

## **PRODUCTIVE AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT OF INTENSIVE BARKI SHEEP PRODUCTION SYSTEM IN MATROUH GOVERNORATE, EGYPT**

**S. M. Alsheikh**

*Animal and Poultry Breeding Department, Desert Research Center, Matarya, P. O. Box 11753, Cairo, Egypt*

### **SUMMARY**

*A dynamic flock model (DFM) of intensive Barki sheep production system in Matrouh Governorate is presented. The model studies the complexity of the reproduction management systems of three lambing in two years and the productive efficiency and financial return. The data for three production cycles were collected during 2006-2009 from a Barki flock of the Sustainable Development Center for Matrouh Resources, Desert Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture. The flock size was started by 367 yearling ewes, all joined for first time in September, 2006 with 30 fertile rams in mating groups. According to the first meeting result, the ewes divided into two batches. First batch including the pregnant ewes and other including non pregnant ewes. Productive traits studied were lambing %, weaning %, twinning %, mortality % from birth to weaning%, number of ewe joined, number of ewe lambing, number of lambs born, number of lambs weaned and replacement rate of yearling ewes. Financial indicators considered for each production cycle were gross output of flock product (lambs sold, wool, manure and culling animals) and gross margin. Input/output data of both batch's productivity and financial were analyzed at each phase corresponded to the characterization of the each batch flock. The results showed that The overall average of lambing %, weaning %, twinning % and mortality from birth to weaning% were 86%, 98%, 5% and 9%, respectively. The differences of gross margin ranged between -32625 LE in first cycle to 21748 LE in third cycle. The gross output of lambs, wool, manure and culling animals in first production cycle was about 87%, 2%, 11% and 0%, respectively of the total gross output. While, in second production cycle was 71%, 2%, 9% and 18%, respectively and in third production cycle was 67%, 2%, 11% and 21%, respectively. It could be concluded that productive studied traits were better than investigated by other research paper, except that weaning %, which led to less sold lambs, which effected on financial return. Finally, could be investigated that intensification Barki sheep production system in Matrouh governorate was not satisfied income, because the gross margin contributed -23%, 8% and 12% for three studied production cycles, respectively.*

**Keywords:** *Sheep performance, dynamic model, North Western Coastal Zone and economic*

### **INTRODUCTION**

Barki sheep production system in Matrouh governorate is particularly extensive to the combination of production, reproduction and sales distribution differences (Aboul Naga *et al.*, 1985). Up till now, the intensive Barki sheep production systems were

---

*Issued by The Egyptian Society of Animal Production*

not evaluated practically in Matrouh governorate of Egypt. In 2006, the Desert Research Center established intensive Barki sheep flock in Matrouh governorate. Therefore, the intensive management system could be a great assist increase lambing replacement rate. The intensification allows accelerated lambing patterns such as the three lambings in two years system (Cournut and Dedieu, 2004). The objective of this paper were productive and financial evaluation of Barki sheep intensive system and introducing a dynamic flock model (DFM), which study the complex replacement management system of three lambings in two years.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Flock management and Data collection:*

The Egyptian Barki sheep flock was established in year 2006 at Sustainable Development Center for Matrouh Resources, Desert Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. This center is located in Marsa Matrouh city, Matrouh governorate, longitudes 30° 57' E and 30° 41' E and latitudes 29° 55' N and 29° 25' N. Animals were housed in semi open shaded pens. Feeds consisted mainly of concentrate feed mixture plus berseem hay (*Trifolium alexantrinum*) and rice or wheat straw all the round of the year. The concentrate feed mixture consisted of cottonseed cake, maize, wheat or rice bran, calcium carbonate, and sodium chloride. The average crude protein is 14 %. This mixture was fed once a day and water was available twice daily around noon after feeding and in late afternoon. Manure was collected each 4 month from the ewes' pens. The amount of the manure of the pens divided on number of ewes within each pens. Ewes were shearing once a year, the wool of each animal was weighted and collecting in one crop to sell. Weaning male lambs were directly soled, while weaning female lambs kept at one year old to use them as replacement with culling ewes. The data for three production cycles were collected during 2006-2009. The flock size was started by 367 yearling ewes, all joined for first time in September, 2006 with 30 fertile rams in matting groups. Rams were selected according to its own phenotypic performance related to breed characteristics. According to the first meeting result, the ewes divided into two batches (B1 and B2). First batch including the pregnant ewes and other including non pregnant ewes. Three mating seasons are organized yearly; each season concerns one of the two batches. In a given year, a batch is mated in January and September, the other in May and vice versa in the next years. A mating period length is 34 days which elapsed for every mating in the whole flock. Suckling lasts for two months. At each production period, ewe lambs are mated for first time at 12 month old depending on live body weight (at least 30 kg). At the end of a lambing season, the non-lambing ewes change batch. Ewes with health problems (e.g., mastitis, and non-milking teats) were culled from flock.

### *Productive traits:*

Productivity was recorded for each batch production cycle. Productive traits were number of ewe joined, number of ewe lambing, number of lambs born, number of lambs weaned and replacement rate of yearling ewes. In addition to calculated the following percentages traits:

Lambing % = (number of ewe lambed/ number of ewes joined)\*100.

Weaning % = (number of lambing weaned/ number of ewe lambed)\*100.

Twining% = [(No. of lambs born – No. of ewe lambing)/No. of ewe lambing]\*100.

Mortality % = [(No. of lambs born – No. of lambs weaned)/ No. of lambs weaned]\*100.

#### **Financial indicators and analysis:**

Financial indicators were gross output of flock products (lambs sold, wool, manure and culling animals) and gross margin. Financial analysis was done for each batch production cycle in Egyptian pound (LE) (One USA\$ = 5.57 LE in October 2008) according to the following equations:

Variable cost = feeding cost + labor cost

Feeding cost = feeding price/head/day \*No. of ewes of both batch\*120 days.

Labor cost = labor salary per day (15 LE) \* 4 labor for both batch \*120 days.

Gross output = sold return of lambs + wool + manure + culling animals.

Gross margin = gross output – variable cost.

Financial analysis did not include replacement of rams due to equal prices between culling rams with replacement rams. Also, the financial analysis was not included the fixed cost (i.e. animal purchase prices, buildings and administration). According to no record for veterinary services, the variable cost was not including veterinary cost. The input data and its official prices in LE were present in Table 1. These prices were obtained from the official Desert Research Center price list of animal and poultry products at 2006.

#### **Model analysis:**

Excel (2003) software was used to analyze the input/output data, which collected during 2006-2009. The four input variables (fertility, ewe lambing, lambs born and ewe replacement) of the model were assumed to be deterministic according to Wang and Dickerson (1991) and Blackburn and Cartwright (1987).

**Table 1. Input data and its prices in LE (farm gate prices at 2006)**

Item	Unit	Average production (head)	Prices/ unit/LE
Weaned lambs	Kg.	20	25
Culled ewes	Kg.	35	15
Grease fleece weight	Kg.	2.5	2.5
Manure / head / year	m <sup>3</sup>	3	40

#### **Characterization of dynamic flock model (DFM) of batch production cycle (BPC):**

A conceptual of DFM of batch production cycle (BPC) is shown in diagram (1) with the time scale attached. The model covers a 3 year period (production cycles of three lambing in two years). The model was designed with 4 months as a time lag between the 2 batches. This means that the program was run over 3 years plus 4 months. A BPC is a part of DFM and defined as the aggregation of ewe production cycles around the same reproduction period. It corresponds to a combination of events linked to the management and biological processes that are characterized in relation to the production objective associated with it. It starts with the constitution of the mating batch and the introduction of the rams and ends when all the ewes get dry. This will be translated into the computer dynamic model by the allocation of a particular linkage of BPC to each batch and the definition of deadline dates for the end of drying off. The model constraints defined by the length of the unproductive



% was 5% (the range 2-7%). This range was nearest to 2-10%, which obtained by Ahmed (1990) in study on commercial flock. While, the overall average of mortality % from birth to weaning was 9% (the range was 6-14%), which higher than the range of 6-23%, which obtained in the same study of Ahmed (1990).

**Table 2. Productive traits**

Batches	Mating season	Crop	Lambing (%)	Weaning (%)	Twinning (%)	Mortality from birth to weaning (%)
<b>1<sup>st</sup> cycle of the two studied batches</b>						
B1	Sept. 2006	1	84	93	4	11
B2	Jan. 2007	2	70	113	2	9
B1	May 2007	3	87	98	4	6
Average			80	102	3	9
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> cycle of the two studied batches</b>						
B2	Sept. 2007	4	91	100	7	7
B1	Jan. 2008	5	91	99	6	7
B2	May 2008	6	91	96	3	8
Average			91	98	5	7
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> cycle of the two studied batches</b>						
B1	Sept 2008	7	89	96	7	12
B2	Jan. 2009	8	83	95	6	11
B1	May. 2009	9	87	93	4	14
Average			86	95	6	12
Overall average			86	98	5	9

Values rounded to the nearest integer.

#### **Dynamic Flock model:**

The DFM model output for productivity is shown in table (3). The fluctuations of the sizes of the two batches (B1 and B2) takes place as ewes are transferred back and forth, together with information on the lambing. Ewes have been removed from any of the two batches on their date of death. The total number of lambs sold over the 3 year of study as the time of first mating is changed. The model has been found to be remarkably stable system over the 3 studies years. Minimum levels of production are approximately 5 % below maximum level. This is so despite the fact that detailed results for lamb sold in each batch showed little variation with changes of mating season. The number of lambs weaned also showed little variation between different mating seasons. The return value of sold lambs does not take seasonal fluctuations in lamb prices, which reflect normal supply and demand factors operative in North Western Coastal Zone environment.

#### **Financial return:**

The financial return of the three studied production cycles is presented in table (4). The model results showed that, these three cycles gave different gross output, which led to different gross margin. The differences of gross margin ranged between -32625 LE in first cycle to 21748 LE in third cycle. The gross output of lambs, wool, manure and culling animals in first production cycle was about 87%, 2%, 11% and 0%, respectively of the total gross output. While, in second production cycle was

71%, 2%, 9% and 18%, respectively and in third production cycle was 67%, 2%, 11% and 21% respectively. The results showed that the gross output of lambs sold was decreased from production cycle to another. While, gross output of wool was constant from production cycle to another. In contrast, the culling animals with increased from production cycle to another.

**Table 3. Dynamic flock model output of productivity**

Batches	Mating season	Crop	Number of.					Replacement Rate of yearling ewes	
			ewes joined	Ewes lambing	Ewes barren	Lambs born	lambs weaned		
<b>1<sup>st</sup> cycle of the two studied batches</b>									
B1	Sept. 2006	1	367	310	57	322	290	0 out - 0 in	
B2	Jan. 2007	2	57	40	17	49	45	0 out - 0 in	
B1	May 2007	3	327	290	37	303	285	0 out - 0 in	
Total							620		
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> cycle of the two studied batches</b>									
B2	Sept. 2007	4	77	70	7	75	70	0 out- 0 in	
B1	Jan. 2008	5	297	270	27	285	266	0 out-0 in	
B2	May 2008	6	160	146	14	151	140	20 out-30 in	
Total							476		
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> cycle of the two studied batches</b>									
B1	Sept 2008	7	328	293	35	313	280	20 out - 30 in	
B2	Jan. 2009	8	240	199	41	210	190	20 out - 30 in	
B1	May.2009	9	367	318	49	330	295	20 out - 30 in	
Total							585		
Total of 9 crops							1681		
Average per season							186		

**Table 4. Financial analysis for three studies cycles.**

Batch	Mating season	Batch size	Variable Cost (LE)		Gross output (LE)				Gross Margin (LE)
			Feeding	Labor	Weaned lambs	Wool	Manure	Culling ewes	
<b>1<sup>st</sup> cycle of the two studied batches</b>									
B1	Sept.	367	44040	3600	-----	---	---	--	
B2	Jan.	57	44040	3600	-----	---	6000	--	
B1	May	327	44040	3600	96000	2295	6000	--	
Total / cycle (LE)			142920		110295				- 32625
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> cycle of the two studied batches</b>									
B2	Sept.	77	68000	3600	51000	---	7230	--	
B1	Jan.	297	85521	3600	49000	---	8625	15250	
B2	May	160	40810	3600	57400	3369	4200	25050	
Total / cycle (LE)			205131		221124				15993
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> cycle of the two studied batches</b>									
B1	Sept.	328	55100	3600	58000	---	9030	10550	
B2	Jan.	240	59565	3600	33600	----	7300	11350	
B1	May	367	55880	3600	43600	3559	6000	20050	
Total / cycle (LE)			181345		203093				21748

## CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that, this is a very simple DFM and clearly could be much improved if more detailed information were available on the inputs relating to reproductive and productive performances. Productive traits were better than investigated by other authors, except that weaning %, which led to less sold lambs, which effected on financial return. Finally, could be investigated that intensification Barki sheep production system in Matrouh was not satisfied income, because the gross margin contributed -23%, 8% and 12% for three studied production cycles, respectively.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Sincere gratitude and appreciation are to Dr. M. F. A. Farid, Emeritus Professor of Animal Nutrition, Desert Research Center, for his propose the idea and design of the diagram (1) of this paper.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Aboul Naga, A.M.,H. Mansour and E.A. Afifi, 1985. Genetic aspects of reproductive performance in two local fat-tail breeds of sheep. *Egypt. J. Genet. Cyt.*, 14:11-20.
- Ahmed A. M. 1990. Productive efficiency of sheep raised in newly reclaimed lands. M. Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.
- Blackburn, H.D. and T.C. Cartwright, 1987. Simulated production and biological efficiency of sheep flocks in shifting environment. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 65:399-408.
- Cournut S. and B. Dedieu, 2004. A Discrete event simulator of " three lambing in two years" intensive lamb production system. EAAP annul mating, 28 August-4 September, 2004, Blad, Slowvenia.
- Wang C.T. and E. Dickerson, 1991. Simulated effects of reproductive performance on life-cycle efficiency of lamb and wool production at three lambing intervals. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 69:4338-4347.
- Younis A.A., 1996. Improving performance of Barki sheep through selection and crossing with other breeds. Preceding of a workshop on the development of animal production in the desert and newly reclaimed areas, Desert Research center, Cairo, Egypt, pp 1-6.

## التقييم الإنتاجي والمالي لمنظومة الإنتاج المكثف من الأغنام البرقي في محافظة مطروح، مصر

سمير محمد الشيخ

قسم تربية الحيوان والدواجن، مركز بحوث الصحراء، المطرية، القاهرة.

يتم عرض نموذج قطيع ديناميكي (DFM) لمنظومة تكثيف إنتاج الأغنام البرقي في محافظة مطروح. يهتم هذا النموذج بدراسة منظومة الثلاث ولادت للنعجة في عامين والكفاءة الانتاجية والعائد المالي. وقد تم تجميع بيانات عن ثلاث دورات انتاجية خلال الفترة ٢٠٠٦-٢٠٠٩ من قطيع الاغنام البرقي التابع لمركز التنمية المستدامة لموارد مطروح، مركز بحوث الصحراء، وزارة الزراعة. بدء القطيع بعدد ٣٦٧ حولية. جميع هذه الحوليات تم تلقيحها لأول مرة في سبتمبر ٢٠٠٦ بواسطة ٣٠ كبش في مجموعات. وبناء على نتائج موسم التلقيح الاول تم تقسيم النعاج الى مجموعتين. المجموعة الاولى تحتوى على النعاج العشار والآخرى تحتوى على النعاج الغير عشار. كانت الصفات الانتاجية المدروسة هي نسبة الولادات و نسبة الفطام ونسبة التوائم ونسبة النفوق من الميلاد الى الفطام و عدد النعاج الملقحة وعدد النعاج الولدة وعدد الحملان المولودة وعدد الحملان المفطومة ونسبة الاحلال. ايضا كانت المؤشرات المالية لكل دورة انتاجية هي العائد الكلي لمنتجات القطيع (الحملان المباعة، الصوف و الروث و الحيوانات المستبعدة). تم تحليل المدخلات / المخرجات انتاجيا وماليا في كل مرحلة انتاجية داخل المجموعتين في القطيع.

وقد أظهرت النتائج أن نسبة الولادات ونسبة الفطام ونسبة التوائم ونسبة النفوق من الميلاد حتى الفطام هي ٨٦%، ٩٨%، ٥%، ٩% على الترتيب. الاختلافات في صافي العائد تتراوح بين -٣٢٦٢٥ جنيه في الدورة الأولى إلى ٢١٧٤٨ جنيه في الدورة الثالثة. وكان العائد من الحملان والصوف والسماذ والحيوانات المستبعدة في دورة الإنتاج الأولى هي ٨٧%، ٢%، ١١% و ٠% على الترتيب من العائد الكلي. بينما، في دورة الإنتاج الثانية كان ٧١%، ٢%، ٩%، ١٨% على الترتيب. في دورة الإنتاج الثالثة كان ٦٧%، ٢%، ١١%، ٢١% على الترتيب.

ويمكن أستخلص أن الصفات الانتاجية المدروسة كانت افضل من المتحصل عليها من ابحاث اخرى، باستثناء نسبة الفطام والتي ادت الى بيع حملان أقل والذي اثر على العائد المالي. اخبر يمكن ان نوضح ان تكثيف الإنتاج من الأغنام البرقي في مطروح ليس ذو عائد مرضى، وذلك لأن صافي العائد كان سالبا او قليل ويمثل - ٢٣%، ٨%، ١٢% لثلاثة دورات الإنتاج التي تمت دراستها، على التوالي.