		No. of	1000	Seed	Oil	Protein
			50%	height		secondary		seeds/	seed	yield/	content	content
			flowering			branches	plant	siliqua	weight	plant		
Days to	$S_1$	rg	1.00	-0.40	-0.37	-0.35	-0.38	-0.37	-0.49*	-0.43*	0.18	0.06
50%		rp	1.00	-0.38	-0.36	-0.35	-0.37	-0.36	-0.46*	-0.42*	0.15	0.05
flowering	$S_2$	rg	1.00	-0.64**	-0.52*	-0.47	$-0.58^{*}$	-0.84**	-0.29	-0.58*	0.25	-0.11
		rp	1.00	-0.60**	-0.49*	-0.37	-0.57*	-0.54**	-0.18	-0.49*	0.26	-0.08
Plant	$S_1$	rg		1.00	$0.88^{**}$	$0.89^{**}$	$0.63^{*}$	0.74**	0.23	$0.64^{*}$	0.00	0.41*
height		rp		1.00	$0.82^{**}$	$0.86^{**}$	$0.59^{*}$	$0.68^{**}$	0.19	$0.59^{*}$	-0.01	0.43*
(cm)	$S_2$	rg		1.00	0.82**	0.93**	0.81**	0.85**	0.12	0.89**	-0.07	0.64**
		rp		1.00	$0.65^{**}$	0.63**	$0.72^{**}$	$0.75^{**}$	0.11	$0.71^{**}$	0.13	$0.59^{**}$
No. of	$S_1$	rg			1.00	0.96**	$0.82^{**}$	0.91**	0.34	$0.80^{**}$	-0.23	0.63**
primary		rp			1.00	0.91**	$0.80^{**}$	0.87**	0.31	$0.78^{**}$	-0.21	0.43*
branches	$S_2$	rg			1.00	0.99**	$0.90^{**}$	0.73**	0.20	0.96**	-0.04	$0.79^{**}$
		rp			1.00	0.91**	$0.98^{**}$	$0.48^{*}$	0.03	$0.88^{**}$	-0.15	0.74**
No. of	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	rg				1.00	$0.89^{**}$	0.95**	0.44	$0.87^{**}$	-0.17	$0.78^{*}$
secondary		rp				1.00	$0.87^{**}$	0.93**	0.37	$0.85^{**}$	-0.19	$0.60^{*}$
branches	$S_2$	rg				1.00	$0.90^{**}$	$0.87^{**}$	0.07	$0.96^{**}$	0.04	$0.92^{**}$
		rp				1.00	0.99**	$0.39^{*}$	0.28	$0.88^{**}$	-0.15	0.73**
No. of	S1	rg					1.00	0.96**	0.73**	0.99**	-0.11	$0.79^{**}$
siliquas/		rp					1.00	0.95**	0.67**	0.99**	-0.12	$0.58^{*}$
plant	$S_2$	rg					1.00	$0.80^{**}$	-0.64**	$0.95^{**}$	-0.12	$0.58^{**}$
		rp					1.00	$0.54^{**}$	-0.16	$0.99^{**}$	-0.33	0.53**
No. of	$S_1$	rg						1.00	0.66**	$0.95^{**}$	-0.12	$0.89^{**}$
seeds/		rp						1.00	$0.58^{*}$	0.94**	-0.11	0.64**
siliqua	<b>Y2</b>	rg						1.00	$0.40^{*}$	0.95**	-0.28	$0.56^{**}$
		rp						1.00	0.11	0.63**	-0.13	0.35
1000 seed	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	rg							1.00	$0.79^{**}$	0.41	0.50
weight		rp							1.00	$0.67^{**}$	0.43	0.29
(gm)	$S_2$	rg							1.00	-0.05	0.35	-0.45*
		rp							1.00	0.25	-0.02	0.38
Seed	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	rg								1.00	-0.02	$0.77^{**}$
yield/		rp								1.00	-0.01	0.54
plant(gm)	$S_2$	rg								1.00	-0.13	0.66**
		rp								1.00	-0.26	$0.58^{**}$
Oil	$S_1$	rg									1.00	-0.13
content		rp									1.00	0.02
(gm)	$S_2$	r,									1.00	0.28
		rp									1.00	0.31
Protein	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	rg										1.00
content		rp									l	1.00
(gm)	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	rg									l	1.00
	-	rp									l	1.00

\*and \*\* Significant at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels respectively.

S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> two studied seasons, respectively.

# M. M. ASFOUR

On other side protein percentage (gm) showed positive significant correlation with plant height(cm), number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, number of siliquas per plant, number of seeds per siliqua and seed yield per plant (gm) in both studied seasons. Path coefficient analysis of seed yield (gm) in both studied seasons are presented in Table 4, in the first season maximum direct effects on seed yield (gm) were observed in number of secondary branches and number of seeds per siliqua had negative direct effects (-0.29, -0.06), respectivly. Concerning of indirect effects the maximum values were achieved with number of seeds per siliqua (0.95) folwed by number of secondary branches (0.88) and number of primary branches (0.81).

On the other season the path coefficient analysis showed the major role of indirect effects for number of primary branches with number of secondary branches (2.678), number of siliqua per plant (2.41) and number of seeds per siliqua (2.329). Also high indirect effects concerning plant height (cm) (2.49) and number of primary branches (2.651) were found.

			2009/	2010 sea	son				
Traits	Direct effects Indirect effects							Residual effect	Total effect
Plant height(cm)	0.210		0.071	-0.258	0.624	-0.042	0.036	0.000	0.640
No. of primary branches	0.080	0.185		-0.278	0.813	-0.052	0.053	0.000	0.800
No. of secondary branches	-0.290	0.187	0.076		0.882	-0.053	0.068	0.000	0.870
No. of siliquas / plant	0.991	0.132	0.066	-0.258		-0.055	0.114	0.000	0.990
No. of seeds / siliqua	-0.057	0.155	0.073	-0.275	0.951		0.103	0.000	0.950
1000 seed weight(gm)	0.156	0.048	0.027	-0.127	0.723	-0.037		0.000	0.79
			2010/	2011 sea	ison				
Plant height(cm)	-0.840		-0.841	2.490	-0.129	0.226	-0.017	0.000	0.89
No. of primary branches	-0.690	-1.025		2.651	-0.143	0.194	-0.028	0.000	0.960
No. of secondary branches	-0.781	-1.015	2.678		-0.143	0.231	-0.010	0.000	0.96
No. of siliquas / plant	-0.681	-0.922	2.410	-0.159		0.213	0.089	0.000	0.950
No. of seeds / siliqua	-0.714	-0.748	2.329	-0.127	0.266		-0.056	0.000	0.950
1000 seed weight(gm)	-0.101	-0.205	0.187	0.102	0.106	-0.14		0.000	-0.140

 TABLE 4. Path coefficient analysis for seed yield on rapeseed in both studied seasons.

Egypt. J. Agron . 35, No.1 (2013)

70

#### Disscussion

Previous resultes reveared that the studied genotypes were different geneticly, which indicating sufficient genetic variability among these genotypes. These results were harmony with Sajid & Khalil (2008) finding who found that genotypic correlation coefficient were higher than their respective phenotypic correlation coefficient  $(r_g > r_p)$  for all most studied traits in both seasons. Thus reflex adverse environmental influence on the studied traits. These results was agreement with finding by Dhillon et al. (1990) and Khan et al. (2006). Similar finding have been reported by Chowdhury et al. (1987) and Lakshmamma et al. (1996). Positive and significant correlation of number of secondary branches was exhibited by number of siliqua per plant and protein content at genotypic level as reported by Swain (1990). Kandil et al. (1994) found that number of siliquas per plant possessed strongest association with seed yield per plant. This finding is also supported by Singh & Singh (1996) and Anil et al., (1998), Naazar et al. (2003) and Sajid & Khalil (2008). finding. Positive and significant correlation of number of secondary branches was exhibited by number of siliqua per plant and protein contents at genotypic level as reported by Swain (1990). Kandil et al. (1994) found that number of siliquas per plant possessed strongest association with seed yield per plant. This finding is also supported by Singh & Singh (1996) and Anil et al. (1998), Naazar et al. (2003) and Sajid & Khalil (2008). The higher genotipic correlation coefficient for all most traits over the respective phenotipic indicated adverse environmental influence on them. Smilar resultes were obtained by Naazar et al. (2003) and Sajid & Khalil (2008).

The correlation between the traits may be due to linkage or peliotropy (Adams, 1967) or, environment (Aastveit & Aastveit, 1993). Some correlation coefficients in two studied seasons were different. So it is suggested that these differences may be due to environmental variances or experimental error variance, so the appearance of traits in rapeseed, are strongly influenced by environment. Ozer et al. (1999) obtained different results from similar genotypes in two consecutive years. They suggeted that this difference can be partly attributed to the variation in environmental condition during the growing season. The simple correlation coefficient cannot give the clear real information from relationship between traits, so it could be divided into series of direct and indirect effects. Path coefficient analysis provides a measure of relative importance of each independent variable to predict changes in the dependent one. This previous results are in line with finding of Saini & Sharma (1995). These results agree with finding by Singh et al. (1988) and Khan et al. (2006). Singh & Singh (1995) show a negative association between oil contents and seed yield per plant. Therefor indirect effects on seed yield were greatly. Güler et al. (2001) determined relationships among yield and some yield components using path coefficient analysis in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). They found positive and significant relationships between number of seeds per pod and seed yield per plant. Our results confirm the finding of Basalma (2008) and Sadat et al., (2010). The promising criteria for seed yield improvement in rapeseed breeding programe was number of siliquas per plant followed number of seeds per siliqua, number of Egypt. J. Agron . 35, No.1 (2013) secondary branches and number of primary branches. These results were similar with those reported by Jeromela *et al.* (2007).

#### Conclusion

According to the previous results it could be concluded that indirect selection is not sufficient for seed yield breeding program. Therefore the direct and indirect selection must be done together and the direct selection can be the main policy for rapeseed breeding program. The direct selection for number of siliquas per plant followed number of seeds per siliqua, number of secondary branches and number of primary branches would improvement as more effective in breeding programs in canola oil.

#### References

- Ahmad, H.M., Khan, B.M., Khan, S., Kissana, N.S. and Laghari, S. (2003) Path coefficient analysis in bread wheat, *Asian J. Plant. Sci.* 2, 491-494.
- Aastveit, A.H. and Aastveit, K. (1993) Effects of genotype-environment interactions on genetic correlations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 6, 1007-1013.
- Adams, M.W. (1967) Basis of component compensation in crop plants with special reference to the field bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Crop. Sci. 7, 505-510.
- Anil, K., Singh, D.P., Yadava, Y.P. and Bikram, S. (1998) Association between physiological parameters and seed yield in *Brassica* genotypes. *Cruciferae N.L.* 20,69.
- **A.O.C.S.** (1975) "Official and Tentative Methods of Analysis", 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Chicage, Ilianas, U.S.A.
- Bhatt, G.M. (1973) Significance of path coefficient analysis in determining the nature of character association. *Euphytica*, **2**,338-343.
- Basalma, D. (2008) The correlation and path analysis of yield and yield component of different winter rapeseed (*Brassica napus* spp. *oleifera* L.) cultivars. *Res. J. Agri. Biol. Sci.* 4, 120-125.
- Choudhry, A.R., Shah, A.H., Ali, L. and Bashir, M. (1986) Path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agronomy J.* 51, 515-518.
- Chowdhury, B.D., Thukral, S.K., Singh, D.P., Singh, P. and Kumar, A. (1987) Combining abilities and components of variation in *Brassica compestris*. *R.S. Development Report.*, **4**, 125-129.
- Cottenie, L., Verloo, M., Kiekens, G.V. and Camerlyck, R. (1982) "Chemical Analysis of Plants and Soils in Laboratory of Analytical and Geochemistry". Stat Univ. Ghent, Belgium, pp. 33-111.
- Dewey, J.R. and Lu, K.H. (1959) A correlation and path-coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production. J. Agron. 51, 515-518.

- Dhillon, S.S., Labana, K.H., Balwant, S. and Ahuja, K.L. (1990) Association analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). J. Res. Pb. Agri. Univ. 27, 385-388.
- El-Tantawy, Nashwa and Soliman, S.Z. (1999) The impact of reducing cultivated areas of some important oil crops on the Egyptian oil production. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.24,7459-7469.
- Güler, M., Adak, M.S. and Ulukan, H. (2001) Determining relationships among yield and some yield components using path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *European Journal of Agronomy*, 14 (2), 161-166.
- Jeromela, A., Marinkovic, R., Mijic, A., Jankulovska, M. and Zdunic, Z. (2007) Interrelationship between oil yield and other quantitative traits in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). J. Cental Eur. Agri. **8**,165-170.
- Kandil, A.A., EI-Mohandes, S.J. and Mahrous, N.M. (1994) Genotypic and phenotypic heritability and interrelationships of some characters in oil seed rape (*Brassica napus*). Asian J. Agri. Sci. 25, 155-166.
- Khan, F.A., Ali, S., Shakeel, A., Saeed, A. and Abbas, G. (2006) Correlation analysis of some quantitative characters in (*Brassica napus* L.). J. Agric. Res. 44, 7-14.
- Korkut, Z.K., Baser, I. and Billr, S. (1993) The studies path coefficient and correlation of drum wheat's. *Proceedings of the Symposium of Drum Wheat and Its Products*, Ankara, pp: 183-87.
- Kwon, S.H. and Torrie, J.H. (1964) Heritability and interrelationship among traits of two soybean population. *Crop. Sci.* **4**, 196-198.
- Lakshmamma, P., Raaghavaiah, C.V. and Prasad, M.V.R. (1996) Physiological analysis of varietal variations in yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) grown in non-traditional area. J. Oilseeds Res. 12, 222-227.
- Naazar, A., Farzad, J.F., El-Mira, J.Y. and Mirza, M.Y. (2003) Relationship among yield components and selection criteria for yield improvement in winter rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Pac. J. Bot.* 35, 167-174.
- Özer, H., Oral, E. and Doğru, U. (1999) Relationships between yield and yield component on currently improved spring rapeseed cultivars. *Turkish. J. Agri. Forest.* 23, 603-607.
- Sadat, H.A., Nematzadeh, G.A., Jelodar, N.B. and Chapi, O.G. (2010) Genetic evaluation of yield and yield components at advanced generations in rapeseed (*Brassica napus L.*) African. J. Agri. Res. 5,1958-1964.
- Saini, H.C. and Sharma, R.P. (1995) Model plant architecture through association and path coefficient analysis in Indian Colaz. *Ind. J. Agric. Res.* 29, 109-115.
- Sajid, K.F. and Khalil, I.H. (2008) Phenotypic correlation analysis of elite F3:4 *Brassica* population for quantitative and qualitative traits. *ARPN. J. Agrec. Bio. Sci.* 3, 38-42.

- Singh, H., Singh, S.P., Singh, K.P., Rao, D.S. and Singh, T. (1988) Correlation and path analysis of seed yield and its components in toria (*Brassica compestris* L. Var. *Toria*). Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res. 18, 21-25.
- Singh, M. and Singh, G. (1995) Correlation and path analysis in toria under mid-hills of Sikkim. Crop Imp. 22, 95-97.
- Singh, M. and Singh, G. (1996) Evaluation of yellow sarson germplasm at mid hills of Sikkim. J. Hill Res. 8, 112-114.
- Singh, R.K. and Chaudhary, B.D. (1979) "Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis". Kalyani Puplishers. New Delhi, Ludhiana. India.
- Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. (1981) "Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach". 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, USA. pp. 633.
- Swain, S.K. (1990) Correlation and path analysis in brown sarson (*Brassica campestris* var. *dichotoma Watt.*). Orissa J. Agri. Res. **3**, 1997-2000.
- Tuncturk, M. and Ciftci, V. (2007) Relationships between yield and some components in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) cultivars by using correlation and path analysis. *Pak. J. Bot.* 39, 81-84.

(Received 24/3/2013; accepted 16/6/2013)

تحليل معامل الأرتباط ومعامل المرور لبعض الصفات الكمية في كانولا الزيت (.Brassica napus L)

# منذر محمد عصفور

معهد الدر اسات والبحوث البيئية – جامعة المنوفية- فرع السادات - المنوفية – مصر

تم دراسة كل من معامل الأرتباط الوراثى والمظهرى لبعض الصفات الكمية المرتبطة بالمحصول وجودته وذلك بالمزرعة التجريبية لمعهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية التابع لجامعة المنوفية بمدينة السادات، خلال موسمين شتوبين لأعوام (2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009 (2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009 (2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009 (2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009 (2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009 (2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009 (2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009 (2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009, 2009 (2009, 200

تحليل معامل المرور بين صفة محصول البذور للنبات وبعض الصفات المرتبطة بالمحصول أظهر أن التأثير الغير مباشر للصفات على بعضها البعض كان هو التأثير الرئيسى فى التأثير على صفة محصول البذور وكذلك يجب الأنتخاب لعدة صفات مجتمعة وهى عدد القرون للنبات، عدد البذور فى القرن، وعدد الأفرع الأولية للنبات وكذلك عدد الأفرع الثانوية عند التربية لتحسين محصول الحبوب عن طريق اتباع برامج الأنتخاب.