IMPACT OF IRRIGATION INTERVALS, PLANTING TIME AND DENSITY ON HIBISCUS YIELD

Mansour H. El-Bakhshwan¹ and Hamed M. El-Kouny²

ABSTRACT

Field experiment was carried out at Tractors and Farm Machinery Testing &Research Station, Alex. Governorate, Egypt, during the summer season of 2017, to study the impact of planting time, plant density and irrigation intervals on the hibiscus yield in terms of plant height, number of branches, number of flowers, flowers weight, seeds weight and flower hay weight. A split-plot experiment was carried out in the field based on a randomized complete block design with three replications. This work was accomplished by designing 54 experimental units. The main plot was planting time (1 June T₁ and 2 July T₂) and the sub-plot was three irrigation intervals [(I₁) every week, (4000 m3/fed) according to the Desert Research Center (**2010**), (I₂) every two weeks, (2000 m3/fed) and (I₃) every three weeks (1000 m3/fed)] and three plant densities,[D₁, 20 cm (16000 plants/fed), D₂, 40 cm (10000 plants/fed) and D₃, 60 cm (6670 plants/fed)).

The results showed that the delay in sowing from June 1 to July 2 decreased fruit number and number of branches per plant significantly, the highest values were 74.2 and 13.2 for the treatment $T_1D_2I_3$, respectively and the lowest values were 34.6 and 4.1 for the treatment $T_2D_1I_1$ respectively. Also, all the values of the crop characteristics such as the flowers weight and the seeds weight were decreased when the planting time was delayed where the highest values were 337.8 and 850 kg/fed resulted from the treatment $T_1D_2I_3$, respectively while the lowest values were 254 and 647.5kg/fed resulted from the treatments $T_2D_1I_1$, respectively. The plant height was significantly affected by the planting time. The earlier it was the higher the value, of plant height. The highest value 206.5 cm for the treatment $T_1D_1I_1$, and the lowest was 121.3 cm for the treatment $T_2D_3I_3$.

1- Agricultural Engineering Research Institute, Agriculture Research Centre, Egypt.

²⁻ Soil water and environment Research Institute, Agriculture Research Centre, Egypt.

Generally the early planting time with all irrigation intervals and plant densities showed significant effect on the crop characteristics, where the highest values resulted from the treatment $T_1D_2I_3$, while the lowest values were obtained form the treatment $T_2D_1I_1$, in terms of flowers, the number of branches, the weight of flowers and the weight of seeds, while the highest value for plant height was for the treatment $T_1D_1I_1$ and the lowest value was for the treatment $T_2D_3I_3$. The treatment $T_1D_2I_3$ showed the highest value for the water use efficiency 0.34, and the lowest value was 0.06 for the treatment $T_2D_1I_1$.

The objectives of this work were to study the possibility of the hibiscus (Roselle) cultivation in the lower part of Egypt and the effect of irrigation level, plant density and sowing date on its yield and some morphophysiological traits.

Key words: Roselle, sowing date, plant density, yield, yield components.

INTRODUCTION

In the ibiscus (Roselle) is grown to obtain the red caterpillars, or the fibres or double purposes. The fibres are used in the cords manufacture, as well as the seeds are used to produce oil and the residues are for animal feed or fertilization. Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*. L) belongs to the Malvaceae family, and is an annual or biennial plant cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions for its stem fibres, edible calyces, leaves and seeds. Cultivation of the crop has been reported throughout the Indian sub- continents, part of Asia, America, Australia, and throughout Africa (**Cobley**, 1968). (**Rao**, 1996) reported that the Roselle plant is grown in some regions for fibre and pulp obtained from its stem. Close to 17% of edible oil is extractable from the seed, which is also used as poultry feed.

Egypt is considered as the country in which Roselle originated (El–Sayed et al. 1998; FAO, 2004; Leung and Foster, 1996; Ismail et al. 2008). However, research on the effect of irrigation mainly water deficit technique on postharvest quality of Roselle and its growth development is still scarce. Proper practices of irrigation management and the cultivation of drought-resistant crops are some effective techniques for improving the utilization of the limited water resources in these regions. Roselle

(Hibiscus sabdariffa) belongs to the family of Malvaceae and is a drought-adapted crop (El-Boraie et al., 2009). Water deficit techniques such as regulated deficit irrigation (RDI), withholding irrigation (WHI) and partial rootzone drying (PRD) have been widely implemented in various countries mainly to improve water use efficiency and growth performance of various crops. Hibiscus plants are affected by different irrigation quantities, and the periods of irrigation, where the large quantities of water push the plant to grow vegetative and produce long fiber and vice versa. Babatunde and Mofoke (2006) reported that the influence of irrigation schedule on the yield of roselle measured with respect to fresh calyx weight was highly significant. El- Boraie et al (2009) found that the shortest plants were produced due to the heaviest plant densities and irrigation water schedule (When using water quantity at water level 80%), also the number of flowers for hibiscus were increased. The effect of highest irrigation level and early sowing date on plant height, stem diameter and branch number was not significant and Chlorophyll index decreased with the increase in irrigation level (Seghatoleslami et al, 2013). Naimah et al (2013) reported that water deficit treatments showed a significant effect on fresh weight of roselle calyx. The 20% regulated deficit irrigation RDI (860mL water per plant per day) increased yield and maintained plant growth without adversely affecting calyx quality. The 20% RDI also saved 20% irrigation water and increased irrigation use efficienc. Shubhra et al., (2004) found that plant height and the number of flowers per plant were considerably decreased under drought stress conditions. Daneshmand et al. (2008) studied the effect of irrigation treatments on stomatal conductance and leaf chlorophyll content in rape and reported that stomatal conductance and chlorophyll content decreased as the moisture content of the soil was decreased. Choosing an appropriate sowing date for a crop is one of the most important factors in its production when it is cultivated for the first time in a region. Appropriate sowing date of a crop is a date when the plants can well establish and their susceptible growth stages do not coincide with adverse environmental conditions. Roselle planting date Performed to get the best productivity of crops and the optimal economic benefits (Naeve et al., 2004). Barzgaran (2010) founded that the delay in sowing for the roselle from May 10 to June 20 lead to the loss of flower number per m2, flower fresh yield flower dry yield and biological yield. Wrong sowing date brings about the loss of yield by influencing some physiological attributes. The delayed sowing date decreased chlorophyll content of rice (ElKhoby, 2004). In a study on the effect of fives sowing dates (April 19, April 30, May 10, May 20 and May 31) on rice, where the temperature of day and night and intensity of light affected the flower inductions and yield production (Naeve et al. 2004). Late planting date has a direct impact on the crop vegetative growth and reproduction (Board et al. 1992, 1999; Boquet, 1990; Kantolic and Slafer, 2001). Bremner (1996) concluded that the dry weight of sesame plant decreased as the sowing date was delayed. El Sherif, F. and S. Khattab (2012) reported that the early planting of rosella is the suitable time to produce the highest growth and yield. Ado. G. et al (2015) indicated that early planting of Roselle using 1plant/stand resulted in higher number of leaves and more fruits/plant as well as improve In total calyx yield. It is known that the plant vegetative characteristics have a direct relation with agricultural practices such as plant densities, which mostly affected the vegetative growth and then the yield. (Khater and Ahmed 1992) the plant height was increased by decreasing planting distances.

The study at finding out the effect of Seed Density and Planting Date on yield and growth of Roselle Hibiscus safdariffa (**Shubhra,et al2004**) studied the effect of sowing date and plant density on yield and agronomical traits of roselle, it was reported that the delay in sowing significantly decreased sepal weight, also, they found that the highest yield was obtained from planting on one side ridge and 50 cm spacing between plants.(**Shalaby and Rasin 1989**) found that the widest spacing produced the greatest number of fruits per plant. High productive potentials has been reported for Roselle grown under rain fed, through various agronomic practices such as weeding and spacing (**Babatunde and Zechariah, 2001**); intercropping, sowing dates, intrarow spacing and nitrogen fertilizer (**Babatunde, 2003**).

(Seyyed Gholam and Reza Moosavi 2011) reported that delayed sowing of roselle significantly decreased its economical yield (sepal

yield) through shortening the vegetative growth period and branching potential and decreasing the fruit number per unit area on the one hand, while it encountered plants with autumn chilling and decreased or stopped fruit and sepal growth on the other hand. In addition, at higher densities, fierce shading decreased.

The objectives of this study were to determine the appropriate Date of planting Roselle for better Performance. • To verify irrigation intervals and the suitable seed density for the improved growth of Roselle,

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental sites:

Field experiment was carried out during the summer season of 2017 at Tractors and Farm Machinery Testing and Research Station at Sabahia, Alexandria Governorate, Egypt, to study the effect of irrigation intervals, planting time and density on hibiscus yield, as well as some physicochemical properties of soil.

Soil description:

Soil samples were collected and analyzed to determine some physical and chemical characteristics of the investigated soil according to the standard methods outlined by **Black et. al. (1965), Jackson (1967)** and **Page et al.** (1982). Total nitrogen(T–N) and available(Av–N) were extracted and determined according to the methods under taken by **Jackson (1973).** Data are represented in Table 1.

Chemical analysis of water:

The chemical analysis of water was carried out and analyzed in Saline and Alkaline Research Lab Alexandria.

Planting treatments and experimental design

The experiment was performed in split split- plot system in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates. The field was divided to 54 plots. The main and sub main treatments were as follows:

The main plot is planting times at (1 June T_1 and 2 July T_2) and the subplot had three irrigation intervals [(I₁) every week, (4000 m³/fed) according to the Desert Research Center (2010) , (I₂) every two weeks, (2000 m³/fed) and (I₃) every three weeks (1000 m³/fed)] and three plant densities,[D₁, 25 cm (16000 plants/fed), D₂, 40 cm (10000 plants/fed)

and D_3 , 60 cm (6670 plants/fed)) (25 – 40 and 60 cm the distance between the plants in the row, respectively)].

Table (1). Some	physical and	chemical	properties of	the tested soil.
	pitysical and	ununua	properties of	me usuu som

	The values		
	Chemical prop	perties	
	Na ¹	4.80	
Soluble Cations (meq/L)	\mathbf{K}^+	0.10	
	Ca ⁺²	11.50	
	Mg^{+2}	5.66	
	HCO ₃	7.00	
Soluble anions (meg/L)	CL^+	5.50	
	SO ⁻² ₄	9.66	
Total Carbonat % (CaCo ₃)		4.85	
Cation exhangable capacity ((CEC) meg/100 soil	9.55	
	Physical pro	operties	
Total porosity, (T.P) %		51.25	
Hydraulic Conductively (HC	c) (cm/h)	01.75	
Available water, (Av.W) %	17.95		
r.	Sand clay loam		
	Sand	44.20	
Particle size distribution, %	Loam	26.10	
	Clay	29.70	

Table(2): Irrigation water chemical analysis

	Cati	ons meg	/1		A	nions me	g/l	
Ecdsm	\mathbf{P}^{H}	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg^{++}	Na ⁺	\mathbf{K}^+	CO3	HCO	Cl
1								
1.20	7.95	0.90	0.25	0.15	8.00	0.00	0.30	0.8

Hibiscus seeds were sown in the soil in row 10.0 m length and 0.1 m apart, the spacing. The seeds were soaked in water for 24 hours and then placed as two seeds in each hole (Seeds were sown in holes at space of (0.25 - 0.40 - 0.60 m) in between, Thinning process to one plant per hole was performed 30 days after sowing. The total area of the experiment was about 210 m², divided into 54 plots; each plot area was (3.0 m x1.0 m). Plants were irrigated by flooding irrigation. The plants were harvested in the first November and its growth parameters data were recorded: plant height, number of main branches per plant, number of umbels per plant, weight of seeds and production of flowers (yield of flowers).

Crops planting:

The hibiscus seeds were sown at a seed rate of about 7.5 kg per feddan.

Data collection:

The plant growth parameters measured were as follows:

- a) Number of branch per plant:
- b) Plant height:
- c) Weight of seeds
- d) Yield of flowers
- e) Weight of straw flowers

Five plants were taken at random from each replicate

Water use efficiency (WUE):

Water use efficiency is the measure of a cropping system's capacity to convert water into plant biomass or grains. Water use efficiency (WUE) was determined according to **Michael (1978)** by using the following equation,

Water use efficiency = Crop yield, (kg fed⁻¹) / Water applied, (m³ fed⁻¹) **Statistical analysis:**

All data were subjected to the statistical analysis according to **Sedecor** and **Cochran (1980)** to define the least significant difference test (L.S.D. at p=0.05 level)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of irrigation intervals, planting time and density on hibiscus yield

The results generally showed high significant effect of the three treatments: (periods of irrigation, plant densities and sowing date) on all the hibiscus crop characteristics in terms of plant height, number of branches, number of flowers, flower weight, and seed weight when it is planted not only for obtaining flowers and seeds, but also for attaining fibers, flowers and seeds together.

Table (3) showed the effect of irrigation intervals, planting time and density on hibiscus yield, No. of branches/plant, No. of fruits, weight of sepals, weight of seeds and weight of flower hay. The illustrated data showed a progressive significant increase of all treatment with $T_1D_2I_3$ compared with other treatments. The greatest mean value of No. of branches/plant was 13.2 with $(T_1D_2I_3)$ which was planted in 1 June (T_1) and the distance between the plants 40 cm (D2) and a period of irrigation once every three weeks and quantity of water 1000 m³ / fed.(I₃).

	· ·		Plant	No.	No.	weight	Weight	Weight
			height	of	of	of	of	of
Tre	atmer	nts	(cm)	branc	fruits	sepals	seeds	flower
				hes	/plant	kg/fed	kg/fed	hay
				/plant				kg/fed
		I_1	206.5 ^a	8.7 ^b	60.7 ^{dce}	274.8 ^{dce}	693.5 ^h	417 ^g
	\mathbf{D}_1	I_2	196.7 ^b	10 ^b	55 ^{dc}	281.5 ^{dc}	704.2^{fhg}	421.9 ^{fg}
		I_3	195.8 ^{bc}	9.7 ^b	60°	290.3°	735.7 ^e	436.5 ^e
		I_1	180.7 ^{de}	10.9 ^b	57.3 ^{dc}	283 ^{dc}	689.8 ^h	399.4 ^h
Т	D_2	I_2	171.6 ^{fe}	11.8 ^b	69.7 ^{ba}	329.8 ^{ba}	825.7 ^b	496.3 ^b
1		I ₃	156.3 ^{hg}	13.2ª	74.2ª	337.8ª	850 ^a	511.8 ^a
		I_1	145.3 ^g	11.9 ^b	63.3 ^{dc}	277.2 ^{dc}	699.3 ^{hg}	423.2 ^{fg}
	D_3	I_2	129.3 ^{kg}	12 ^{ab}	67b ^a	296.2 ^{ba}	770.7 ^d	451.2 ^d
		I ₃	135.3 ^g	12.5 ^b	71.5°	314 ^b	793.3°	478.6 ^c
		I_1	186.3 ^{dc}	4.1 ^b	34.6 ^{fe}	254.8 ^{fe}	647.5 ⁱ	386.5 ⁱ
	D_1	I_2	171.3 ^f	5.1 ^b	38.3 ^{dce}	273.4 ^{dce}	692.1 ^h	415.5 ^g
		I ₃	170 ^f	4.9 ^b	39.8 ^{dce}	273.8 ^{dce}	688.2 ^h	412.1 ^g
		I_1	167.7 ^f	4.8 ^b	38.3 ^{dce}	274.2 ^{dce}	692.2 ^h	415 ^g
Т	D_2	I_2	151.1 ^{hgi}	5.4 ^b	41 ^c	287.7°	716.7 ^{feg}	432.3 ^{fe}
2		I ₃	148.1 ^{hgi}	6.3 ^b	42.1°	291°	720.8 ^{fe}	435 ^e
		I_1	134 ^g	7.1 ^b	40.2 ^{dce}	274.8 ^{dce}	695.7 ^h	272.9 ^k
	D_3	I_2	127.1 ^{kg}	7.1 ^b	44.7 ^{dc}	283.3 ^{dc}	703.1 ^{fhg}	421.4 ^{fg}
		I ₃	121.3 ^k	6.2 ^b	42.2 ^{dc}	280.5 ^{dc}	703.6 ^{fhg}	423.2 ^{fg}
LSI	D at 0	.05	9.85	7.39	19.35	20.559	20.009	11.698

 Table (3): Effect of irrigation intervals, planting time and density on

 hibiscus yield

Where:

 $T_{1:}$ first planting time at 1 June

T₂: second planting time at 2 July

D1: density of plants (16000plant/fed) at 25cm between plants

D₂: density of plants (10000plant/fed) at 40cm between plants

D₃: density of plants (6670plant/fed) at 60cm between plants

I1: first irrigation intervals every week, (4000 m³/fed)

I₂: second irrigation intervals every two weeks, (2000 m³/fed)

I₃: third irrigation intervals every three weeks (1000 m³/fed)]

The greatest mean value for: number of flowers, flower weight, and seed weight 74.2, 337.8, and 850 respectively with $(T_1D_2I_3)$. The greatest mean value of plant height (cm) was 206.5 resulted from the treatment $(T_1D_1I_1)$,

while lowest value was 121.3 for the treatment $(T_2D_3I_3)$. The positive response of irrigation intervals, planting time and density on hibiscus yield was displayed by many authors such as Shubhra et al.(2004), El Khoby(2004), Board et al. (1992),(1999), Kantolic and Slafer(2001),and Barzgaran T (2010).

Effect of planting dates on the characteristics of hibiscus:

Table 3 shows that all plant characteristics (plant height, number of branches, number of flowers, flower weight and seed weight) decreased with July planting time compared to June one which agreed with Naeve et al. (2004). Planting date affects the day and night temperature, day light intensity and photoperiods which affect the flower inductions and yield production.

ie	leid means (kg/led) of Hibiscus.							
	Planting dates	Irrigation intervals						
		One week	Two week	Three week	Maana			
		I1	I2	I3	Means			
	June	278.3	302.2	314.0	298.2			
	July	267.9	281.5	281.8	277.1			
ſ	Means	273.1	291.9	297.9	287.6			

Table (4) Interactive effect of irrigation and planting date on dry flower yield means (kg/fed) of Hibiscus:

Flower dry yield was significantly affected by irrigation levels (Table 4). The maximum flower dry yield was produced under the treatment at June of irrigation to (I3) was 314, as well as the lowest one was produced under the treatment at July of irrigation to I1 was 267.9.

Table (5) Interactive effect of irrigation and planting date on weight of seeds means (kg/fed) of Hibiscus:

	Irrigation intervals				
Planting dates	One week	Two week	Three week	Moone	
	I1	I2	I3	Means	
June	694.2	766.9	793	751.4	
July	678.5	704	704.2	695.6	
Means	686.4	735.5	748.6	723.5	

Table (5) showed the maximum weight of seeds value was 793 for the treatment I3 which planting date on June month, while the lowest value was 678.5 for the treatment at July I1.

	Irrigation intervals				
Planting dates	One week	eek Two week Three week		M	
	I1	I2	I3	Means	
June	10.5	11.3	11.8	11.2	
July	5.3	5.9	5.8	5.7	
Means	7.9	8.6	8.8	8.4	

Table (6) Interactive effect of irrigation and planting date on No. of branches /plant means of Hibiscus:

Data at table (6) showed the values maximum for No. of branches /plant which planting date on June for the treatment I3 was 11.8 and the lowest value was 5.3 for the treatment at July I1.

Table (7) Interactive effect of irrigation and planting date on plant height (cm) means of Hibiscus:

	Irrigation intervals				
Planting dates	One week	Two week	Three week	Means	
	I1	I2	I3	Wealls	
June	177.5	165.9	162.5	168.6	
July	162.7	149.8	146.5	153	
Means	170.1	157.9	154.5	160.8	

Result at table (7) showed the highest values for the plant height 177.5 cm with planting date on June for the treatment I_1 , while the lowest value was 146.5 cm for the treatment I_3 with planting date on July. This conforms to the general results for most agricultural crops as reported by Vaux and Pruitt (1983) and Stegman *et* al (1980 -1983).

Effect of plant densities on the characteristics of hibiscus:

The results showed that agriculture at small distances tends to increase the length of the plant and therefore tends to produce more fibers. The most appropriate cultivation was at a distance of 40 cm, which obtained the highest productivity of flowers and seeds. This result conforms with Lazim(1973) and by El Naim and Jabereldar (2010) and El Naim et al. (2010a) who stated that an increase in planting population markedly would increase plant height. Difference in plant height was reported by El Naim and Ahmed, (2010b)

Water use efficiency (W.U.E):

DIE (0):	e (o): Average values of water use efficiency work.							
]	Freatme	nt	Yield kg/Fed	Water	WUE			
			_	irrigation,	kg/m ³			
				m ³ /Fed	_			
T1	D1	I1	274.8	4000	0.07			
		I2	281.5	2000	0.14			
		I3	290.3	1000	0.29			
	D2	I1	283	4000	0.07			
		I2	329.8	2000	0.17			
		I3	337.8	1000	0.34			
	D3	I1	277.2	4000	0.07			
		I2	296.2	2000	0.15			
		I3	314	1000	0.31			
T2	D1	I1	254.8	4000	0.06			
		I2	273.4	2000	0.14			
		I3	273.8	1000	0.27			
	D2	I1	274.2	4000	0.07			
		I2	287.7	2000	0.14			
		I3	291	1000	0.29			
	D3	I1	274.8	4000	0.07			
		I2	283.3	2000	0.14			
		I3	280.5	1000	0.28			

Table (8): Average values of water use efficiency WUE.

The highest water use efficiency was 0.34 kg/m^3 gained from the treatment (T₁D₂I₃) while the lowest WUE was 0.06kg/m^3 for T2D111. It is logically known that the WUE increases when the amount of applied water decreases, but the crop yield may be decreased. Inspecting all the efficiency results of irrigation with the crop yields, it was found that WUE of 0.34 kg/m^3 corresponded to the yield 337.8 kg/Fed.

CONCLUSION

Hibiscus plant was selected to study the effects of planting date, density, and irrigation on the results of the experiment. It is recommended that planting rosella plant at first June, and at distances of 40 cm between the plants and at intervals of irrigation diverged, was the most suitable to produce the highest growth and yield of plant.

In case of hibiscus cultivation to obtain flowers, irrigation should be extended irrigation to two or three weeks and in quantities of water not exceeding 2000 m^3 / fed at distances not less than 40 cm between plants.

In case of hibiscus cultivation to obtain fiber, the irrigation should be approximately at one week intervals and in quantities of water not less than 2000 m^3 / fed and at distances not exceeding 25 cm between plants .

<u>REFERENCES</u>

- Ado. G.,Indabawa, and Sani K.D.(2015): Effect of Planting Date and Seed Density on the Growth and Yield of Roselle Hibiscus. International Conference on Chemical, Environmental and Biological Sciences (CEBS-2015) March 18-19, 2015 Dubai (UAE)
- Babatunde, F.E. and B. Zachariah, 2001. Effect of spacing and weeding regimes on the Productivity of Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa. L). Advances in Horticultural Science. 14: 147-151.
- Babatunde, F.E., 2003. Intercrop productivity of roselle in Nigeria. Afr. Crop Sci. J., 11: 1-6.
- Babatunde, F.E., and A.L.E., Mofoke(2006): Performance of Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L) as Influenced by Irrigation Schedules. in Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 5 (4): 363-367, 2006
- Barzgaran T (2010). Effects of irrigation and planting date on agroronomic traits and yield of roselle. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Birjand Branch, Birjand, Iran, p. 111.
- Black, C.A., D.D., Easm L. E., inager, J. I.White, and F. E.Clark, (1965). Methods of soil Analysis .Part ll.Agron.ASA and SSSA, Madison, Wl.
- Board ;J.E. Kamal, M.and Harville, B.G.(1992) Temporal importance of greater light interception to increase narrow-row soybean. Agro. J. 1992; J84:575-579.
- Board J; Kang, MS; Harville,(1999) B,G. Path analysis of the yield formation process for late-planted soybean. Agro. J. 1999; 91: 128-135.
- Boquet D.J.(1990) Plant population density and row spacing effects on soybean at post-optimal planting dates. Agro. J.; 8:,59-64.
- Bremner, P. M. and R. W. Radely (1996). Studies in sesame agronomy. 2: The effect of variety and time of planting on growth, development and yield.J.Agri.Sci.66:253-61.
- Cobley, L.S., 1968. An introduction to Botany of Tropical Crops. Longman, London, p: 95-98.
- Daneshmand, A; A. Shiranirad; G. Noormohammadi; G. Zareii and J. Daneshian (2008). Effect of water stress and nitrogen on yield, yield

components and physiological traits of two varieties of canola. J. Sci. and Tech. Agri. and Natu. Res. (abstract in English).15(2): 99-112.

- El-Boraie, F. M., A. M. Gaber and G. Abdel-Rahman (2009). Optimizing irrigation schedule to maximize water use efficiency of Hibiscus sabdariffa under Shalatien conditions. World J. Agri.Sci.5(4): 504-14.
- El-Khoby, W. M. (2004). Study the effect of some cultural practices on rice crop. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac Agric Kafr El-sheikh, Tanta Univ.
- El Naim A, M. and Jabereldar, A. A., 2010. Effect of Plant density and cultivar on growth and yield of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.Walp). Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 4(8): 3148-3153
- El Naim, A. M., Eldoma, M. A. and Abdalla, A. E. 2010b. Effect of weeding frequencies and plant density on vegetative growth characteristic of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) in North Kordofan of Sudan. International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology, 1(3):1188-1193
- El Naim, A. M., El day, E. M. and Ahmed, A. A., 2010a. Effect of plant density on the performance of some sesame (Sesamum indicum L) cultivars under Rain -fed. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, 6(4): 498-504
- El–Sayed H; Kheir, S.T and Atta, M.B.(1998) Some physico–chemical characteristics and fatty acid composition of roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.) seed oil. Assuit J. Agric. Sci. 29: 103–113.
- Fadia El Sherif and Salah Khattab(2012): Effect of seed vernalization temperature, duration and planting date on growth and yield of Hibiscus. International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry 2012, 2(3): 88-91 DOI: 10.5923/j.ijaf.20120203.02
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) Hibiscus (2004): Post-Production Management for Improved Market Access. http://www.fao.org/3/a-av006e.pdf.
- Ismail, A; Emmy, H.K.I. and Halimatul, S. M. N.(2008) "Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.) seeds nutritional composition, protein quality and health benefits." 2: 1-16.

- Jackson ML.(1967). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India, Private Limited; New Delhi, p.115.
- Jackson, M.L.(1967). Soil chemical Analysis . Constable Co, Ltd . Lodon Oxoid Manual of Culture Midia, Ingredients and other laboratory. Services 1965. Oxoid limited, London.
- Jackson, M.L.(1973) Soil chemical analysis prentice-hall of sndian private limited. New Delhi.
- Kantolic, A.G. and Slafer, G.A; (2001). Photoperiod sensitivity after flowering and seed number determination in indeterminate soybean cultivars. Field Crops Res.72, 109-118.
- Khater, M.R. and S.K. Ahmed, (1992). Effect of sowing dates and planting distances on vegetative growth yield and active substances on roselle plant. Agric Res. Cent. Hort. Inst. Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Section, Dokki
- Lazim, M.E., 1973. Population and cultivar effects on growth and yield of sesame under irrigation. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agricultural., University of Khartoum
- Leung Ay and Foster S. (1996).Encyclopediof common natural Ingredient used in food, Drugs and cosmatics 2 th ed. Johan Wiley and Sons, New yourk.
- Michael, A. M. (1978). Irrigation theory and practice. Vikas phb. House PVTLTD New Delhi, Bombay: 360p.
- Naeve, S.L; Potter, B.D; Quiring, S.R. Neill, T.A. and Kurle, J.E.(2004). Influence of soybean plant population and row spacing on development and yield across planting dates in Minnesota. Available at - www.soybeans.umn.edu/pdfs/2004asaposter_1_s pacing planting_screen.pdf (verified 11 Dec. 20 07). Un iv. of M in nesot a, Minneapolis.
- Naimah et al (2013): Quality and Growth Development of Roselle Grown on Bras Soil in Relation to Regulated Deficit Irrigation. J. Anim. Plant Sci. 23(1):2013
- Page, A.L; R.H.Miller and R. Kenney (1982).Methods of soil analysis part 2. Book series No. 9. Am.Soc. of Agron, and soil sci.soc. Am. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Rao, P.U., 1996. Nutrient Composition and Biological Evaluation of Mesta (Hibiscus Sabdariffa. L.) Seeds. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 49: 27-34.
- Seyyed Gholam and Reza Moosavi 2011): The effect of sowing date and plant density on yield and yield components of roselle. Accepted 30 November, 2011 1632 J. Med. Plants Res.
- Sedecor, G. W., and Cochran (1980). Statistical methods, 7 th ed., Ox ford and J. B. H. Publ com.
- Seghatoleslami M., Mousavi S G Barzgaran T. (2013).Effect of irrigation and planting date on morphophysiologicaltratts and yield of roselle (Hbiscus sabdariffa). The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences; 23: 256-260. ISSN: 1018-7081
- Shalaby, A.S. and A.M. Rasin, 1989. Effect of plant spacing on the productivity of Roselle(Hibiscus sabdariffa, L.) grown in newly reclaimed land. National Research Center. Dokki, Cairo, Egypt, Journal of Agronomy and Crop Sciences 162(4): 256-260
- Shubhra, K., J. Dayal, G. L. Goswami and R. Munjal (2004). Effects of sowing date and plant density on seed and flower yield of pot marigold. Acta Hort.826: 371-376.
- Stegman, E.C., J.T. Musick and J.I. Stewart, 1980. Irrigation Water Management. In: "Design and Operation of farm Irrigation Systems". (M. E. Jensen, ed.) ASAE Monograph 3. ASAE. St. Joseph Michigan, 763-801.
- Stegman, E.C., (1983). Irrigation Scheduling: Applied Timing Criteria. In : "Advances In Irrigation". Hillel D. (ed). Vol 2. Academic Press, New York, NY, pp: 1-30.
- Vaux, H. Jr. and W.O. Pruitt, (1983). Crop Water Production Functions. In: "Advances in Irrigation". Hillel, D. (ed). Vol. 2 .Academic press, New York, NY, pp: 61-93.

مراجع عربيه: وزارة الزراعة و استصلاح الأراضى - مركز بحوث الصحراء - إدارة التدريب إعداد دياسر عادل حنفى (باحث بقسم النباتات الطبية والعطرية). تتفاوت النباتات الطبية و العطرية فى إحتياجاتها المائية من :(٤٦٢٥ م٣/ف – ١١٨٠ م٣/ف) نشرت فى ٢٣ يناير

IRRIGATION AND DRAINAGE

٢٠١٠ نباتات طبية ونباتات طبية وعطرية ، البردقوش ، الكزبره ، حبه البركه ، الكركديه ،الكمون ، الكراويه ، الشمر ، الينسون الملخص العربي تأثير فترات الرى ومواعيد وكثافات الزراعه على انتاجيه الكركديه منصور حامد البخشوان حامد مبروك القوني اجريت تجربة حقلية في موسم ٢٠١٧ بمحطة ابحاث واختبارات الجرارات والالات الزراعية. معهد بحوث الهندسة الزراعية-مركز البحوث الزراعية - الاسكندرية بهدف دراسة تاثير فترات الري ومواعيد وكثافات الزراعه على انتاجيه الكركديه . وكان ألهدف العام للتجربه هو دراسة إمكانية زراعة الكركديه في مصر بالوجه البحري تحت تأثير مستويات الري(ريه كل اسبوع – ريه كل اسبو عين – ريه كل ثلاثه اسابيع) وكثافات النبات (مسافه بين النباتات ٢٠ سم – ٤٠ سم – ٦٠سم) وتواريخ البذرفي (١ يونيو – ٢ يوليو) على انتاجيه الكركديه ومكوناته. تم استخدام تصميم القطع المنشقة في ثلاث مكررات وقد تم إنجاز هذا العمل بتصميم قطعة أرض قسمت الى ٤٢ وحدة تجريبية . وصممت كلاتي: (T₂- T₁) يونبو و ٢يوليو (T₂- T₁) I_2 و I_1 و I_1 و I_1 اسبو عين وكل ثلاثه اسابيع I_1 و I_2 و I_2 ا I₃ (٤٠٠٠ و ٢٠٠٠ م ٣ / فدان على التوالي)] $(D_3 \ olimits D_2 \ olimits D_1)$ - ثلاثه كثافات للزر اعه $D_1 \ olimits D_2 \ olimits D_2$ - ثلاثه كثافات للزر اعه $D_2 \ olimits D_2$ - $D_1 \ olimits D_2$

واوضحت النتائج ان التأخير في الزراعه من ا يونيو الى ٢ يوليو قلل من عدد الاز هار وعدد الفروع لكل نبات معنويا وكانت اعلى القيم ٢٤/٢ و ٢٢ للمعامه ($T_1D_2I_3$) على الترتيب . و أقل القيم كانت ٢٤،٦ و ٤،٦ كانت المعامله ($T_2D_1I_1$) على الترتيب . وكانت كل قيم خواص النبات من وزن الزهور ووزن البذور قلت عندما تم التأخير في الزراعه و القيم كانت ٢٥٠٨ النبات من وزن الزهور ووزن البذور قلت عندما تم التأخير في الزراعه و القيم كانت كانت للمعامله ($T_2D_1I_1$) على الترتيب . و أقل القيم كانت ٢٤،٦ و ٢٠٤ كانت المعامله ($T_2D_1I_1$) على الترتيب . و أقل القيم كانت ٢٤،٦ و ٢٠٨ كانت المعامله ($T_1D_2I_3$) على الترتيب في الزراعه و القيم كانت ٢٥٠٨ و من ٢٥٠ كرفنان للمعامله ($T_1D_2I_3$) على الترتيب . و ما ٢٤،٢ كج/فدان المعامله ($T_2D_1I_1$) على الترتيب . و أيضا قل طول النبات عندما تم التأخير في الزراعه و أقل القيم كانت ٢٥٠٤ و ما ٢٤،٢ كج/فدان المعامله ($T_2D_1I_1$) على الترتيب . و أيضا قل طول النبات عندما تم التأخير في الزراعه و الما تبات عندما تم التأخير .

النتائج أوضحت أيضا ان تأثير فترات الرى و كثافات الزراعه عند الزراعه المبكره كان لها تأثير معنوى جدا و كانت أعلى القيم للمعامله (T1D2I3) و أقل القيم كانت للمعامله (T2D1I1) لجميع خواص النبات : عدد الزهور وعدد الفروع ووزن الزهور ووزن البذور بينما اعلى القيم لطول النبات كان للمعامله (T1D1I1) و اقل القيم كان للمعامله (T2D3I1) . واوضحت النتائج ايضا ان أعلى قيمه لكفاءه استخدام الرى كانت ٣٤،٠ للمعامله (T1D2I3) و اقل قيمه كانت ٢٠٠٦ كانت للمعامله ا(T2D1I) .

> · معهد بحوث الهندسة لزراعية ، مركز البحوث الزراعية، مصر. *معهد بحوث الاراضي والمياه والبيئة ، مركز البحوث الزراعية، مصر.

- 500 -